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## Fish/Shellfish Study Number 6

Annual Report
Study History: Fish/Shellfish Study Number 6 was initiated as part of a detailed study plan in 1989. This study was fielded as part of the Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) program to provide a timely means by which to assess major impacts to the sport fisheries of Prince William Sound, Resurrection Bay, lower Kenai Peninsula, and Kodiak as a result of the oil spill from the Exxon Valdez.


#### Abstract

The sport fisheries of Prince William Sound (PWS), Resurrection Bay, lower Kenai Peninsula, and Kodiak were studied in 1989 as part of a plan to assess potential injury due to the Exxon Valdez oil spill. These potential impacts were measured by examination of the sport harvest for oil contamination and estimation of selected fishery parameters. In addition, this project provided the means by which information vital to other NRDA programs could be collected. No visibly discernable contamination was observed on any of the 12,597 salmon, halibut, and rockfish inspected at Valdez, Cordova, Kodiak, Seward, Whittier, and Homer. Demersal rockfish comprised up to $100 \%$ of the sport harvest of rockfish in the sampled ports. Mortality and subsequent hydrocarbon contamination of demersal rockfish were documented in Fish/Shellfish Study Number 17 (Injury to Rockfish in Prince William Sound). An unprecedented decline in sport fishing effort during 1989 was documented for Seward, a major marine sport fishing port and base of a large charter fleet. Sport fishing effort was significantly $(a=0.05)$ lower than any recorded level of fishing effort dating back to 1968. Also, seven of eight Anchorage air charter operators reported reduced charter flights to PWS during 1989 compared to 1988.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The sport fisheries of Prince William Sound (PWS), Resurrection Bay, lower Kenai Peninsula, and Kodiak were studied in 1989 as part of a plan to assess potential injury due to the Exxon Valdez oil spill. These potential impacts were measured by examination of the sport harvest for oil contamination and estimation of selected fishery parameters. In addition, this project provided the means by which information vital to other natural resource damage assessment (NRDA) projects could be collected.

No visibly discernable contamination was observed on any of the 12,597 salmon, halibut, and rockfish inspected at Valdez, Cordova, Kodiak, Seward, Whittier, and Homer.

Demersal rockfish comprised up to $100 \%$ of the sport harvest of rockfish in the sampled ports. Mortality and subsequent hydrocarbon contamination of demersal rockfish were documented in Fish/Shellfish Study No. 17 (Injury to Rockfish in Prince William Sound).

An unprecedented decline in sport fishing effort during 1989 was documented for Seward, a major marine sport fishing port and base of a large charter fleet. Sport fishing effort was significantly ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) lower than any recorded level of fishing effort dating back to 1968. Also, seven of eight Anchorage air charter operators reported reduced charter flights to PWS during 1989 compared to 1988.

## INTRODUCTION

This study was fielded as part of the Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) program to provide a timely means by which to assess major impacts to the sport fisheries of Prince William Sound (PWS), Resurrection Bay, lower Kenai Peninsula, and Kodiak as a result of the 24 March, 1989 oil spill from the T. V. Exxon Valdez. The recreational fishing industry is a vitally important component of the local economies of Valdez, Whittier, Seward, and Homer (Appendix A). Recreational fishing is also important to residents of and visitors to Cordova and Kodiak. Prior to the oil spill, sport fisheries in PWS, Homer, Seward, and Kodiak were expected to expand in both effort and harvest (Appendix Tables B1 through B19). A concurrent increase in the number of charter boats catering to sport fishermen was also anticipated. Decreases in fish abundance, major shifts in fish distribution, and loss of the pristine character of the area are means by which the oil spill could result in a substantial decrease in participation in the recreational fisheries. This could lead to a serious loss of revenue to the local communities.

The oil spill in Prince William Sound has impacted the groundfish stocks in PWS (Hepler et al. 1990), and also may have impacted stocks in the Homer, Seward, and Kodiak areas. Groundfish harvested by sport anglers include rockfish (Sebastes and Sebastolobus spp.), lingcod (Ophiodon elongatus), and Pacific halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis). Oil contamination of benthic environments could kill these fish or chronically taint them due to persistence of oil in their environment or their food web. The presence of any oiled fish may cause a drop in fishing effort due to perceptions of unpalatable fish or may cause a drop in harvest due to both lethal and sublethal effects of ingested oil on fish. Therefore, one goal of this project was to determine the species composition of the groundfish harvest in the marine sport fisheries of PWS, Homer, and

Kodiak; and the incidence of oil contamination in these harvests.
In an attempt to document injury to the recreational fisheries, anglers were surveyed at major access points to the PWS, Homer, Seward, and Kodiak areas, and at Eshamy Lagoon in western PWS. Anglers were asked where they fished and how many fish they caught. In some cases, direct comparisons to historic data can be made. However, in most cases these data are intended to supplement NRDA postal sampling; notably, sampling for harvest and effort (Mills 1988) and economics. Addresses were collected from interviewed anglers if they were willing to respond to a follow-up mail questionnaire. In addition, Dolly Varden char (Salvelinus malma) and cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarki) observed during the angler interviews were checked for tags (Fish/Shellfish Study Number 5). Anglers at Eyak River, near Cordova, and at Clear Creek and Alaganik River on the Copper River Delta were also interviewed to determine the tagged to untagged ratio of Dolly Varden char and cutthroat trout in the sport harvest.

## OBJECTIVES

This program was operational during the period from late June through September, 1989. Specific objectives of the investigations were:

1. to estimate recreational catch and harvest of salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.), rockfish, halibut, cutthroat trout, and Dolly Varden char. Specifically we attempted to:
a. estimate the species composition of the rockfish harvest in the PWS, Seward, Homer, and Kodiak marine sport fisheries. Objective criteria were such that the estimated proportional contribution was within $\pm 5 \%$ of the true proportion $95 \%$ of the time.
b. estimate catch and harvest per boat trip by species for anglers returning to major harbors in PWS, Homer, and Kodiak. Objective criteria were such that the estimated catch and harvest per angler day were within $\pm 10 \%$ of their true values $90 \%$ of the time.
c. estimate the number of fish caught and harvested, by species, by anglers at Eyak Lake and two streams on the Copper River Delta during the period 15 June through 1 October 1989 such that the estimated catch and harvest were within $\pm 10 \%$ of their true values $90 \%$ of the time.
d. estimate the number of fish caught and harvested, by species, by anglers fishing from boats or from shore in Eshamy Lagoon during the period 1 July through 4 September 1989 such that the estimated catch and harvest were within $\pm 7.5 \%$ of their true values $95 \%$ of the time.
2. to estimate fishing effort and identify the temporal and spatial distribution and location of origin of angling effort. Specifically we:
a. estimated fishing effort (in number of angler-hours) at Eyak Lake and two streams on
the Copper River Delta during the period 15 June through 1 October 1989 such that the estimated effort was within $\pm 10 \%$ of its true value $95 \%$ of the time.
b. estimated fishing effort (in number of angler-hours) in Eshamy Lagoon by anglers fishing from boats or from shore during the period 1 July through 4 September 1989 such that the estimated effort was within $\pm 7.5 \%$ of its true value $95 \%$ of the time.
3. to inspect enough groundfish and salmon such that there will be a $95 \%$ chance of finding at least one contaminated animal when at least one fish in $500(0.005)$ is tainted.
4. to identify potential alternative methods and strategies for restoration of lost use, populations, or habitat where injury is identified (to be accomplished upon completion of this project).

In addition to the objectives noted above, the following tasks were accomplished:

1. Collect names and addresses of all interviewed anglers who were willing to respond to a follow-up mail questionnaire.
2. Conduct a logbook survey of anglers who accessed PWS from the float-plane base in Anchorage.
3. Inspect harvests in PWS and Homer for Dolly Varden char and cutthroat trout tagged during population studies in PWS, Kodiak, and the Kenai Peninsula.
4. Collect age and length data from rockfish (by species), lingcod, and Pacific halibut harvested in the PWS, Homer and Kodiak marine sport fisheries.

## METHODS

Marine Catch Sampling
Catch and harvest were sampled at Valdez, Cordova, Whittier, Seward, Homer, and Kodiak. Groundfish (rockfish, lingcod, and Pacific halibut) and salmon were examined for oil contamination. All examined fish were noted as to their species and area of harvest, and the total number of fish inspected by day and species was recorded. The gills and body of the fish were inspected for obvious signs of oil. The gills were further inspected for odor and visual signs of crude oil. The stomachs of rockfish, lingcod, and Pacific halibut were also inspected for ingested oil (tarballs). Otoliths were taken from subsamples of Pacific halibut and rockfish, and finrays were taken from subsamples of lingcod; these fish were also measured (total length). Proportions of each age class were estimated using the procedures outlined by Cochran (1977). Mean length at age with the associated standard errors was estimated using standard statistical procedures (Sokal and Rohlf 1981). Dolly Varden char and cutthroat trout were inspected for a missing adipose fin or a Floy anchor tag. These fish were marked as part of damage assessment studies in PWS, Homer, and Kodiak (Fish/Shellfish Project Number 5). The numbers of Dolly Varden char and cutthroat trout observed each day at each survey site were recorded.

## Marine Angler Surveys

Catch and harvest per boat-trip, and selected characteristics of anglers participating in the marine boat sport fisheries of PWS, Homer, and Kodiak were estimated using stratified two-stage surveys. The fishing day was stratified by type of day (i.e., weekend/holiday versus weekdays) and by period (for example $0900-1600 \mathrm{hrs}$. for period A and $1601-2300 \mathrm{hrs}$ for period B). Days selected within any stratum represented the primary sampling units (of the two-stage design) and anglers interviewed represented the secondary sampling units. As opposed to the classic two-stage-sampling design, we-did not know a priori the size of our-secondary-sampling units-(i.e., the number of anglers available to sample on a selected day-primary unit). Additionally, we were not able to count all anglers returning on a selected sample day throughout the season. Accordingly, the variance estimation procedures did not involve the use of the within sample (between secondary unit-angler) variance component. However, because we interviewed the vast majority of all anglers in each selected sample, the finite population correction factor (fpc) associated with the secondary stage is close to zero. The resulting within sample variance made an essentially ignorable contribution to the overall variance estimate. This means our estimation procedure collapsed to a stratified random procedure, in which sample means (across all anglers interviewed within a sample) were used as the stratum observation. In Seward, an on-going creel survey was used to collect information for this project (see Carlon and Vincent-Lang 1989 for further details on the Seward marine survey). At each harbor, returning boat anglers that exited each of the fisheries were interviewed. A single angler from each boat was asked how many days the party fished, the number of fish harvested for each species, the number released for each species, and where they fished. Rockfish were not segregated by species except in Seward. Individual anglers were also given a questionnaire requesting their name and address for a follow-up survey.

During each of the marine angler surveys, weekends and three of the five weekdays were sampled each week. The weekdays not sampled were selected by randomly choosing one weekday and then randomly choosing the day before or after it also (in order to allow for two contiguous days-off for staff within each week). This procedure resulted in a constrained random sample of the weekday stratum. The sampling effort allocation proportions were weighted as they actually occurred during each of the marine surveys.

The beginning sampling dates for some of the marine surveys were adjusted due to initial difficulties in finding personnel to fill the survey positions, and sampling schedules and survey ending dates were adjusted if necessary as effort and catch patterns became evident (Appendix Tables C1 through C5).

Catch and harvest per boat-trip were estimated for each day using the methods outlined by Cochran (1977). The catch per unit effort (CPUE) and harvest per unit effort (HPUE) for each harbor were estimated by:

$$
\bar{y}_{h i}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h i}} y_{h i j}}{m_{h i}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{y}_{h i}=\text { means CPUE or HPUE for the } i \text { th sampling period in stratum } h, \\
& y_{h i j}=\quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { number of fish caught or harvested by the } j \text { th angler interviewed during the } \\
i \text { th sampling period in stratum } h, \text { and }
\end{array} \\
& m_{h i}=\text { number of anglers interviewed in the } i \text { th sampling period in stratum } h .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance was estimated by:

$$
V\left[\bar{y}_{h i}\right]=s_{h i}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h i}}\left(y_{h i j}-\bar{y}_{h i}\right)^{2}}{m_{h i}\left(m_{h i}-1\right)}
$$

The CPUE and HPUE for each stratum were estimated by:

$$
\bar{y}_{h}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} \bar{y}_{h i}}{n_{h}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{y}_{h}=\text { means CPUE or HPUE for stratum } h, \\
& y_{h i}=\text { CPUE or HPUE for angler } i, \text { and } \\
& n_{h}=\text { number of anglers interviewed in stratum } h .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance was estimated by:

$$
V\left[\bar{y}_{h}\right]=s_{h}^{2}=\left(1-\frac{n_{h}}{N_{h}}\right) \frac{s_{1_{h}}^{2}}{n_{h}}+\frac{n_{n}}{N_{h}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} \frac{s_{2 h i}^{2}}{n_{h}^{2} m_{h i}}
$$

where:

$$
s_{1 h}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}}\left(\bar{y}_{h i}-\bar{y}_{h}\right)^{2}}{n_{h}-1}=\text { sample variance of } y_{i} \text { in stratum } h
$$

Because there was no significant difference in the CPUE and HPUE between stratum the overall CPUE and HPUE were estimated by:

$$
\bar{Y}=\sum_{h=1}^{L} W_{h} \bar{y}_{h}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y} & =\text { overall mean CPUE or HPUE estimate, } \\
h & =\text { number of stratum, and } \\
W_{h} & =1 / h
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance was estimated by:

$$
\bar{V}[\bar{Y}]=\sum_{h=1}^{L} W_{h}^{2} V\left[\bar{y}_{h}\right]
$$

A description of the sampling dates and procedures specific to each of the marine surveys follows.

Valdez:
The survey of the marine sport fishery operating out of Valdez Harbor was conducted from 15 June through 17 September 1989. At Valdez, the fishing day was stratified into two 7.0 hour time periods defined as A ( $0900-1600 \mathrm{hrs}$ ) and B (1601-2300 hrs). Within each week, two-thirds of the B periods were randomly selected for sampling, without replacement. Days not selected using this process were allocated to the A period. Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods was based on the assumption that more anglers would return during the evening than during the mornings within a day and that only one period could be sampled per day due to budget and personnel limits. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table C1.

Whittier:

A survey of the marine sport boat fishery operating out of Whittier was conducted from 24 June
through 28 August 1989. At Whittier, the fishing day was stratified into four 3.0 hour time periods defined as A (1000-1300 hrs), B (1301-1600 hrs), C (1601-1900 hrs), and D (1901-2200 hrs ). On each day selected for sampling, one period was selected for conducting the survey given the constraint that $50 \%$ of the sampling effort was assigned to period $\mathrm{C}, 25 \%$ to period D , $15 \%$ to period B, and $10 \%$ to A in each strata (weekday or weekend/holiday). Periods were randomly selected for sampling, without replacement. Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods was based on anticipated angler return patterns and constrained by the fact that only one period could be sampled per day due to budget and personnel limits. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table C2.

Most interviews were obtained at the rail station as anglers departed Whittier via the train. Additional interviews were obtained as anglers departed their boats at the docks.

## Cordova:

A survey of the marine sport boat fishery operating out of the Cordova boat harbor was conducted from 24 June through 3 September 1989. The fishing day at Cordova was stratified into two 7.0 hour time periods defined as A (0800-1500) and B (1501-2200). Each 7 hour stratum was further subdivided into 3.5 hour sampling periods. On each day selected for sampling, anglers were interviewed for 7 hours, divided into two 3.5 hour segments. One quarter of the sampling effort was assigned to period A, and three quarters to period B. Periods were randomly selected for sampling without replacement. Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods was based on the assumption that more anglers would return during the afternoon and evening than in the morning. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table C3.

Homer:

A survey of the marine sport boat fishery operating out of the Homer boat harbor was conducted from 1 July through 17 September 1989. The fishing day for Homer was stratified into four periods: $\mathrm{A}(0630-1000), \mathrm{B}(1001-1330), \mathrm{C}(1331-1700)$, and $\mathrm{D}(1701-2200)$. Ten percent of the sampling effort was assigned to period $A, 25 \%$ to period $B, 40 \%$ to period $C$, and $25 \%$ to period D. Periods were randomly selected for sampling without replacement. During period C, interviews of returning charter boat anglers and returning private boats were conducted. During all other periods, it was attempted to interview an equal proportion of charter boat and private boat anglers. Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods was based on the assumption that most anglers would return during period C , with few returning in A and moderate numbers returning in B and D . Period D was discontinued beginning 1 September due to the reduced fall daylight hours. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table C4.

## Kodiak:

The survey of the marine sport boat fishery operating out of the Kodiak boat harbor was conducted from 8 July through 17 September 1989. The fishing day for Kodiak was stratified into four periods: A (1000-1400), B (1401-1700), C (1701-2000), and D (2001-2300). Twenty
percent of the sampling effort was assigned to period $A, 30 \%$ to period $B, 30 \%$ to period $C$, and $20 \%$ to period D . The allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods was based on the best guess of the percent of anglers returning during each period. Period A was discontinued beginning 1 August due to the low number of boats returning during the A period. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table B5.

## Creel Surveys

Effort, catch and harvest rates (in angler-hours), and total catch and total harvest for sport anglers participating in three sport fisheries near Cordova and the sport fishery at Eshamy Lagoon were estimated using stratified two-stage creel surveys. The three creel surveys near Cordova were a survey of two roadside sport fisheries of the Copper River Delta (previously referred to as the Delta fishery): (1) Clear Creek and (2) Alaganik River; and (3) a survey of the sport fishery near Eyak Lake.

Similar to the marine surveys, all weekend/holiday days and three of the five weekday days were sampled each week during each of the creel surveys. The weekdays not sampled were selected by randomly choosing one weekday and then randomly choosing the day before or after it also (in order to allow for two contiguous days-off for staff within each week). Accordingly, weekday samples were weighted as outlined above for the marine surveys. Similarly, the beginning sampling dates for the creel surveys were adjusted due to initial difficulties in finding personnel to fill the survey positions, and in-season sampling schedules and survey ending dates were adjusted as necessary as effort and catch patterns became evident (Appendix Tables C6 through C8).

Angler counts were used to estimate fishing effort in units of angler-hours, and angler interviews were used to estimate catch and harvest rates (number of fish per hour). Angler counts of all anglers actively fishing were conducted during a randomly selected 15 -minute interval during the daily sampling period. Counts were considered instantaneous and representative of the effort during that period (Neuhold and Lu 1957). For the purpose of these analyses, the number of anglers interviewed during a given period was substituted for the angler counts for that period when the angler count was zero.

The total number of angler-hours of fishing ( $\hat{E}_{h}$ ) for fishery stratum $h$ in the fishery was calculated in the following manner:

$$
\hat{E}_{h}=D_{h} H_{h} \bar{x}_{h}
$$

where:
$D_{h}=$ number of sampling periods in stratum $h$,
$H_{h}=$ length of a sampling period in hours in stratum $h$, and

$$
\bar{x}_{h}=\text { mean angler count for stratum } h .
$$

The variance was estimated by:

$$
v\left[\hat{E}_{h}\right]=\left(1-f_{n}\right)\left(D_{h} H_{h}\right)^{2} v\left[\bar{x}_{h}\right]
$$

During the remaining time in the period, interviews of individual anglers were conducted. Individual anglers were asked how long they fished, the number of fish by species they caught, the number of fish by species they kept, and whether the interview was a completed-trip interview or not. All fish in their possession were inspected for tags. All interviewed anglers were given a questionnaire requesting their name and address for a follow-up survey.

A Wilcoxson paired-sample test (Zar 1984) was used to determine if there was a difference in catch and harvest rates between complete and incomplete interviews.

The mean CPUE and mean HPUE were estimated using the formulas from Sukhatme et al. (1984):

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{h i j}^{\prime} & =\frac{x_{h i}}{\overline{\bar{x}}_{h}} e_{h i j} \\
\text { CPUE }_{h i j} & =\frac{c_{h i j}}{e_{h i j}^{\prime}} \\
\overline{C P U E}_{h} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{i=1}} \sum_{h i} C P U E_{h i j}}{d_{h} m_{h i}}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$d_{h}=$ number of days (sampling periods) in stratum $h$ that were sampled,
$x_{h i}=$ angler count for the $i$ th sampling period in stratum $h$,
$\bar{x}_{h}=$ mean angler count for stratum $h$,
$c_{h j}=$ number of fish caught or harvested by the $j$ th angler interviewed during the ith sampling period in stratum $h$,
$e_{h j}=$ fishing effort (in hours) expended by the $j$ th angler sampled during the $i$ th sampling period in stratum $h$, and
$m_{h i}=$ number of anglers interviewed during the $i$ th sampling period in stratum $h$.

