00001 EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL 1 2 TRUSTEE COUNCIL 3 Public Meeting Thursday, January 4, 2001 4 5 9:30 o'clock a.m. 6 645 G Street 7 Anchorage, Alaska TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: 8 9 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, MR. DAVE GIBBONS 10 U.S. FOREST SERVICE Trustee Representative 11 (Chairman) MR. JAMES W. BALSIGER 12 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Director, AK Region 13 NMFS: MR. CRAIG TILLERY 14 STATE OF ALASKA -15 DEPARTMENT OF LAW: Trustee Representative for the Attorney General 16 MS. CLAUDIA SLATER 17 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT 18 OF FISH AND GAME: for MR. FRANK RUE Commissioner 19 20 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: MS. MARILYN HEIMAN Special Assistant to the 21 22 Secretary for Alaska 23 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MS. MARIANNE SEE 24 OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: for MS. MICHELE BROWN Commissioner 25

00002 TRUSTEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT: 1 2 MS. MOLLY McCAMMON 3 MS. SANDRA SCHUBERT 4 MS. PAULA BANKS 5 DR. PHIL MUNDY 6 MS. SARAH WARD 7 MS. DEBORAH HENNIGH 8 MS. DEDE BOHN 9 MR. KEN HOLBROOK 10 MR. STEVE SHUCK 11 MR. BARRY ROTH (Telephonically) U.S. Department of Interior 12 MS. CAROL FRIES ADF&G 13 MR. GLENN ELISON 14 MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI 15 MS. GINA BELT 16 MR. BUD RICE

Executive Director Director of Restoration Administrative Assistant Chief Scientist Community Facilitator Special Staff Assistant U.S. Geological Service U.S. Forest Service U.S. Fish and Wildlife Svc. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Svc. Department of Law Department of Justice National Park Service

00003		
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Call to Order	04
3	Approval of Agenda	05
4	Overview of Koniag Conservation Easement Proposal	05
5	Public Comment	
6	Dolly Reft (Telephonically)	24/34
7	Chuck Reft (Telephonically) (Questions)	28
8	Mike Boskofsky	32
9	John Reft (Telephonically) (Question)	34
10	Tim Mahoney	36
11	John Schoen	38
12	Brad Meiklejohn	39
13	Frank Pagano	41
14	Ronald Barnes	46
15	Discussion/Action	50
16	Project 01126, Habitat Protection and Acquisition	Support56
17	Revision to Project 01404	57
	Adjournment	59

1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	(On record - 9:38 a.m.)	
3	CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Good morning, this is	
4	Dave Gibbons, I'm with the Forest Service and I'm going to	
5	chair the meeting today for the Exxon Valdez Trustee	
б	Council. Let me first state that we have all members	
7	present or representative present. Craig Tillery	
8	representing Department of Law; Claudia Slater representing	
9	Alaska Department of Fish and Game; Marilyn Heiman, the	
10	Department of Interior; Marianne See, the Department of	
11	Environmental Conservation; and Jim Balsiger, the Director	
12	of the National Marine Fisheries Service.	
13	So the first item on the agenda would be the	
14	approval of the agenda or modification of such. Molly.	
15	MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I have two	
	changes to the agenda. One is to add a revision of Project	
17	01404, and we can do that at the end of the meeting, but	
18	you have it before and it's the goldenrod or whatever. The	
19	other thing is if the Koniag Conservation Easement Proposal	
	is approved then there is an amendment to Project 01126 and	
	that is copied in blue.	
22	1	
23	5 11 ,	
	Molly?	
25	MS. McCAMMON: Sure.	

