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Impacts of Exxon Valdez Oil Spill on Bottomfish and Shellfish in Prince William Sound

## Executive Summary

Trawl surveys were conducted in Prince William Sound and adjacent waters in 1989 and 1990 to assess impacts of the Exxon Valdez oil spill on commercial species of bottomfish and shellfish. The surveys 1) determined abundance, distribution, and year-class strength of important bottomfish and shellfish species and 2) assessed the incidence and distribution of oil contamination in fish bile.

Abundance of bottomfish and shellfish was determined in two surveys in 1989. The first survey compared catch per unit effort (CPUE) with a 1978 survey; the second survey estimated biomass by random sampling. Compared to 1978, CPUE was greater for seven species (arrowtooth flounder, flathead sole, rex sole, halibut, sablefish, Pacific cod, and sidestripe shrimp) and lower for four (tanner crab, walleye pollock, eulachon, and skates). Biomass of bottomfish and shellfish was generally lowest in non-oiled areas and greatest in heavily oiled areas.

Significant oil contamination was detected in fish bile in both 1989 and 1990. In 1989, hydrocarbon metabolites were detected in five of six species tested: herring ( $100 \%$ ), flathead sole ( $42 \%$ ), walleye pollock ( $100 \%$ ), halibut ( $9 \%$ ), and Pacific cod ( $50 \%$ ); $29 \%$ of all bile samples were contaminated or possibly contaminated by oil. In 1990, hydrocarbon metabolites were detected in all six of the common bottomfish species: Pacific cod ( $91 \%$ ), flathead sole ( $81 \%$ ), walleye pollock ( $26 \%$ ), Dover sole ( $21 \%$ ), sablefish ( $19 \%$ ), and halibut ( $9 \%$ ); $39 \%$ of all bile samples were contaminated by oil. Contamination in 1990 was more widespread than in 1989. In 1989, contaminated fish were mostly from oiled areas, but in 1990, contaminated fish were distributed throughout Prince William Sound in both oiled and non-oiled areas.

Presence of hydrocarbon metabolites in bile in 1989 and 1990 shows that many fish in Prince William Sound were exposed to petroleum hydrocarbons from the Exxon Valdez spill. Although relating hydrocarbon metabolites in bile to effects on bottomfish populations may be impossible, the persistent contamination of demersal fishes 1 year after the spill indicates that effects of the oil spill may be long term and should be monitored closely.

# Figure 28. Contours of temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), salinity (\%o), and density ( $\sigma$ ) of Hinchinbrook Entrance transect, 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. <br> 69 
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## Introduction

The impact of the Exxon Valdez oil spill on the fish and shellfish of Prince William Sound was of great concern in the days after the spill. Pelagic fishes might have been exposed to waterborne hydrocarbons, contaminated prey, or for a short time, coating of oil. In contrast, demersal fishes were vulnerable to long-term effects from persistent oil in sediments, as well as ingestion of oil-contaminated prey during vertical feeding migrations. Demersal species are good indicators of chronic degradation of benthic sediments (Malins et al. 1988).

The purpose of this study was to assess the extent of oil contamination of trawl-caught species in Prince William Sound (PWS) after the Exxon Valdez oil spill. The NOAA vessel John $N$. Cobb conducted two trawl surveys in 1989 to determine species distributions, biomass, and year-class strength, and to collect tissue samples. One survey was conducted in 1990 to collect tissues to determine incidence of oil contamination persisting 1 year after the spill.

Oceanographic profiling was included in this study because subsurface water properties influence the distribution of benthic organisms and the dispersion of oil. Prince William Sound is a fjord-type estuarine system (Muench and Schmidt 1974). Mixing and circulation are strongly influenced by seasonal changes in temperature and freshwater runoff. Oceanographic processes influence the fate of oil and, thus, determine the long-term effects on fish and shellfish.

## Objectives

1. Determine abundance of Tanner crab, sidestripe shrimp, halibut, sablefish, and other commercially important species in 1989;
2. Determine age composition of primary species in 1989;
3. Determine profile of water characteristics with a Conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) instrument throughout the sampling area in 1990;
4. Determine the incidence of tar balls in the demersal environment and in stomachs of bottomfish from oiled and non-oiled areas in 1989; and
5. Determine the incidence of hydrocarbon metabolites in bile in commercial species from oiled and non-oiled areas in 1989 and 1990.

## Methods

## Prior Trawl Surveys

Various NOAA and chartered vessels surveyed PWS with otter trawls, beam trawls, and shrimp trawls in 1954, 1959, 1962, and 1970. These surveys, however, were not systematic; the gear used and the area sampled often differed. Therefore, most can not provide baseline data for assessing effects of the Exxon Valdez oil spill. The only previous systematic survey was conducted by the RV Oregon in 1978.

In April 1978, NMFS used the RV Oregon to systematically survey PWS to determine if bottomfish resources were sufficient to keep processing plants busy between other fisheries (Parks and Zenger 1979). The Oregon used a 400-mesh Eastern bottom trawl rigged with $11 / 4$-inch mesh codend liner to retain small animals. Trawl doors were $5 \times 7 \mathrm{ft}$ V-type, with bridles 20 fathoms long and $1 / 2$-inch diameter. The horizontal spread was 38 ft , and the vertical opening was $6-8 \mathrm{ft}$. NMFS divided PWS into four sampling quadrants, and completed 53 hauls.

## Biomass and Age Composition

The first 1989 survey (Survey 1; 17 May-23 June) was used to collect tissue samples of bottomfish and shellfish for hydrocarbon analysis and to provide data for developing a stratified random sampling design to estimate bottomfish biomass in a subsequent survey (Survey 2). Survey 1 made 61 successful hauls (Figure 1), closely duplicating the stations sampled by the RV Oregon in 1978 to minimize search time for trawlable bottom and provide maximum coverage of PWS. Results of Survey 1, along with ADFG data on commercial catch and Tanner crab surveys, were used to develop a sampling design for Survey 2. Survey 2 (7 August-3 September and 13-18 September) collected data on biomass, population size, and year-class strength. Survey 2 made 63 successful hauls (Figure 2) with the final gear configuration.

Sampling gear differed between Surveys 1 and 2 in 1989. Survey 1 used a standard trawl that was the same size as the RV Oregon's in 1978. The trawl was of proven design and had been used by the RV John N. Cobb in many surveys. Because this trawl was worn, it was replaced for Survey 2 with a new 400-mesh Eastern otter trawl, which at first was unsatisfactory. Changes were made to the ground rope, number of floats, and attachment of bridles to the doors to increase catch efficiency. Only data from the final configuration are included in this report.

The catch was processed according to methods of the Resource and Conservation Engineering Division (RACE) of the NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center ( 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115), as detailed in Wakabayashi et al. (1985). Use of RACE methods allowed the data to be included in the RACE database for editing and processing. Wakabayashi et al. (1985) describe methods for sorting, counting, weighing, sexing, and other processing of the catch.

Catch data from Survey 2 were analyzed with the RACE BIOMASS computer program based on the method described in Wakabayashi et al. (1985). The BIOMASS program uses catch weight, distance trawled, net width, and stratum size of a given area to calculate biomass (total population weight), total population number, and size composition (population number by length interval). The BIOMASS program also gives variance, mean CPUE, and confidence intervals. Survey 1 was not designed to estimate biomass; its data were used only to compare CPUE with the 1978 survey. Because Tanner crab inhabit mostly trawlable bottom, total stratum area would overestimate the total population; therefore, we also computed Tanner crab biomass for trawlable bottom only.

## Oceanography

Conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) casts were made at each trawling station with a self-contained CTD recorder (Sea-Bird Model 19). The instrument was lowered at a rate of $35-45 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ to within 10 m of the bottom. Temperature-salinity diagrams and depth profiles of temperature, salinity, and density were made for each successful cast. Survey 1 made 56 CTD casts, and Survey 2 made 37 CTD casts.

The sampling design for trawling was not suitable for synoptic analysis of water structure in PWS. Some stations, however, were close enough in time and space for a view of water structure along four transects: two each in Survey 1 and 2. In Survey 1, one transect extended from Hinchinbrook Entrance to Orca Bay; the other extended from south of Cape Puget through Montague Strait to between Eleanor and Smith Island (Figure 3). In Survey 2, one transect extended from Hinchinbrook Entrance to Glacier Island; the other duplicated the Survey 1 transect through Montague Strait (Figure 4). All stations along each transect were sampled within 7 days of each other.

## Tissue Samples

Tissue samples were collected in 1989 and 1990 according to guidelines of the Damage Assessment Program. Procedures were developed on shipboard (SOP No. 1) and are described in the Project Operational Plan for the 1989 summer trawl survey (available from Tom Rutecki, Auke Bay Laboratory).