The variance was estimated by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left[\overline{C P U E_{h}}\right]=\left(1-f_{n}\right) \frac{s_{1 h}^{2}}{d_{h}}+f_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}} \frac{s_{2 h i}^{2}}{d_{h}^{2} m_{h i}} \\
& s_{1 h}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}}\left(\overline{C P U E_{h i}}-\overline{C P U E_{h}}\right)^{2}}{d_{h}-1} \\
& s_{2 h i}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h i}}\left(\overline{C P U E}_{h i j}-\overline{C P U E}_{h i}\right)^{2}}{m_{h i}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

The final CPUE and HPUE were calculated by:

$$
\frac{\hat{C P U E}}{}=\sum_{h=1}^{L} W_{h} \overline{C P U E}_{h}
$$

The variance was estimated by:

$$
V\left[\frac{\wedge}{C P U E}\right]=\sum_{h=1}^{L} W_{h}^{2} V\left[\overline{C P U E_{h}}\right]
$$

The $W_{h}$ was calculated by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{A}_{h}=\frac{\hat{E}_{h}}{\sum_{i=1}^{d_{h} m_{h i}} e^{\prime}{ }_{h i j}} \\
& \hat{W}_{h}=\frac{\hat{A}_{h}}{\sum_{t=1}^{L} \hat{A}_{h}}
\end{align*}
$$

Because the total number of anglers fishing was unknown, the appropriate weight $W_{h}$ needed to be estimated. By estimating $W_{h}$, we are adding some unknown amount of bias into our estimates of mean CPUE for the season. From Sukhatme et al. (1984):

$$
\operatorname{Bias}(\overline{C P U E})=\sum_{h=1}^{L}\left(\hat{W}_{h}-W_{h}\right) \overline{C P U E}_{h}
$$

Total catch and harvest estimates were estimated by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{C}_{h}=\overline{C P U E}_{h}{ }^{*} \hat{E}_{h} \\
& \text { where } \overline{C P U E}_{h}{ }^{*} \text { is the jackknife mean of the } \overline{C P U E}_{h j} \text { (Efron 1982) }
\end{align*}
$$

The variance was estimated by:

$$
V\left[\hat{C}_{h}\right]=\hat{E}_{h}^{2} V\left[\overline{C P U E}_{h}\right]+\overline{C P U E}_{h}^{2} V\left[\hat{E}_{h}\right]-V\left[\overline{C P U E}_{h}\right] V\left[\hat{E}_{h}\right]
$$

A description of the sampling dates and procedures specific to each of the creel surveys follows.

## Clear Creek:

The creel survey of Clear Creek was conducted from 24 June through 17 September 1989. The fishing day was stratified into three unequal time periods defined as A ( $0600-0930 \mathrm{hrs}$ ), B (09311700 hrs ), and C (1701-2030 hrs) based on anticipated angler use patterns. Within each stratum, periods were randomly selected for sampling, without replacement given the constraint that only one B period or one each A and C period could be sampled in a day. For the weekday strata, allocation of sampling effort between periods was as follows: $40 \%$ each of the available samples for periods A and C and $20 \%$ for period B. For the weekend/holiday strata, allocation of sampling effort between periods was as follows: $35 \%$ each for periods $A$ and $C$ and $30 \%$ for period B.

Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods during the weekday strata was based on the assumption that more effort would occur during the mornings and evenings than during the midday period. Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods during the weekend/holiday strata was based on the assumption that effort occurred regardless of time of day. An additional constraint was that only period $B$ or periods $A$ and $C$ could be sampled each day due to budget and personnel limits. Beginning 1 August, the fishing day was stratified into two equal time periods defined as A (1000-1500 hrs) and B (1501-2000 hrs) due to logistical and personnel constraints. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table C6.

## Eyak and Alaganik Rivers:

The creel survey of the sport fisheries on Eyak and Alaganik Rivers was conducted from 24 June through 30 September 1989. The fishing day was stratified into three unequal time periods defined as A ( $0600-0930 \mathrm{hrs}$ ), B ( $0931-1700 \mathrm{hrs}$ ), and C (1701-2030 hrs ), based on anticipated
angler use patterns. Within each stratum, periods were randomly selected for sampling, without replacement, given the constraint that only one B period or one each A and C period could be sampled in a day. For the weekday strata, allocation of sampling effort between periods was as follows: $40 \%$ each for periods A and C and $20 \%$ for period B. For the weekend/holiday strata, allocation of sampling effort between periods was as follows: $35 \%$ each for periods A and C and $30 \%$ for period $B$.

Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods during the weekday strata was based on the assumption that more effort would occur during the mornings and evenings than during the midday period. Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods during the weekend/holiday strata was based on the assumption that effort would occur regardless of time of day. An additional constraint was that only period $B$ or periods $A$ and $C$ could be sampled each day due to budget and personnel limits. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table C7.

## Eshamy Lagoon:

A creel survey of the Eshamy sport fishery was conducted from 11 July through 4 September 1989. The fishing day was stratified into four 3.5 hour time periods defined as A (0800-1130 hrs), B (1131-1500 hrs), C (1501-1830 hrs), and D (1831-2200 hrs).

On each day selected for sampling, one period was selected for conducting the survey. Allocation of sampling effort between the survey periods was based on budget and personnel limits which allowed that only one period could be sampled per day. The resultant sampling schedule for the survey is presented in Appendix Table C8.

## Anchorage Float-plane Logbook Survey

A logbook survey of anglers who accessed PWS from the float plane base in Anchorage was conducted from 15 June to 15 September 1989 to collect data on selected demographic characteristics and general catch and effort parameters. Days fished, area fished, catch, and harvest was asked of anglers. All major air charter operators out of Anchorage were asked to keep a $\log$ for each charter they conducted to PWS. Anglers contacted in this survey were also asked for their name and address if they were willing to respond to a follow-up questionnaire.

## STUDY RESULTS AND STATUS OF INJURY ASSESSMENT

## Objective 1a: Species Composition of the Rockfish Harvest

Of the 12 species of rockfish reported caught during the 1989 marine surveys, black and yelloweye rockfish comprised $88.2 \%$ of the sampled rockfish harvest (Table 1). Demersal species comprised $31.4 \%$ of the 1,087 rockfish sampled in the sport harvest (Table 1). As reported by Fish/Shellfish Study Number 17 (Hepler et al. 1990), the sampling to date has shown that all of the dead rockfish recovered have been demersal species and a significant portion of the demersal species sampled tested positive for oil contamination.

Objective 1b: Marine Catch and Harvest Rates

## Valdez:

A total of 11,008 fish was caught by anglers interviewed during the survey of the Valdez marine sport fishery of which coho and pink salmon made up $74.8 \%$ of the total catch (Table 2). The 1989 boat angler harvest rates for both coho ( 3.0 fish per boat trip) and pink salmon ( 4.3 fish per boat trip) were higher than the estimated harvest rates for 1988 ( 1.5 coho salmon per boat trip and 2.3 pink salmon per boat trip) (Roth and Delaney 1989), however different methods of estimating these rates were used during each of the surveys. To make valid comparisons of these estimates, the 1988 data would need to be reanalyzed according to the estimating procedures used during 1989.

Halibut catch and harvest rates were lower during 1989 (Table 2) than those estimated during 1988 (Roth and Delaney 1989). Halibut catch and harvest rates during 1989 were estimated at 0.6 and 0.4 fish per boat trip, respectively while catch and harvest rates during 1988 were 1.7 and 1.1 halibut per boat trip, respectively. Similar to the estimates of coho and pink salmon harvest, the 1988 data needs to be reanalyzed to allow direct comparisons between seasons.

## Cordova:

Of the 250 fish counted during the interviews in the Cordova marine sport fishery during 1989, $59.2 \%$ ( 148 fish) were halibut or coho salmon (Table 3). Halibut and coho salmon catch rates were 1.5 and 0.4 fish per boat trip, respectively. No comparative marine survey data are available for this fishery. The low number of interviews (79) for the Cordova marine survey resulted in numerous strata having only a single interview so that no variance could be calculated. The small sample size also affected the CPUE and HPUE estimates such that the estimates may not reflect the actual catch and harvest rates in this fishery.

## Kodiak:

A total of 2,208 fish was counted during interviews of anglers fishing the area waters near Kodiak of which 844 (38.2\%) were halibut (Table 4). Halibut and coho salmon catch rates were 1.7 and 0.6 fish per boat trip, respectively. Mills (1985-1989) reported estimated average harvests of 9,012 halibut and 7,444 coho salmon annually for the period 1984 through 1988
(Appendix Tables B13 and B18). No comparative marine survey data are available for this fishery.

Whittier:
Salmon comprised $72.6 \%$ of the total fish counted during interviews conducted at Whittier during 1989 (Table 5). The highest estimated catch rates (3.7 fish per angler-hour) were for pink salmon. Anglers reported harvesting essentially all of the halibut; rockfish; and coho, sockeye, and king salmon they caught. Data on the Whittier sport fishery were also collected during 1986 (Delaney et al. 1987), however the rates reported were in boat-hours and are not directly comparable to the estimates for the 1989 survey in which rates were estimated in boat trips. Also, the 1989 survey was discontinued prior to the end of the coho salmon terminal fishery in Passage Canal. Reanalysis of the 1986 data over the same time period and in the same units of effort is necessary to allow direct comparison of the two season's data.

## Homer:

A total of 33,231 fish was counted during interviews conducted during the 1989 marine survey in Homer of which 14,226 fish ( $42.8 \%$ ) were harvested. Halibut comprised $85.9 \%$ of the total harvest with harvest rates averaging 8.3 halibut per boat trip (Table 6). Anglers harvested approximately $50 \%$ of the halibut they caught in Homer during 1989. Salmon made up only $2.5 \%$ of the total catch in Homer during the 1989 survey. No comparative marine survey data are available for this fishery.

Seward:
Seward is the only site surveyed during 1989 which is presently directly comparable to historic data. During 1989, angler effort decreased 25-49\% compared to the levels recorded during 1988 (Table 7) (Carlon and Vincent-Lang 1989). This is a significant ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) decrease compared to previous effort estimates for the Seward boat fishery. Only once in the past 22 years (1975) has the confidence interval around the effort estimate fallen within the intervals of the 1989 estimate (Table 8) (Carlon and Vincent-Lang 1989, Vincent-Lang et al. 1988, Vincent-Lang 1987). Similarly, estimates of total harvest were well below (38-46\% decrease) the 1988 levels in all fisheries except the coho salmon and lingcod boat fisheries. However, the estimated harvest of coho salmon in the 1989 boat fishery ( 14,861 fish) is only slightly higher than the three year average for the period 1986 through 1988 (13,585 fish) (Mills 1987-1989) (Appendix Table B13).

## Objective 1c: Creel Survey Catch and Harvest

The Wilcoxson test (Zar 1984) showed that estimated catch and harvest for complete versus incomplete angler interviews during the 1989 creel surveys were not significantly different (overall $\alpha=0.05$ ), so the data were pooled for each of the creel survey sites for the analyses. As 1989 was the first year that these Cordova area roadside fisheries were open to sport fishing for salmon, no comparative catch and harvest rate data are available.

## Alaganik River:

Anglers fishing Alaganik River during 1989 were targeting primarily on coho salmon. Catch and harvest rates were 1.1 and 0.7 coho salmon per angler-hour, respectively (Table 9). Anglers caught an estimated 2,556 coho salmon during the 1989 survey period of which they harvested (kept) 66\% (1,697 fish). Anglers harvested from 45 to $58 \%$ of the pink salmon, Dolly Varden, and cutthroat trout they caught while no sockeye salmon were reported released during the survey period. Anglers harvested $59 \%$ of the total reported sport catch at Alaganik River during 1989. Alaganik River supported the largest cutthroat trout fishery of the three Cordova area streams surveyed during 1989 accounting for $90 \%$ of the cutthroat trout catch (Table 9, 10 and 11).

## Clear Creek:

Sockeye salmon was the target species of most anglers fishing Clear Creek during the 1989 survey period. Anglers caught an estimated 2,211 sockeye salmon (CPUE $=1.3$ fish per anglerhour) and harvested 785 sockeye salmon (HPUE $=0.2$ fish per angler-hour) (Table 10). Of the remaining 1,429 fish caught by anglers fishing Clear Creek during 1989, coho salmon and Dolly Varden comprised $45 \%$ and $53 \%$, respectively. Anglers harvested approximately $37 \%$ of the total catch reported during the 1989 survey. Clear Creek supported the largest Dolly Varden char fishery of the three Cordova area streams surveyed during 1989 accounting for $60 \%$ of the Dolly Varden char catch (Table 9, 10, and 11).

## Eyak River:

Similar to Alaganik River, most anglers fishing Eyak River were targeting coho salmon. Catch and harvest rates for coho salmon were 0.3 coho per angler-hour, and the estimated total catch and harvest was 2,114 and 1,866 coho salmon, respectively (Table 11). Estimates of coho salmon harvest in Eyak River for the period from 1984 through 1988 have averaged 1,234 coho annually (Mills 1985-1989) (Appendix Table B3). Anglers harvested approximately 72\% of the total catch of all species in Eyak River during 1989.

Objective 1d: Eshamy Catch and Harvest
Catch and harvest rates for sockeye salmon during the 1989 sport fishery at Eshamy were 1.1 and 0.8 sockeye per angler-hour, respectively (Table 12). This is much higher than the catch and harvest rates recorded during 1988 (Roth and Delaney 1989). The higher rates during 1989 are possibly due to the high escapement into Eshamy because of the closure of the Eshamy district to
commercial fishing in 1989. Estimates of total sockeye salmon catch and harvest were also higher in 1989 compared to previous seasons. For the period from 1984 through 1987, the average estimated sockeye salmon harvest was 567 fish annually (Mills 1985-1988) (Appendix Table B4). During 1988, the estimated sockeye salmon harvest was 959 fish (Roth and Delaney 1989) while the estimated harvest was 1,170 sockeye during 1989 (Table 12).

Similar to 1988, anglers released most of the pink salmon they caught at Eshamy during 1989. Estimates of pink salmon catch and harvest rates and total catch and harvest were higher during 1989 than in 1988 (Table 12). Catch and harvest data were also collected on chum salmon at Eshamy during 1989 showing anglers released essentially all of the estimated 297 chum salmon they caught.

Objective 2a: Creel Survey Effort
The highest angler counts on Alaganik River were recorded during late August and early September during the peak of the coho salmon run (Appendix Table D1). At Clear Creek, most of the angling effort was recorded from mid-July through August during the peak of the sockeye salmon return (Appendix Table D2). Eyak River had the highest estimated effort (4,232 anglerhours) of the three Cordova area roadside sites surveyed during 1989 (Table 13). Most of the effort at this site was recorded during August during the peak of the coho salmon run (Appendix Table D3). After August, effort in Eyak River dropped off substantially due to heavy rains and high, turbid water conditions which reduced fishing success at this site. As these three roadside fisheries were first opened to sport fishing for salmon beginning in 1989, no comparative effort data are available.

## Objective 2b: Eshamy Effort

The angler effort estimated for Eshamy for the 1989 survey period was 1,504 angler-hours (variance $=10,650 ; 95 \%$ C.I. $=1,302-1,706$ angler-hours). This estimate is lower than the effort estimated for 1988 (2,572 angler-hours; variance $=205,209 ; 95 \%$ C.I. $=1,684-3,460$ angler-hours), however the methods of estimating these effort data were different between the two seasons. Direct comparisons of these data will require the reanalyzing of the 1988 data using the techniques outlined for 1989.

## Objective 3: Catch Inspection for Oil Contamination

A total of 12,597 fish comprised of salmon, halibut, rockfish, lingcod, and cod was examined for oil contamination during the marine surveys (Table 14). No visibly discernable contamination was observed on any of the samples inspected.

## Task 1: Follow-Up Questionnaire

A total of 608 names and addresses of persons willing to participate in a follow-up survey was collected during the marine angler surveys, the creel surveys, and the Anchorage float plane logbook survey. These data were forwarded to the economic study group.

## Task 2: Anchorage Log Book Survey

Information was obtained from eight Anchorage area charter aircraft operators concerning the charters they conducted to PWS during 1989. Response to this survey was low although limited information was obtained from all of the charter operators surveyed. Only one of the eight operators (12.5\%) reported 1989 charters to PWS at levels similar to those recorded during 1988. All other surveyed operators reported a decrease in the number of charters for fishing, hunting, or flightseeing trips to PWS during 1989 compared to previous seasons. One operator noted that a total of 36 foreign anglers who had chartered fishing trips to PWS cancelled their charters or changed their destinations to sites other than PWS because of the information they received about the oil spill.

The primary reasons given by the operators for reduced levels of charter flights to PWS during 1989 were: 1) bad weather during the peak of the sockeye and coho salmon fishing seasons, 2) air traffic patterns in PWS were too congested, and Coast Guard control of the airways in PWS made confirmation of flight clearance difficult, and 3) fishing was good at sites outside of PWS. Also, three of the operators noted that they were busy flying research or support crews and supplies or other contract work to PWS during 1989.

Task 3: Dolly Varden and Cutthroat Tag Monitoring
Two hundred eighteen Dolly Varden char and 117 cutthroat trout were counted during the 1989 angler interviews (Table 15). Anglers kept $53.7 \%$ of the char and $70.1 \%$ of the trout they caught. No tags or adipose fin clips were observed on any of the harvested char or trout inspected.

## Task 4: Rockfish Age and Length Data

Age and length data collected at the marine survey sites for black rockfish, halibut, and lingcod are presented in Appendix Tables E1 through E11. 1987 and 1988 lingcod age and length data collected in Seward are also presented for any future comparisons of mean length at age and age composition. Age and length data for the remaining rockfish species will be presented after ages are determined.

## DISCUSSION

The goal of this study was to provide a timely means by which to assess major impacts to the sport fisheries of Prince William Sound, Resurrection Bay, lower Kenai Peninsula, and Kodiak as a result of the oil spill from the Exxon Valdez. Much of these data were not intended to stand on their own, but rather to supplement the annual statewide harvest survey, the results of other impact studies, and the results of the economic survey. Along with information on the 1989 sport fisheries at the selected sites, this program provided on-site inspection of the sport harvest of salmon and groundfish for visible signs of oil contamination.

A significant reduction in sport fishing effort was observed for the Seward sport fishery during 1989 compared to previous years. Effort in the boat fishery was less than any recorded level of
effort dating back to 1968. Seward is the only marine port where direct comparisons to historic data can presently be done and would be an important site to assess the duration of this decline and its resulting economic impact. Comparisons to historic data are possible at Eshamy, Whittier, and Valdez, but the historic data collected at these sites will have to be reanalyzed using the estimation techniques outlined for the 1989 data analysis. Most Anchorage air charter operators surveyed reported a decreased number of charter flights to PWS compared to previous seasons.

None of the 12,597 fish examined for oil contamination during the marine surveys showed visibly discernable contamination. However, Fish/Shellfish Study No. 17 documented mortality and subsequent hydrocarbon contamination of demersal rockfish. Species composition of the rockfish sport harvest showed that $31 \%$ of the harvest was comprised of demersal rockfish species. The duration of this contamination and the potential human health concerns should continue to be investigated.