00005 MS. REFT: 1 Thank you. 2 MS. SLATER: What was that second item, 3 Molly, I'm sorry. 4 MS. McCAMMON: 01126. 5 MR. BALSIGER: Move to approve as amended. б CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: We have a motion to 7 approve the agenda as amended. 8 MS. HEIMAN: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Don't hear any 10 against, let's move forward then. Item two on the agenda 11 is a brief overview of the Koniag Conservation Easement 12 Proposal by Glenn Elison of the Department of the Interior, 13 also Alex Swiderski, Department of Law. MR. ELISON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 For 15 the record, I'm Glenn Elison, Fish and Wildlife Service, 16 Department of the Interior, with me is Alex Swiderski, 17 Department of Law for the State of Alaska who was 18 intimately involved in the negotiations on the proposed 19 agreement. 20 I'm going to very concisely run through the 21 agreement with you this morning. Just for reference there 22 is a map on the wall to my right, the lands that are at 23 issue for the agreement are generally in purple. My 24 daughter might disagree with my characterization of the

25 color, but there's 58,000 acres that are involved in the

1 proposal, they are key lands within the Kodiak National 2 Wildlife Refuge and have important restoration values that 3 I'll talk about shortly. I'd like to touch on elements of the agreement, 4 5 starting with the master agreement. It does, in general, б the following things. First, it extends the existing 7 conservation non-development easement from December 15th of 2001 to October 14th of 2002 for a payment of \$300,000, at 8 9 which point the new easement would commence with a 10-year 10 term which could be extended at the sole option of Koniag 11 for an additional 10 years. Koniag, at the end of 10 years 12 and every anniversary thereafter, may elect to sell the 13 property for the funds in the Special Investment Account 14 that I'll talk about in a minute. 15 The agreement, to be extended beyond 20 years, 16 would require the mutual agreement of Koniag and the 17 Trustee Council. Part of the agreement, Koniag's remaining 18 land entitlement, if any, will be taken outside of the 19 Kodiak Refuge. The master agreement establishes a special 20 agreement account within the EVOS investment fund, which is

21 currently in the State of Alaska investment system. The 22 amount of the fund is \$29,550,000 of joint settlement 23 funds, to be managed by the Trustee Council in consultation 24 with Koniag.

25

The master agreement provides for annual payments

00007 1 from the Koniag agreement account for the conservation and 2 limited development easement. Those payments start at \$372,100, increase over 12 years to \$744,200 and are level 3 4 thereafter as annual payments for those easements. 5 Payments are made from the account. The master agreement 6 provides for money in the agreement account to revert to 7 the use of the Trustee Council in accordance with the consent decree if Koniag does not opt to sell in accordance 8 9 with the terms of the agreement. 10 The key elements of the limited warranty deed are 11 the reserves of subsistence easement for local residents, 12 the easement is very similar to subsistence reservations 13 made in other agreements the Trustee Council has approved. 14 It also reserves archaeological rights and requires 15 archaeological activities be conducted in accordance with 16 professional standards on the lands that are subject to the 17 agreement. 18 Perhaps the crux of the entire agreement is the 19 conservation easement, which prohibits a wide range of 20 activities by Koniag, the United States and the State which

21 would be detrimental to fish and wildlife habitat. It does 22 provide for a sense of public use and access, it provides 23 limited access by Fish and Wildlife Service and Alaska 24 Department of Fish and Game for habitat monitoring and 25 research. It provides the Service to authorize public 00008 1 access for purposes permissible on national wildlife 2 refuges, typically hunting, fishing, wild lands enjoyment 3 and so on. 4 The Fish and Wildlife Service has the 5 responsibility for providing enforcement of regulations and 6 management of public use at approximately the same levels 7 as elsewhere on the refuge. It requires the Fish and Wildlife Service manage and enforce a permit system for 8 9 public use along the Karluk Lake and River and it requires 10 an allocation be set between guided and unguided public 11 use. It requires that a study be done to determine the 12 acceptable levels of public use for long-term management of 13 the area and this study be done in consultation with Fish 14 and Game and Koniaq. 15 It set use limits on the area of 70 visitors per 16 day during the king salmon season, provides preference for 17 Koniag shareholders and residents of Karluk and Larsen Bay 18 to provide revenue producing services and requires the Fish 19 and Wildlife Service to enforce regulations on the 17B 20 easement between Portage and the Karluk River. I'm sorry, 21 between the portage on the Karluk River and Larsen Bay. Ιt 22 provides that Koniag reserves the right to manage the five 23 existing cabins along the Karluk River. Koniag is required 24 to replace or remove two of the cabins on the east side of