In 1989, fish and crab for tissue samples were collected by trawl at 24 stations in Survey 1 between 17 May and 23 June. The number of hauls from which bile samples were collected from oiled and non-oiled areas was proportional to the area's size (Table 1). Oiled areas comprised $64 \%$ of PWS, and $62 \%$ of the hauls were sampled; non-oiled areas comprised $36 \%$ of PWS, and $38 \%$ of the hauls were sampled. Tissue samples were collected from six species of fish and two species of shellfish: halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis), flathead sole (Hippoglossoides elassodon), walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma), Pacific cod (Gadus
macrocephalus), sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria), herring (Clupea harengus), sidestripe shrimp (Pandalopsis dispar), and Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi).

A total of 452 hydrocarbon samples from various tissues were collected in 1989 (Table 2). Blanks were taken periodically and included with the frozen samples. Only bile samples were analyzed for hydrocarbons (fluorescent aromatic compounds). Samples for histopathology also were collected from flathead sole and sidestripe shrimp by combining 2-20 specimens from the same haul (Table 3); the samples were not processed and were archived.

Many 1989 bile samples could not be used because they deteriorated from delayed freezing. Chromatogram peaks can shift if bile is obtained from fish that have been dead for several hours. The peaks shift from a normal distribution of several peaks ( $7-16 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to one large tailing peak ( $6-7 \mathrm{~min}$ ). In Survey 1, some fish were not sampled for up to 4 h after capture, and some bile samples were at ambient temperature for another 4 h . To improve the data in 1990, bile samples were taken from live animals and immediately iced until frozen within 2 h .

In 1990, fish and crab were collected between 13 and 23 June with trawls of the same design as the one used in Survey 1 and by jigging with sportfishing gear. Trawling and jigging were done at 12 sites, and jigging for Pacific cod was done at one site (Zaikof Bay) (Figure 5). At each trawled site, we tried to sample 15 specimens from six fish and one shellfish species: (halibut, Dover sole (Microstomus pacificus), flathead sole, Pacific cod, walleye pollock, sablefish, and Tanner crab). Sometimes enough samples could be obtained from a single haul, but often too few animals were caught for a sample. A second haul was sometimes made, and jigging augmented the catch. Walleye pollock and Pacific cod rarely survived trawl capture; jigging provided live fish for hydrocarbon samples. Each haul was processed separately, except that hauls 10 and 11 were combined because of low catch. Bile and muscle were sampled from all six fish species, hepatopancreas was sampled from Tanner crab, and fish stomach contents were examined for oil or tar balls. A total of 1,117 samples of various tissues were taken from 409 animals (Table 4); only the bile samples were analyzed.

Bile samples from 1989 were analyzed by the Environmental Conservation Division, Northwest Fisheries Center, NOAA, by methods in the Detailed Study Plan; bile samples from 1990 were analyzed by the Geochemical and Environmental Research Group at Texas A\&M University. Fluorescent aromatic compounds were measured at naphthalene (NPH) and phenanthrene (PHN) wavelength pairs (Appendix 1). Based on NPH and PHN concentrations and chromatographic patterns, bile samples were conservatively classified by Technical Services Study \#1 (Manen et al. in prep.) into one of five categories: 1) contaminated (metabolites of aromatic hydrocarbons present); 2) possibly contaminated (hydrocarbon metabolites likely present above background level); 3) uncontaminated (no hydrocarbon metabolites); 4) degraded (peak characteristic of hydrocarbon degradation); and 5) insufficient bile for processing.

## Results

## CPUE, Biomass, and Populations

The similarity between the RV Oregon's survey in 1978 and Survey 1 in 1989 allowed a comparison of CPUE ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) for the most common species of bottomfish and shellfish. Although differences between years were not tested statistically, the sample mean CPUE for PWS as a whole was less for walleye pollock, skates (Rajidae), eulachon (Thaleichthys pacificus), and Tanner crab in 1989 than in 1978 (Figure 6). Most noteworthy was a 67\% decrease in the sample mean of Tanner crab CPUE, from $144 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ in 1978 to $48 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ in 1989. The decrease in Tanner crab occurred in three of four quadrants; the southwest quadrant was similar in the two years. Sample mean CPUE of all other species (arrowtooth flounder, flathead sole, rex sole (Glyptocephalus zachirus), halibut, sablefish, Pacific cod, and sidestripe shrimp) was greater in 1989 than in 1978. The southwest quadrant had the greatest increase in sample mean CPUE for all species except sidestripe shrimp, which had its greatest increase in the southeast quadrant.

Arrowtooth flounder and walleye pollock were the most abundant species of bottomfish (Figure 7). Arrowtooth flounder made up the greatest proportion of total biomass at every site except Central Basin and Port Wells. It accounted for $67 \%$ of total biomass in the area of Knight Island/Montague Strait and $65 \%$ of total biomass in the area outside PWS. Walleye pollock were $27 \%$ of the total biomass at Orca/Fidalgo, $19 \%$ at Hinchinbrook, and $19 \%$ at Central Basin. Variability in catch, however, was great, as indicated by coefficient of variation, which ranged from 9 to $100 \%$ (Table 5). Mean biomass of arrowtooth flounder was $50,000 \mathrm{mt}$, and the $95 \%$ confidence interval was $6,000-90,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (Figure 8). The $95 \%$ confidence interval for walleye pollock biomass was $6,000-13,000 \mathrm{mt}$, only slightly greater than that of flathead sole. Mean biomass of the other species was within the $95 \%$ confidence interval for sablefish (1,000-8,000 mt ). On a percentage basis, Tanner crab biomass based on trawlable area was similar to biomass based on total area (Table 6). Mean biomass of Tanner crab based on trawlable area was 2,263 mt.

Total biomass was greatest in the heavily oiled Knight Island/Montague Strait area (Figure 9). Although not tested statistically, the sample mean biomass was greatest in this area for 13 of the 15 species. The most concentrated biomass was that of eulachon: $97 \%$ in Knight Island/Montague Strait. Because eulachon school, however, such a concentration may not reflect its real distribution. Alaska skate was noteworthy in that none of its biomass was in the Knight Island/Montague Strait area; all its biomass was in the Outside (81\%) and Hinchinbrook (19\%) areas. For PWS as a whole, the Knight Island/Montague Strait area contained $53 \%$ of the total biomass, followed by the Outside area with $19 \%$. All 15 species had lowest biomass in the Port Wells area, which made up only $0.3 \%$ of the total biomass in PWS.

Species composition based on number of individuals differed from composition based on biomass (Figure 10). Arrowtooth flounder comprised the greatest proportion of individuals in the Outside and Knight Island/Montague Strait areas (49\% and 32\%, respectively). Sidestripe
shrimp was next, with $38 \%$ in the Port Wells area and $30 \%$ in the Central area. Flathead sole led in the Orca/Fidalgo area (27\%), and rex sole led in the Hinchinbrook area (19\%).

Arrowtooth flounder was the most abundant bottomfish in PWS. Its total population was nearly 50 million fish, followed by flathead sole, northern shrimp, and Tanner crab with 20-30 million each (Figure 11). Because of wide variability in catch, the $95 \%$ confidence limits for arrowtooth flounder ranged from 10 million to 85 million fish. This wide range included the entire range for flathead sole, northern shrimp, and Tanner crab, and most of the range for rex sole and walleye pollock. Other species contributed less than 10 million individuals each to the survey area.

## Length Frequencies

Comparisons of length frequencies between 1978 and 1989 could be made for flathead sole, sablefish, and halibut (Figures 12 and 13). Frequency distributions for flathead sole were comparable only for the two southern quadrants. For these quadrants, females were clearly larger than males, and modes for both sexes in 1989 were similar to the modes in 1978. Sablefish mean length (sexes combined) was greater in $1989(55 \mathrm{~cm})$ than in $1978(43 \mathrm{~cm})$. Similarly, halibut mean length (sexes combined) was greater in 1989 ( 74 cm ) than in 1978 (60 cm ).

The frequency distributions for arrowtooth flounder, walleye pollock, and flathead sole from Survey 2 (Figures 14-16) show that larger individuals generally occupied greater depth. This distribution was evident in each area, and it was especially pronounced in flathead sole. This pattern was not evident in the other species (Figures 17-20).

Tanner crab also showed a distinct size distribution by depth (Table 7). Small crab (indicated by high number $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ) were most common at shallow depth ( $<100 \mathrm{fm}$ ). Mean $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{haul}$ was greatest at $101-250 \mathrm{fm}$, reflecting capture of adults. High variation in catches (from 0 to $>4000 \mathrm{crab}$ ) indicated a patchy distribution.

