Project Number: 23220612

Project Title: Eyak Lake Weir Restoration

Principal Investigator(s): Kate Morse, CRWP; Steve Namitz, USFS; Jeff Stutzke, DOT

Submission date: 6/12/2024

Please check <u>all</u> the boxes that apply to this request.

 \Box Changes to the original scope or objectives of the project.

 \square Personnel changes.

図 Budget reallocation request.

Include a screenshot of the original budget worksheets and the budget reallocation requested for each participating agency and justification for the changes.

Original Summary Tab:

Contractual		\$526,000	\$4,072,758	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$4,599,008	\$42,509	\$198,530
Commodities Equipment		\$0 \$0	\$30,000 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$30,000 \$0	\$3,060 \$0	\$4,047 \$0
Indirect Costs (30%)		\$36,017	\$44,942	\$10,419	\$10,344	\$0	\$101,722	\$3,811	\$18,113
	SUBTOTAL	\$705,403	\$4,328,455	\$104,353	\$98,025	\$0	\$5,236,237	\$59,024	\$245,587
General Administration (9%	of subtotal)	\$63,486	\$389,561	\$9,392	\$8,822	\$0	\$471,261	\$2,155	N/A
PR	OJECT TOTAL	\$768,889	\$4,718,016	\$113,745	\$106,848	\$0	\$5,707,498		

Rev3.23.23



Proposal Amendment Form

Original CRWP Non-Trustee Worksheet:

Budget Category:			Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	5- YR TOTAL	ACTUAL
			FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	PROPOSED	CUMULATIVE
Personnel			\$95,000	\$99,750	\$34,453	\$34,453	\$0	\$263,656	
Travel			\$56	\$56	\$28	\$28	\$0	\$168	
Contractual			\$526,000	\$4,072,758	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$4,599,008	
Commodities			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Indirect Costs	Rate =	30%	\$36,016.80	\$44,941.80	\$10,419.34	\$10,344	\$0	\$101,722	
		SUBTOTAL	\$657 073	\$4 217 506	\$45 150	\$44 825	\$0	\$4 964 555	\$0
General Administration (9% of subtotal)			\$59 137	\$379 576	\$4 064	\$4 034	\$0	\$446 810	N/A
		PROJECT TOTAL	\$716 209	\$4 597 081	\$49 214	\$48 860	\$0	\$5 411 364	
Other Resources (In-Kind Funds)			\$21 180	\$16 380	\$11 580			\$49 140	

Original USFS Trustee Agency Worksheet:

Budget Catego	ory:		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	5- YR TOTAL	ACTUAL
	_		FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	PROPOSED	CUMULATIVE
			The state of the state of						1
Personnel			\$39,200	\$72,100	\$53,200	\$53,200	\$0	\$217,700	
Travel			\$2,846	\$2,846	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,692	
Contractual			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Commodities			\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	
Equipment			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Indirect Costs	Rate =	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
		SUBTOTAL	\$42 046	\$104 946	\$53,200	\$53 200	<u>\$0</u>	\$253 392	
General Administration (9% of subtotal)			\$3 784	\$9 445	\$4 788	\$4 788	\$0	\$22 805	N/A
	F	ROJECT TOTAL	\$45 830	\$114 391	\$57 988	\$57 988	\$0	\$276 197	
Other Resource	es (In-Kin	d Funds)						\$0	

NOAA Trustee Agency Worksheet:

Budget Category:	:		Proposed FY 22	Proposed FY 23	Proposed FY 24	Proposed FY 25	Proposed FY 26	5- YR TOTAL PROPOSED	ACTUAL CUMULATIVE
Damasanal									
Personnel			\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$0	\$0	\$12,000	
Travel			\$2,284	\$2,003	\$2,003	\$0	\$0	\$6,290	
Contractual			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Commodities			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Indirect Costs Ra	ate =	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
		SUBTOTAL	\$6 284	\$6 003	\$6 003	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$18 290	
General Administra	ation (9% of subtotal)	\$566	\$540	\$540	\$0	\$0	\$1 646	N/A
	F	ROJECT TOTAL	\$6 850	\$6 543	\$6.543	\$0	\$0	\$19 936	
Other Resources (In-Kind Funds)								\$0	