25 the Karluk River which are in poor shape and, at this

00009 1 point, an unattractive nuisance. Koniag may relinquish to 2 the Fish and Wildlife Service the cabin management responsibility, in which case the Service will take that 3 4 responsibility and integrate cabin management into its 5 general program of cabins on the Kodiak Refuge. Koniag 6 reserves the operation of a bear viewing program on the 7 Thumb River drainage. It reserves a subsistence priority. And the conservation easement establishes a 8 9 management group consisting of representatives of the Fish 10 and Wildlife Service, the State and Koniag to deal with 11 issues related to their respective issues in the agreement. 12 The Camp Island limited development easement which runs 13 parallel with the conservation easement and if Koniag opts 14 to sell the land in fee that is subject to the conservation 15 easement, the Camp Island limited development easement 16 would become permanent. The limited development easement 17 provides Koniag the right to develop a very limited area, 18 six acres, for a wilderness type lodge operation, it sets 19 the size of the facility at a maximum of 30,000 square feet 20 and limits the clients to 28 per day during the first 10 21 years and 40 thereafter, plus staff. To put this in 22 context, that's about the size of the number of the better 23 lodges in Western Alaska and Bristol Bay, such as Golden 24 Horn, Tikchik Narrows Lodge and some of the others. 25 Clients' use of the easement property are limited

1 to fish, wildlife and wildlife oriented activities or 2 archaeology. The agreement prohibits the use of jet skis, 3 air boats and helicopters by the clients, and motor boats 4 are limited to 100 horsepower.

5 The restoration benefits of the agreement are very 6 significant. The lands at issue, for the most part, were 7 ranked high, Karluk Lake and Karluk River and Uyak Bay lands were ranked high by the Habitat Protection Work 8 9 The lands in the Sturgeon River were ranked Group. 10 moderate. Some of the highlights are the sockeye salmon, 11 commercial harvest during the last part of the '90s ranged 12 up to 1.1 million sockeye at a value of \$7.3 million. Pink 13 salmon, there are 14 documented spawning streams wholly or 14 partially on these lands, commercial harvest was up to 15 6,000,000 fish with a value of \$3.2 million. Dolly Varden 16 are widespread and abundant throughout the drainages. Bald 17 eagles are common, approximately 116 pairs of bald eagles 18 nesting has been documented, primarily along the Karluk 19 Lake shore. Up to 25 pairs of harlequin ducks are 20 estimated to nest in the Karluk watershed. Molting 21 aggregations are common throughout the coastal areas and 22 along the rivers.

That area provides important recreation and tourism for residents of Kodiak and Alaska in general. The area is noted for its abundant chinook, coho and steelhead runs in

00011 1 the Karluk. It attracts clientele from all over the world 2 to enjoy the use there. Angling days alone approach 3,000 3 in the area. 4 The area is important for subsistence for residents 5 of Karluk, Larsen Bay, in particular, and Kodiak in б general. Harvest is focused on fish, deer, waterfowl, crab 7 and clams. The area is rich in archaeological resources, they're widespread along the Karluk Lake shore, along the river, near the mouth of the Sturgeon River and along the 8 9 10 coast. 11 Those are the high points and a very quick 12 overview. I'll ask Alex if he has anything he would like 13 to add at this point. MR. SWIDERSKI: No, I think that was very 14 15 complete. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Any questions for --16 17 Mr. Tillery. 18 MR. TILLERY: Yeah, the draft easements has 19 a legal description to be inserted. Is there -- are the 20 lands clear, specific, no arguments between the parties, 21 everything is final, we know exactly what lands are in this 22 deal? 23 Well, I think I hear about MR. ELISON: 24 four questions and the general answer is there's not 100 25 percent certainty. There is some questions and the