Surveys of the marine sport fisheries in Valdez, Kodiak, Homer, Whittier, and Seward should continue during 1990 to maintain the sampling of marine species harvested in the respective fisheries. In addition, effort data for the Seward boat fisheries is needed to evaluate the magnitude of the impact to the sport fishery at this site. Continued histopathological sampling of rockfish is necessary to determine the extent of contamination. Also, surveys should continue to monitor the marine sport fisheries to compare the percent composition of demersal rockfish in the total rockfish harvest.
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Table 1. Percent species composition of the rockfish sport harvest by site for the marine surveys, 1989.

| Survey Site | Cordova | Valdez | Whittier | Homer | Kodiak | Seward | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Sample Size) | $(8)$ | $(146)$ | $(5)$ | $(48)$ | $(42)$ | $(838)$ | $(1,087)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Copper | 62.5 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.7 |
| Dusky | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $28.6^{1}$ | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Quillback | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| Black | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.5 | 71.4 | 73.2 | 62.7 |
| China | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Yelloweye | 0.0 | 56.2 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 25.5 |
| Pacific Ocean Perch | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Silvergray | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Vermilion | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Tiger | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Bocaccio | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 73.5 |
| Pelagic | 100.0 | 30.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 68.6 |  |
| Demersal | 0.0 | 69.9 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 31.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 2. Estimated catch and harvest per boat-trip by species from 830 interviews in the Valdez marine sport fishery, 15 June through 17 September 1989.

|  | Catch and Harvest From Interviewed Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Catch | Harvest | CPUE | Variance | HPUE | Variance |
| Halibut | 637 | 459 | 0.56 | 0.00753 | 0.44 | 0.00464 |
| Coho Salmon | 5,150 | 4,681 | 3.21 | 0.26398 | 3.00 | 0.21873 |
| Pink Salmon | 3,085 | 2,237 | 7.68 | 3.37880 | 4.29 | 0.42404 |
| King Salmon | 46 | 37 | 0.04 | 0.00010 | 0.03 | 0.00010 |
| Sockeye Salmon | 94 | 94 | 0.14 | 0.00590 | 0.14 | 0.00590 |
| Chum Salmon | 332 | 199 | 1.29 | 0.39613 | 0.32 | 0.00293 |
| Rockfish | 313 | 244 | 0.58 | 0.03391 | 0.36 | 0.00663 |
| Cod/Pollock | 1,066 | 282 | 0.92 | 0.03300 | 0.33 | 0.01357 |
| Lingcod | 15 | 7 | 0.01 | 0.00003 | 0.01 | 0.00002 |
| Flounder | 55 | 39 | 0.06 | 0.00022 | 0.04 | 0.00012 |
| Sculpin | 180 | 1 | 0.20 | 0.00414 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| Skate | 6 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00000 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| Dolly Varden Char | 27 | 23 | 0.04 | 0.00017 | 0.03 | 0.00011 |
| Octopus | 2 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00000 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| ALL SPECIES COMBINED | 11,008 | 8,305 | 14.72 | 1.92050 | 8.99 | 0.21166 |

Table 3. Estimated catch and harvest per boat-trip by species from 79 interviews in the Cordova marine sport fishery, 24 June through 3 September 1989.

|  | Catch and Harvest <br> From Interviewed Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Catch | Harvest | CPUE | Variance | HPUE | Variance |
| Halibut | 107 | 73 | 1.46 | 0.00901 | 1.00 | 0.00576 |
| Coho Salmon | 41 | 41 | 0.40 | 0.01763 | 0.40 | 0.01763 |
| Pink Salmon | 14 | 10 | 0.10 | 0.00794 | 0.05 | 0.00201 |
| Rockfish | 18 | 12 | 0.57 | 0.00044 | 0.29 | 0.00032 |
| Cod/Pollock | 50 | 7 | 0.40 | 0.00215 | 0.09 | 0.00051 |
| Lingcod | 2 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.00002 | 0.00 | 0.00001 |
| Flounder | 13 | 0 | 0.80 | --- | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| Sculpin | 5 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.00016 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| ALL SPECIES COMBINED | 250 | 144 | 3.75 | 0.03977 | 1.84 | 0.02368 |

Table 4. Estimated catch and harvest per boat-trip by species form 366 interviews in the Kodiak marine sport fishery, 8 July through 17 September 1989.

|  | Catch and Harvest From Interviewed Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Catch | Harvest | CPUE | Variance | HPUE | Variance |
| Halibut | 844 | 515 | 1.67 | 0.06324 | 1.10 | 0.00903 |
| Coho Salmon | 165 | 157 | 0.60 | 0.02017 | 0.55 | 0.00957 |
| Pink Salmon | 103 | 52 | 0.55 | 0.00030 | 0.04 | 0.00027 |
| King Salmon | 1 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00000 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| Sockeye Salmon | 18 | 18 | 0.20 | 0.00007 | 0.20 | 0.00007 |
| Rockfish | 333 | 163 | 0.25 | 0.00311 | 0.09 | 0.00056 |
| Cod/Pollock | 40 | 12 | 0.16 | 0.00505 | 0.04 | 0.00072 |
| Lingcod | 40 | 10 | 0.01 | 0.00002 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| Flounder | 72 | 31 | 0.14 | 0.00130 | 0.06 | 0.00021 |
| Sculpin | 523 | 2 | 1.18 | 0.02191 | 0.01 | 0.00006 |
| Skate | 13 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.00011 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.00006 | 0.01 | 0.00006 |
| Cutthroat Trout ${ }^{1}$ | 27 | 27 | 0.04 | 0.00070 | 0.04 | 0.00070 |
| Dolly Varden Char | 28 | 16 | 0.19 | 0.00012 | 0.02 | 0.00012 |
| ALL SPECIES COMBINED | 2,208 | 1,005 | 5.00 | 0.12114 | 2.15 | 0.02705 |

[^1]Table 5. Estimated catch and harvest per boat-trip by species from 244 interviews in the Whittier marine sport fishery, 1 July through 28 August 1989.

|  | Catch and Harvest <br> From Interviewed Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species | Catch | Harvest | CPUE | Variance | HPUE | Variance |  |
| Halibut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coho Salmon | 146 | 145 | 0.48 | 0.02307 | 0.47 | 0.02307 |  |
| Pink Salmon | 220 | 219 | 1.31 | 0.05332 | 1.30 | 0.05335 |  |
| King Salmon | 821 | 576 | 3.66 | 0.34550 | 2.64 | 0.06500 |  |
| Sockeye Salmon | 6 | 6 | 0.06 | 0.00254 | 0.06 | 0.00254 |  |
| Chum Salmon | 263 | 263 | 0.83 | 0.08138 | 0.83 | 0.08138 |  |
| Rockfish | 45 | 20 | 0.24 | 0.00418 | 0.11 | 0.00246 |  |
| Cod/Pollock | 76 | 76 | 0.14 | 0.00158 | 0.14 | 0.00158 |  |
| Flounder | 179 | 17 | 0.52 | 0.01371 | 0.02 | 0.00011 |  |
| Sculpin | 29 | 25 | 0.04 | 0.00047 | 0.03 | 0.00047 |  |
| Dolly Varden Char | 43 | 5 | 0.09 | 0.00071 | 0.00 | 0.00002 |  |
|  | 38 | 22 | 0.15 | 0.00958 | 0.15 | 0.00955 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Estimated catch and harvest per boat-trip by species from 1,313 interviews in the Homer marine sport fishery, 2 July through 17 September 1989.

Catch and Harvest
From Interviewed Anglers

| Species | Catch | Harvest | CPUE | Variance | HPUE | Variance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Halibut |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coho Salmon | 24,844 | 12,222 | 16.09 | 0.26187 | 8.26 | 0.09075 |
| Pink Salmon | 132 | 125 | 0.14 | 0.00178 | 0.13 | 0.00139 |
| King Salmon | 262 | 172 | 0.11 | 0.00089 | 0.06 | 0.00044 |
| Sockeye Salmon | 9 | 9 | 0.00 | 0.00000 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| Rockfish | 429 | 377 | 0.73 | 0.02785 | 0.64 | 0.02335 |
| Cod/Pollock | 297 | 143 | 0.15 | 0.00148 | 0.08 | 0.00030 |
| Lingcod | 3,750 | 925 | 2.47 | 0.03902 | 0.50 | 0.00724 |
| Flounder | 59 | 38 | 0.06 | 0.00158 | 0.05 | 0.00126 |
| Sculpin | 344 | 63 | 0.19 | 0.00077 | 0.04 | 0.00007 |
| Skate | 2,962 | 137 | 1.74 | 0.03555 | 0.05 | 0.00012 |
| Dolly Varden Char | 127 | 5 | 0.08 | 0.00017 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
| Octopus | 4 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00000 | 0.00 | 0.00000 |
|  | 12 | 8 | 0.01 | 0.00003 | 0.01 | 0.00001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Estimates of effort and harvest by fishery for the Seward marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Fishery | Effort $^{1}$ | \% Change <br> from 1988 | Harvest | \% Change <br> from 1988 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chinook Salmon Beach Fishery | 6,963 | $-36 \%$ | 826 | $-38 \%$ |
| Coho Salmon Beach Fishery | 8,614 | $-49 \%$ | 2,555 | $-46 \%$ |
| Coho Salmon Boat Fishery | 5,022 | $-25 \%$ | 14,861 | $+51 \%$ |
| Halibut Boat Fishery $^{2}$ | 5,022 | $-25 \%$ | 2,117 | $-45 \%$ |
| Lingcod Boat Fishery $^{2}$ | 5,022 | $-25 \%$ | 3,546 | $+21 \%$ |
| Rockfish Boat Fishery $^{2}$ | 5,022 | $-25 \%$ | 10,072 | N/A ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 8. Sport effort statistics for the Seward marine sport boat fisheries, 1968-1989

| Year | Boat Trips | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 8,518 | 89.3 | 8,343 | - 8,693 |
| 1969 | 7,717 | 160.6 | 7,402 | - 8,032 |
| 1970 | 8,921 | 133.9 | 8,659 | - 9,183 |
| 1971 | 8,041 | 110.8 | 7,824 | - 8,258 |
| 1972 | 9,297 | 183.1 | 8,938 | - 9,656 |
| 1973 | 7,730 | 117.6 | 7,500 | - 7,960 |
| 1974 | 7,520 | 141.3 | 7,243 | - 7,797 |
| 1975 | 5,351 | 108.1 | 5,139 | - 5,563 |
| 1976 | 5,953 | 87.7 | 5,781 | - 6,125 |
| 1977 | 7,113 | 131.6 | 6,855 | - 7,371 |
| 1978 | 6,280 | 124.0 | 6,037 | - 6,523 |
| 1979 | 7,163 | 151.0 | 6,867 | - 7,459 |
| 1980 | 7,657 | 191.4 | 7,282 | - 8,032 |
| 1981 | 6,682 | 134.4 | 6,419 | - 6,945 |
| 1982 | 7,948 | 164.5 | 7,626 | - 8,270 |
| 1983 | 8,479 | 139.9 | 8,205 | - 8,753 |
| 1984 | 6,996 | 128.7 | 6,744 | - 7,248 |
| 1985 | 6,848 | 209.6 | 6,437 | - 7,259 |
| 1986 | 6,319 | 274.7 | 5,781 | - 6,857 |
| 1987 | 7,661 | 352.4 | 6,970 | - 8,352 |
| 1988 | 6,654 | 227.5 | 6,208 | - 7,100 |
| 1989 | 5,022 | 123.0 | 4,781 | - 5,263 |

Table 9. Estimates of sport catch and harvest rates (fish per angler-hour), total catch (fish kept plus fish released), and total harvest (fish kept only) of sockeye, coho, and pink salmon, Dolly Varden, and cutthroat trout in Alaganik River, 24 June through 30 September 1989.

| Catch |  |  |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. |
| Sockeye Salmon | 0.01 | 0.0001 | (0.01) - 0.03 | 30 | 418 | (10) - 70 | 0.01 | 0.0001 | (0.01) - 0.03 | 30 | 418 | (10) - 70 |
| Coho Salmon | 1.13 | 0.0251 | 0.82-1.44 | 2,556 | 109,647 | 1,907-3,205 | 0.66 | 0.0141 | 0.43-0.89 | 1,697 | 64,435 | 1,199-2,195 |
| Pink Salmon | 0.04 | 0.0011 | (0.02) - 0.10 | 72 | 3,910 | (51) - 195 | 0.02 | 0.0004 | (0.02) - 0.06 | 36 | 1,308 | (35) - 107 |
| Dolly Varden | 0.07 | 0.0005 | 0.02-0.12 | 177 | 3,004 | 70-284 | 0.03 | 0.0002 | 0.00-0.06 | 79 | 1,742 | (3) - 161 |
| Cutthroat Trout | 0.18 | 0.0018 | 0.10-0.26 | 466 | 11,447 | 256-676 | 0.10 | 0.0013 | 0.03-0.17 | 271 | 8,839 | 87-455 |

Table 10. Estimates of sport catch and harvest rates (fish per angler-hour), total catch (fish kept plus fish released), and total harvest (fish kept only) of sockeye and coho salmon, Dolly Varden, and cutthroat trout in Clear Creek, 24 June through 17 September 1989.

| Catch |  |  |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. |
| Sockeye Salmon | 1.28 | 0.1060 | 0.64-1.92 | 2,211 | 1,386,439 | (97) - 4,519 | 0.20 | 0.0183 | (0.07) - 0.47 | 785 | 265,239 | (224) - 1,794 |
| Coho Salmon | 0.23 | 0.0143 | 0.00-0.46 | 645 | 101,013 | 22-1,268 | 0.08 | 0.0009 | 0.02-0.14 | 255 | 8,372 | 76-434 |
| Dolly Varden | 0.23 | 0.0036 | 0.11-0.35 | 759 | 105,746 | 122-1,396 | 0.16 | 0.0017 | 0.08-0.24 | 397 | 68,590 | (116) - 1,272 |
| Cuthroat Trout | 0.03 | 0.0009 | (0.03) - 0.09 | 25 | 654 | (25) - 75 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.00-0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0-0 |

Table 11. Estimates of sport catch and harvest rates (fish per angler-hour), total catch (fish kept plus fish released), and total harvest (fish kept only) of sockeye, coho, and pink salmon, Dolly Varden, and cutthroat trout in Eyak River, 24 June through 30 September 1989.

| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I . | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. |
| Sockeye Salmon | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.00-0.00 | 5 | 25 | (5) - 15 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.00-0.00 | 5 | 25 | (5) - 15 |
| Coho Salmon | 0.34 | 0.0048 | 0.20-0.48 | 2,114 | 216,270 | 1,203-3,025 | 0.29 | 0.0040 | 0.17-0.42 | 1,866 | 179,404 | 1,036-2,696 |
| Pink Salmon | 0.02 | 0.0000 | 0.01-0.03 | 105 | 401 | 66-144 | 0.01 | 0.0001 | 0.01-0.01 | 66 | 29 | 56-76 |
| Dolly Varden | 0.05 | 0.0003 | 0.02-0.08 | 324 | 16,329 | 74-574 | 0.01 | 0.0000 | 0.00-0.02 | 60 | 770 | 6-114 |
| Cuthroat Trout | 0.01 | 0.000 | 0.00-0.02 | 28 | 175 | 2-54 | 0.01 | 0.0000 | 0.00-0.02 | 12 | 61 | (3)-27 |

Table 12. Estimates of sport catch and harvest rates (fish per angler-hour), total catch (fish kept plus fish released), and total harvest (fish kept only) of sockeye, pink, and chum salmon at Eshamy, 11 July through 4 September 1989.

| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Rate | Variance | 95\% C.I. | Total | Variance | 95\% C.I. |
| Sockeye Salmon | 1.08 | 0.0335 | 0.72-1.43 | 1,624 | 93,216 | 1,026-2,222 | 0.79 | 0.0050 | 0.65-0.93 | 1,170 | 22,899 | 873-1,467 |
| Pink Salmon | 0.88 | 0.0186 | 0.61-1.15 | 924 | 43,999 | 503-1,325 | 0.38 | 0.0009 | 0.33-0.44 | 194 | 1,650 | 114-274 |
| Chum Salmon | 0.19 | 0.0007 | 0.14-0.24 | 297 | 2,330 | 202-392 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.00-0.00 | 2 | 2 | (1) - 5 |

Table 13. Estimates of sport effort (angler-hours) for the Cordova area creel surveys, 1989.

| Survey Site | Effort | Variance | $95 \%$ C.I. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaganik River | 1,995 | 31,524 | $1,647-2,343$ |
| Clear Creek | 2,328 | 95,725 | $1,722-2,934$ |
| Eyak River | 4,232 | 154,499 | $3,462-5,002$ |

Table 14. Number of fish examined for oil contamination by survey area, 1989.

| Location | Halibut | Salmon | Rockfish | Lingcod | Cod |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Homer | 1,020 | 64 | 53 | 30 | 81 |
| Kodiak | 210 | 336 | 45 | 4 | 0 |
| Cordova | 105 | 59 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| Whittier | 0 | 52 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Valdez | 418 | 7,935 | 831 | 446 | 0 |
| Seward | 350 | 12 | 1,160 | 481 | 395 |
| Total - All Sites | 2,103 | 8,458 |  | 0 | 0 |

Table 15. Reported catch and harvest by survey site of Dolly Varden and cutthroat trout, 1989.

|  | Dolly Varden |  | Cutthroat Trout |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Catch | Harvest | Catch | Harvest |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaganik River | 30 | 22 | 79 | 48 |
| Clear Creek | 51 | 19 | 1 | 0 |
| Eyak River | 40 | 13 | 10 | 7 |
| Homer | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Kodiak | 28 | 16 | $27^{1}$ | $27^{1}$ |
| Cordova | 27 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Whittier | 38 | 22 | 117 | 82 |
| Total - All Sites | 218 | 117 | 0 |  |
| Misidentification Cutthroat trout do not occur in Kodiak marine waters. |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{T}$ Misidentification. Cutthroat trout do not occur in Kodiak marine waters.

## APPENDICES

# Appendix A. Description of the Major Fisheries 

This section describes the major sport fisheries in the Prince William Sound (PWS), Cook Inlet, and Kodiak areas.

During 1988, PWS supported approximately 85,000 angler-days of recreational fishing which was approximately $65 \%$ above the 1977 to 1984 average of 45,600 angler-days (Appendix Table B1) (Mills 1989, 1979-1985). The majority of this effort was concentrated in and adjacent to the communities of Valdez, Whittier, and Cordova, but an increasing number of recreational fishermen have been traveling to the remote waters of PWS (Appendix Figure F1).

Anglers access the sport fishing waters of PWS by road, boat, float equipped aircraft, and train. A substantial number of the anglers also use the service of charter boat operators or air taxi operators. Species sought by recreational fishermen include all five species of Pacific salmon Oncorhynchus spp., Pacific halibut Hippoglossus stenolepis, rockfish Sebastes and Sebastolobus spp., lingcod Ophiodon elongatus, Dolly Varden char Salvelinus malma, and cutthroat trout Oncorhynchus clarki. Wild and hatchery produced salmon contribute to the harvest in all major fisheries.

A description of the major fisheries of PWS and concerns regarding these fisheries follows.

## Valdez

Valdez, located on the north shore of Port Valdez (Appendix Figure F1), has the largest sport fishery in PWS and the largest sport fishery for pink salmon O. gorbuscha in Alaska.

Valdez Arm had an average of 17,111 angler-days of sport fishing effort annually during the years 1977 through 1984 (Appendix Table B1) (Mills 1979-1985). This effort increased to an average of 40,005 angler-days for 1985 through 1987, and to 51,096 angler-days during 1988 (Mills 1986-1989). Sport fishing effort during 1989 is expected to be similar to the 1988 level. The increase in angler effort during 1987 and 1988 can be attributed to successes in pink salmon production by the Valdez Fisheries Development Association's (VFDA) Solomon Gulch Hatchery, a private non-profit facility (Roth and Delaney 1989). Pink salmon harvest in Valdez Arm has increased from an average of 10,176 fish during 1977 through 1984 to 25,482 fish during 1985 through 1988 (Appendix Table B5). The sport fishery for pink salmon in Valdez Arm is the largest for that species in the state.

Valdez Arm also has the largest and most consistent sport fishery for coho salmon $O$. kisutch in PWS. An average 5,600 coho salmon were harvested annually during the years 1977 through 1987 (Mills 1984-1988). The sport harvest of 10,241 coho salmon during 1988 was the highest on record (Mills 1989). The Valdez Arm coho salmon fishery is supported by both natural and VFDA hatchery-produced coho salmon. Valdez Arm also has the largest fishery for Pacific halibut in PWS. The estimated sport harvest of Pacific halibut in Valdez Arm has increased from 339 in 1978 to 4,595 in 1988 (Mills 1980, 1989). The 1988 harvest of Pacific halibut in Valdez Arm accounted for 47 percent of the total PWS halibut harvest for that year (Mills 1987).


Appendix Figure F1. Map of Prince William Sound.

## Whittier

The community of Whittier provides access to Passage Canal and the waters of western PWS (Figure 1). Whittier is accessed primarily by railroad from Portage or by float plane from Anchorage. In recent years, it has become the home port for many privately owned pleasure boats and a growing charter fleet. Sport fishing effort in the Whittier/Passage Canal area has increased over 100\% since 1984 (Mills 1985, 1989).

Western PWS produces numerous strong runs of pink salmon and chum salmon O. keta. No native chinook salmon are present, coho salmon are scarce, and sockeye salmon $O$. nerka are only present in select lake systems. In an effort to increase sport fishing opportunities in western PWS, coho salmon smolt have been stocked in Passage Canal annually since 1978, and chinook salmon smolt stocking began in 1981. The stocking programs have provided good angling opportunity in the Whittier Terminal area of Passage Canal. The coho salmon stocking program was expanded to include Culross Lake in 1983 and Surprise Cove Lakes in 1985. In 1986, juvenile chinook salmon were stocked into two Granite Bay lakes (Esther Island).

## Cordova

The community of Cordova provides access to the waters of eastern PWS (Figure 1). Cordova is primarily a commercial fishing community. Recreational fishing in the Cordova area is primarily conducted along the road system in the areas near Clear Creek, downstream of Eyak Lake, and from the beach near Flemming Spit. Beginning in 1989, freshwater drainages crossed by the Copper River highway were opened to sport fishing for salmon. Fishing effort in the Cordova area is directed toward sockeye salmon, pink salmon, cutthroat trout, Dolly Varden char, Pacific halibut, and rockfish. Additionally, boats and float equipped aircraft travel from Cordova to various locations in eastern PWS in pursuit of recreational fishing activities.

Harvest and effort data for these fisheries are limited to information gained from the statewide mail survey. These data indicate that both sport effort and harvest are increasing annually (Mills 1979-1989).

## Eshamy

The Eshamy system supports one of the most important sockeye salmon stocks of western PWS. The lagoon and lake areas of the Eshamy system (Figure 2) are one of the few locations where sport fishermen can harvest sockeye salmon in western PWS. Sport fishermen access the area either by boat out of Whittier or by float plane from Anchorage or the Kenai Peninsula. Oil from the Exxon Valdez has spread into the Eshamy area.

Appendix Figure F2. Map of Eshamy Lagoon.

## Seward

The recreational fishery in Resurrection Bay (Figure 3) is one of the largest marine sport fisheries in Alaska (Mills 1988). Most of the effort in this fishery is by private boat anglers, however there is also a large charter boat fleet. While most of the sport fishing effort is directed toward coho salmon, boat anglers also target Pacific halibut, rockfish, lingcod, and chinook salmon, and pink salmon. Shore anglers fish for coho salmon, chinook salmon, pink salmon, and a few Dolly Varden char. The coho salmon and chinook salmon populations are supplemented by an extensive stocking program.