Rev3.23.23



Proposal Amendment Form

Updated CRWP Non-Trustee Worksheet:

Budget Category:	Actual	Actual	Updated Proposed	Updated Proposed	Updated Proposed	Updated 5- YR TOTAL	QUARTERLY	ACTUAL
	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26		EXPENDITURES	
Personnel	\$15,090.74	\$27,057.76	\$256,128.13	\$134,453.13	\$0.00	\$432,729.75	\$13,962.03	\$56,110.53
Travel	\$60.52	\$191.81	\$420.00	\$420.00	\$0.00	\$1,092.33	\$16.08	\$268.41
Contractual	\$98,140.15	\$266,343.40	\$3,984,274.33	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$4,349,007.88	\$76,525.20	\$441,008.75
Commodities	\$686.83	\$5,038.16	\$7,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$0.00	\$20,724.99	\$1,248.47	\$6,973.46
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Indirect Costs Rate = 30%	\$14,105.76	\$9,892.44	\$94,214.44	\$42,786.94	\$0.00	\$160,999.58	\$4,670.59	\$28,668.79
SUBTOTAL	\$128,084.00	\$308,523.57	\$4,342,536.89	\$185,410.06	\$0.00	\$4,964,554.53	\$96,422	\$533,029.94
General Administration (9% of subtotal)		\$2,155	\$390,828	\$16,687	\$0	\$409,670		
PROJECT TOTAL	\$128,084	\$310,679	\$4,733,365	\$202,097	\$0	\$5,374,225	\$96,422	\$533,030
Other Resources (In-Kind Funds)	\$21,180	\$16,380	\$11,580			\$49,140		

Budget reallocation request for the CRWP Non-Trustee entity. We overspent in the Commodities and Travel budget categories in FY23. We would like to reallocate funds from the Contractual budget category (which was underspent in FY22 and FY23) to accommodate past Commodities and Travel overspending. In the Contractual budget category, we allocated \$250,000 for ADOT participation over the first two years, but they have decided to re-direct this allocation back into the project. We request to reallocate \$21,649.32 of this contractual amount to cover our past spending in commodities and travel, and future travel and commodities. Also to accommodate the increased staff time to successfully execute this large and complicated partnership, we are requesting to increase the Personnel category for FY24-FY26 by a total of \$169,073.50, coming from the remaining Contractual budget category. We are actively recruiting a new Partnership Administrator who will assist with the management of this diverse and complex partnership through the bidding and construction process.

During our budget development we overlooked including a budget for project supplies which would fall into the Commodities category, grant budget terminology that was new to us. We originally didn't include any Commodities like project supplies and have realized these are needed to successfully execute the project. We've held several community meetings and planning meetings which have needed commodities like fliers, printed engineering designs, advertising, communication services, and supplies. Project supplies are critical for the successful implementation of the project.

We also underestimated the number of trips to the project site including unanticipated educational trips to the project site as part of the Community Coastal Experience (CCE) Program, an EVOSTC program (CORaL project 24220400) and bringing a group to the site who included Senator Lisa Murkowski and the Commissioner of the Department of Natural Resources, among other important people. We underestimated the trips to the site during the construction window as well.

We have updated the Commodities and Travel budget categories in FY24 and FY25 to account for those future spendings which is coming out of the Contractual category.

Rev3.23.23 3



Proposal Amendment Form

To summarize:

We would like to reallocate the \$250,000 from Contractual that was for ADOT participation in the following ways:

- \$169,073.50 to Personnel to cover the increased staff time due to complexity of partnership and project.
- \$924.33 to Travel to cover past overspending (\$140.33) and additional future (\$784) spending.
- \$20,724.99 to Commodities to cover past overspending (\$5,724.99) and future (\$15,00) spending.
- \$59,277.30 to Indirect to cover changes in this budgetary category due to changes across categories.

See attached workbook "20240417 2024 Eyak Lake Weir Project Budget Reallocation Explanation" for more details).

We have also updated the Proposed FY24 budget to carryover the unspent FY22+FY23 amounts (agreed upon in the already submitted No Cost Extension Form).