1 questions evolve around lands on the east side of Uyak Bay. 2 There are the insert reference maps, which I think were distributed in your packet, they give you a little more 3 4 clarity on the issues. There are small parcels of Koniag 5 land scattered along the coast, mixed in with land that had 6 been conveyed to Larsen Bay. And it's our objective to 7 clean up the land ownership there. Koniag, to this point in time, has been negotiating with -- making representation 8 9 to us that they were willing to do that. I think, all of a 10 sudden, they have got a couple of questions in the area of 11 Carlsen Point, so it's a long answer to, no, it's not 12 completely sorted out, but that's where the issue arises. MR. TILLERY: But does this agreement 13 14 reference.... MR. ELISON: 15 That agreement references that 16 map and that map..... 17 MR. TILLERY: And that map includes those? 18 MR. ELISON: ....includes all the things 19 that we believe, strongly, to be part of the agreement. 20 MR. TILLERY: And so if there's any change 21 it's going to come back to the Council..... 22 MR. ELISON: Yes. 23 MR. TILLERY: .....for approval? 24 MR. ELISON: Yes. 25 MR. TILLERY: Okay.

00013 1 MR. ELISON: That would, in our view, be an 2 extremely substantive change. 3 MR. TILLERY: What's the -- and the access, 4 the breakdown between commercial and private use of the 5 river, is that addressed? б It is and it is -- that's the MR. ELISON: 7 other area that's unsettled. Right now the language in the agreement provides a floor for non-guided public use and 8 guided public use at 40 percent of the allocated use with 9 10 the remaining 20 percent to be distributed based upon the 11 public use study and the historical use and the public 12 comments that are received. That language was proposed by 13 the negotiating team here and is reflected in that 14 agreement. Koniag prefers an earlier version which 15 directed that the Fish and Wildlife Service would make the 16 allocation based upon historic use and other 17 considerations. It's a little more open-ended. The 18 historic use for guided and unguided use on the Kodiak 19 Refuge is roughly a hair over 50 percent is guided and in 20 the order of 47 percent is unguided, based on the 21 information from the last five or six years. So it's real 22 close to an even split based on historic use, to 23 encapsulize that, the proposal before you would put a floor 24 of 40 percent for either side. Koniag said they don't 25 agree with that language and want to see the guarantee of

00014 1 40 percent removed. 2 MR. TILLERY: So you would determine the 3 allocation based on historic use, but no less than 40 4 percent for unguided? 5 MR. ELISON: That's the current proposals 6 in the documents. 7 MR. TILLERY: Which is what we would 8 approve and any change to that would come back? 9 MR. ELISON: Come back. 10 MR. BALSIGER: On that point, then, if we 11 approve this, it goes back to Koniag and what schedule 12 would they come back with their changes? MR. ELISON: Koniag is scheduled -- the 13 14 board is scheduled to meet, I understand, on January 10th. 15 It's our expectation that the board will deal with the 16 agreement at their meeting and come back to us almost 17 immediately thereafter and I would anticipate that if there 18 are substantive changes that we would be back before you on 19 the 16th of January. 20 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Any other questions? 21 Mr. Tillery. 22 MR. TILLERY: Yeah, I had understood that 23 some issue had come up on 14H1 recently and I don't 24 understand how 14H1 can play a role in this deal, can you 25 explain to me whether it does?

1 MR. ELISON: There is an issue that has 2 recently arisen, and Marilyn has more of the details, perhaps, than I do, but my understanding, Mr. Tillery, is 3 this, that there are a number of 14H1 filings that were 4 5 closed by BLM in the last 10 or 15 years. BIA had come б forward and said they believe that some of those -- some of 7 their certifications of ineligibility were in error and recommended they be with -- those filings be opened again 8 9 for review. There are probably, what, a couple of hundred 10 statewide? 11 MS. HEIMAN: (Nods in the affirmative) 12 A handful of them are on MR. ELISON: 13 national wildlife refuges and there is a draft secretarial 14 order up in the department right now that would basically 15 direct that those 14H1 sites at issue be reviewed, if the 16 Native corporation requests it. 17 MR. TILLERY: Who owns the land? 18 MR. ELISON: Right now it's part of the 19 Kodiak Refuge.