Because Tanner crab do not have structures for ageing, carapace width was used to indicate year class. Width frequencies of Tanner crab in May and June showed peaks at 18 and 28 mm (Figure 21). Modes beyond 40 mm were indistinct. Based on criteria of Donaldson et al. (1981), the mode at 28 mm was the 1987 year class, the mode at 18 mm was the 1988 year class, and the incomplete frequency at $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ was the 1989 year class. In the August-September survey, the modes had shifted upward: the $<10-\mathrm{mm}$ frequency became a mode at 18 mm , and the $18-\mathrm{mm}$ and $28-\mathrm{mm}$ modes became modes at 27 and 37 mm , respectively. If these shifts reflect growth, the 1988 and 1987 year classes grew $3 \mathrm{~mm} /$ month between the surveys. Tanner crab smaller than 95 mm had soft or recently molted exoskeletons in both 1989 surveys, whereas adults were in this condition only in spring (Figure 22). Molting in Tanner crab is similar to many decapods in that instar periods are initially short and gradually lengthen until, as adults, molting occurs once a year (Lipcius 1985).

Sidestripe shrimp occurred in 53 of 61 tows of Survey 1. The top 10 catches were made at 200-250 fathoms. Smaller catches in deeper water may reflect decreased gear efficiency rather than shrimp distribution. A few sidestripe shrimp were parasitized by the isopod Bopyroides hippolytes (Table 8). Sidestripe shrimp in PWS can be aged from length frequencies (Figure 23) by comparing with Butler's (1964) data for British Columbia. The May/June mode at 17 mm represents immature males 13 months old (assuming April hatching). They begin maturing in their second fall, 18 months after hatching. Sex changes the next spring at age 2. By the third fall, shrimp become females and extrude eggs. We observed eggs in both spring and fall (Table 8). In spring, they probably were fully developed embryos; in fall, they probably were recently extruded. Because samples of sidestripe shrimp were not collected throughout the year, these ages should be viewed with caution. Data for distinguishing year classes (i.e., length of sternal spines) were not collected.

Northern shrimp (Pandalus borealis), a circumpolar species with a varied life history (Haynes and Wigley 1969), showed marked differences in length frequencies of males between Surveys 1 and 2 (Figure 24), which makes ageing unreliable. The wide range in female carapace length indicates more than one year class (Table 8). As with sidestripe shrimp, a few northern shrimp were parasitized by Bopyroides hippolytes (Table 8).

## Oceanography

The water of PWS was stratified in three distinct layers: a shallow upper layer, an intermediate layer characterized by a temperature minimum, and a slightly warmer bottom layer (Figures 25-28). The upper layer may be considered a surface pycnocline that gradually deepens as heat and fresh water accumulate in summer. The upper layer ( $0-50 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) was isothermal ( $6-80 \mathrm{C}, 30-31 \mathrm{ppt}$ salinity) in mid-May; by August, temperature increased to $13-140 \mathrm{C}$ and salinity declined to less than 20 ppt at many stations. In winter, the upper layer is cooled by convection after freshwater input diminishes.

The intermediate layer ( $50-200 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) had a thermal minimum of $4.2 \circ \mathrm{C}$ that increased to $5.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the end of summer. Salinity was consistently $31.5-32.8 \mathrm{ppt}$. The intermediate layer probably formed locally in response to winter cooling and convective mixing. Its warming in late summer may be by diffusive mixing (Muench and Schmidt 1974).

The bottom layer ( $200-500 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) was nearly isopycnal ( $5.2-5.5 \circ \mathrm{C}, 32.8-33.0 \mathrm{ppt}$ ). The source of this water was probably the Gulf of Alaska at $160-180 \mathrm{~m}$. Renewal probably is nearly continuous, but most intense in fall.

## Oil Contamination

No tar balls or other obvious oil was visible on sampling gear, on catches, or in fish stomachs.

Of 122 bile samples in 1989, 43 (35\%) were degraded (Table 9). Degraded samples were from both oiled (23) and non-oiled (20) areas, indicating no bias in lost samples (Table 1).

Bile samples showed limited oil exposure in 1989 (Table 9). Of 76 analyzable bile samples in 1989, $54(71 \%)$ were uncontaminated, 14 ( $18 \%$ ) were possibly contaminated, and 8 ( $11 \%$ ) were contaminated. Of the six species sampled, five were contaminated or possibly contaminated by oil (Table 9). Walleye pollock was most contaminated; six of seven samples were contaminated. Halibut and herring each had one contaminated and one possibly contaminated sample. Flathead sole had 10 possibly contaminated samples, and Pacific cod had one possibly contaminated sample. All 18 usable (sufficient bile and distinct chromatogram) sablefish samples were uncontaminated.

The contaminated and possibly contaminated samples in 1989 were from seven stations (Table 10). Six of the stations were in the heavily oiled area of Knight Island/Montague Strait; the other station was in the non-oiled area in Montague Trench (Figure 1; Table 10). Contaminated or possibly contaminated bile samples were significantly ( $P=0.001$; Chi-square test) more frequent in oiled than in non-oiled areas (Table 11).

Contamination of bottomfish in 1990 was more widespread than in 1989. Of the 114 usable bile samples collected in 1990, 44 (39\%) were contaminated (Table 12). All six species of bottomfish examined were contaminated. Almost all ( $91 \%$ ) Pacific cod and most ( $81 \%$ ) flathead sole were contaminated, and significant numbers of walleye pollock (26\%), Dover sole ( $21 \%$ ), sablefish ( $19 \%$ ), and halibut ( $9 \%$ ) were also contaminated.

Of the 13 locations sampled in 1990, six (stations $3,6,7,8,9,12$ ) had contaminated fish (Figure 5). Most (61\%) of the contaminated fish came from non-oiled areas (stations 3, 6, and 12). Contaminated flathead sole were at four stations ( $3,8,9$, and 12), contaminated walleye pollock were at two stations ( 6 and 8 ), and contaminated sablefish, halibut, Dover sole, and Pacific cod were at one station each (stations 7, 7, 12, and 12, respectively). Pacific cod from Zaikof Bay were uncontaminated but were diseased; some had lesions the size of silver dollars.

## Discussion

Demersal fish in the route of the oil spill were definitely exposed to petroleum hydrocarbons in 1989. The exposed species included those in direct contact with possibly contaminated bottom sediments, such as halibut and flathead sole, as well as those with diel migrations in the water column, such as pollock and herring. Continued contamination in bile in 1990 indicates continued exposure, probably via ingestion of contaminated benthic prey. Oil held in the sediments would continue to be available to benthic fish and invertebrates, and hence, would be available to the rest of the food chain.

Fish that tested positive for hydrocarbon metabolites could have been exposed to oil by ingesting oil-contaminated or coated prey or by contact with contaminated benthos. The more widespread contamination in 1990 could have been caused by movement of fish or movement of oiled prey. Whether contaminated prey were carried to the fish by water currents, or the fish obtained oiled prey in an oiled area is not known.

Loss of samples from degradation in 1989 probably did not bias our results. The area-weighted sampling effort and similar number of degraded samples from oiled and non-oiled areas meant that samples from both oiled and non-oiled areas had the same chance of degradation. Although loss of samples probably did not bias comparisons between oiled and non-oiled areas, it reduced precision of the estimators and statistical power to discriminate differences between oiled and non-oiled areas.

Analysis of bile for petroleum hydrocarbons has become a common tool for monitoring exposure of fish to oil pollution. Bile analysis was used to scan many fish after the Exxon Valdez spill (Krahn et al. 1992). Lipophilic compounds of high molecular weight are excreted by the gallbladder into the intestine in bile, while compounds of low molecular weight are excreted in urine. Bile monitoring, therefore, does not address exposure to compounds of low molecular weight or hydrocarbon accumulation in tissues. Tissue samples were not analyzed for hydrocarbon accumulation or damage; thus, the impact of exposure to oil indicated by bile can not be assessed from our data.

Bottomfish are excellent indicators of the health of the benthos. Carcinomas (McCain et al. 1982) and delayed ovarian maturation (Johnson et al. 1988) have been found in bottomfish on sediments contaminated with aromatic hydrocarbons. Bottomfish can be used to assess impacts of toxicant-laden sediments long after the polluting event (Powers 1989).

Hydrocarbon metabolites in bile indicate potential impacts on bottomfish reproduction. Petroleum hydrocarbons accumulate in lipid-rich tissues before excretion in bile (Hodgson and Guthrie 1982). Lipids also can sequester hydrocarbons, making them available to the organism for months after exposure. Gonads of adult bottomfish are especially rich in lipids and particularly susceptible to bioaccumulation of hydrocarbons, leading to impacts on reproduction (Johnson et al. 1988).

The high abundance of demersal fishes in spill area in 1989, evidence of exposure to oil, and presence of contaminated bile throughout Prince William Sound in 1990 indicate that impacts of the oil spill on demersal resources of Prince William Sound are likely to be long term. Assessment of that impact on demersal fish populations or habitat would require determining long-term changes in year-class strength and reproductive viability. Further research is needed to assess restoration needs and alternatives.