Updated USFS Non-Trustee Worksheet:

	Actual	Actual	Undated Proposed	Undated Proposed	Undated Proposed	Undated 5- VP TOTAL	ACTUAL
	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	PROPOSED	CUMULATIVE
Personnel			\$59,550	\$59,550	\$59,550	\$178,650	\$0
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,846	\$0	\$2,846	\$0
	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$0	\$5,000	\$0
	\$0	\$301	\$59,064	\$7,531	\$0	\$66,896	\$301
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBTOTAL	\$0]	\$301	\$121,114	\$72,427	\$59,550	\$253,392	\$301
General Administration (9% of subtotal)			\$10.900	\$6,518	\$5,360	\$22.805	N/A
, or ountertaily							,
PROJECT TOTAL	\$0	\$328	\$132,014	\$78,945	\$64,910	\$276,197	
Funds)					ì	\$0	
	SUBTOTAL % of subtotal) PROJECT TOTAL	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	FY 22	FY 22	FY 22	FY 22 FY 23 FY 24 FY 25 FY 26 \$0 \$0 \$59,550 \$59,550 \$59,550 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,846 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$0 \$0 \$301 \$59,064 \$7,531 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 <td>FY 22 FY 23 FY 24 FY 25 FY 26 PROPOSED \$0 \$0 \$59,550 \$59,550 \$178,650 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,846 \$0 \$2,846 \$0 \$0 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$0 \$50,000 \$0 \$301 \$59,064 \$7,531 \$0 \$66,896 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$301 \$121,114 \$72,427 \$59,550 \$253,392 % of subtotal) \$0 \$27 \$10,900 \$6,518 \$5,360</td>	FY 22 FY 23 FY 24 FY 25 FY 26 PROPOSED \$0 \$0 \$59,550 \$59,550 \$178,650 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,846 \$0 \$2,846 \$0 \$0 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$0 \$50,000 \$0 \$301 \$59,064 \$7,531 \$0 \$66,896 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$301 \$121,114 \$72,427 \$59,550 \$253,392 % of subtotal) \$0 \$27 \$10,900 \$6,518 \$5,360

Budget reallocation request for the USFS Trustee Agency. USFS underspent in all budget categories in FY22 and FY23. They would like to carryover those funds as outlined in the already submitted No Cost Extension Form. There have been turnover and unfilled positions that have led to underspending. During 2023 many hours were spent researching and meeting about the weir project with USFS staff and outside sources. However, none of the personnel time was charged to the RIRI EVOS budget. This is in part to ensure there are adequate funds for all necessary equipment as the equipment looking at being purchased costs more than originally anticipated. USFS did not have an Aquatic Program Manager for most of 2023. In FY22 and FY23 USFS only spent \$301.00, the rest they would like to carryover into FY24 -FY26.

USFS would like to decrease spending in the Personnel and Travel budget categories and use those funds to increase spending in Contractual and Commodities budget categories. Summary: \$41,896 moved from Personnel and Travel into Contractual (\$5,000) and Equipment (\$36,896).

Rev3.23.23 4



Proposal Amendment Form

Updated NOAA Trustee Agency Worksheet:

Budget Catego	ory:		Actual	Actual	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	5- YR TOTAL	ACTUAL
			FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	PROPOSED	CUMULATIVE
_			**	**	*	*	4.000	10.00	
Personnel		L	\$0	\$0	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$12,000	\$0
Travel			\$0	\$0	\$2,003	\$2,284	\$2,003	\$6,290	\$0
Contractual			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Commodities			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Indirect Costs	Rate =	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		SUBTOTAL	\$0]	\$0	\$6,003	\$6,284	\$6,003	\$18,290	
General Administration (9% of subtotal)			\$0 [\$0	\$540	\$566	\$540	\$1,646	N/A
	ı	PROJECT TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$6,543	\$6,850	\$6,543	\$19,936	
Other Resource	s (In-Kind	Funds)						\$0	

Budget reallocation request for the USFS Trustee Agency. USFS underspent in all budget categories in FY22 and FY23. They would like to carryover those funds as outlined in the already submitted No Cost Extension Form. There have been turnover and unfilled positions that have led to underspending.

Internal use only:	
Fiscal Year of Request:	
Approved by ED? □No X Yes	
Requires TC review? X No □Yes	
Copy sent to fiscal managing agency(yes)? □No	X Yes

 \square Milestone/task changes.

Rev3.23.23 5