00015

20MR. TILLERY:So we're not buying land we21 already own, right?22MR. ELISON:No, we're not buying it.23MR. TILLERY:So why would 14H1 be an24 issue?25MR. ELISON:There were some members of

00016 1 staff advocating that Koniag forego its right to open up 2 those closed filings in this agreement. 3 MR. TILLERY: Okay, but those are only on 4 lands that Fish and Wildlife Service now..... 5 MR. ELISON: Already owns. 6 MR. TILLERY: That we're not paying for? 7 MR. ELISON: We're not paying for them. 8 MR. TILLERY: There's not an issue 9 regarding us buying lands..... 10 MR. ELISON: No. 11 MR. TILLERY: .....from them and then them 12 somehow getting them back or getting rights back on them? 13 MR. ELISON: No. 14 MR. TILLERY: Okay. That was..... 15 MS. McCAMMON: Were some of those lands, 16 though, in the original agreement, the original sale, 17 purchased from Koniag in the original phase one? 18 MR. ELISON: I don't have the original '94 I don't think either of those parcels, 19 maps with me. 20 they're in the southern end of Uyak Bay, probably a third 21 of a township south of that insert that says Map 6. Ι 22 don't think that they were part of the original 23 acquisition, but I will not guarantee that, I have to look 24 at the map. 25 MR. ROTH: Excuse me. Barry Roth. They

1 couldn't be lands we purchased earlier because the whole 2 point is Koniag had applications that were closed, for some reason, before and so these are lands that are under 3 4 Federal ownership now. And they've always been in the 5 Federal ownership and there's a possibility that a new 6 order could come out from the Secretary allowing regional 7 corporations whose applications were felt to be wrongly closed to be reopened, but it would not affect anything 8 that the Council has bought or anything that was negotiated 9 It's not from either of the villages' entitlement, 10 for. 11 which is what we are buying from Koniag now. 12 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you, Mr. Roth. 13 Any other questions? Mr. Tillery. The resolution that's this 14 MR. TILLERY: 15 draft resolution provides that the agreement shall contain 16 or be subject to a term that it -- that it essentially be 17 conditioned upon the filing of a notice with the court and 18 receipt of the money. Could you identify for me, in the 19 agreement, where that condition is? 20 MR. ELISON: Counselor Roth, can you give 21 me a hand here? 22 MR. ROTH: In the agreement, in the one 23 that's been faxed, because the electronic version is not 24 getting there, it's been inserted in the conditions 25 precedent, which is section six and it was -- and when you

00018

1 get it, it will be 6(A) Roman numeral XI. And it says, and one of the conditions precedent to the agreement is 2 (indiscernible - paper rustling) terms and conditions set 3 4 forth in the resolution of the Trustee Council dated 5 January 4th, assuming the Council resolution is today, including but not limited to the filing by the United 6 7 States Department of Justice and the Alaska Department of Law of the notices required by the third amended order for 8 9 deposit and transfer of settlement proceeds, of the 10 proposed expenditure with the United States District Court 11 for the District of Alaska and with the investment fund 12 established by the Trustee Council within the Alaska 13 Department of Revenue, Division of Treasury or Investment 14 Funds and transfer of the necessary money from the 15 Investment Fund of the United States. Now, because this relates to the closing that would 16 17 take place prior to December 15th of this year, that is 18 only the \$300,000 that's being withdrawn at this point, so 19 that's why the notice is in the singular. In the revised 20 notice you'll see for the Trustee Council resolution, it 21 referred to notices, parens, s for plural, so the 22 resolution recognizes multiple notices. In this case, for 23 the first closing there seems to be only one notice

24 required.