Damage to bottomfish and shellfish from the Exxon Valdez oil spill are difficult to ascertain. Survey data for bottomfish and shellfish stocks in Prince William Sound are too limited and variable to provide a baseline for determining trends in biomass. Extensive trawl
surveys for several years would be needed to be able to document even a major impact on the 1989 year class. Even with such survey data, lack of knowledge about fish movements would make it difficult to determine if a decrease in abundance was due to movement, rather than poor recruitment caused by the oil spill.

Although relating hydrocarbon metabolites in bile to effects on bottomfish populations may be impossible, the widespread contamination of bottomfish 1 year after the spill indicates that the Exxon Valdez oil spill may have long-term effects, and bottomfish and shellfish populations should be monitored closely.

## Conclusions

1. Species abundance: Arrowtooth flounder and walleye pollock were the most abundant bottomfish. Biomass was greatest in the heavily oiled area of Knight Island/Montague Strait for 13 of 15 species. For PWS overall, the Knight Island/Montague Strait area contained $53 \%$ of the total biomass.
2. Age composition: Length frequencies for arrowtooth flounder, walleye pollock, and flathead sole showed that larger individuals generally occupied greater depth. Small tanner crab were most common at depths to 100 fm ; adults were most common at 101-250 fm. Tanner crabs in May/June showed a carapace-width mode at 28 mm , (1987 year class), a mode at 18 mm ( 1988 year class), and an incomplete frequency at $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ (1989 year class). Sidestripe shrimp showed a May/June length mode at 17 mm , representing immature males 13 months old. Male northern shrimp showed differences in length frequencies between surveys, making ageing unreliable; female lengths indicated more than one year class.
3. Seawater profile: The water of PWS was stratified in three layers: a shallow ( $0-50 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) upper layer ( $6-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30-31 \mathrm{ppt}$ salinity in May, $13-14 \circ \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{ppt}$ in August); an intermediate layer $(50-200 \mathrm{~m})$ that was cold $(4.2-5.2 \circ \mathrm{C})$ and had more stable salinity ( $31.5-32.8 \mathrm{ppt}$ ); and a nearly isopyenal (5.2-5.5०C, 32.8-33.0 ppt) bottom layer (200-500 m deep).
4. Tar balls: No tar balls or other obvious oil was visible on sampling gear, on catches, or in fish stomachs.
5. Hydrocarbon metabolites in bile: Presence of hydrocarbon metabolites in bile in 1989 and 1990 showed that bottomfish fish were contaminated by Exxon Valdez oil. The contamination not only persisted in 1990 but became more widespread throughout Prince William Sound.
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Table 1.--Size of oiled and non-oiled areas, number and percentage of trawl hauls made in each area, and distribution of degraded bile samples, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Areas | Area |  | Trawl Hauls |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Square Miles | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \quad \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. of Hauls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No Samples Degraded | All Samples Degraded |
| Oiled Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Basin | 505 | 25 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 1 |
| Hinchinbrook Entrance | 225 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Knight Is./Montague St. | 548 | $\underline{28}$ | $\underline{9}$ | 38 | 4 | $\underline{2}$ |
| Subtotal | 1,277 | 64 | 15 | 63 | 6 | 3 |
| Non-oiled Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orca/Fidalgo | 290 | 15 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 1 |
| Port Wells | 108 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Outside PWS | 314 | 16 | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{12}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Subtotal | 712 | 36 | 9 | 37 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 1,990 | 100 | 24 | 100 | 7 | 4 |

Table 2.--Hydrocarbon samples taken in 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Species | Bile | Stomach | Muscle | Hepatopancreas | Eggs | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halibut | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  | 75 |
| Flathead Sole | 27 | 27 | 26 |  |  | 80 |
| Sablefish | 19 | 21 | 21 |  |  | 61 |
| Walleye Pollock | 28 | 28 | 28 |  |  | 84 |
| Pacific Cod | 21 | 21 | 21 |  |  | 63 |
| Tanner Crab |  |  | 22 | 22 | 17 | 61 |
| Herring | 2 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 | 12 |
| Sidestripe Shrimp |  |  | 15 | 1 |  | 16 |
| Total | 122 | 126 | 162 | 23 | 19 | 452 |

Table 3.--Histopathological samples taken in 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Date | Haul | Location | Species | Sample type | No. of <br> specimens |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $5 / 25$ | 20 | Lower Montague | flathead sole | organs and tissues | 20 |
| $5 / 30$ | 27 | Port Gravina | flathead sole <br> sidestripe shrimp | organs and tissues <br> whole specimens | 20 |
| $6 / 5$ | 35 | Naked/Glacier | sidestripe shrimp | whole specimens | 20 |
| $6 / 6$ | 36 | Naked/Glacier | flathead sole | organs and tissues | 2 |
| $6 / 6$ | 37 | Naked/Glacier | flathead sole | organs and tissues | 9 |
| $6 / 6$ | 38 | Naked/Glacier | flathead sole | organs and tissues | 6 |
| $6 / 7$ | 41 | Upper Montague | flathead sole | organs and tissues | 8 |
| $6 / 17$ | 54 | Upper Montague | flathead sole organs and tissues | 2 |  |
| $6 / 17$ | 55 | Upper Montague | flathead sole | organs and tissues | 10 |

Table 4.--Hydrocarbon samples taken in 1990 by Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Species | Bile | Muscle | Food | Liver | Hepato- <br> pancreas | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halibut | 41 | 41 | 41 | 123 |  |  |
| Flathead Sole | 58 | 58 | 58 | 174 |  |  |
| Sablefish | 72 | 72 | 72 | 216 |  |  |
| Walleye Pollock | 44 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 174 |  |
| Pacific Cod | 45 | 45 | 45 | 135 |  |  |
| Dover Sole | 73 | 73 | 73 | 219 |  |  |
| Tanner Crab | 76 | 76 |  |  |  | 1,117 |
| Total | 333 | 333 | 333 | 42 | 76 |  |

Table 5.--Coefficients of variation (standard deviation divided by mean, as a percentage) for mean biomass estimates by species in areas sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish /Shellfish Study \#18.

| Species | Hinchinbrook | Orca/ <br> Fidalgo | Central Basin | Knight Is. | Port Wells | Outside |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sablefish | 82 | 30 | 47 | 89 | - | 43 |
| Rougheye rockfish | 36 | 48 | 91 | 36 | - | 98 |
| Big Skate | 80 | 90 | 100 | 81 | - | 88 |
| Aleutian skate | 24 | 38 | 22 | 20 | 42 | 10 |
| Alaska skate | 68 | - | - | - | - | 100 |
| Walleye pollock | 29 | 30 | 27 | 53 | 9 | 41 |
| Pacific cod | 25 | 26 | 26 | 40 | - | 42 |
| Eulachon | 94 | - | - | 42 | - | - |
| Halibut | 52 | 36 | 60 | 41 | 50 | 57 |
| Flathead sole | 38 | 30 | 39 | 29 | 57 | 76 |
| Rex sole | 35 | 29 | 41 | 53 | 75 | 37 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 37 | 34 | 78 | 58 | 51 | 67 |
| Tanner crab | 26 | 32 | 29 | 26 | 79 | 62 |
| Sidestripe shrimp | 29 | 60 | 9 | 27 | 50 | 63 |
| Northern shrimp | 45 | 29 | 35 | 20 | 100 | 62 |

Table 6.--Percent of total biomass contributed by Tanner crab in different areas of Prince William Sound, based on trawlable area and total area.

|  | Trawlable | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Hinchinbrook | 18 | 24 |
| Orca/Fidalgo | 16 | 13 |
| Central | 17 | 20 |
| Knight Island | 46 | 40 |
| Port Wells | $<2$ | 2 |
| Outside | $<2$ | 1 |

Table 7.--Catch of Tanner crab by depth, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Depth <br> $(\mathrm{fm})$ | Number <br> of hauls | Maximum <br> $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{haul}$ | Mean <br> no. $/ \mathrm{kg}$ | Mean <br> $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{haul}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |
| $\leq 50$ | 22 | 3.0 | 22.7 | 1.4 |
| $51-100$ | 14 | 94.8 | 42.1 | 1.8 |
| $101-150$ | 5 | 35.8 | 2.9 | 11.0 |
| $151-200$ | 12 | 21.8 | 1.3 | 14.3 |
| $201-250$ | 4 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 12.9 |
| $>250$ |  |  | 2.9 | 2.1 |

Table 8.--Life history data for sidestripe shrimp, Pandalopsis dispar, and northern shrimp, Pandalus borealis, collected in 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. The parasite is the isopod Bopyroides hippolytes.

| Species | Sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { range (mm) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent with eggs spring fall |  | Number of parasites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidestripe | male | 12.5-31.5 | -- | -- | 8 |
|  | trans. | 24.0-34.0 | -- | -- | 0 |
|  | female | 25.5-38.5 | 1.8\% | 14.3\% | 0 |
| Northern | male | 8.5-21.0 | - | -- | 1 |
|  | trans. | 15.0-22.5 | -- | -- | 1 |
|  | female | 13.5-27.5 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 |