Weather and water currents saved Resurrection Bay itself from heavy oil contamination, however isolated patches of oil washed ashore in Resurrection Bay on beaches as far north as Lowell Point near Seward. Areas frequented by anglers fishing for rockfish and halibut outside of Resurrection Bay were exposed to heavy contamination.

## Homer

Sport fishing and tourism are major components of the Homer area economy. Kachemak Bay and nearby waters (Figure 4) support the largest Pacific halibut recreational harvests in Alaska. In 1988, charter boats harvested an estimated 46,184 Pacific halibut in the Kachemak Bay area, and private boats harvested an additional 42,437 (Mills 1989). This is an increase of approximately $100 \%$ over the sport harvest levels estimated for 1987 (Mills 1988). In 1989, it is anticipated that there will be over 100 charter boats fishing for Pacific halibut out of Homer, along with an increasingly large fleet of private boats. The marine waters of Kachemak Bay also support major fisheries for stocked chinook salmon in Halibut Cove; for stocked pink salmon in Tutka Bay; and for stocked chinook salmon, coho salmon, and pink salmon near the Homer Spit. Oil from the Exxon Valdez reached the Kachemak Bay area nearly two months after the spill. Its impact in the area has been spotty, with weathered oil washing up in isolated locations. Many halibut boats from Homer, however, fish past Seldovia towards the outer waters of the Kenai Peninsula which were more heavily hit by oil.

## Kodiak

The city of Kodiak is a major commercial fishing port. The city also supports a large US Coast Guard base. Sport fishing is a relatively minor component of the local economy, but is an important recreational activity for local residents and Coast Guard personnel. There are estimated to be fewer than 15 charter boats operating out of the Kodiak harbors. Sport fishermen from the Kodiak area (Figure 5) harvest mainly Pacific halibut, pink salmon, coho salmon, and rockfish in marine waters. Anglers fished an estimated 30,522 days in marine waters of Kodiak in 1988 (Mills 1989). While the eastern side of Kodiak Island was not hit by the oil until over two months after the spill, storms at that time spread the oil in isolated locations all around Kodiak Island. Commercial salmon fisheries in the Kodiak area were delayed or curtailed


Appendix Figure F3. Map of Seward and Resurrection Bay.


Appendix Figure F4. Map of Homer and Kachemak Bay.


Appendix Figure F5. Map of Kodiak.
by the presence of oil in fishing areas, and these impacts have been given much attention in the press.

## Anchorage

Anchorage has the largest float plane base in Alaska and is the operation center of approximately 30 charter service companies that frequently provide transportation to PWS for recreational fishermen. Information regarding the extent of these operations, the areas and stocks they target, or the effort and harvest rates is currently unknown. However, it is believed that these operations may constitute a significant component of the sport fishery of PWS.

Appendix B. Historical Effort and Harvest

Appendix Table B1. Prince William Sound (PWS) sport fishing effort (angler-days), 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALTWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12,498 | 9,419 | 25,100 | 21,173 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 33,174 | 19,449 | 20,645 | 29,923 |
| Total | 19,423 | 12,687 | 19,068 | 18,716 | 18,707 | 13,904 | 15,764 | 18,620 | 45,672 | 28,868 | 45,745 | 51,096 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 4,134 | 4,875 | 3,756 | 4,520 | 6,103 | 4,166 | 7,281 | 9,556 | 9,725 | 9,568 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3,945 | 7,529 | 5,323 | 4,648 | 7,358 | 4,189 |
| Boat | * | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | * | 10,251 | 10,355 | 8,015 | 8,609 | 6,597 | 13,514 |
| Total | 17,026 | 14,066 | 10,737 | 10,078 | 8,854 | 12,402 | 14,196 | 17,884 | 13,338 | 13,257 | 13,955 | 17,703 |
| Saltwater Total | 36,449 | 26,753 | 33,939 | 33,669 | 31,317 | 30,826 | 36,063 | 40,670 | 66,291 | 51,681 | 69,425 | 78,367 |
| FRESHWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cordova Area Eyak River | 3,544 | 2,003 | 4,653 | 3,910 | 6,954 | 4,043 | 2,647 | 3,731 | 882 | 4,755 | 3,459 | 1,492 |
| Valdez Area Robe River | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 2,995 | * | 1,712 | * | * |
| Western PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eshamy Creek \& Lagoon | * | 2,305 | 1,038 | 868 | 714 | 1,007 | 1,180 | 1,740 | 688 | 1,022 | 739 | * |
| Coghill River | 5,842 | 1,745 | 1,273 | 1,734 | 1,371 | 1,621 | 809 | 786 | 897 | 847 | 985 | * |
| Shrode Lake | 1,209 | 1,314 | 424 | 426 | 657 | 307 | 371 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Other Streams | 1,325 | 926 | 3,569 | 1,358 | 4,355 | 2,047 | 2,834 | 5,435 | 3,006 | 2,351 | 4,670 | 3,760 |
| Other Lakes | * | * | 1,698 | 769 | 1,100 | 717 | 3,710 | 2,191 | 898 | 1,912 | 1,943 | 1,352 |
| Freshwater Total | 11,920 | 8,293 | 12,655 | 9,065 | 15,151 | 9,742 | 11,551 | 16,878 | 6,371 | 12,599 | 11,796 | 6,604 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 48,369 | 35,046 | 46,594 | 42,734 | 46,468 | 40,568 | 47,614 | 57,548 | 72,662 | 64,280 | 81,221 | 84,971 |

[^3]Appendix Table B2. Prince William Sound (PWS) chinook salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALTWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 | 45 | 214 | 57 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 271 | 123 | 146 | 170 |
| Total | 247 | 58 | 88 | 121 | 76 | 210 | 241 | 125 | 282 | 168 | 360 | 227 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 29 | 26 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 212 | 22 | 11 | 321 | 123 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 | 37 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 28 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 293 | 0 | 44 | 245 | 165 | 65 |
| Total | 181 | 35 | 215 | 121 | 248 | 147 | 314 | 37 | 44 | 256 | 184 | 93 |
| Saltwater Total | 428 | 93 | 332 | 268 | 324 | 399 | 555 | 374 | 348 | 435 | 865 | 443 |

FRESHWATER:

| Cordova Area Eyak River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eshamy Creek \& Lagoon | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coghill River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrode Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Other Streams | 0 | 0 | 10 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Lakes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| Freshwater Total | 0 | 0 | 10 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 37 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 428 | 93 | 342 | 302 | 324 | 399 | 576 | 411 | 348 | 502 | 865 | 443 |

* Data not available

Appendix Table B3. Prince William Sound (PWS) coho salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALTWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,530 | 1,040 | 3,729 | 3,784 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 5,848 | 5,749 | 5,010 | 6,457 |
| Total | 5,277 | 3,582 | 6,402 | 5,545 | 4,018 | 4,014 | 4,710 | 5,138 | 7,378 | 6,789 | 8,739 | 10,241 |
| Passage Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | * | * | 761 | 1,541 | 32 | 1,635 | 294 | 549 | 1,280 | 1,437 | 2,137 | 728 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,280 | 674 | 988 | 2,476 | 2,359 | 1,782 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,636 | 861 | 1,000 | 1,177 | 747 | 2,146 |
| Total | 2,262 | 4,839 | 2,833 | 2,282 | 1,134 | 2,484 | 2,916 | 1,535 | 1,988 | 3,653 | 3,106 | 3,928 |
| Saltwater Total | 7,539 | 8,421 | 9,996 | 9,368 | 5,184 | 8,133 | 7,920 | 7,222 | 10,646 | 11,879 | 13,982 | 14,897 |

FRESHWATER:

| Cordova Area Eyak River | 1,229 | 704 | 2,633 | 4,822 | 2,948 | 2,096 | 1,017 | 1,284 | 239 | 2,767 | 680 | 1,201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eshamy Creek \& Lagoon | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 43 | 76 | 0 | 0 |
| Coghill River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 10 | * |
| Shrode Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - * | * | * | * | * |
| Other Streams | 61 | 0 | 1,335 | 1,119 | 367 | 713 | 849 | 1,683 | 662 | 1,116 | 1,931 | 3,037 |
| Other Lakes | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 619 | 125 | 43 | 260 | 77 | 127 |
| Freshwater Total | 1,290 | 704 | 3,968 | 5,941 | 3,315 | 2,861 | 2,485 | 3,141 | 987 | 4,219 | 2,698 | 4,365 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 8,829 | 9,125 | 13,964 | 15,309 | 8,499 | 10,994 | 10,405 | 10,363 | 11,633 | 16,098 | 16,680 | 19,262 |

[^4]Appendix Table B4. Prince William Sound (PWS) sockeye salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALTWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 228 | 153 | 1,231 | 691 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 846 | 260 | 525 | 891 |
| Total | 557 | 78 | 141 | 568 | 367 | 241 | 343 | 786 | 1,074 | 413 | 1,756 | 1,582 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 62 | 119 | 1,193 | 1,159 | 291 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 397 | 87 | 142 | 61 | 254 | 36 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,000 | 1,023 | 195 | 779 | 54 | 1,309 |
| Total | 2,529 | 352 | 1,210 | 861 | 562 | 1,603 | 1,397 | 1,110 | 337 | 840 | 308 | 1,345 |
| Saltwater Total | 3,086 | 430 | 1,351 | 1,429 | 929 | 1,844 | 1,781 | 1,958 | 1,530 | 2,446 | 3,223 | 3,218 |

FRESHWATER:

| Cordova Area Eyak River | 209 | 127 | 362 | 69 | 43 | 0 | 192 | 75 | 87 | 92 | 36 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eshamy Creek \& Lagoon | * | 2,099 | 990 | 138 | 465 | 671 | 1,315 | 736 | 467 | 612 | 453 | * |
| Coghill River | 2,898 | 690 | 629 | 1,524 | 572 | 1,520 | 781 | 249 | 456 | 321 | 417 | * |
| Shrode Lake | 319 | 1,229 | 94 | 95 | 33 | 105 | 41 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Other Streams | * | * | 346 | 594 | 140 | 52 | 561 | 286 | 129 | 1,178 | 398 | 1,310 |
| Other Lakes | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 453 | 773 | 239 | 229 | 362 | 255 |
| Freshwater Total | 3,426 | 4,145 | 2,421 | 2,420 | 1,253 | 2,442 | 3,343 | 2,119 | 1,378 | 2,432 | 1,666 | 1,565 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 6,512 | 4,575 | 3,772 | 3,849 | 2,182 | 4,286 | 5,124 | 4,077 | 2,908 | 4,878 | 4,889 | 4,783 |

* Data not available

Appendix Table B5. Prince William Sound (PWS) pink salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALTWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11,805 | 9,312 | 17,303 | 16,117 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15,223 | 12,858 | 8,652 | 10,659 |
| Total | 12,020 | 7,910 | 13,217 | 11,606 | 11,686 | 6,634 | 8,696 | 9,639 | 27,028 | 22,170 | 25,955 | 26,776 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 573 | 1,343 | 691 | 2,065 | 2,014 | 935 | 1,573 | 1,437 | 2,039 | 1,019 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,353 | 1,134 | 2,440 | 718 | 1,913 | 1,637 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,951 | 1,172 | 912 | 458 | 581 | 1,783 |
| Total | 6,969 | 5,433 | 2,836 | 2,919 | 1,534 | 2,903 | 3,304 | 2,306 | 3,352 | 1,176 | 2,494 | 3,420 |
| Saltwater Total | 8,989 | 13,343 | 16,626 | 15,868 | 13,911 | 11,602 | 14,014 | 12,880 | 31,953 | 24,783 | 30,488 | 31,215 |
| FRESHWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cordova Area Eyak River | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 33 | 107 | 10 | 0 |
| Western PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eshamy Creek \& Lagoon | * | 511 | 237 | 112 | 65 | 210 | 157 | 449 | 54 | 260 | 194 | * |
| Coghill River | 4,213 | 1,223 | 654 | 276 | 637 | 723 | 168 | 112 | 109 | 0 | 252 | * |
| Shrode Lake | 658 | 310 | 173 | 17 | 32 | 105 | 168 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Other Streams | 1,565 | 913 | 282 | 525 | 97 | 283 | 147 | 860 | 521 | 122 | 341 | 200 |
| Other Lakes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 42 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 55 |
| Freshwater Total | 6,436 | 2,957 | 1,346 | 939 | 863 | 1,321 | 682 | 1,608 | 717 | 489 | 894 | 255 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 25,425 | 16,300 | 17,972 | 16,807 | 14,774 | 12,923 | 14,696 | 14,488 | 32,670 | 25,272 | 31,382 | 31,470 |

[^5]Appendix Table B6. Prince William Sound (PWS) chum salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SALTWATER:

| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 380 | 749 | 447 | 527 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 868 | 1,116 | 1,078 | 3,674 |
| Total | 219 | 1,444 | 845 | 913 | 572 | 639 | 976 | 1,397 | 1,248 | 1,865 | 1,525 | 4,201 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 596 | 194 | 1,637 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 115 | 324 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 72 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 147 | 137 | 120 | 382 | 39 | 1,327 |
| Total | 224 | 273 | 573 | 34 | 324 | 440 | 262 | 461 | 120 | 397 | 39 | 1,399 |
| Saltwater Total | 443 | 1,717 | 1,418 | 947 | 896 | 1,079 | 1,238 | 1,858 | 1,585 | 2,858 | 1,758 | 7,237 |
| FRESHWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cordova Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eshamy Creek \& Lagoon | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coghill River | 158 | 1,034 | 64 | 0 | 11 | 63 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrode Lake | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 52 | 0 | * | * | * | * | 0 |
| Other Streams | 114 | 234 | 45 | 292 | 43 | 10 | 94 | 25 | 43 | 0 | 136 | 0 |
| Other Lakes | * | * | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Freshwater Total | 297 | 1,268 | 109 | 318 | 76 | 125 | 115 | 49 | 43 | 0 | 136 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 740 | 2,985 | 1,527 | 1,265 | 972 | 1,204 | 1,353 | 1,907 | 1,628 | 2,858 | 1,894 | 7,237 |

* Data not available

Appendix Table B7. Prince William Sound (PWS) cutthroat trout sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## SALTWATER:

| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 94 | 274 | 0 | 76 | 127 | 0 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,514 | 533 | 282 | 86 | 65 | 88 | 94 | 486 | 0 | 76 | 127 | 0 |
| Saltwater Total | 1,514 | 533 | 282 | 86 | 65 | 88 | 94 | 486 | 0 | 137 | 127 | 0 |

## FRESHWATER:

| Cordova Area Eyak River | 93 | 90 | 282 | 319 | 130 | 136 | 262 | 62 | 119 | 214 | 290 | 109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eshamy Creek \& Lagoon | * | 334 | 163 | 52 | 140 | 105 | 147 | 12 | 277 | 0 | 163 | 163 |
| Coghill River | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrode Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Other Streams | * | * | 382 | 482 | 76 | 262 | 408 | 1,184 | 242 | 337 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Lakes | * | * | 382 | 0 | 475 | 63 | 913 | 798 | 277 | 932 | 778 | 778 |
| Freshwater Total | 398 | 424 | 1,209 | 853 | 821 | 566 | 1,730 | 2,056 | 915 | 1,483 | 1,231 | 619 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 1,912 | 957 | 1,491 | 939 | 886 | 654 | 1,824 | 2,542 | 915 | 1,620 | 1,358 | 619 |

* Data not available

Appendix Table B8. Prince William Sound (PWS) dolly varden sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALTWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | 0 | 199 | 291 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,266 | 31 | 235 | 55 |
| Total | 594 | 877 | 691 | 1,128 | 97 | 356 | 262 | 811 | 1,283 | 31 | 434 | 346 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 191 | 26 | 0 | 63 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 291 | 996 | 55 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 493 | 62 | 69 | 31 | 706 | 364 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 | 75 | 312 | 383 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4,673 | 886 | 464 | 250 | 162 | 210 | 514 | 137 | 381 | 414 | 706 | 364 |
| Saltwater Total | 5,267 | 1,763 | 1,346 | 1,404 | 259 | 629 | 818 | 948 | 1,664 | 736 | 2,136 | 765 |
| FRESHWATER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cordova Area Eyak River | 854 | 866 | 2,863 | 3,057 | 1,577 | 2,348 | 430 | 636 | 575 | 642 | 290 | 800 |
| Valdez Area Robe River | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 5,499 | * | 4,449 | * | * |
| Western PWS Eshamy Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - 2 |  |  |  |  |
| And Lagoon | 5 | 181 | 273 | 241 | 184 | 0 | 63 | 25 | 17 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| Coghill River | 56 | 389 | 9 | 164 | 227 | 52 | 0 | 137 | 69 | 61 | 235 | * |
| Shrode Lake | 34 | 118 | 281 | 112 | 184 | 0 | 251 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Other Streams | 91 | 145 | 3,094 | 2,514 | 1,912 | 2,547 | 2,664 | 3,303 | 4,058 | 733 | 1,792 | 545 |
| Other Lakes | 0 | * | 1,654 | 594 | 875 | 660 | 471 | 1,159 | 121 | 613 | 145 | 509 |
| Freshwater Total | 1,035 | 1,699 | 8,174 | 6,682 | 4,959 | 5,607 | 3,879 | 10,759 | 4,840 | 6,559 | 2,462 | 1,854 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 6,302 | 3,462 | 9,520 | 8,086 | 5,218 | 6,236 | 4,697 | 11,707 | 6,504 | 7,295 | 4,598 | 2,619 |

* Data not available

Appendix Table B9. Prince William Sound (PWS) halibut sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 315 | 153 | 107 | 132 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,322 | 2,832 | 3,241 | 1,961 | 4,467 |
| Total | 528 | 339 | 719 | 1,688 | 1,134 | 849 | 1,846 | 1,322 | 3,147 | 3,394 | 2,068 | 4,599 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 78 | 69 | 216 | 199 | 284 | 387 | 575 | 1,040 | 495 | 1,023 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 136 | 724 | 98 | 305 | 20 | 156 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,227 | 1,995 | 707 | 3,592 | 1,796 | 4,067 |
| Total | 719 | 594 | 894 | 1,386 | 1,145 | 1,687 | 1,363 | 2,719 | 805 | 3,897 | 1,816 | 4,223 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 1,247 | 933 | 1,691 | 3,143 | 2,495 | 2,735 | 3,493 | 4,428 | 4,527 | 8,331 | 4,379 | 9,845 |

* Data not available

Appendix Table B10. Prince William Sound rockfish sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valdez Arm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 141 | 67 | 262 | 265 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 5,740 | 5,864 | 2,670 | 6,718 |
| Total | 1,895 | 1,103 | 2,782 | 3,272 | 6,534 | 2,810 | 3,703 | 4,340 | 5,881 | 5,931 | 2,932 | 6,983 |
| Passage Canal | * | * | 400 | 870 | 1,339 | 199 | 1,112 | 711 | 1,703 | 1,620 | 1,466 | 1,866 |
| Remainder of PWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | * | * | * | * | * | * | 451 | 548 | 0 | 234 | 243 | 120 |
| Boat | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1,248 | 2,394 | 1,269 | 1,977 | 1,922 | 3,742 |
| Total | 5,913 | 3,932 | 7,836 | 2,032 | 3,737 | 2,599 | 1,699 | 2,942 | 1,269 | 2,211 | 2,165 | 3,862 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 7,808 | 5,035 | 11,018 | 6,174 | 11,610 | 5,608 | 6,514 | 7,993 | 8,853 | 9,762 | 6,563 | 12,711 |

* Data not available

Appendix Table B11. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay sport fishing effort (angler-days), 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KODIAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saltwater | 14,957 | 19,063 | 23,124 | 27,646 | 29,857 | 41,113 | 40,217 | 34,213 | 33,032 | 31,762 | 38,761 | 30,522 |
| Freshwater | 26,606 | 25,439 | 35,921 | 37,261 | 36,582 | 40,125 | 46,237 | 48,447 | 51,809 | 45,404 | 36,979 | 38,803 |
| Total | 41,563 | 44,502 | 59,045 | 64,907 | 66,439 | 81,238 | 86,454 | 82,660 | 84,841 | 77,166 | 75,740 | 69,325 |
| RESURRECTION BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 41,797 | 53,355 | 43,576 | 49,623 | 56,410 | 49,167 | 40,144 | 44,669 | 47,472 | 38,103 | 30,787 | 34,107 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | -- | --- | --- | 13,272 | 11,356 | 16,144 |
| Total | 41,797 | 53,355 | 43,576 | 49,623 | 56,410 | 49,167 | 40,144 | 44,669 | 47,472 | 51,375 | 42,143 | 50,251 |
| KACHEMAK BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 38,498 | 47,259 | 52,442 | 51,080 | 60,336 | 52,631 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoreline | - | -- |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 7,461 | 11,367 | 21,264 |
| Total | 38,498 | 47,259 | 52,442 | 51,080 | 60,336 | 52,631 | 63,056 | 63,390 | 56,771 | 69,768 | 99,430 | 119,444 |