25

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I should say

00019 1 that those documents were e-mailed to us and we had trouble 2 opening them and they're 117 pages long and they're being copied right now for you, so you'll have all of them 3 4 momentarily. 5 MR. TILLERY: Are we potentially in a 6 position where could go through with the first part, the 7 300,000 then have a contractual obligation to, for example, 8 make these regular payments or make the sort of the final 9 payment, the one at the end of 10 years, have someone 10 intercede in court and prevent us from getting that money 11 and end up as kind of a conflict? It sounds like you're 12 saying there's only a condition for that first payment, for 13 the 300,000, and not for any subsequent. 14 MR. ROTH: The resolution makes it a 15 condition for everything and this incorporates, 16 specifically, the terms and conditions of the resolution. 17 If the subsequent payments are enjoined, the remedy as a 18 practical matter would be then to -- Koniag would move to 19 terminate the agreement for non-payment and, I mean, again, 20 under the first closing, we're getting for -- for the 21 \$300,000 we're getting approximately a 10-month extension 22 of the existing easement, then thereafter we're paying 23 annually, but we're basically getting -- for that period 24 we're paying in arrears, we're getting -- you know, we're 25 paying for another 12-month period of easements. And if we

didn't have the money for the closing they were entitled 1 2 to, then -- and what I think would be a likely event we were somehow enjoined from spending it, then the agreements 3 would all terminate. I'm not sure what other thing, so 4 it's hard to picture how somebody would have standing or 5 6 otherwise to object at that point or that -- well, the deal 7 here is, I mean, it's no different than any other deal 8 we've had where we've obligated the money. Lawfully, in this case, the money is all -- we have sufficient money in 9 10 hand to obligate now, regardless, even though the payments 11 aren't due for some period of time. 12 MR. SWIDERSKI: This is Alex Swiderski. Ιt

13 seems to me, Barry, that we may need a similar paragraph in 14 the part B of this section six as well to address the final 15 closing.

MR. TILLERY: Might not in addition to Termination, if the fund has been doing extraordinarily well and the current value of the fund is in excess of the yalue of the land, might they claim that difference as some kind of damages in the event of a termination, unless there's a specific condition that the money be available? MR. ROTH: We can put in a clause -- I MRame conditions for the subsequent closing, or the other, the can put in a clause saying that the sole remedy will be

00021 1 -- what the sole remedy will be in the event that the court does not -- you know, that we're enjoined from spending the 2 3 money and describe what that remedy is. I mean, I assume 4 the only remedy is terminate the agreement. I think either 5 one -- either approach would work. 6 MR. TILLERY: Didn't we have that in one of 7 the previous deals? I'm trying to think about whether it might have been one of the ones on Afognak where ..... 8 9 MR. SWIDERSKI: Well, we've always..... 10 MR. TILLERY: .....we specified the remedy 11 was simply termination and a pro rata thing? 12 MR. SWIDERSKI: I think we typically have 13 had that. 14 MR. ROTH: And the only difference between 15 this and the previous agreement is you were -- at those 16 points in time you were contemplating that the money hadn't 17 arrived. Here, we have in hand more than sufficient money 18 to back up this agreement. That's the difference. I mean, 19 there's only one more payment due from Exxon, but we had 20 the sufficient money to pay the 29,550. So that's quite 21 different, before we were conditioned upon receiving the 22 money from Exxon because we weren't sure we would have 23 enough. But I don't see any problem and I would assume 24 Koniag would be amenable to putting in the language that is 25 in XI there and turning into the condition for a future

00022 closing and also making it clear that the remedy is -- in 1 2 the event that we are -- you know, the money is withheld 3 from us by court order to complete any transaction, the 4 remedy in that event is termination. 5 Would that be acceptable to the Department of Law? 6 MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes. And I agree, I think 7 Koniag would not object. 8 MR. ROTH: And I think it's unlike -- I 9 mean, it seems highly unlikely that this will occur anyway, 10 so that's probably one reason why Koniag should agree to 11 it. 12 MR. ELISON: Barry, you'll make those 13 conforming changes, then? 14 MR. ROTH: Yes. 15 MR. ELISON: Okay. 16 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Any other questions? 17 MR. BALSIGER: Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Jim. 19 MR. BALSIGER: Thank you. I'm finding 20 number 16 on the resolution, and maybe you said this and I 21 missed it, but a satisfactory hazardous substance survey 22 has been or will be completed prior to the initial closing. 23 Can you tell me the status of that? MR. ELISON: It will have to be done. 24 25 MR. BALSIGER: It's not done yet?