Table 9.--Results of hydrocarbon analysis for oil contamination in bile samples taken in 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Species | Degraded <br> samples | Insufficient <br> for analysis | Uncontam- <br> inated | Possibly <br> contaminated | Contam- <br> inated | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herring | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Halibut | 1 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| Flathead sole | 2 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 7 |
| Sablefish | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Pacific cod | 19 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Walleye |  | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1}$ | 6 |
| pollock | $\underline{00}$ | 43 | 3 | 54 | 14 | 8 |
| Total | 43 |  |  |  | 128 |  |

Table 10.--Sites of contaminated and possibly contaminated bile samples, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Haul no. | Date | Location | Species | Bile analysis results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 5/21/89 | Knight/Green Is. | flathead sole | possible oil |
| 8 | " | " | " | " |
| 10 | 5/22/89 | " | halibut | " |
| 10 | " | " | " | oil present |
| 10 | " | " | pollock | , |
| 10 | " | " | " | " |
| 10 | " | " | " | possible oil |
| 11 | " | " | flathead sole | , |
| 11 | " | " | " | " |
| 13 | 5/23/89 | Lower Montague | " | " |
| 13 | " | , |  | " |
| 22 | 5/26/89 | Upper Montague | " | " |
| 22 | " | , | " | " |
| 22 | " | " | " | " |
| 22 | " | " | pollock | oil present |
| 22 | " | " | " | , |
| 22 | " | " | " | " |
| S-2 | 6/18/89 | Knight/Green Is. | flathead sole | " |
| S-2 | " | " | herring | " ${ }^{\text {" }}$ |
| S-2 | " | " | " | oil present |
| S-2 | " | " | pollock | " |
| 58 | 6/19/89 | Montague Trench | Pacific cod | possible oil |

Table 11.--Number of uncontaminated, possibly contaminated, and contaminated bile samples from oiled and non-oiled areas of Prince William Sound, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Only non-degraded samples with sufficient bile for analysis are included.

| Uncontaminated | Possibly <br> Contaminated | Contaminated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

Oiled Areas

| Central Basin | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hinchinbrook Entrance | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Knight Is./Montague St. | $\underline{16}$ | $\underline{13}$ | $\underline{8}$ |
| Total | 27 | 13 | 8 |

Non-oiled Areas
Orca/Fidalgo 11
110
0
Port Wells 9
$9 \quad 0$
$0 \quad 0$
Outside PWS $\quad 7$
Total 27

## 1

$\underline{0}$
1
0

Table 12. Contamination in 1990 of the six species of bottomfish sampled by Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

| Species | Number of <br> samples | Number <br> contaminated | Percent <br> contaminated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flathead sole | 22 | 18 | 81 |
| Sablefish | 32 | 6 | 19 |
| Walleye pollock | 19 | 5 | 26 |
| Dover sole | 19 | 4 | 21 |
| Halibut | 11 | 1 | 9 |
| Pacific cod | 11 | 10 | 91 |
| Total | 114 | 44 | 39 |



Figure 1. Map of sampling locations, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18, showing distribution of contaminated bile samples.


Figure 2. Map of station locations, 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 3. CTD stations and transects, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 4. Ctd stations and transects, 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 5. Map of sampling locations, 1990 Survey, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18, showing distribution of contaminated bile samples. Numbers next to symbols identify sampling locations referred to in text. Zaikof Bay was sampled by jigging only, and fish from there were uncontaminated.


Figure 6. Mean CPUE by quadrant and combined quadrants of common species from 1978 oregon cruise and 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


## Hinchenbrook



Figure 7. Percentage biomass by species in the six sampling areas of 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Species with less than $4 \%$ of total biomass are in the "other" category. Estimated total biomass (mt) is shown for each species.


Figure 7, continued.


Figure 7, continued.


Figure 8. Estimated total biomass for species sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Central bar indicates point estimate; rectangle indicates $95 \%$ confidence interval.










Figure 9. Estimated total biomass by species in areas sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.








Figure 10. Percentage total population by species in the six sampling areas of 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Estimated total populations are shown for each species. Species making up less than $4 \%$ of the total are pooled in the "other" category.
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Knight Island


Figure 10, continued.


Figure 10, continued.


Figure 11. Estimated total populations of species in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Central bar indicates the point estimate; rectangle indicates 95\% confidence interval.


Figure 12. Length frequencies of flathead sole, sablefish, and halibut from 1978 Oregon cruise, copied from the 1978 Oregon report.


Figure 13. Length frequencies of bottomfish from 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 13, continued.


Figure 13, continued.


Figure 14. Length frequencies of arrowtooth flounder by depth strata in areas sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Arrowtooth flounder were not measured in the Port Wells area.


Figure 14, continued.


Figure 14, continued.


Figure 15. Length frequencies of walleye pollock by depth strata in areas sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Walleye pollock were not measured in the Port Wells area.


Figure 15, continued.


Figure 15, continued.


Figure 16. Length frequencies of flathead sole by depth in areas sampled by 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Flathead sole were not measured in the Port Wells area.


Figure 16, continued.


Figure 16, continued.


Figure 17. Length frequencies of halibut by depth strata in areas sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 17, continued.


Figure 17, continued.


Figure 18. Length frequencies of Pacific cod by depth strata in areas sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. No Pacific cod were measured in Central Basin and Port Wells areas.


Figure 18, continued.


Figure 19. Length frequencies of sablefish by depth strata in areas sampled in 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Sablefish were measured only in Orca/Fidalgo and Outside PWS.


Figure 20. Length frequencies of rex sole by depth strata in areas sampled during 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18. Rex sole were measured only in the Hinchenbrook and Knight Island areas.


Figure 21. Width frequencies of Tanner crab, 1989 Surveys 1 and 2 , Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 22. Width frequencies of soft-shell and recently molted Tanner crab, 1989 Surveys, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 23. Carapace-length frequencies by sex of sidestripe shrimp, 1989 Surveys 1 and 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 24. Carapace-length frequencies by sex of northern shrimp, 1989 Surveys 1 and 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 25. Contours of temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), salinity (\% ), and density ( $\sigma t$ ) of Montague Strait transect, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 26. Contours of temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), salinity (\%), and density ( $\sigma t$ ) of Hinchinbrook Entrance transect, 1989 Survey 1, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 27. Contours of temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), salinity (\%), and density ( $\sigma t$ ) of Montague Strait transect, 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.


Figure 28. Contours of temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), salinity (\%), and density $(\sigma t)$ of Hinchinbrook Entrance transect, 1989 Survey 2, Fish/Shellfish Study \#18.

Appendix 1.--Concentration (ng/g) of naphthalene (NPH) and phenanthrene ( PHN ) in bile from species of bottomfish trawled from sites in Prince William Sound in 1989 and 1990. ID is number for tracking samples in the Damage Assessment database. Dashes indicate missing data because of degraded samples.

| Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143008 | 1 | 29000 | 4500 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143001 | 1 | 29000 | 4000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143002 | 1 | 120000 | 27000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143003 | 1 | 140000 | 23000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143004 |  | 96000 | 17000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143005 | 1 | 94000 | 14000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143006 | 1 | 160000 | 29000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143007 | 1 | 73000 | 13000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143012 | 1 | 100000 | 18000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143009 | 1 | 89000 | 17000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143010 | 1 | 97000 | 17000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143011 | 1 | 82000 | 14000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143014 | 1 | 82000 | 14000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143013 | 1 | 88000 | 14000 |
| Walleye pollock | FPTAR | 58.1750 | 134.2417 | 19-Jun-90 | 143015 | 1 | 250000 | 45000 |
| Flathead sole | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2827 | 1 |  |  |
| Flathead sole | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2830 | 1 | 28000 | 6500 |
| Flathead sole | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2827 | 2 | -. | -- |
| Flathead sole | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2824 | 1 | 32000 | 8600 |
| Flathead sole | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2824 | 2 | 31000 | 8500 |
| Flathead sole | GREEI | 60.2400 | 147.7300 | 18-Jun-89 | 4380 | 1 | 26000 | 4800 |
| Flathead sole | GREEI | 60.2600 | 147.7500 | 18-Jun-89 | 4384 | 1 | 46000 | 11000 |
| Pacific halibut | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2837 | 1 | 26000 | 4300 |
| Pacific halibut | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2834 | 1 | 72000 | 15000 |
| Pacific halibut | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2840 | 1 | 41000 | 11000 |
| Pacific halibut | GREEI | 60.2600 | 147.7500 | 18-Jun-89 | 4403 | 1 | 12000 | 1100 |
| Pacific herring | GREEI | 60.2600 | 147.7500 | 18-Jun-89 | 4390 | 1 | 120000 | 25000 |
| Pacific herring | GREEI | 60.2600 | 147.7500 | 18-Jun-89 | 4394 | 1 | 160000 | 31000 |
| Walleye pollock | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2852 | 2 | 120000 | 32000 |
| Walleye pollock | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2852 | 1 | 120000 | 31000 |
| Walleye pollock | GREEI | 60.2600 | 147.7500 | 18-Jun-89 | 4409 | 1 | -- |  |
| Walleye pollock | GREEI | 60.2600 | 147.7500 | 18-Jun-89 | 4412 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | GREEI | 60.2600 | 147.7500 | 18-Jun-89 | 4406 | 1 | 96000 | 23000 |
| Sablefish | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2818 | 1 | 15000 | 2300 |
| Sablefish | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2815 | 1 | 13000 | 2600 |
| Sablefish | GREEI | 60.1906 | 147.9061 | 21-May-89 | 2821 | 1 | 13000 | 1600 |
| Sablefish | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2849 | 1 | 11000 | 1000 |
| Sablefish | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2843 | 1 | 16000 | 3700 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Sablefish | GREEI | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2846 | 1 | 29000 | 2300 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119806 | 1 | 85000 | 14000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119744 | 1 | 75000 | 11000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119809 | 1 | 83000 | 14000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119812 | 1 | 130000 | 21000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119815 | 1 | 120000 | 14000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119818 | 1 | 100000 | 18000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119747 | 1 | 63000 | 11000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119750 | 1 | 130000 | 18000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119803 | 1 | 130000 | 28000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119738 | 1 | 110000 | 15000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119735 | 1 | 150000 | 20000 |
| Dover sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119741 | 1 | 49000 | 7100 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119714 | 1 | 9700 | 1600 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119640 | 1 | 41000 | 7400 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119723 | 2 | 40000 | 5500 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119726 | 1 | 26000 | 4200 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119729 | 1 | 75000 | 9400 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119732 | 1 | 26000 | 3500 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119714 | 2 | 9500 | 1600 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119717 | 1 | 11000 | 1900 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119720 | 1 | 29000 | 4100 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119702 | 1 | 20000 | 4200 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119705 | 1 | 130000 | 24000 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119708 | 1 | 30000 | 6700 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119711 | 1 | 71000 | 12000 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119723 | 1 | 38000 | 5400 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119643 | 1 | 37000 | 5700 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119646 | 1 | 30000 | 700 |
| Flathead sole | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119649 | 1 | 19000 | 3300 |
| Pacific halibut | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119628 | 2 | 16000 | 2200 |
| Pacific halibut | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119821 | 1 | 77000 | 10000 |
| Pacific halibut | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119824 | 1 | 72000 | 8600 |
| Pacific halibut | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119637 | 1 | 26000 | 3500 |
| Pacific halibut | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119634 | 1 | 60000 | 8300 |
| Pacific halibut | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119631 | 1 | 33000 | 4500 |
| Pacific halibut | KNIGI | 60.1950 | 147.9125 | 21-Jun-90 | 119628 | 1 | 15000 | 2100 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119517 | 2 | 42000 | 7900 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119446 | 1 | 28000 | 5100 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119520 | 1 | 38000 | 7800 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119523 | 1 | 34000 | 590 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119517 | 1 | 40000 | 7900 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119508 | 1 | 31000 | 5800 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119449 | 1 | 21000 | 4300 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119502 | 1 | 61000 | 12000 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119526 | 1 | 34000 | 6100 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119529 | 1 | 26000 | 5100 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119511 | 1 | 43000 | 7800 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119514 | 1 | 37000 | 7000 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119443 | 1 | 63000 | 9300 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119440 | 1 | 17000 | 2300 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119437 | 1 | 13000 | 3000 |
| Dover sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119505 | 1 | 30000 | 5000 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119609 | 1 | 42000 | 7100 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119538 | 1 | 43000 | 6100 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119612 | 1 | 54000 | 10000 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119615 | 1 | 36000 | 6000 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119606 | 1 | 17000 | 3100 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119547 | 2 | 16000 | 2300 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119541 | 1 | 23000 | 3800 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119544 | 1 | 30000 | 5200 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119547 | 1 | 17000 | 2500 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119603 | 1 | 20000 | 2700 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119550 | 1 | 18000 | 3000 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119535 | 1 | 28000 | 3900 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119535 | 2 | 27000 | 3700 |
| Flathead sole | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119532 | 1 | 25000 | 4200 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119234 | 1 | 34000 | 4700 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119222 | 1 | 40000 | 5100 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119219 | 1 | 28000 | 3900 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119216 | 1 | 54000 | 9200 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119237 | 1 | 83000 | 11000 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119228 | 1 | 19000 | 2200 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119231 | 1 | 45000 | 4700 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119225 | 1 | 50000 | 7600 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119209 | 1 | 47000 | 9100 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119201 | 1 | 72000 | 14000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119205 | 1 | 120000 | 28000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119209 | 2 | 46000 | 8900 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119417 | 1 | 75000 | 15000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119405 | 1 | 190000 | 36000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119429 | 1 | 150000 | 25000 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119433 | 1 | 280000 | 54000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119421 | 1 | 94000 | 19000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119425 | 1 | 130000 | 21000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119401 | 1 | 84000 | 16000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119409 | 2 | 120000 | 22000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119409 | 1 | 120000 | 22000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTI | 59.9219 | 147.8853 | 20-Jun-90 | 119413 | 1 | 53000 | 9300 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119308 | 1 | 32000 | 4100 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119326 | 1 | 56000 | 6800 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119311 | 1 | 50000 | 6300 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119240 | 1 | 68000 | 6800 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119314 | 1 | 40000 | 6000 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119246 | 1 | 63000 | 6500 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119249 | 1 | 62000 | 6500 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119311 | 2 | 50000 | 6400 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119323 | 2 | 57000 | 7200 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119317 | 1 | 45000 | 5900 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119329 | 1 | 54000 | 7200 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119332 | 1 | 54000 | 6900 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119243 | 1 | 94000 | 14000 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119302 | 1 | 67000 | 7100 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119320 | 1 | 68000 | 8700 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119323 | 1 | 57000 | 7300 |
| Sablefish | MONTI | 60.0417 | 147.7600 | 19-Jun-90 | 119305 | 1 | 56000 | 6800 |
| Flathead sole | MONTL | 60.1461 | 147.7094 | 22-May-89 | 2870 | 1 | 16000 | 4800 |
| Flathead sole | MONTL | 60.1461 | 147.7094 | 22-May-89 | 2867 | 1 | 29000 | 4900 |
| Flathead sole | MONTL | 60.1461 | 147.7094 | 22-May-89 | 2873 | 1 | 14000 | 3400 |
| Flathead sole | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2903 | 1 | 10000 | 1700 |
| Flathead sole | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2909 | 1 | 30000 | 6200 |
| Flathead sole | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2906 | 1 | 20000 | 6600 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTL | 59.9447 | 147.8120 | 23-May-89 | 2936 | 1 | 14000 | 900 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTL | 59.9447 | 147.8120 | 23-May-89 | 2933 | 1 | 15000 | 2000 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTL | 59.9447 | 147.8120 | 23-May-89 | 2930 | 1 | 9300 | 2300 |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.1086 | 147.5714 | 22-May-89 | 2879 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.1086 | 147.5714 | 22-May-89 | 2876 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.1086 | 147.5714 | 22-May-89 | 2882 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.1086 | 147.5714 | 22-May-89 | 2879 | 2 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2915 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2912 | 2 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2912 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2918 | 1 | -- |  |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Pacific cod | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2915 | 2 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | MONTL | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2855 | 1 | 150000 | 48000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTL | 60.2175 | 147.6664 | 22-May-89 | 2858 | 1 | 110000 | 32000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTL | 59.8872 | 147.9347 | 23-May-89 | 2927 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | MONTL | 59.8872 | 147.9347 | 23-May-89 | 2921 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | MONTL | 59.8872 | 147.9347 | 23-May-89 | 2924 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Sablefish | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2897 | 1 | 19000 | 3100 |
| Sablefish | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2894 | 1 | 13000 | 2200 |
| Sablefish | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2900 | 1 | 5800 | 560 |
| Sablefish | MONTL | 60.0042 | 147.8314 | 23-May-89 | 2897 | 2 | 18000 | 2800 |
| Flathead sole | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4439 | 2 | 8500 | 890 |
| Flathead sole | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4439 | 1 | 8600 | 1200 |
| Flathead sole | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4436 | 1 | 8200 | 820 |
| Flathead sole | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4442 | 1 | 6400 | 470 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTT | 59.7006 | 147.6364 | 19-Jun-89 | 4420 | 1 | 22000 | 2200 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTT | 59.7006 | 147.6364 | 19-Jun-89 | 4417 | 1 | 25000 | 3100 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTT | 59.7006 | 147.6364 | 19-Jun-89 | 4423 | 1 | 11000 | 700 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTT | 59.7006 | 147.6364 | 19-Jun-89 | 4420 | 2 | 20000 | 2000 |
| Pacific cod | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4449 | 1 | 64000 | 18000 |
| Pacific cod | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4446 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4443 | 1 | -- |  |
| Walleye pollock | MONTT | 59.7006 | 147.6364 | 19-Jun-89 | 4431 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | MONTT | 59.7006 | 147.6364 | 19-Jun-89 | 4428 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | MONTT | 59.7006 | 147.6364 | 19-Jun-89 | 4425 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Sablefish | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4456 | 2 | 5100 | 200 |
| Sablefish | MONTT | 59.9283 | 147.4275 | 19-Jun-89 | 4456 | 1 | 5200 | 160 |
| Flathead sole | MONTU | 60.4511 | 147.4814 | 26-May-89 | 2951 | 1 | 22000 | 4100 |
| Flathead sole | MONTU | 60.4511 | 147.4814 | 26-May-89 | 2957 | 1 | 50000 | 14000 |
| Flathead sole | MONTU | 60.4511 | 147.4814 | 26-May-89 | 2954 | 1 | 38000 | 10000 |
| Flathead sole | MONTU | 60.4511 | 147.4814 | 26-May-89 | 2951 | 2 | 23000 | 3800 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4365 | 1 | 13000 | 1900 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4362 | 1 | 30000 | 4800 |
| Pacific halibut | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4359 | 1 | 11000 | 540 |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.6131 | 147.1686 | 07-Jun-89 | 4174 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.6131 | 147.1686 | 07-Jun-89 | 4171 | 1 | 22000 | 2000 |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.6156 | 147.1136 | 07-Jun-89 | 4168 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.6131 | 147.1686 | 07-Jun-89 | 4174 | 2 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4374 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4371 | 2 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4371 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4374 | 2 | -- | -- |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4368 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | MONTU | 60.4172 | 147.0892 | 17-Jun-89 | 4368 | 2 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4511 | 147.4814 | 26-May-89 | 2963 | 1 | 300000 | 120000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4511 | 147.4814 | 26-May-89 | 2960 | 1 | 97000 | 28000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4511 | 147.4814 | 26-May-89 | 2966 | 1 | 130000 | 38000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4317 | 147.0183 | 18-Jun-90 | 118942 | 1 | 110000 | 26000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4317 | 147.0183 | 18-Jun-90 | 119008 | 1 | 110000 | 18000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4317 | 147.0183 | 18-Jun-90 | 118946 | 1 | 91000 | 17000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4317 | 147.0183 | 18-Jun-90 | 118950 | 1 | 79000 | 16000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4317 | 147.0183 | 18-Jun-90 | 119004 | 1 | 73000 | 12000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119127 | 1 | 94000 | 18000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119131 | 1 | 70000 | 13000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119135 | 1 | 80000 | 15000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119147 | 1 | 71000 | 14000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119143 | 1 | 170000 | 30000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119123 | 1 | 87000 | 18000 |
| Walleye pollock | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 19-Jun-90 | 119139 | 1 | 330000 | 66000 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4292 | 147.0114 | 17-Jun-89 | 4356 | 1 | 3000 | 260 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4292 | 147.0114 | 17-Jun-89 | 4350 | 1 | 17000 | 680 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4292 | 147.0114 | 17-Jun-89 | 4353 | 1 | 12000 | 1100 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119028 | 1 | 19000 | 2700 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119025 | 1 | 14000 | 2600 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119105 | 2 | 54000 | 6100 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119108 | 1 | 26000 | 3200 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119111 | 1 | 52000 | 6400 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119031 | 1 | 23000 | 3200 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119034 | 1 | 13000 | 2000 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119117 | 1 | 36000 | 4400 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119120 | 1 | 40000 | 4800 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119105 | 1 | 56000 | 6200 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119046 | 1 | 69000 | 9100 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119037 | 1 | 17000 | 2600 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119040 | 1 | 28000 | 3200 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119043 | 1 | 30000 | 3700 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119114 | 1 | 47000 | 6000 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119049 | 1 | 55000 | 6700 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119102 | 1 | 43000 | 5100 |
| Sablefish | MONTU | 60.4383 | 147.0217 | 18-Jun-90 | 119111 | 2 | 55000 | 6400 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4134 | 1 | 70000 | 14000 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.7903 | 147.4211 | 05-Jun-89 | 4162 | 1 | 55000 | 7400 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.7903 | 147.4211 | 05-Jun-89 | 4165 | 1 | 27000 | 3000 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

| Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120016 | 1 | 45000 | 6200 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120010 | 1 | 56000 | 5700 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120013 | 1 | 74000 | 12000 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120028 | 1 | 47000 | 6200 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120025 | 1 | 47000 | 9500 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120022 | 1 | 59000 | 8400 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120007 | 1 | 34000 | 4500 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120004 | 1 | 46000 | 5900 |
| Pacific halibut | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120019 | 1 | 56000 | 6900 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119904 | 1 | 140000 | 25000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119833 | 1 | 95000 | 17000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119836 | 1 | 240000 | 38000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119845 | 1 | 170000 | 33000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119830 | 1 | 96000 | 18000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119907 | 1 | 73000 | 14000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119910 | 1 | 160000 | 32000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119827 | 1 | 290000 | 61000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119842 | 1 | 170000 | 28000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119919 | 1 | 37000 | 6700 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119848 | , | 140000 | 24000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119901 | 1 | 73000 | 13000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119916 | 1 | 440000 | 87000 |
| Pacific cod | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119839 | 1 | 420000 | 81000 |
| COD | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119913 | 1 | 54000 | 9000 |
| Walleye pollock | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4132 | 1 | - |  |
| Walleye pollock | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4131 | 1 | -- |  |
| Walleye pollock | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4133 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4130 | 2 | 23000 | 2100 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4129 | 1 | 47000 | 6600 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4128 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.7947 | 147.2539 | 02-Jun-89 | 4130 | , | 26000 | 2600 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119936 | 1 | 81000 | 8400 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119942 | 1 | 86000 | 9900 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119948 | 1 | 64000 | 7000 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119939 | 1 | 61000 | 7100 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119930 | 1 | 33000 | 4100 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 120001 | 1 | 48000 | 6600 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119933 | 1 | 66000 | 7200 |
| Sablefish | NAKEI | 60.6050 | 147.1350 | 22-Jun-90 | 119945 | 1 | 69000 | 9900 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110537 | 1 | 29000 | 7000 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110543 | 1 | 57000 | 13000 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \text { Collection } \\ \text { Site }^{a} \end{gathered}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110611 | 1 | 86000 | 22000 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110540 | 1 | 71000 | 15000 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110632 | 1 | 34000 | 6700 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110629 | 1 | 40000 | 11000 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110549 | 1 | 130000 | 27000 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110617 | 1 | 18000 | 4200 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110620 | 1 | 49000 | 9300 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110608 | 1 | 21000 | 4400 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110626 | 1 | 28000 | 8200 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110602 | 1 | 35000 | 9900 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110605 | 1 | 20000 | 4900 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110546 | 1 | 47000 | 9700 |
| Dover sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110623 | 1 | 24000 | 6100 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110638 | 1 | 17000 | 2700 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110644 | 1 | 29000 | 4400 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110641 | 1 | 12000 | 2000 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110712 | 1 | 15000 | 2700 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110727 | 1 | 31000 | 5200 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110706 | 1 | 22000 | 4100 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110635 | 1 | 19000 | 3000 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110649 | 1 | 18000 | 3600 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110715 | 1 | 17000 | 3000 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110718 | 1 | 21000 | 2500 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110709 | 1 | 18000 | 3100 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110724 | 1 | 24000 | 3200 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110703 | , | 30000 | 6100 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110647 | 1 | 26000 | 4800 |
| Flathead sole | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110721 | 1 | 22000 | 2600 |
| Pacific halibut | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110517 | 1 | 25000 | 3700 |
| Pacific halibut | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110514 | 1 | 20000 | 3300 |
| Pacific halibut | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110534 | 1 | 32000 | 4300 |
| Walleye pollock | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110523 | 1 | 37000 | 7300 |
| Walleye pollock | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110530 | 1 | 60000 | 13000 |
| Walleye pollock | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110526 | 1 | 51000 | 10000 |
| Walleye pollock | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110520 | 1 | 81000 | 16000 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110736 | 1 | 35000 | 5800 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110730 | 1 | 35000 | 5200 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.4689 | 146.6880 | 14-Jun-90 | 110508 | 1 | 16000 | 2100 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110742 | 1 | 39000 | 6600 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110739 | 1 | 48000 | 8100 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110745 | 1 | 37000 | 5200 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110733 | 1 | 40000 | 6400 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.4689 | 146.6880 | 14-Jun-90 | 110502 | 1 | 21000 | 2700 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.4689 | 146.6880 | 14-Jun-90 | 110505 | 1 | 15000 | 2200 |
| Sablefish | NHINC | 60.5583 | 146.5669 | 14-Jun-90 | 110742 | 2 | 39000 | 6500 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110927 | 1 | 52000 | 11000 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110933 | 1 | 36000 | 7100 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110847 | 1 | 26000 | 4600 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110903 | 2 | 32000 | 5200 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110930 | 1 | 40000 | 6400 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110921 | 1 | 22000 | 4400 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110918 | 1 | 30000 | 7900 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110939 | 1 | 140000 | 26000 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110906 | 1 | 36000 | 5300 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110909 | 1 | 21000 | 4000 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110924 | 1 | 28000 | 5300 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110915 | 1 | 26000 | 4800 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110903 | 1 | 33000 | 5300 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110850 | 1 | 100000 | 23000 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110936 | 1 | 48000 | 7000 |
| Dover sole | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 16-Jun-90 | 110912 | 1 | 21000 | 3800 |
| Walleye pollock | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110804 | 1 | 100000 | 16000 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110832 | 2 | 33000 | 3700 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110838 | 1 | 29000 | 4000 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110814 | 1 | 20000 | 2500 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110835 | 1 | 40000 | 4300 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110829 | 1 | 55000 | 7700 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110826 | 1 | 24000 | 3500 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110844 | 1 | 26000 | 3200 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110817 | 1 | 31000 | 4300 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110820 | 1 | 31000 | 4200 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110832 | 1 | 36000 | 3800 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110823 | 1 | 37000 | 4900 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110811 | 1 | 50000 | 7300 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110808 | 1 | 27000 | 3000 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110841 | 1 | 27000 | 3200 |
| Sablefish | NMONT | 60.2483 | 146.9033 | 15-Jun-90 | 110820 | 2 | 32000 | 4200 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120126 | 1 | 39000 | 6800 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120135 | 1 | 67000 | 13000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120117 | 1 | 62000 | 12000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120102 | 1 | 23000 | 4200 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120129 | 1 | 47000 | 10000 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120120 | 1 | 160000 | 35000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120105 | 1 | 78000 | 12000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120141 | 1 | 65000 | 15000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120123 | 1 | 32000 | 5000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120108 | 1 | 170000 | 32000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120049 | 1 | 73000 | 11000 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120114 | 1 | 63000 | 9500 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120138 | 1 | 51000 | 6500 |
| Dover sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120111 | 1 | 62000 | 10000 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139201 | 1 | 37000 | 4100 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139231 | 1 | 27000 | 3800 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139148 | 1 | 51000 | 6300 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139139 | 1 | 25000 | 3400 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139222 | 1 | 21000 | 2900 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139207 | 1 | 26000 | 3300 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139211 | 1 | 38000 | 5000 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139225 | 1 | 27000 | 3200 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139228 | 1 | 42000 | 5800 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139145 | 1 | 38000 | 5100 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139204 | 1 | 53000 | 5700 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139213 | 1 | 35000 | 4500 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139142 | 1 | 30000 | 3000 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139219 | 1 | 27000 | 3200 |
| Flathead sole | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139216 | 1 | 47000 | 6500 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120147 | 1 | 140000 | 24000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 120144 | 1 | 330000 | 71000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139130 | 1 | 150000 | 25000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139136 | 1 | 89000 | 17000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139112 | 1 | 160000 | 26000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139121 | 1 | 180000 | 34000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139106 | 1 | 170000 | 32000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139109 | 1 | 190000 | 32000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139124 | 1 | 96000 | 15000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139127 | 1 | 140000 | 25000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139118 | 1 | 34000 | 5800 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139103 | 1 | 130000 | 21000 |
| Pacific cod | ORCAB | 60.5919 | 146.4083 | 23-Jun-90 | 139115 | 1 | 180000 | 31000 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110422 | 1 | 49000 | 10000 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110416 | 1 | 14000 | 2500 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110431 | 1 | 460000 | 92000 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110410 | 1 | 9200 | 1700 |