Appendix Table B12. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay chinook salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KODIAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saltwater | 34 | 12 | 98 | 60 | 194 | 125 | 104 | 136 | 137 | 168 | 18 | 145 |
| Freshwater | 449 | 338 | 654 | 267 | 530 | 922 | 428 | 636 | 501 | 321 | 144 | 946 |
| Total | 483 | 350 | 752 | 327 | 724 | 1,047 | 532 | 772 | 638 | 489 | 162 | 1,091 |
| RESURRECTION BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 515 | 501 | 156 | 198 | 137 | 293 | 189 | 12 | 75 | 52 | 308 | 764 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | --- | -- | $\cdots$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | 26 | 235 | 1,110 |
| Total | 515 | 501 | 156 | 198 | 137 | 293 | 189 | 12 | 75 | 78 | 543 | 1,874 |
| KACHEMAK BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 614 | 315 | 400 | 224 | 583 | 1,540 | 1,521 | 1,347 | 672 | 511 | 1,141 | 3,566 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | 39 | 615 | 4,511 |
| Total | 614 | 315 | 400 | 224 | 583 | 1,540 | 1,521 | 1,347 | 672 | 550 | 1,756 | 8,077 |

--- Data not separated between boat and shoreline

Appendix Table B13. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay coho salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KODIAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saltwater | 1,172 | 1,433 | 3,606 | 5,442 | 4,449 | 6,612 | 2,025 | 6,945 | 6,209 | 9,220 | 8,059 | 6,786 |
| Freshwater | 3,544 | 3,494 | 7,916 | 7,250 | 6,135 | 6,717 | 5,798 | 7,667 | 7,416 | 11,653 | 8,856 | 12,023 |
| Total | 4,716 | 4,927 | 11,522 | 12,692 | 10,584 | 13,329 | 7,823 | 14,612 | 13,625 | 20,873 | 16,915 | 18,809 |
| RESURRECTION BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 14,528 | 16,731 | 14,315 | 19,665 | 14,721 | 18,518 | 11,277 | 9,727 | 11,227 | 10,489 | 18,861 | 11,405 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | 3,929 | 5,359 | 6,221 |
| Total | 14,528 | 16,731 | 14,315 | 19,665 | 14,721 | 18,518 | 11,277 | 9,727 | 11,227 | 14,418 | 24,220 | 17,626 |
| KACHEMAK BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 3,623 | 1,798 | 1,797 | 1,533 | 1,955 | 1,834 | 1,517 | 1,097 | 485 | 1,101 | 746 | 1,019 |
| Shoreline | 析 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 306 | 459 | 255 |
| Total | 3,623 | 1,798 | 1,797 | 1,533 | 1,955 | 1,834 | 1,517 | 1,097 | 485 | 1,407 | 1,205 | 1,274 |

--- Data not separated between boat and shoreline

Appendix Table B14. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay sockeye salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

--- Data not separated between boat and shoreline

Appendix Table B15. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay pink salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table B16. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay chum salmon sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KODIAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saltwater | 633 | 624 | 382 | 405 | 151 | 639 | 462 | 799 | 167 | 122 | 198 | 73 |
| Freshwater | 1,012 | 663 | 118 | 120 | 486 | 685 | 354 | 522 | 698 | 214 | 362 | 1,473 |
| Total | 1,645 | 1,287 | 500 | 525 | 637 | 1,324 | 816 | 1,321 | 865 | 336 | 560 | 1,546 |
| RESURRECTION BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 63 | 39 | 100 | 276 | 194 | 458 | 923 | 2,569 | 634 | 474 | 525 | 1,910 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | 1,484 | 1,449 | 2,037 |
| Total | 63 | 39 | 100 | 276 | 194 | 458 | 923 | 2,569 | 634 | 1,958 | 1,974 | 3,947 |
| KACHEMAK BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 126 | 39 | 18 | 95 | 11 | 10 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 18 | 73 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | $\cdots$ | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | 31 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 126 | 39 | 18 | 95 | 11 | 10 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 18 | 91 |

[^6]Appendix Table B17. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay dolly varden sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KODIAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saltwater | 1,084 | 2,830 | 5,281 | 2,979 | 2,441 | 5,931 | 3,934 | 4,814 | 2,291 | 6,375 | 2,299 | 8,004 |
| Freshwater | 13,452 | 12,975 | 20,140 | 17,684 | 17,075 | 17,840 | 15,505 | 18,278 | 15,225 | 14,282 | 6,464 | 10,659 |
| Total | 14,536 | 15,805 | 25,421 | 20,663 | 19,516 | 23,771 | 19,439 | 23,092 | 17,516 | 20,657 | 8,763 | 18,663 |
| RESURRECTION BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1,720 | 1,248 | 973 | 878 | 5,335 | 1,562 | 5,811 | 1,771 | 191 | 505 | 453 | 473 |
| Shoreline |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 566 | 362 | 255 |
| Total | 1,720 | 1,248 | 973 | 878 | 5,335 | 1,562 | 5,811 | 1,771 | 191 | 1,071 | 815 | 728 |
| KACHEMAK BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 3,676 | 1,248 | 2,018 | 3,685 | 3,434 | 2,862 | 3,053 | 1,559 | 1,283 | 719 | 344 | 1,219 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1,453 | 580 | 746 |
| Total | 3,676 | 2,007 | 2,018 | 3,685 | 3,434 | 2,862 | 3,053 | 1,559 | 1,283 | 2,172 | 924 | 1,965 |

--- Data not separated between boat and shoreline

Appendix Table B18. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay halibut sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KODIAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saltwater | 994 | 1,721 | 3,013 | 3,651 | 6,858 | 9,180 | 8,545 | 8,179 | 7,303 | 10,960 | 9,869 | 7,749 |
| Freshwater | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 994 | 1,721 | 3,013 | 3,651 | 6,858 | 9,180 | 8,545 | 8,179 | 7,303 | 10,960 | 9,869 | 7,749 |
| RESURRECTION BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1,674 | 2,642 | 2,838 | 2,936 | 3,337 | 2,809 | 2,225 | 3,242 | 5,486 | 9,220 | 6,321 | 10,586 |
| Shoreline | --- | $\cdots$ | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | $\cdots$ | --- | 428 | 199 | 837 |
| Total | 1,674 | 2,642 | 2,838 | 2,936 | 3,337 | 2,809 | 2,225 | 3,242 | 5,486 | 9,648 | 6,520 | 11,423 |
| KACHEMAK BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 9,291 | 20,422 | 20,218 | 21,473 | 28,858 | 28,254 | 35,007 | 36,113 | 40,716 | 40,917 | 41,688 | 88,621 |
| Shoreline | --- |  | 迷 | --- | --- | $\cdots$ | --. | --- | $\cdots$ | 474 | 616 | 909 |
| Total | 9,291 | 20,422 | 20,218 | 21,473 | 28,858 | 28,254 | 35,007 | 36,113 | 40,716 | 41,391 | 42,304 | 89,530 |

--- Data not separated between boat and shoreline

Appendix Table B19. Kodiak, Resurrection Bay, and Kachemak Bay rockfish sport harvest, 1977 through 1988.

|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KODIAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saltwater | 2,810 | 1,907 | 3,599 | 1,489 | 6,242 | 3,992 | 3,252 | 8,231 | 4,691 | 4,479 | 6,501 | 11,369 |
| Freshwater | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2,810 | 1,907 | 3,599 | 1,489 | 6,242 | 3,992 | 3,252 | 8,231 | 4,691 | 4,479 | 6,501 | 11,369 |
| RESURRECTION BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 12,783 | 17,438 | 21,752 | 27,948 | 19,516 | 22,878 | 17,990 | 22,845 | 17,068 | 34,464 | 10,793 | 33,360 |
| Shoreline | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 3,110 | 1,540 | 1,546 |
| Total | 12,783 | 17,438 | 21,752 | 27,948 | 19,516 | 22,878 | 17,990 | 22,845 | 17,068 | 37,574 | 12,333 | 34,906 |
| KACHEMAK BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1,117 | 2,305 | 1,336 | 1,937 | 3,452 | 1,991 | 3,273 | 2,407 | 2,463 | 4,587 | 1,848 | 6,311 |
| Shoreline | -- | --- | --- | -- | $\cdots$ | --- | --- | $\cdots$ | --- | 306 | 271 | 128 |
| Total | 1,117 | 2,305 | 1,336 | 1,937 | 3,452 | 1,991 | 3,273 | 2,407 | 2,463 | 4,893 | 2,119 | 6,439 |

--- Data not separated between boat and shoreline

Appendix C. Creel Survey Schedules

Appendix Table C1. Sampling schedule for the Valdez Bay marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A:0900-1600 | B:1601-2300 |
| 15-Jun | WD | Thur | X |  |
| 16-Jun | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 17-Jun | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 18-Jun | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 19-Jun | WD | Mon | X |  |
| 20-Jun | WD | Tues | ------- | OFF------------------ |
| 21-Jun | WD | Wed | ------ | OFF------------------- |
| 22-Jun | WD | Thur |  | X |
| 23-Jun | WD | Fri | X |  |
| 24-Jun | WE | Sat | X |  |
| $25-J u n$ | WE | Sun | X |  |
| 26-Jun | WD | Mon | X |  |
| 27-Jun | WD | Tues | X |  |
| 28-Jun | WD | Wed |  | X |
| 29-Jun | WD | Thur | --------- | OFF-------------------- |
| 30-Jun | WD | Fri | , | OFF--------------------- |
| 01-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  |
| 02-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 03-Jul | WE | Mon |  | X |
| 04-Jul | WE | Tues | X |  |
| 05-Jul | WD | Wed | -------- | OFF---------------------- |
| 06-Jul | WD | Thur | ------- | OFF----------------------- |
| 07-Jul | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 08-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 09-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 10-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X |
| 11-Jul | WD | Tues | X |  |
| 12-Jul | WD | Wed |  | X |
| 13-Jul | WD | Thur | -------- | OFF--------------------- |
| 14-Jul | WD | Fri | - | OFF----------------------- |
| 15-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  |
| 16-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 17-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X |
| 18-Jul | WD | Tues |  | X |
| 19-Jul | WD | Wed |  | X |
| 20-Jul | WD | Thur | -------- | OFF---------------------- |
| 21-Jul | WD | Fri | -------- | OFF---------------------- |
| 22-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 23-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  |
| 24-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X |
| 25-Jul | WD | Tues | X |  |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C1. Sampling schedule for the Valdez Bay marine sport fishery, 1989 (continued)

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A:0900-1600 | B:1601-2300 |
| 26-Jul | WD | Wed | --------- | F----------------------- |
| 27-Jul | WD | Thur | --------- | F---------------- |
| 28-Jul | WD | Fri | X |  |
| 29-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  |
| 30-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 31-Jul | WD | Mon | ------- | F--------------------- |
| 01-Aug | WD | Tues | -------- | F------------------- |
| 02-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X |
| 03-Aug | WD | Thur |  | X |
| 04-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 05-Aug | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 06-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 07-Aug | WD | Mon |  | X |
| 08-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  |
| 09-Aug | WD | Wed | -------- | FF--------------------- |
| 10-Aug | WD | Thur | --- | FF------------------- |
| 11-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 12-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  |
| 13-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 14-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  |
| 15-Aug | WD | Tues |  | X |
| 16-Aug | WD | Wed | -------- | FF---------------------- |
| 17-Aug | WD | Thur | ----- | FF--------------------- |
| 18-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 19-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  |
| 20-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 21-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  |
| 22-Aug | WD | Tues |  | X |
| 23-Aug | WD | Wed | --------- | FF------------------------ |
| 24-Aug | WD | Thur | --------- | FF-------------------- |
| 25-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 26-Aug | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 27-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 28-Aug | WD | Mon |  | X |
| 29-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  |
| 30-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X |
| 31-Aug | WD | Thur | ------- | FF---------------------- |
| 01-Sep | WD | Fri | ------ | FF--- |
| 02-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 03-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C1. Sampling schedule for the Valdez Bay marine sport fishery, 1989 (continued)

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A:0900-1600 | B:1601-2300 |
| 04-Sep | WE | Mon |  | X |
| 05-Sep | WD | Tues |  | X |
| 06-Sep | WD | Wed | X |  |
| 07-Sep | WD | Thur | ------------- |  |
| 08-Sep | WD | Fri | ---------------- |  |
| 09-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 10-Sep | WE | Sun | X |  |
| 11-Sep | WD | Mon | ---------------- | ----------------- |
| 12-Sep | WD | Tues | ----------- | ---------------- |
| 13-Sep | WD | Wed |  | X |
| 14-Sep | WD | Thur |  | X |
| 15-Sep | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 16-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 17-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X |

1 WD=Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

Appendix Table C2．Sampling schedule for the Whittier sport fishery， 1989.

| Date | Strata ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | Day | Period／Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} A \\ (1000-1300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ (1301-1600) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ (1601-1900) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D } \\ (1901-2200) \end{gathered}$ |
| 24－Jun | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 25－Jun | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 26－Jun | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 27－Jun | WD | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 28－Jun | WD | Wed | X |  | X |  |
| 29－Jun | WD | Thur | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 30－Jun | WD | Fri | －－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－ |
| 01－Jul | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 02－Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 03－Jul | WD | Mon |  | X | X |  |
| 04－Jul | WE | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 05－Jul | WD | Wed | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 06－Jul | WD | Thur | －－－－－－－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 07－Jul | WD | Fri |  | $\qquad$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 08－Jul | WE | Sat |  | X | X |  |
| 09－Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 10－Jul | WD | Mon | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －ッローーロールーローーー |
| 11－Jul | WD | Tues |  |  | $\qquad$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 12－Jul | WD | Wed |  | X | X |  |
| 13－Jul | WD | Thur |  | X | X |  |
| 14－Jul | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 15－Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |  |
| 16－Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 17－Jul | WD | Mon | －－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －－－－－ |
| 18－Jul | WD | Tues | －－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－ | － |
| 19－Jul | WD | Wed |  | X | X |  |
| 20－Jul | WD | Thur | X |  | X |  |
| 21－Jul | WD | Fri | X |  | X |  |
| 22－Jul | WE | Sat |  | X | X |  |
| 23－Jul | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 24－Jul | WD | Mon | －－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 25－Jul | WD | Tues | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－ | －－－－－ |
| 26－Jul | WD | Wed |  |  | X | X |
| 27－Jul | WD | Thur |  |  | X | X |
| 28－Jul | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 29－Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |  |
| 30－Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |  |
| 31－Jul | WD | Mon |  | X | X |  |
| 01－Aug | WD | Tues |  | X | X |  |
| 02－Aug | WD | Wed |  |  | X | X |
| 03－Aug | WD | Thur | －－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ |

－Continued－

Appendix Table C2. Sampling schedule for the Whittier sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Stratal | Day | Period/Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} A \\ (1000-1300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ (1301-1600) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ (1601-1900) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D } \\ (1901-2200) \end{gathered}$ |
| 04-Aug | WD | Fri | ------- | ------------ | -------------- | ------------- |
| 05-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 06-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 07-Aug | WD | Mon | ---- | ------ | ----- | ----------- |
| 08-Aug | WD | Tues | -------- | ------------ | ------------- | ----------- |
| 09-Aug | WD | Wed |  |  | X | X |
| 10-Aug | WD | Thur |  | X | X |  |
| 11-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X | X |  |
| 12-Aug | WE | Sat |  | X | X |  |
| 13-Aug | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 14-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  | X |  |
| 15-Aug | WD | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 16-Aug | WD | Wed | -------- | ---------- | ------------ | ----------- |
| 17-Aug | WD | Thur | ------ | ---------- | --- | ---------- |
| 18-Aug | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 19-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 20-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 21-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  | X |  |
| 22-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  | X |  |
| 23-Aug | WD | Wed |  |  | X | X |
| 24-Aug | WD | Thur | ------- | --------------- | ------------- | ------------ |
| 25-Aug | WD | Fri | ---- | --------------- | ------------- | ------------ |
| 26-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 27-Aug | WE | Sun | X |  | X |  |
| 28-Aug | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |

1 WD=Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

Appendix Table C3. Sampling schedule for the Cordova marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Date | Stratal | Day | Period/Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (0800-1130) | (1131-1500) | (1501-1830) | (1831-2200) |
| 24-Jun | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 25-Jun | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 26-Jun | WD | Mon | X |  | X |  |
| 27-Jun | WD | Tues |  | X | X |  |
| 28-Jun | WD | Wed |  |  | X | X |
| 29-Jun | WD | Thur | -------- | ----------------- | ------- | ----- |
| 30-Jun | WD | Fri | --.----- | -----------------0 | ----- | ---------- |
| 01-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |  |
| 02-Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 03-Jul | WD | Mon | ---- | ----------------0 | --------------- | ----------- |
| 04-Jul | WE | Tues | X |  | X |  |
| 05-Jul | WD | Wed | -------- | ---------------- | ------------ | ----------- |
| 06-Jul | WD | Thur |  | ---------------- | ----------- | -..-------- |
| 07-Jul | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 08-Jul | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 09-Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 10-Jul | WD | Mon | -------- | ---------------- | -------------- | --- |
| 11-Jul | WD | Tues | -------- | --------------0 | ----- | - |
| 12-Jul | WD | Wed |  | X | X |  |
| 13-Jul | WD | Thur |  | X | X |  |
| 14-Jul | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 15-Jul | WE | Sat | X | X |  |  |
| 16-Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 17-Jul | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 18-Jul | WD | Tues |  | X | X |  |
| 19-Jul | WD | Wed | --- | -------- | ------------ | ----------- |
| 20-Jul | WD | Thur |  | -----------O | ------ | ----------- |
| 21-Jul | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 22-Jul | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 23-Jul | WE | Sun | X | X |  |  |
| 24-Jul | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 25-Jul | WD | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 26-Jul | WD | Wed | --------- | -----------------0 | -------------- | ------------- |
| 27-Jul | WD | hur | --------- | ------------ | -------------- | ----------- |
| 28-Jul | WD | Fri |  | X |  | X |
| 29-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |  |
| 30-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  |  | X |
| 31-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X | X |  |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C3. Sampling schedule for the Cordova marine sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Stratal ${ }^{1}$ Day |  | Period/Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (0800-1130) | (1131-1500) | (1501-1830) | (1831-2200) |
| 01-Aug | WD | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 02-Aug | WD | Wed | X |  |  | X |
| 03-Aug | WD | Thur | ------ | ----------------0 | ------------- | ----------- |
| 04-Aug | WD | Fri | ---------- | ----------------0 | ------ |  |
| 05-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 06-Aug | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 07-Aug | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 08-Aug | WD | Tues |  | X |  | X |
| 09-Aug | WD | Wed |  |  | X | X |
| 10-Aug | WD | Thur | ---------- | ----------------0 | ------------- | ------------- |
| 11-Aug | WD | Fri | ---------- | ---------------- | ------------- | -------- |
| 12-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 13-Aug | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 14-Aug | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 15-Aug | WD | Tues | ---------- | ----------------0 | ---------- | ------ |
| 16-Aug | WD | Wed |  | -----0 | ----------- | ------------ |
| 17-Aug | WD | Thur | X | X |  |  |
| 18-Aug | WD | Fri | X |  |  | X |
| 19-Aug | WE | Sat | X | X |  |  |
| 20-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 21-Aug | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 22-Aug | WD | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 23-Aug | WD | Wed | --------- | -----------0 | ------ | ----------- |
| 24-Aug | WD | Thur | ---------- | -----------0 | ----- | --------- |
| 25-Aug | WD | Fri | X |  | X |  |
| 26-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  | X |  |
| 27-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |  | X |
| 28-Aug | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 29-Aug | WD | Tues | ---------- | ----------------0 | ------------ | ------------ |
| 30-Aug | WD | Wed | ----------- | -----------0 | ------ |  |
| 31-Aug | WD | Thur |  |  | X | X |
| 01-Sep | WD | Fri | X |  | X |  |
| 02-Sep | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 03-Sep | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |

1 WD=Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

Appendix Table C4. Sampling schedule for the Homer marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Date | Stratal | Day | Period/Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (1000-1300) | (1301-1600) | (1601-1900) | (1901-2200) |
| 01-Jul | WE | Sat | X | X |  |  |
| 02-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |  |
| 03-Jul | WD | Mon |  |  | X | X |
| 04-Jul | WE | Tues |  | X |  | X |
| 05-Jul | WD | Wed | ------------ | ---------------- | --------------- | ------------ |
| 06-Jul | WD | Thur | --------- | ---------------- | -------------- | ------------- |
| 07-Jul | WD | Fri |  | ---------------- | --------------- | ------------- |
| 08-Jul | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 09-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |  | X |
| 10-Jul | WD | Mon | X |  |  | X |
| 11-Jul | WD | Tues |  | X | X |  |
| 12-Jul | WD | Wed | X |  | X |  |
| 13-Jul | WD | Thur | ------- | --------------- | ------------- | ----------- |
| 14-Jul | WD | Fri | ------ | ---------------0 | ------------- | ------------ |
| 15-Jul | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 16-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 17-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X | X |  |
| 18-Jul | WD | Tues | ----- | --------------0 | ------------- | ----------- |
| 19-Jul | WD | Wed |  | --------------0 | -------------- | ------------ |
| 20-Jul | WD | Thur | X | X |  |  |
| 21-Jul | WD | Fri |  | X |  | X |
| 22-Jul | WE | Sat | X | X |  |  |
| 23-Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 24-Jul | WD | Mon | --- | -----------0 | ----- | ------ |
| 25-Jul | WD | Tues | --------- | -----------O | ------ | -------- |
| 26-Jul | WD | Wed |  | X | X |  |
| 27-Jul | WD | Thur |  |  | X | X |
| 28-Jul | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 29-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X | X |  |
| 30-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  |  | X |
| 31-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X |  | X |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C4. Sampling schedule for the Homer marine sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (1000-1300) | (1301-1600) | (1601-1900) | (1901-2200) |
| 01-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  |  | X |
| 02-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X | X |  |
| 03-Aug | WD | Thur | ------- | ---------------- | ----- | - |
| 04-Aug | WD | Fri | ---- | --------------- | ---- | ----------- |
| 05-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  | X |  |
| 06-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 07-Aug | WD | Mon | --- | ------0 | ----------- | ----------- |
| 08-Aug | WD | Tues | -------- | ------- | ---- | ------------ |
| 09-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X | X |  |
| 10-Aug | WD | Thur |  | X | X |  |
| 11-Aug | WD | Fri |  |  | X | X |
| 12-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  |  | X |
| 13-Aug | WE | Sun |  |  | X | X |
| 14-Aug | WD | Mon | ----------- | ---------------- | --------------- | ----------- |
| 15-Aug | WD | Tues | ------ | --------------- | ----------- | ----------- |
| 16-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X | X |  |
| 17-Aug | WD | Thur |  |  | X | X |
| 18-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X | X |  |
| 19-Aug | WE | Sat | X | X |  |  |
| 20-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 21-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  |  | X |
| 22-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  |  | X |
| 23-Aug | WD | Wed | -------- | --------------O | ----------- | ------------ |
| 24-Aug | WD | Thur | -------- | --------------- | ------------- | ------- |
| 25-Aug | WD | Fri | X |  |  | X |
| 26-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 27-Aug | WE | Sun | X |  | X |  |
| 28-Aug | WD | Mon |  | X | X |  |
| 29-Aug | WD | Tues | ------ | --------------0 | ------------ | ------------ |
| 30-Aug | WD | Wed | --- | -----------O | ---- | ------------- |
| 31-Aug | WD | Thur |  | X | X |  |

Appendix Table C4. Sampling schedule for the Homer marine sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (1000-1300) | (1301-1600) | (1601-1900) |
| 01-Sep | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 02-Sep | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 03-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X | X |
| 04-Sep | WE | Mon |  | X | X |
| 05-Sep | WD | Tues | --- | ---OFF--- | --------- |
| 06-Sep | WD | Wed | ------ | ---OFF-- | -- |
| 07-Sep | WD | Thur |  | X | X |
| 08-Sep | WD | Fri |  | X | X |
| 09-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X | X |
| 10-Sep | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 11-Sep | WD | Mon | ----- | ----OFF---- | ------- |
| 12-Sep | WD | Tues | --------- | ----OFF---- | ------ |
| 13-Sep | WD | Wed |  | X | X |
| 14-Sep | WD | Thur |  | X | X |
| 15-Sep | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 16-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X | X |
| 17-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X | X |

1 WD=Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

Appendix Table C5. Sampling schedule for the Kodiak marine sport fishery, 1989

| Date | Stratal | Day | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ (1000-1400) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ (1401-1700) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ (1700-2000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline D \\ (2001-2300) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X | X |  |
| 09-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |  |
| 10-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X | X |  |
| 11-Jul | WD | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 12-Jul | WD | Wed | X |  |  | X |
| 13-Jul | WD | Thur | --- | --------------0 | ------- | ---------- |
| 14-Jul | WD | Fri | --- | ---------------O | --- | ---------- |
| 15-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X | X |  |
| 16-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |  |
| 17-Jul | WD | Mon | X |  |  | X |
| 18-Jul | WD | Tues |  | X | X |  |
| 19-Jul | WD | Wed | -------- | ------------- | ------------- | --------- |
| 20-Jul | WD | Thur | --- | --------- | ------- | --------- |
| 21-Jul | WD | Fri |  | X | X |  |
| 22-Jul | WE | Sat |  |  | X | X |
| 23-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 24-Jul | WD | Mon | X | X |  |  |
| 25-Jul | WD | Tues |  |  | X | X |
| 26-Jul | WD | Wed | X | X |  |  |
| 27-Jul | WD | Thur | ------- | ------------ | -------------- | ----------- |
| 28-Jul | WD | Fri | ------- | --------- | --------------- | ---------- |
| 29-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |  |
| 30-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X | X |  |
| 31-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X | X |  |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C5. Sampling schedule for the Kodiak marine sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Strata ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | Day | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ (1400-1700) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline C \\ (1701-2000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline D \\ (2001-2300) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Aug | WD | Tues |  | X | X |
| 02-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X | X |
| 03-Aug | WD | Thur | ---------- | ----OFF----- | ------ |
| 04-Aug | WD | Fri |  | -----OFF----- | -------- |
| 05-Aug | WE | Sat | X | X |  |
| 06-Aug | WE | Sun | X | X |  |
| 07-Aug | WD | Mon |  | X | X |
| 08-Aug | WD | Tues | X | X |  |
| 09-Aug | WD | Wed | ----- | ----OFF---- | ---- |
| 10-Aug | WD | Thur |  | -----OFF-- |  |
| 11-Aug | WD | Fri | X | X |  |
| 12-Aug | WE | Sat | X | X |  |
| 13-Aug | WE | Sun | X | X |  |
| 14-Aug | WD | Mon |  | X | X |
| 15-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 16-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X | X |
| 17-Aug | WD | Thur | ---- | ----OFF-- | --------- |
| 18-Aug | WD | Fri | -------- | ---OFF-- | -- |
| 19-Aug | WE | Sat | X | X |  |
| 20-Aug | WE | Sun | X | X |  |
| 21-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 22-Aug | WD | Tues | ----- | ----OFF--- | --------- |
| 23-Aug | WD | Wed |  | -----OFF--- | ---- |
| 24-Aug | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 25-Aug | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 26-Aug | WE | Sat |  | X | X |
| 27-Aug | WE | Sun | X | X |  |
| 28-Aug | WD | Mon | X | X |  |
| 29-Aug | WD | Tues | --- | -----OFF--- | --------- |
| 30-Aug | WD | Wed | -- | ----OFF---- | --------- |
| 31-Aug | WD | Thur | X | X |  |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C5. Sampling schedule for the Kodiak marine sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ (1401-1700) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ (1701-2000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { D } \\ (2001-2300) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Sep | WD | Fri |  | X | X |
| 02-Sep | WE | Sat | X | X |  |
| 03-Sep | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 04-Sep | WE | Mon | X |  | X |
| 05-Sep | WD | Tues | ----- | -------OFF---- | ------- |
| 06-Sep | WD | Wed | ----- | -------OFF---- | ---------- |
| 07-Sep | WD | Thur | -- | -------OFF---- | ----------- |
| 08-Sep | WD | Fri | X | X |  |
| 09-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X | X |
| 10-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X | X |
| 11-Sep | WD | Mon | -------- | -------OFF---- | --------- |
| 12-Sep | WD | Tues | ------- | ------OFF-- | ------------ |
| 13-Sep | WD | Wed | X | X |  |
| 14-Sep | WD | Thur |  | X | X |
| 15-Sep | WD | Fri | X | X |  |
| 16-Sep | WE | Sat | X | X |  |
| 17-Sep | WE | Sun | X | X |  |

1 WD=Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

Appendix Table C6. Sampling schedule for the Clear Creek sport fishery, 1989.

| Date | Stratal | Day | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ (0600-0930) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ (0931-1700) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ (1701-2030) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-Jun | WE | Sat |  | X |  |
| 25-Jun | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 26-Jun | WD | Mon | ---- | -----OFF---- | ----------- |
| 27-Jun | WD | Tues | ------ | ----OFF--- | ---------- |
| 28-Jun | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 29-Jun | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 30-Jun | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 01-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 02-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |  |
| 03-Jul | WE | Mon | X |  | X |
| 04-Jul | WE | Tues |  | X |  |
| 05-Jul | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 06-Jul | WD | Thur | - | ---OFF--- | ---- |
| 07-Jul | WD | Fri |  | -----OFF---- | -------- |
| 08-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 09-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 10-Jul | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 11-Jul | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 12-Jul | WD | Wed | -- | ----OFF---- | --------- |
| 13-Jul | WD | Thur |  | ---OFF-- | ------ |
| 14-Jul | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 15-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 16-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 17-Jul | WD | Mon | ----- | ----OFF--- | - |
| 18-Jul | WD | Tues |  | ----OFF--- | --------- |
| 19-Jul | WD | Wed. | X |  | X |
| 20-Jul | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 21-Jul | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 22-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X |  |
| 23-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 24-Jul | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 25-Jul | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 26-Jul | WD | Wed | --------- | ------OFF----- | ----------- |
| 27-Jul | WD | Thur | -------- | ----OFF-- | -- |
| 28-Jul | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 29-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 30-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 31-Jul | WD | Mon | X |  | X |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C7. Sampling schedule for the Eyak and Alaganik River sport fisheries, 1989.

| Date | Stratal | Day | Period/Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (0600-0930) | (0931-1700) | (1701-2030) |
| 24-Jun | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 25-Jun | WE | Sun |  | X |  |
| 26-Jun | WD | Mon | ----------- | ----OFF------- | ----------- |
| 27-Jun | WD | Tues | --------- | ----OFF------- | ----------- |
| 28-Jun | WD | Wed |  | X |  |
| 29-Jun | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 30-Jun | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 01-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 02-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 03-Jul | WE | Mon | X |  | X |
| 04-Jul | WE | Tues | X | X |  |
| 05-Jul | WD | Wed |  | X |  |
| 06-Jul | WD | Thur | -------- | ---OFF------- | ---------- |
| 07-Jul | WD | Fri | --------- | ---OFF------- | ---------- |
| 08-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X |  |
| 09-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 10-Jul | WD | Mon | ---.------ | ---OFF----- | ----------- |
| 11-Jul | WD | Tues | --------- | ----OFF----- | ---- |
| 12-Jul | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 13-Jul | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 14-Jul | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 15-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X |  |
| 16-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 17-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X |  |
| 18-Jul | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 19-Jul | WD | Wed | ---------- | ---OFF------- | ------------ |
| 20-Jul | WD | Thur | ----------- | ---OFF------- | ------------ |
| 21-Jul | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 22-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 23-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |  |
| 24-Jul | WD | Mon | --------- | ---OFF------- | ------------ |
| 25-Jul | WD | Tues | --------- | ---OFF------- | ------------ |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C6. Sampling schedule for the Clear Creek sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Strata ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A: 1000-1500 | B:1501-2000 |
| 01-Sep | WD | Fri | X |  |
| 02-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 03-Sep | WE | Sun | X |  |
| 04-Sep | WE | Mon | X |  |
| 05-Sep | WD | Tues | ------------ | --- |
| 06-Sep | WD | Wed | -------- | ------ |
| 07-Sep | WD | Thur | X |  |
| 08-Sep | WD | Fri |  | X |
| 09-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |
| 10-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X |
| 11-Sep | WD | Mon |  | X |
| 12-Sep | WD | Tues | X |  |
| 13-Sep | WD | Wed | ----------- | --- |
| 14-Sep | WD | Thur | --------- |  |
| 15-Sep | WD | Fri | X |  |
| 16-Sep | WE | Sat | X |  |
| 17-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X |

$1 \mathrm{WD}=$ Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

Appendix Table C7. Sampling schedule for the Eyak and Alaganik River sport fisheries, 1989. (continued)

| Date | Strata ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (0600-0930) | (0931-1700) | (1701-2030) |
| 26-Jul | WD | Wed |  | X |  |
| 27-Jul | WD | Thur |  | X |  |
| 28-Jul | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 29-Jul | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 30-Jul | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 31-Jul | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 01-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 02-Aug | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 03-Aug | WD | Thur | ------ | ------OFF----- | ----------- |
| 04-Aug | WD | Fri |  | ------OFF----- | ----------- |
| 05-Aug | WE | Sat |  | X |  |
| 06-Aug | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 07-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 08-Aug | WD | Tues | ------- | ------OFF----- | ----------- |
| 09-Aug | WD | Wed |  | ------OFF--- | ----------- |
| 10-Aug | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 11-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X |  |
| 12-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 13-Aug | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 14-Aug | WD | Mon | --- | ------OFF---- | ----------- |
| 15-Aug | WD | Tues |  | ------OFF--- | --- |
| 16-Aug | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 17-Aug | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 18-Aug | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 19-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 20-Aug | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 21-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 22-Aug | WD | Tues | --- | ------OFF----- | ---- |
| 23-Aug | WD | Wed |  | ------OFF----- | ----------- |
| 24-Aug | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 25-Aug | WD | Fri |  | X |  |
| 26-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 27-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |  |
| 28-Aug | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 29-Aug | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 30-Aug | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 31-Aug | WD | Thur | ------ | ------OFF----- | ----------- |
| 01-Sep | WD | Fri |  | ---OFF--- | -------- |
| 02-Sep | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 03-Sep | WE | Sun | X |  | X |

-Continued-

Appendix Table C7. Sampling schedule for the Eyak and Alaganik River sport fisheries, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (0600-0930) | (0931-1700) | (1701-2030) |
| 04-Sep | WE | Mon |  | X |  |
| 05-Sep | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 06-Sep | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 07-Sep | WD | Thur | -------- | ------OFF---- | ---------- |
| 08-Sep | WD | Fri |  | ----OFF----- | --------- |
| 09-Sep | WE | Sat | X |  | X |
| 10-Sep | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| 11-Sep | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 12-Sep | WD | Tues | X |  | X |
| 13-Sep | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 14-Sep | WD | Thur | -------- | ------OFF----- | ----------- |
| $15-\mathrm{Sep}$ | WD | Fri | -------- | ------OFF---- | ----------- |
| 16-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |  |
| 17-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X |  |
| 18-Sep | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 19-Sep | WD | Tues |  | X |  |
| 20-Sep | WD | Wed | X |  | X |
| 21-Sep | WD | Thur | --------- | ------OFF----- | ------------ |
| 22-Sep | WD | Fri | --------- | ----OFF----- | -------- |
| 23-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |  |
| 24-Sep | WE | Sun | X |  | X |
| $25-\mathrm{Sep}$ | WD | Mon | X |  | X |
| 26-Sep | WD | Tues | --------- | ------OFF---- | ----------- |
| 27-Sep | WD | Wed | --------- | -----OFF---- | ----- |
| 28-Sep | WD | Thur | X |  | X |
| 29-Sep | WD | Fri | X |  | X |
| 30-Sep | WE | Sat | X |  | X |

$1 \mathrm{WD}=$ Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

Appendix Table C8. Sampling schedule for the Eshamy sport fishery, 1989

| Date | Stratal | Day | Period/Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (0800-1130) | (1131-1500) | (1501-1830) | (1831-2200) |
| 11-Jul | WD | Tues |  |  |  | X |
| 12-Jul | WD | Wed | ------- | ------------------ | F--------------- | ------ |
| 13-Jul | WD | Thur | ------- | -----------------0 | F---------------- | ----------- |
| 14-Jul | WD | Fri |  | X |  |  |
| 15-Jul | WE | Sat |  | X |  |  |
| 16-Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X |  |
| 17-Jul | WD | Mon | ------- | -----------------0 | F--------------- | ------------- |
| 18-Jul | WD | Tues |  | ---------------- | F---------------- | ---------- |
| 19-Jul | WD | Wed |  | X |  |  |
| 20-Jul | WD | Thur | X |  |  |  |
| 21-Jul | WD | Fri |  |  | X |  |
| 22-Jul | WE | Sat |  |  | X |  |
| 23-Jul | WE | Sun |  |  | X |  |
| 24-Jul | WD | Mon |  | X |  |  |
| $25-\mathrm{Jul}$ | WD | Tues |  |  | X |  |
| 26-Jul | WD | Wed | X |  |  |  |
| 27-Jul | WD | Thur | -- | ------------------ | ---------------- | ------------ |
| 28-Jul | WD | Fri | ---- | ------------------0 | ---------------- | ------------ |
| 29-Jul | WE | Sat |  |  |  | X |
| 30-Jul | WE | Sun |  | X |  |  |
| 31-Jul | WD | Mon | ----- | ------------------ | ---------------- | ------------ |
| 01-Aug | WD | Tues |  | -----------0 | ---------------- | ------------ |
| 02-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X |  |  |
| 03-Aug | WD | Thur |  |  |  | X |
| 04-Aug | WD | Fri |  |  | X |  |
| 05-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X |  |
| 06-Aug | WE | Sun |  |  | X |  |
| 07-Aug | WD | Mon |  |  | X |  |
| 08-Aug | WD | Tues |  |  |  | X |
| 09-Aug | WD | Wed |  |  | X |  |
| 10-Aug | WD | Thur | ---- | --------------- | F--------------- | ----------- |

Appendix Table C8. Sampling schedule for the Eshamy sport fishery, 1989 (continued).

| Date | Strata ${ }^{1}$ | Day | Period/Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (0800-1130) | (1131-1500) | (1501-1830) | (1831-2200) |
| 11-Aug | WD | Fri |  | ----- | ------ | --- |
| 12-Aug | WE | Sat | X |  |  |  |
| 13-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |  |  |
| 14-Aug | WD | Mon |  | X |  |  |
| 15-Aug | WD | Tues |  |  | X |  |
| 16-Aug | WD | Wed |  | X |  |  |
| 17-Aug | WD | Thur | ------- | --------------- | ------------- | ----------- |
| 18-Aug | WD | Fri | --- | ------------------ | ----------- | -------- |
| 19-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  | X |  |
| 20-Aug | WE | Sun |  |  | X |  |
| 21-Aug | WD | Mon |  |  | X |  |
| 22-Aug | WD | Tues |  |  | X |  |
| 23-Aug | WD | Wed | ------- | ---------------- | ----------- | ------ |
| 24-Aug | WD | Thur | ------- | ------------- | ------- | ---- |
| 25-Aug | WD | Fri |  |  |  | X |
| 26-Aug | WE | Sat |  |  |  | X |
| 27-Aug | WE | Sun |  | X |  |  |
| 28-Aug | WD | Mon |  | X |  |  |
| 29-Aug | WD | Tues |  | X |  |  |
| 30-Aug | WD | Wed | ------- | ---------------- | -------------- | ----------- |
| 31-Aug | WD | Thur |  | -------------- | ------- |  |
| 01-Sep | WD | Fri |  |  | X |  |
| 02-Sep | WE | Sat |  | X |  |  |
| 03-Sep | WE | Sun |  | X |  |  |
| 04-Sep | WE | Mon |  |  | X |  |

1 WD=Weekday, WE=Weekend/Holiday.

## Appendix D. Creel Survey Angler Counts

Appendix Table D1. Angler counts for Alaganik River, 24 June through 30 September 1989.

| Survey Date | Period A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | Period C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/24/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 06/25/89 | 2 |  |  |
| 06/26/89 | ------------------------------------OFF $\qquad$$\qquad$$\qquad$ |  |  |
| 06/27/89 |  |  |  |
| 06/28/89 | 1 |  |  |
| 06/29/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 06/30/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 07/01/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 07/02/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 07/03/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/04/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/05/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/06/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/07/89 | --OFF- |  |  |
| 07/08/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/09/89 | 0 |  | 4 |
| 07/10/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/11/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/12/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 07/13/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/14/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/15/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/16/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 07/17/89 | 2 |  |  |
| 07/18/89 | 2 |  | 0 |
| 07/19/89 | --- | --OFF-- | ---- |
| 07/20/89 |  | -OFF | ----- |
| 07/21/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/22/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/23/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/24/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/25/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/26/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/27/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/28/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/29/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/30/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/31/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 08/01/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/02/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/03/89 | $\qquad$ |  |  |
| 08/04/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/05/89 | 0 |  |  |

Appendix Table D1. Angler counts for Alaganik River, 24 June through 30 September 1989 (continued).

| Survey Date | Period A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/06/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/07/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/08/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/09/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/10/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/11/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/12/89 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 08/13/89 | 0 |  | 4 |
| 08/14/89 | OFF |  |  |
| 08/15/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/16/89 | --- |  | 0 |
| 08/17/89 | 0 |  | 3 |
| 08/18/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/19/89 | 0 |  | 4 |
| 08/20/89 | --- |  | 0 |
| 08/21/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/22/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/23/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/24/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/25/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/26/89 | --- |  | 0 |
| 08/27/89 | 11 |  |  |
| 08/28/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/29/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 08/30/89 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 08/31/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/01/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/02/89 | 2 |  | 7 |
| 09/03/89 | 3 |  | 11 |
| 09/04/89 | 6 - |  |  |
| 09/05/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 09/06/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 09/07/89 | -------------------OF <br> OFF- <br> OFF- |  |  |
| 09/08/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/09/89 | 5 |  | 11 |
| 09/10/89 | 2 |  | 2 |
| 09/11/89 | $8$ |  | 11 |
| 09/12/89 | 6 |  | 12 |
| 09/13/89 | 0 |  | 13 |
| 09/14/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/15/89 | -------------------------------OFF-------------------------------------- |  |  |
| 09/16/89 | 6 |  |  |
| 09/17/89 | 0 | 15 | 11 |
| 09/18/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/19/89 |  | 0 |  |