00023 MR. ELISON: We've looked at the land once, 1 2 actually more than once, we will do it again before the 3 closing. 4 MR. BALSIGER: Okay, thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Any other questions? 6 (No audible responses) 7 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Hearing none, maybe we 8 can go to the public comment period and then there's been a request after the public comment period to go, briefly, 9 10 into executive session. 11 MR. ELISON: I don't think, at this point, 12 we need that. 13 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. 14 MR. ELISON: Unless the Council sees --15 they desire it. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. 16 17 MR. ELISON: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, let's go to the 19 public comment period. How many people do we have on line? 20 I hear Karluk. Any other people on line? MR. C. REFT: I'm here in Anchorage. 21 22 MS. McCAMMON: That's Chuck Reft. 23 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Any others? 24 MS. REFT: Dolly Reft, Kodiak. 25 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Kodiak, okay. Is there

00024 1 anybody from Karluk then? 2 (No audible responses) 3 MR. J. REFT: John Reft, Kodiak. 4 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. 5 6 MS. REFT: We're all Karluk landowners. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. 7 MS. REFT: We have another individual here. 8 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible - away 9 from phone) 10 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Great. Well, 11 maybe we can start, then, with Kodiak. Can we try to keep 12 our comments, if we can, to about three minutes? And when 13 you step up will you please state your name and spell it 14 for the record, please? 15 MS. REFT: This is Dolly Reft, Dolly, first 16 name, Reft, R-E-F-T. I believe we sent you a letter on 17 December 21st to reiterate the interests of the landowners 18 of Karluk. First of all, we're unable to offer any 19 comments, we don't have the information you have in front 20 of us so, if possible, we'd like a copy of that. And I 21 guess my question would be how are the people affected 22 living around the river, around Larsen Bay, are they 23 involved in this management process regarding the rivers 24 and the land and, if so, how? 25 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Well, anybody want to

answer that question? All I know is the Trustee Council 1 2 works with Koniag, in negotiation with Koniag, and there is 3 a responsibility there to keep their members involved. 4 And, Glenn, do you want to follow up with that? Glenn 5 Elison may have a further answer for you. 6 MR. ELISON: There's a variety of ways that 7 residents of Karluk and Larsen Bay may have opportunities 8 to be involved, they include -- there's a preference in the 9 agreement for commercial operations to be provided by the 10 residents of Karluk and Larsen Bay. There are 11 opportunities for Koniag, through some sort of a 12 concession, to continue to manage the cabins that provide 13 economic opportunity. There's a commitment on the part of 14 the Interior Department to provide for a training program 15 for residents of Karluk and Larsen Bay and potentially 16 other Koniag shareholders to provide careers and training 17 related to natural resource management. There's also a 18 provision in the agreement for a management group that will 19 involve Koniag, Fish and Wildlife Service and the State. 20 And the intent of that group is specifically to provide a 21 forum to deal with concerns and interests of the various

22 parties and that would include the Koniag shareholders, I 23 think, particularly in Larsen Bay and Karluk. So there's a 24 few of the ways that there's involvement.

25

CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you, Mr. Elison.