Appendix 1.--continued.

| Species | Collection Site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | N Lat. |  | Date | ID |  | H |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110419 | 1 | 48000 | 7000 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110428 | 1 | 100000 | 21000 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110404 | 1 | 19000 | 2500 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110401 | 1 | 11000 | 1800 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110413 | 1 | 25000 | 3700 |
| Sablefish | PETCH | 60.3717 | 146.7958 | 14-Jun-90 | 110425 | 1 | 41000 | 5600 |
| Flathead sole | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4074 | 1 | 15000 | 2400 |
| Flathead sole | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4068 | 1 | 22000 | 2200 |
| Flathead sole | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4071 | 1 | 12000 | 880 |
| Pacific halibut | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4098 | 1 | 24000 | 2800 |
| Pacific halibut | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4100 | 1 | 23000 | 1800 |
| Pacific halibut | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4099 | 1 | 8000 | 1200 |
| Pacific halibut | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4098 | 2 | 23000 | 2700 |
| Pacific cod | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4095 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4092 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4101 | 1 | - | -- |
| Walleye pollock | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4097 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4096 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4077 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | PFIDA | 60.7881 | 146.6395 | 31-May-89 | 4077 | 2 | -- | - |
| Flathead sole | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4035 | 1 | 10000 | 1100 |
| Flathead sole | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4038 | 1 | 14000 | 1500 |
| Flathead sole | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4041 | 1 | 11000 | 950 |
| Pacific halibut | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4029 | 1 | 23000 | 2500 |
| Pacific halibut | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4027 | 1 | 16000 | 1500 |
| Pacific cod | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4043 | 1 | -- | - |
| Pacific cod | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4042 | 2 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4042 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Pacific cod | PGRAV | 60.6381 | 146.3767 | 29-May-89 | 4044 | 1 | 57000 | 7500 |
| Walleye pollock | PGRAV | 60.6286 | 146.5025 | 29-May-89 | 4011 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | PGRAV | 60.6286 | 146.5025 | 29-May-89 | 4008 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | PGRAV | 60.6281 | 146.5017 | 29-May-89 | 4003 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Flathead sole | PWELL | 60.7103 | 148.0606 | 04-Jun-89 | 4147 | 1 | 17000 | 2100 |
| Flathead sole | PWELL | 60.7103 | 148.0606 | 04-Jun-89 | 4148 | 1 | 23000 | 2600 |
| Flathead sole | PWELL | 60.7103 | 148.0606 | 04-Jun-89 | 4148 | 2 | 21000 | 2800 |
| Flathead sole | PWELL | 60.7103 | 148.0606 | 04-Jun-89 | 4149 | 1 | 19000 | 2300 |
| Pacific halibut | PWELL | 60.8308 | 148.1911 | 19-May-89 | 2803 | 1 | 4300 | 380 |
| Pacific halibut | PWELL | 60.8308 | 148.1911 | 19-May-89 | 2806 | 1 | 5100 | 560 |
| Pacific halibut | PWELL | 60.8308 | 148.1911 | 19-May-89 | 2800 | 1 | 4200 | 440 |
| Walleye pollock | PWELL | 60.7103 | 148.0606 | 04-Jun-89 | 4156 | 1 | -- | -- |
| Walleye pollock | PWELL | 60.7103 | 148.0606 | 04-Jun-89 | 4158 | 1 | -- | -- |

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | Collection <br> Species | Site | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NPH |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | PHN

Appendix 1.--continued.

|  | Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | Site $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | N Lat. | W Long. | Date | ID | Rep $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | NPH | PHN |
| Walleye pollock | ZAIKB | 60.3000 | 147.0667 | 17-Jun-90 | 111008 | 1 | 100000 | 17000 |
| Walleye pollock | ZAIKB | 60.3000 | 147.0667 | 17-Jun-90 | 111008 | 2 | 99000 | 17000 |
| Walleye pollock | ZAIKB | 60.3000 | 147.0667 | 17-Jun-90 | 111012 | 1 | 77000 | 13000 |
| Walleye pollock | ZAIKB | 60.3000 | 147.0667 | 17-Jun-90 | 111016 | 1 | 73000 | 13000 |
| Walleye pollock | ZAIKB | 60.3000 | 147.0667 | 17-Jun-90 | 111020 | 1 | 200000 | 33000 |
| Walleye pollock | ZAIKB | 60.3000 | 147.0667 | 17-Jun-90 | 111024 | 1 | 95000 | 16000 |
| Walleye pollock | ZAIKB | 60.3000 | 147.0667 | 17-Jun-90 | 111028 | 1 | 87000 | 15000 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Site (LOCATABV in Damage Assessment database): FPTAR = F. Pt. Arden; GREEI = Green Island; KNIGI = Knight Island; MONTI = Montague Is.; MONTL = Lower Montague; MONTT = Montague Trench; MONTU = Upper Montague; NAKEI = Naked Island; NHINC = North Hinchinbrook; NMONT = N Montague; ORCAB = Orca Bay; PETCH = Port Etches; PFIDA = Port Fidalgo; PGRAV = Port Gravina; PWELL = Port Wells; ZAIKB = Zaikof Bay.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Replicate analysis of same bile sample.