-Continued-

Appendix Table D1. Angler counts for Alaganik River, 24 June through 30 September 1989 (continued).

| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/20/89 | 1 |  | 2 |
| 09/21/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/22/89 | -------------------------------OFF---------------------------------------- |  |  |
| 09/23/89 | 4 |  |  |
| 09/24/89 | 3 |  | 0 |
| 09/25/89 | 0 |  | 5 |
| 09/26/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/27/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/28/89 | 0 |  | 4 |
| 09/29/89 | 0 |  | 5 |
| 09/30/89 | 0 |  | 3 |
|  | 32 | 47 | 139 |

Appendix Table D2. Angler counts for Clear Creek, 24 June through 17 September 1989.

| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/24/89 |  | 0 |  |
| 06/25/89 | --- |  | 0 |
| 06/26/89 | ----- | ---OFF-- | ----- |
| 06/27/89 | --- | ---OFF-- | ----- |
| 06/28/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 06/29/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 06/30/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/01/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/02/89 |  | 0 |  |
| 07/03/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 07/04/89 |  | 1 |  |
| 07/05/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/06/89 | ------- | --OFF-- | ----- |
| 07/07/89 | ------- | -OFF-- |  |
| 07/08/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/09/89 | 1 |  | 0 |
| 07/10/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 07/11/89 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 07/12/89 | ------ | ---OFF- | ------- |
| 07/13/89 |  | ---OFF- | ---- |
| 07/14/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/15/89 | -- |  | 2 |
| 07/16/89 | 0 |  | 8 |
| 07/17/89 |  |  | ------- |
| 07/18/89 |  | ---ofF- | ------- |
| 07/19/89 | 2 |  |  |
| 07/20/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/21/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/22/89 |  | 12 |  |
| 07/23/89 | 0 |  | 6 |
| 07/24/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/25/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 07/26/89 | - | ---OFF- | ------- |
| 07/27/89 |  | ---OFF- | ------ |
| 07/28/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/29/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/30/89 | 0 |  | 3 |
| 07/31/89 |  |  | 2 |
| 08/01/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/02/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/03/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/04/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/05/89 |  |  |  |

Appendix Table D2. Angler counts for Clear Creek, 24 June through 17 September 1989 (continued).

| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/06/89 | 3 |  |  |
| 08/07/89 | ----- | --OFF-- | -------- |
| 08/08/89 | ------ | --OFF-- | --- |
| 08/09/89 |  | 1 |  |
| 08/10/89 |  | 5 |  |
| 08/11/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/12/89 |  | 4 |  |
| 08/13/89 |  | 2 |  |
| 08/14/89 | ------ | --OFF-- | -------- |
| 08/15/89 | ------ | --OFF- | ------- |
| 08/16/89 |  | 1 |  |
| 08/17/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/18/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/19/89 | 2 |  |  |
| 08/20/89 |  | 2 |  |
| 08/21/89 |  | 5 |  |
| 08/22/89 | ------- | --OFF-- | -------- |
| 08/23/89 |  | --OFF- | ---- |
| 08/24/89 |  | 1 |  |
| 08/25/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 08/26/89 | 4 |  |  |
| 08/27/89 | 5 |  |  |
| 08/28/89 | ------ | --OFF-- | ---------- |
| 08/29/89 | ------ | --OFF-- | -------- |
| 08/30/89 | 7 |  |  |
| 08/31/89 | 12 |  |  |
| 09/01/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/02/89 |  | 0 |  |
| 09/03/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/04/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/05/89 | ------ | --OFF-- | ---------- |
| 09/06/89 |  | --OFF-- | -- |
| 09/07/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/08/89 |  | 0 |  |
| 09/09/89 |  | --- |  |
| 09/10/89 |  | --- |  |
| 09/11/89 |  | 0 |  |
| 09/12/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/13/89 | ------ | --OFF-- | -------- |
| 09/14/89 |  | --OFF--- | -------- |
| 09/15/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/16/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/17/89 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 4 | 46 | 48 |

--- No count conducted

Appendix Table D3. Angler counts for Eyak River, 24 June through 30 September 1989.

| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \mathrm{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/24/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 06/25/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 06/26/89 |  |  |  |
| 06/27/89 |  |  |  |
| 06/28/89 | - |  |  |
| 06/29/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 06/30/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 07/01/89 | 0 |  | 3 |
| 07/02/89 | 2 |  | 1 |
| 07/03/89 | 0 |  | 8 |
| 07/04/89 | 1 |  | 5 |
| 07/05/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/06/89 | OFF |  |  |
| 07/07/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/08/89 | - 3 |  |  |
| 07/09/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 07/10/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/11/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/12/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 07/13/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/14/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/15/89 | 2 |  |  |
| 07/16/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 07/17/89 | $1 \longrightarrow$ |  |  |
| 07/18/89 |  |  | 6 |
| 07/19/89 | OFF <br> OFF |  |  |
| 07/20/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/21/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/22/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07/23/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/24/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/25/89 |  |  |  |
| 07/26/89 | 1 |  |  |
| 07/27/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 07/28/89 | $0 \sim$ |  | 2 |
| 07/29/89 | $2 \longrightarrow$ |  | 0 |
| 07/30/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 07/31/89 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 08/01/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/02/89 | 0 |  | 5 |
| 08/03/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/04/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/05/89 |  |  |  |

-Continued-

Appendix Table D3. Angler counts for Eyak River, 24 June through 30 September, 1989 (continued).

| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/06/89 | 7 |  | 15 |
| 08/07/89 | 6 |  | 10 |
| 08/08/89 | ---------------------------------OF $\qquad$ <br> OFF $\qquad$ |  |  |
| 08/09/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/10/89 | 0 |  | 9 |
| 08/11/89 | 8 |  |  |
| 08/12/89 | 5 |  | 7 |
| 08/13/89 | 9 |  | 12 |
| 08/14/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/15/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/16/89 | 14 |  | 31 |
| 08/17/89 | 18 |  | 21 |
| 08/18/89 | 17 |  | 3 |
| 08/19/89 | 2 |  | 10 |
| 08/20/89 | --- |  | 16 |
| 08/21/89 | 12 |  | 13 |
| 08/22/89 | OFF |  |  |
| 08/23/89 |  |  |  |
| 08/24/89 | 20 |  | 18 |
| 08/25/89 | 9 |  |  |
| 08/26/89 | 3 |  | 20 |
| 08/27/89 | $12 \sim$ |  |  |
| 08/28/89 | 2 |  | 0 |
| 08/29/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/30/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08/31/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/01/89 | ------------------------------OFF----------------------------------------- |  |  |
| 09/02/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/03/89 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 09/04/89 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/05/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/06/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/07/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/08/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/09/89 | 0 -------------------------OFF-----------------------------100 |  |  |
| 09/10/89 | 0 |  | 8 |
| 09/11/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/12/89 |  |  | 0 |
| 09/13/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/14/89 |  |  |  |
| 09/15/89 | -----------------------------OFF----------------------------------------- |  |  |
| 09/16/89 | 1 |  |  |
| 09/17/89 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 09/18/89 |  |  | 0 |
| 09/19/89 |  | 0 |  |

-Continued-

Appendix Table D3. Angler counts for Eyak River, 24 June through 30 September 1989 (continued).

| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | Period $\mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/20/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/21/89 | ------ | --OFF- | ----- |
| 09/22/89 |  | --OFF-- | --- |
| 09/23/89 |  | 3 |  |
| 09/24/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/25/89 | --- |  | 0 |
| 09/26/89 | ----- | --OFF-- | ------ |
| 09/27/89 |  | --OFF- | --- |
| 09/28/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/29/89 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09/30/89 | 0 |  | 5 |
|  | 123 | 46 | 243 |

--- No count conducted

Appendix Table D4. Angler counts for Eshamy, 11 July through 4 September 1989.

-Continued-

Appendix Table D4. Angler counts for Eshamy, 11 July through 4 September 1989 (continued).

| Survey Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Period } \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/21/89 |  |  | 2 |  |
| 08/22/89 |  |  | 5 |  |
| 08/23/89 | ------ | --------- | --------- | --------- |
| 08/24/89 | - | --------- | --------- | -------- |
| 08/25/89 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 08/26/89 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 08/27/89 |  | 4 |  |  |
| 08/28/89 |  | 4 |  |  |
| 08/29/89 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 08/30/89 | ------ | ---- | ---------- | --------- |
| 08/31/89 |  | ---- | ------ | ---- |
| 09/01/89 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 09/02/89 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 09/03/89 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 09/04/89 |  |  | 0 |  |

--- No count conducted

Appendix E. Age and Length Data for Halibut, Lingcod, and Rockfish

Appendix Table E1. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for Pacific halibut sampled in the Cordova marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Age Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | : 3 | : 4 | : 5 | : 6 | : 7 | : 8 | : 9 | :10 | :11 | :12 | :14 | :16 | : | TOTAL |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | : | : | 4 : | 4 : | 3 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | 4 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | : | 29 |
| \% of Sample | : | : | 4.1 : | 4.1 : | 3.1 : | 3.1 : | 2.0 : | 2.0 : | 4.1 : | 3.1 : | 2.0 : | 2.0: | : | 29.6 |
| Std. Error | . | : | 2.01 : | 2.01 : | 1.75 : | 1.75 : | 1.44 : | 1.44 : | 2.01 : | 1.75 : | 1.44 : | 1.44 : | : | 4.63 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | : | $1:$ | 8 : | 12 : | 8 : | 7 : | 4 : | 2 : | 2 : | 3 : | : | : | $1:$ | 48 |
| \% of Sample | - | 1.0 : | 8.2 : | 12.2 : | 8.2 : | 7.1 : | 4.1 : | 2.0 : | 2.0 : | 3.1 : | : | : | 1.0 : | 49.0 |
| Std. Error | : | 1.02 : | 2.78 : | 3.33 : | 2.78 : | 2.61 : | 2.01 : | 1.44 : | 1.44 : | 1.75 : | : | : | 1.02 : | 5.08 |
| All ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | : | 2 : | 14 : | $21:$ | $20:$ | 12 : | 6 : | 4 : | 7 : | 7 : | 2 : | 2 : | 1 : | 98 |
| \% of Sample | : | 2.0 : | 14.3 : | 21.4 : | 20.4 : | 12.2 : | 6.1 : | 4.1 : | 7.1 : | 7.1 : | 2.0 : | 2.0 : | 1.0 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error | : | 1.44 : | 3.55 : | 4.17 : | 4.09 : | 3.33 : | 2.43 : | 2.01 : | 2.61 : | 2.61 : | 1.44 : | 1.44 : | 1.02 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | : | : | 578 : | 675 : | 835 : | 1063 : | 1067 : | 1108 : | 1144 : | 1236 : | 1121: | 1371 : | : | 977 |
| Std. Error | : | : | 42.50 : | 28.94 : | 53.88 : | 97.35 : | 37.00 : | 4.00 : | 52.50 : 1 | 114.54 : | 8.50 : | 254.50 : | . | 51.46 |
| Sample Size | : | : | 4: | 4 : | 3 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | 4 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | . | 29 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | : | 630 : | 620 : | 671 : | 783 : | 897 : | 904 : | 1113 : | 1123 : | 1133 : | : | : | 1340 : | 813 |
| Std. Error | : | : | 31.21: | 29.98 : | 46.62 : | 46.38 : | 61.28 : | 10.00 : | 7.00 : | 14.53: | : | : | : | 30.15 |
| Sample Size | : | $1:$ | 8 : | 12 : | 8 : | $7:$ | 4 : | 2 : | 2 : | 3 : | : | : | 1: | 48 |
| All ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | : | 600 : | 617 : | 660 : | 781 : | 929 : | 958 : | 1110.50: | 1103 : | 1223 : | 1121: | 1371: | 1340 : | 850 |
| Std. Error | : | 30.00 : | 24.16 : | 20.22: | 26.82: | 41.53 : | 52.71 : | 4.63 : | 44.14: | 60.98 : | 8.50 : | 254.50: | 1 | 24.55 |
| Sample Size | : | 2 : | 14 : | 21 : | 20 : | 12 : | 6 : | 4 : | 7 : | 7 : | 2 : | 2 : | 1: | 98 |

Appendix Table E2. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for Pacific halibut sampled in the Valdez marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Parameter | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | : | 9: | 10 : | 5 : | 5 : | $9:$ | 9 : | 4 : | : | 3 : | 2 : | 1: | : | 1: | : | : | 58 |
| \% of Sample: | : | 3.2 : | 3.6 : | 1.8 : | 1.8 : | 3.2 : | 3.2 : | 1.4 : | : | 1.1 : | 0.7 : | 0.4 : | : | 0.4 : |  | : | 20.7 |
| Std. Error: | : | 1 : | 1: | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | : | $1:$ | 1: | <1 : | : | <1 : | . | : | 2 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number: | $1:$ | 1: | 8 : | 6 : | 8 : | $3:$ | 1: | $5:$ | : | $1:$ | : | : | : | : | : | : | 34 |
| \% of Sample: | 0.4 : | 0.4 : | 2.9 : | 2.1 : | 2.9 : | 1.1 : | 0.4 : | 1.8 : | : | 0.4 : | : | : | : | : |  |  | 12.1 |
| Std. Error: | <1: | <1: | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | <1 : | $1:$ | : | <1: | : | : | : | : | : | : | 2 |
| All ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number: | 5 : | 40 : | 42 : | 42 : | 33 : | 38 : | 25 : | 28 : | 5 : | 8 : | 5 : | 3 : | 1: | 2 : | 2 : | 1 : | 280 |
| \% of Sample: | 1.8 : | 14.3 : | 15.0: | 15.0: | 11.8: | 13.6 : | 8.9 : | 10.0 : | 1.8 : | 2.9 : | 1.8 : | 1.1 : | 0.4 : | 0.7 : | 0.7 : | 0.4 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error: | $1:$ | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | <1: | 1 : | 1 : | <1 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average: | : | 584 : | 627 : | 732 : | 655 : | 892 : | 999 : | 1166: | : | 1423 : | 1437 : | 1370 : | : | 1880: | : |  | 871 |
| Std. Error: | : | 20 : | 33 : | 50 : | 93 : | 40 : | 76 : | 102 : | : | 69 : | 92 : | : | : | : | : | : | 43 |
| Sample Size: | : | 9: | 10 : | 5 : | 5 : | 9 : | 9 : | 4 : | : | 3 : | 2 : | 1: | : | 1: | . | : | 58 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average: | 550 : | 510: | 626 : | 624 : | 738 : | 937: | 860 : | 913 : | : | 890 : | . | . | : | . |  | : | 730 |
| Std. Error: | . | : | 13 : | 40 : | 26 : | 59 : | : | 73 : | : | : | . | . | . | . |  | . | 27 |
| Sample Size: | 1: | 1: | 8 : | 6 : | 8 : | 3 : | 1: | 5 : | : | 1: | : | : | : | : | . | : | 34 |
| All ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average: | 492 : | 529 : | 616 : | 687 : | 737 : | 883 : | 983 : | 1059: | 1246: | 1221 : | 1401 : | 1393 : | 1580 : | 1690 : | 1868 : | 1690 : | 814 |
| Std. Error: | 26 : | 10 : | 13 : | 18 : | 26 : | 23 : | 38 : | 37 : | 111: | 73 : | 69 : | 34 : | : | 190 : | 13 : | : | 18 |
| Sample Size: | 5 : | 40 : | 42 : | 42 : | 33 : | 38 : | $25:$ | 28 : | $5:$ | 8 : | 5 : | 3 : | $1:$ | 2 : | 2 : | 1: | 280 |

1 Includes fish which were not sexed

Appendix Table E3. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for Pacific halibut sampled in the Kodiak sport fishery, 1989.

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | 1: | 6 : | 17 : | 36 : | 20 : | 21 : | 29 : | 12 : | 15 : | 11 : | 3 : | 1: | 172 |
| \% of Sample | 0.5 : | 3.0 : | 8.6 : | 18.3 : | 10.2 : | 10.7 : | 14.7 : | 6.1 : | 7.6 : | 5.6 : | 1.5 : | 0.5 : | 87.3 |
| Std. Error | 1 : | 1 : | 2 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 1 : | 1 : | 2 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | : | 1: | 3 : | 3 : | 4: | 5 : | 2 : | 4 : | 2 : | : | 1: | : | 25 |
| \% of Sample | : | 0.5 : | 1.5 : | 1.5 : | 2.0 : | 2.5 : | 1.0 : | 2.0 : | 1.0 : | : | 0.5 : | . | 12.7 |
| Std. Error | : | 1 : | 1 : | 1: | 1 : | 1: | $1:$ | 1 : | 1 : | : | 1 : | : | 2 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | 1: | 7 : | 20 : | 39 : | 24 : | 26 : | $31:$ | 16 : | 17 : | 11 : | 4 : | $1:$ | 197 |
| \% of Sample | 0.5 : | 3.6 : | 10.2 : | 19.8 : | 12.2 : | 13.2: | 15.7: | 8.1 : | 8.6 : | 5.6 : | 2.0 : | 0.5 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error | 1: | 1 : | 2 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 1 : | 1 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 560 : | 666 : | 677 : | 787 : | 884 : | 1044 : | 1156 : | 1239 : | 1372 : | 1489 : | 1612 : | 1510: | 1023 |
| Std. Error | : | 43 : | 19 : | 18 : | 31 : | 42 : | 38 : | 38 : | 69 : | 68 : | 52 : | : | 24 |
| Sample Size | 1: | 6 : | 17 : | 35 : | 20 : | 21 : | 29 : | 12 : | 15 : | 11 : | 3 : | 1: | 171 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | : | 665 : | 530 : | 809 : | 727 : | 811 : | 935 : | 971 : | 685 : | : | 810 : | : | 783 |
| Std. Error | : | : | 147 : | 162 : | 49 : | 20 : | 185 : | 134 : | 125 : | : |  | : | 42 |
| Sample Size | : | $1:$ | 3 : | 3 : | 4 : | 5 : | 2 : | 4 : | 2 : | : | $1:$ | : | 25 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 560 : | 665 : | 655 : | 788 : | 858 : | 1000 : | 1142 : | 1172 : | 1291 : | 1489 : | 1411: | 1510 : | 992 |
| Std. Error | : | 36 : | 27 : | 20 : | 29 : | 38 : | 38 : | 51 : | 83 : | 68 : | 204 : | : | 22 |
| Sample Size | 1 : | 7 : | 20 : | 38 : | 24 : | 26 : | 31 : | 16 : | 17: | 11 : | 4 : | 1 : | 196 |

Appendix Table E4. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for Pacific halibut sampled in the Homer marine sport fishery, 1989.

|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 9 : | 27 : | 30 : | 31 : | 43 : | 30 : | 16 : | 11: | 3 : | 6 : | 2 : | : | 208 |
| \% of Sample : | 2.6 : | 7.8 : | 8.6 : | 8.9 : | 12.4 : | 8.6 : | 4.6 : | 3.2 : | 0.9 : | 1.7: | 0.6 : | : | 59.8 |
| Std. Error | 1 : | 1 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | $1:$ | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | <1: | : | 3 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 7 : | 17 : | 17 : | 21 : | 24 : | 22 : | 18 : | $6:$ | 4: | $1:$ | 2 : | $1:$ | 140 |
| \% of Sample | 2.0 : | 4.9 : | 4.9 : | 6.0 : | 6.9 : | 6.3 : | 5.2 : | 1.7 : | 1.1 : | 0.3 : | 0.6 : | 0.3 : | 40.2 |
| Std. Error | 1 : | $1:$ | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1: | 1: | $1:$ | 1: | <1: | <1: | <1: | 3 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | 16 : | 44 : | 47 : | 52 : | 67 : | 52 : | 34 : | 17 : | 7 : | 7 : | 4 : | 1: | 348 |
| \% of Sample | 4.6 : | 12.6: | 13.5 : | 14.9 : | 19.3 : | 14.9 : | 9.8 : | 4.9 : | 2.0 : | 2.0 : | 1.1 : | 0.3 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error | 1: | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 1: | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | <1 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 709 : | 759 : | 872 : | 1067 : | 1069 : | 1165 : | 1220 : | 1281 : | 1078: | 1336 : | 1383 : | . | 1032 |
| Std. Error | 30 : | 16 : | 23 : | 34 : | 21 : | 31 : | 58 : | 64 : | 44 : | 60 : | 103 : | . | 16 |
| Sample Size | 9 : | 27 : | 30 : | $31:$ | 43 : | 30 : | 16 : | 11 : | 3 : | 6 : | 2 : | : | 208 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 708 : | 782 : | 765 : | 840 : | 915 : | 1099 : | 1021 : | 1088 : | 1061 : | 1060: | 1030 : | 1500 : | 920 |
| Std. Error | 56 : | 31 : | 23 : | 28 : | 25 : | 25 : | 45 : | 130 : | 34 : | : | 160 : | : | 17 |
| Sample Size | 7 : | 17 : | 17 : | 21 : | 24 : | 22 : | 18 : | 6 : | 4: | 1: | 2 : | 1 : | 140 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 708 : | 768 : | 833 : | 975 : | 1014 : | 1137: | 1115 : | 1213 : | 1069: | 1296 : | 1206 : | 1500 : | 987 |
| Std. Error | 29 : | 15 : | 19 : | 28 : | 19 : | 21 : | 40 : | 64 : | 25 : | 64 : | 128 : | : | 12 |
| Sample Size | 16: | 44 : | 47 : | 52 : | 67 : | 52 : | 34 : | 17 : | 7 : | 7 : | 4 : | 1: | 348 |

Appendix Table E5. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for Pacific halibut sampled in the Seward marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Parameter : | 3: | 4: | $5:$ | 6: | 7 : | 8 : | 9: | 10: | 11: | 12 : | 13: | 14: | 15: | 16: | 17: | 18: | 22: | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 1: | 9 : | 14 : | 42 : | 43 : | 36 : | 40 : | 54 : | 27 : | 29 : | 15 : | 9 : | $5:$ | 6: | 3: | 2: | 1: | 336 |
| \% of Sample : | 0.3 : | 2.7 : | 4.2 : | 12.5 : | 12.8 : | 10.7 : | 11.9 : | 16.1 : | 8.0 : | 8.6 : | 4.5 : | 2.7 : | 1.5 : | 1.8 : | 0.9 : | 0.6 : | 0.3 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error : | 0.30 : | 0.88 : | 1.09 : | 1.81 : | 1.83 : | 1.69 : | 1.77 : | 2.01 : | 1.49 : | 1.53 : | 1.13 : | 0.88 : | 0.66 : | 0.72 : | 0.51 : | 0.42 : | 0.30 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 400 : | 545 : | 653 : | 731 : | 842 : | 931 : | 1062 : | 1067 : | 1068 : | 1230 : | 1456 : | 1318 : | 1164 : | 1533 : | 1495 : | 1760 : | 2030 : | 1007 |
| Std. Error |  | 13.51 : | 23.37: | 25.31 : | 26.16: | 32.47 : | 27.46 : | 25.88 : | 27.37 : | 33.39 : | 47.32: | 65.98 : | 45.56: | 87.86: | 28.43 : | 145.00: | 1 | 15.90 |
| Sample Size : | 1 : | 9 : | 14 : | 42: | 43 : | 36 : | 40 : | 54 : | 27: | 29 : | 15 : | 9: | 5 : | 6 : | $3:$ | 2 : | 1: | 336 |

Appendix Table E6. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for lingcod sampled in the Homer marine sport fishery, 1989.

|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | : | 1: | 2 : | 2 : | 1: | : | : | 6 |
| \% of Sample : | : | 4.2 : | 8.3 : | 8.3 : | 4.2 : | : | : | 25.0 |
| Std. Error | : | 4 : | 6 : | 6: | 4 : | : | : | 9 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 2: | 5: | 2: | 4 : | 3 : | 1: | 1: | 18 |
| \% of Sample | 8.3 : | 20.8 : | 8.3 : | 16.7 : | 12.5 : | 4.2 : | 4.2 : | 75.0 |
| Std. Error | 6 : | 8 : | 6 : | 8 : | 7 : | 4 : | 4 : | 9 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 2: | 6 : | 4: | $6:$ | 4 : | 1: | 1: | 24 |
| \% of Sample | 8.3 : | 25.0 : | 16.7: | 25.0 : | 16.7 : | 4.2 : | 4.2 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error : | 6 : | 9: | 7 : | 9 : | 7 : | 4 : | 4 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | : | 935 : | 990 : | 1005 : | 1130 : | : | : | 1009 |
| Std. Error | : | : | 30 : | 105 : | : | . | : | 39 |
| Sample Size | : | $1:$ | 2 : | 2 : | 1: | : | : | 6 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 750 : | 898 : | 895 : | 985 : | 940 : | 1230 : | 1210 : | 943 |
| Std. Error | 10 : | 30 : | 65 : | 77 : | 60 : | : | : | 35 |
| Sample Size : | 2 : | 5 : | 2 : | 4 : | 3 : | 1: | 1: | 18 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 750 : | 904 : | 943 : | 992 : | 988 : | 1230 : | 1210: | 960 |
| Std. Error | 10 : | 26 : | 40 : | 56 : | 64 : | : | : | 28 |
| Sample Size : | 2 : | 6 : | 4 : | 6 : | 4: | 1: | 1: | 24 |

Appendix Table E7. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for lingcod sampled in the Seward marine sport fishery, 1989.

|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 6 : | 28 : | 54 : | 74 : | 112 : | 54 : | 32 : | 22 : | 25 : | 3 : | 2 : | 4: | 2 : | 4 : | $1:$ | $1:$ | 424 |
| \% of Sample : | 1.4 : | 6.6 : | 12.7 : | 17.5 : | 26.4 : | 12.7 : | 7.5 : | 5.2 : | 5.9 : | 0.7 : | 0.5 : | 0.9 : | 0.5 : | 0.9 : | 0.2 : | 0.2 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error : | 1: | 1 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 : | 1: | 1: | 1: | $<1$ : | <1: | <1: | <1: | <1: | <1: | <1 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average : | 623 : | 692 : | 737 : | 817 : | 856 : | 873 : | 942 : | 968 : | 975 : | 968 : | 840 : | 1019 : | 1140 : | 1078 : | 990 : | 1295 : | 849 |
| Std. Error : | 24 : | 14 : | 12 : | 10 : | 8 : | 11: | 17 : | 22 : | 30 : | 96 : | 60 : | 53 : | 0 : | 88 : |  | : | 6 |
| Sample Size : | 6 : | 28 : | 54 : | 74 : | 112: | 54 : | 32 : | 22 : | 25 : | 3 : | 2 : | 4 : | 2 : | 4 : | 1: | 1: | 424 |
| Minimum : | 565: | 540 : | 590 : | 640 : | 710 : | 750 : | 790 : | 795 : | 575 : | 840 : | 780 : | 940 : | 1140 : | 895 : | 990 : | 1295 : | 540 |
| Maximum : | 730 : | 885 : | 1150: | 1020: | 1080 : | 1050 : | 1175 : | 1115: | 1195 : | 1155 : | 900 : | 1175 : | 1140: | 1245 : | 990 : | 1295 : | 1295 |

Appendix Table E8. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for lingcod sampled in the Seward marine sport fishery, 1988.

| Parameter | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | 4: | 9 : | 17 : | 8 : | 8 : | 4 : | 9 : | 2 : | 1 : | 1: | 63 |
| \% of Sample | 6.3 : | 14.3 : | 27.0 : | 12.7 : | 12.7 : | 6.3 : | 14.3 : | 3.2 : | 1.6 : | 1.6 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error | 3 : | 4 : | 6 : | 4 : | 4: | 3 : | 4 : | 2 : | 2 : | 2 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 819 : | 829 : | 879 : | 919 : | 929: | 1056 : | 991 : | 798 : | 1030 : | 945 : | 908 |
| Std. Error | 81 : | 29 : | 24 : | 45 : | 32 : | 27 : | 49 : | 93 : | : | - | 16 |
| Sample Size | 4 : | 9 : | 17 : | 8 : | 8 : | 4 : | 9 : | 2 : | $1:$ | 1: | 63 |
| Minimum | 690 : | 710 : | 740 : | 765 : | 835 : | 995 : | 750 : | 705 : | 1030 : | 945 : | 690 |
| Maximum | 1050: | 945 : | 1145 : | 1145 : | 1095 : | 1115 : | 1165 : | 890 : | 1030 : | 945 : | 1165 |

Appendix Table E9. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for lingcod sampled in the Seward marine sport fishery, 1987.

| Parameter | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number: |  | 10: | 20 : | 41 : | 21 : | 13 : | 24 : | 17 : | 7 : | 3 : | 2 : | $6:$ | 5 : | $1:$ | 2 : | 1: | 176 |
| \% of Sample : | 1.7 : | 5.7 : | 11.4 : | 23.3 : | 11.9: | 7.4 : | 13.6 : | 9.7 : | 4.0 : | 1.7 : | 1.1: | 3.4 : | 2.8 : | 0.6 : | 1.1 : | 0.6 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error : | 1 : | 2 : | 2 : | 3 : | 2 : | 2 : | 3 : | 2 : | 1: | $1:$ | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 1: | 1 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average : | 545 : | 662 : | 715 : | 735 : | 796 : | 872 : | 904 : | 925 : | 949 : | 1013 : | 1076 | 1140 : | 925 : | 1130 : | 1120 : | 760 : | 826 |
| Std. Error : | 58 : | 48 : | 21 : | 16 : | 21 : | 29 : | 23 : | 24 : | 51 : | 80 : | 160 : | 28 : | 23 : | . | 45 : | : | 12 |
| Sample Size: | 3 : | 10 : | 20 : | 41 : | 21 : | 13 : | 24 : | 17 : | 7: | 3 : | 2 : | 6 : | 5 : | $1:$ | 2 : | $1:$ | 176 |
| Minimum : | 480 : | 455 : | 475 : | 530 : | 580 : | 680 : | 690 : | 755 : | 760 : | 855 : | 916 : | 1060: | 860 : | 1130: | 975 : | 760 : | 455 |
| Maximum : | 660 : | 915 : | 870 : | 1055 : | 985 : | 1035 : | 1060 : | 1115 : | 1095 : | 1115 : | 1235 : | 1240 : | 990 : | 1130 : | 1065 : | 760 : | 1240 |

$<1=$ less than 1

Appendix Table E10. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for black rockfish sampled in the Homer marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Parameter | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 33 | 41 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 1: | $1:$ | $5:$ | 4: | $1:$ | 3 : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 15 |
| \% of Sample : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 14.7 : | 11.8 : | 2.9 : | 8.8 : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  | 44.1 |
| Std. Error : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 6.17 : | 5.61 : | 2.94 : | 4.94 : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 8.64 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 1: | : | 6 : | : | 1: | $1:$ | 1: | 1: | 1: | $1:$ | $1:$ | 1: | 1: | $1:$ | 1 : | 1 : | 19 |
| \% of Sample : | 2.9 : | : | 17.6 : | : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 55.9 |
| Std. Error : | 2.94 : | : | 6.64 : | : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94: | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 8.64 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Number : | 2: | 1 : | 11: | 4 : | 2 : | 4 : | 1: | 1: | 1 : | 1: | 1 : | 1 : | 1: | 1 : | 1 : | 1 : | 34 |
| \% of Sample : | 5.9 : | 2.9 : | 32.4 : | 11.8 : | 5.9 : | 11.8 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 2.9 : | 100.0 |
| Std. Error : | 4.10 : | 2.94 : | 8.14 : | 5.61 : | 4.10 : | 5.61 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 : | 2.94 |  |
| Length Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 445 : | 550 : | 507 : | 510 : | $535:$ | 560 : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  | 519 |
| Std. Error | : |  | 12.90: | 19.58: | , | 16.07 : | : | . | , | . | . |  |  | . | . |  | 10.30 |
| Sample Size : | 1: | 1: | 5 : | 4 : | 1 : | 3 : | : | : | . | : | - | , | : | - | . | . | 15 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average : | 430 : | : | 489 : | : | 545 : | 560 : | 530 : | 530 : | 545 : | 530 : | 550 : | 530 : | 510 : | 520 : | 550 : | 495 : | 514 |
| Std. Error : |  |  | 9.35 : | : |  |  | : | . | . | , | - | : | : | . | . | : | 7.92 |
| Sample Size : | 1: | : | 6 : | : | 1: | 1: | $1:$ | 1: | 1: | 1 : | $1:$ | $1:$ | $1:$ | 1 : | $1:$ | 1 : | 19 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 438 : | 550 : | 497 : | 510 : | 540 : | 560 : | 530 : | 530 : | 545 : | 530 : | 550 : | 530 : | 510 : | 520 : | 550 : | 495 : | 516 |
| Std. Error : | 7.50 : | : | 7.87: | 19.58 : | 5.00 : | 11.37: | 1 | 1 | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { : }}$ | : | : | : | . | - | . | 1 | 6.26 |
| Sample Size : | 2 : | 1 : | 11 : | 4 : | 2 : | 4: | 1: | 1: | 1: | $1:$ | 1: | $1:$ | 1: | 1 : | $1:$ | 1: | 34 |

Appendix Table E11. Mean length (in millimeters) by age class for black rockfish sampled in the Seward marine sport fishery, 1989.

| Parameter | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 32 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Age Composition

| Sample Number | 3 | 2 | 17 | 61 | 28 | 52 | 36 | 119 | 53 | 31 | 41 | 36 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 498 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% of Sample | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 12.2 | 5.6 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 23.9 | 10.6 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| Std. Error | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |  |

## Length Composition

| Average | 273 | 303 | 329 | 357 | 364 | 422 | 441 | 470 | 469 | 486 | 500 | 500 | 486 | 502 | 550 | 540 | 580 | 470 | 475 | 520 | 443 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. Error | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 14 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Sample Size | 3 | 2 | 17 | 60 | 28 | 52 | 36 | 119 | 53 | 31 | 41 | 36 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 497 |
| Minimum | 270 | 300 | 285 | 290 | 310 | 370 | 340 | 350 | 400 | 355 | 420 | 410 | 430 | 465 | 510 | 540 | 580 | 470 | 475 | 520 | 270 |
| Maximum | 280 | 305 | 375 | 480 | 435 | 510 | 505 | 530 | 525 | 545 | 555 | 560 | 545 | 545 | 590 | 540 | 580 | 470 | 475 | 520 | 590 |

Appendix F. Marine and Creel Survey Angler Demographics

Various information was obtained from anglers participating in the sport fisheries surveyed during 1989. Along with the catch sampling and catch and harvest information presented in this report, angler demographics information and data on area fished, boat type, target species, and number of rods fished were collected from interviewed anglers. These data are presented by survey area below.

## Marine Surveys

## Kodiak:

A total of 366 interviews of boat anglers was conducted during the survey of the Kodiak marine sport fishery. Interviewed anglers reported catching a total of 2,208 fish with halibut, sculpin, and rockfish accounting for $77 \%$ of the total catch. Most (79.2\%) of the boats interviewed were targeting halibut while $14.8 \%$ were targeting coho salmon. The anglers targeting coho salmon caught only $0.5 \%$ of the halibut but accounted for $76.4 \%$ of the coho salmon reported. Similarly, anglers targeting halibut accounted for $99.4 \%$ of the halibut catch. Halibut anglers were also responsible for essentially all of the lingcod, cod, flounder, and sculpin catches reported during 1989.

The most popular fishing site was at Buoy 4 (51.4\%) while Inner Chiniak had $35.0 \%$ of the reported effort. Coho anglers fished primarily (64.8\%) in Inner Chiniak but the highest coho salmon catch rates (fish per boat trip) were reported at Cape Chiniak. Halibut anglers fished primarily ( $60.9 \%$ ) at Buoy 4 but the highest catch rates were reported at Whale Island. Boat anglers fished with from 1 to 7 rods per boat and averaged 2.6 rods per boat. Most ( $91.0 \%$ ) of the boats were either open types or cabin cruisers less than 30 feet in length. Essentially all of the boats were out for the day as only 14 of the 366 boats interviewed fished for 2 or more days. The longest reported fishing trip was 4 days. Most ( $95.9 \%$ ) of the boats were operated by local Kodiak/Afognak Island residents although many of these boats had out-of-town or out-of-state anglers who were also fishing. Only three of the 366 interviews were guided boats.

## Valdez:

A total of 830 interviews of boat anglers was conducted during the survey of the Valdez marine boat sport fishery. Interviewed anglers reported catching a total of 11,006 fish with coho and pink salmon accounting for $75 \%$ of the total catch. Guided boats made up only $11.2 \%$ of the total interviews. Most (59.5\%) of the boats interviewed were targeting coho salmon while $18.9 \%$ were targeting pink salmon and $9.8 \%$ were targeting halibut.

Most anglers (87.5\%) fished within Valdez Arm. Those boats departing out of Valdez to fish areas outside of Valdez Arm were primarily targeting halibut. Boat anglers fished with from 1 to 14 rods per boat and averaged 3.2 rods per boat. Most ( $88.2 \%$ ) of the boats were either open types or cabin cruisers less than 30 feet in length, and essentially all of the boats were out for only a single day of fishing. Local Valdez residents accounted for only $17.6 \%$ of the interviews while non-local Alaska residents comprised $68.7 \%$ of the interviews.

## Whittier:

A total of 244 interviews of boat anglers was conducted during the survey of the Whittier marine boat sport fishery. Only one of the 244 interviews was a guided boat. Interviewed anglers reported catching a total of 1,866 fish of which coho and pink salmon accounted for $58 \%$ of the total catch. Forty-five percent of the interviewed anglers were targeting halibut while $24 \%$ were targeting sockeye salmon. All interviewed anglers boating out of Whittier fished in western PWS. Most (86.9\%) of the boats were cabin cruisers operated by non-local Alaska residents. No boat anglers from outside of Alaska were encountered during the interviews. Boat anglers fished with from 1 to 6 rods per boat and averaged 2.5 rods per boat. Unlike other marine survey areas, anglers fishing out of Whittier often spent more than one day fishing per trip.

## Homer:

A total of 1,313 interviews of boat anglers was conducted during the survey of the Homer marine sport fishery. Interviewed anglers reported catching a total of 33,231 fish with halibut, cod, and sculpin accounting for $95 \%$ of the total catch. Most (96.5\%) of the boats interviewed were targeting halibut, while only $3.4 \%$ of the anglers interviewed reported targeting salmon. Guided boats made up $66.6 \%$ of the total interviews, and guided anglers accounted for $86.0 \%$ of the total reported halibut harvest.

Areas fished were Kachemak Bay (23.3\%), north of Anchor Point (14.8\%), north of Point Pogibshia (34.9\%), and other outside waters (27.9\%). Boat anglers fished with from 1 to 19 rods per boat and averaged the highest of any of the 1989 marine survey sites with 5.7 rods per boat. Most $(90.7 \%)$ of the boats were cabin cruisers. Essentially all of the boats were out for the day as only 14 of the 1,313 boats interviewed fished for 2 or more days. The longest reported fishing trip was 8 days. Most ( $95.9 \%$ ) of the anglers fishing out of Homer were either non-local Alaska residents (43.4\%) or non-Alaska residents.

## Cordova:

A total of 79 interviews of boat anglers was conducted during the survey of the Cordova marine sport fishery. Interviewed anglers reported catching a total of 250 fish with halibut, cod, and coho salmon accounting for $79 \%$ of the total catch. Most ( $79.7 \%$ ) of the boats interviewed were targeting halibut, while the remainder ( $20.3 \%$ ) were targeting coho salmon. Only two of the 79 interviews were guided boats.

All interviewed anglers boating out of Cordova fished in eastern PWS. Most (94.9\%) of the boats were either open types or cabin cruisers less than 30 feet in length, and essentially all of the boats were out for only a single day of fishing. Ninety-one percent of the anglers interviewed were local Cordova residents. Boat anglers fished with from 1 to 6 rods per boat and averaged 2.5 rods per boat.

## Creel Surveys

## Alaganik River:

All of the 274 anglers interviewed while fishing at Alaganik River during 1989 were unguided, and most ( $67.5 \%$ ) were residents of eastern PWS. Anglers were primarily targeting coho salmon ( $82.5 \%$ ) and completed anglers (anglers who were done fishing at the site for the day) averaged 2.3 hours fishing per angler.

## Clear Creek:

All of the 101 anglers interviewed while fishing at Clear Creek during 1989 were unguided. Most (45.5\%) were non-Alaska residents while the remainder were residents of eastern PWS ( $37.6 \%$ ) or were residents from elsewhere in Alaska (16.8\%). Anglers were primarily targeting Dolly Varden (41.6\%) and completed anglers averaged 2.3 hours fishing per angler.

## Eyak River:

All of the 447 anglers interviewed while fishing at Eyak River during 1989 were unguided. Most anglers (71.8\%) were residents of eastern PWS. Anglers were primarily targeting coho salmon ( $86.8 \%$ ) and completed anglers averaged 2.0 hours fishing per angler.

## Eshamy:

Of the 138 anglers interviewed while fishing at Eshamy during 1989, 75.0\% were unguided. Most anglers (64.2\%) were Alaska residents. Anglers were primarily targeting sockeye salmon and completed anglers averaged 2.6 hours fishing per angler.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some of these fish may have been black rockfish.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Misidentification. Cutthroat trout do not occur in Kodiak marine waters.

[^2]:    1 Effort for beach fisheries is in number of angler-hours; Effort for boat fisheries is in number of boat-trips.
    ${ }^{2}$ These data cover the period from 1 July through the end of the survey for both 1988 and 1989 as the period prior to 1 July was not sampled during 1988.
    ${ }^{3}$ Rockfish harvest was not estimated during 1988.

[^3]:    * Data not available

[^4]:    * Data not available

[^5]:    * Data not available

[^6]:    --- Data not separated between boat and shoreline