00026 1 Do you have any other comments, Ms. Reft? MS. REFT: The only other one, I guess, I'm 2 3 being asked to make a comment about is you're speaking to the corporate shareholders, have there been any provisions 4 5 or any communications with the tribal entities involved? 6 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Mr. Elison. 7 I'm asking the people who are negotiating this, I'm 8 not directly involved in that. 9 MS. REFT: Okay. Yeah, no problem. 10 MR. ELISON: There's been a bit of 11 correspondence, I understand, between the tribal entities 12 and the Trustee Council office, but we rely on the 13 corporation to be keeping the tribal entities involved in 14 this, or at least informed. So there has been no direct 15 involvement between the negotiating team and the tribal 16 entities. 17 MS. REFT: I think what needs to be said --18 we're all familiar with Koniag as a corporation and their 19 duties to the shareholders, but in regards to the tribes, 20 corporations do not intervene or interfere with tribal 21 matters, so that's why I asked the question is what 22 communications have been going on directly with the tribes, 23 because there's some issues concerning the livelihood and 24 the way of life of our people. So that's the crux of the 25 matter that I'm referring to.

00027 1 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Any other 2 comments, Ms. Reft? 3 MR. ELISON: No, just to reiterate.... 4 MS. REFT: Just the letter that we 5 submitted on the 21st. I think the ongoing concern is that б the Native (phone cut out) Karluk and Larsen Bay have been, 7 (phone cut out) well, involvement regarding their tribal lands and their lifestyles. 8 9 MR. ELISON: If I could pick up on that, There's a separate issue out there related 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 to habitat protection effort on the Karluk tribal lands, 12 primarily near the mouth of the Karluk River and the mouth 13 of the Sturgeon River. That is completely separate and 14 delinked from this effort we've been pursuing with Koniag, 15 it's my understanding that the Karluk Tribal Council has 16 representation specific to those tribal lands. And, again, 17 it's totally separate from this proposed agreement. 18 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you, Mr. Elison. 19 MR. ELISON: Thank you. 20 MS. REFT: Okay. And I guess I'll hurry up I did talk with Dennis Metrokin 21 with my three minutes. 22 last night. He did assure me that -- he explain (phone cut 23 out) the fact our subsistence was affected, as they were in 24 ANILCA, and I understand that. I guess the only thing I 25 want to get across is please understand that the wishes of

00028 1 three people within that Karluk do not necessarily reflect 2 the concerns of all members and people involved. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. 3 Thank you. Is 4 there anybody else from Kodiak that would like to testify 5 at this time? 6 MR. BOSKOFSKY: Yeah. 7 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: I'll get to Anchorage 8 here in a minute, sir. MR. BOSKOFSKY: My name is Michael..... 9 10 MS. REFT: Well, thank you, and we look 11 forward to any information that you have before you. Other 12 than that, we'll just stand by and listen. MR. BOSKOFSKY: Yeah, my name is Mike 13 14 Boskofsky, I'm from Ouzinkie, of the Ouzinkie Tribe 15 and.... 16 MS. HEIMAN: You need to come forward, sir. 17 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Yeah, please come 18 forward. We have a person here in Anchorage who would like 19 to testify at this time. 20 MR. C. REFT: Yeah, Chuck Reft in I have three questions, please. 21 Anchorage. Number 1, this 22 conservation easement, is this an agreement to purchase 23 this by Fish and Game or Fish and Wildlife? Number 2, how 24 does this affect our subsistence rights for the village 25 members of Karluk? And I should tell you I'm a shareholder

of Koniag and a member of Karluk. And, number 3, can I get 1 2 a copy of the easement as far as the boundaries involved? 3 MR. BOSKOFSKY: Well, one of the questions 4 I hear for all the people involved is, in behalf of all the tribes there, I'd like to know why the EVOS Committee, what 5 б took place between the rest of the tribes, like Ouzinkie? 7 We see Paul Panamarioff's name is signed onto that paper and I don't know who else is involved with that, but we 8 9 want to come to a head with this, because we're also 10 involved in this Kodiak Island transactions of lands. And 11 one person over there does not have the authority by the 12 tribes to sign anything away for us. 13 MR. C. REFT: Hello. 14 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Excuse me a minute. We

15 have another person talking right now and maybe what we can 16 do is have Mr. Elison come up and answer the questions from 17 Mr. Reft and then we can go to you, sir, and then we can 18 try to answer the questions from yourself.

19

So, Mr. Elison, can you....

20 MR. ELISON: The question about subsistence 21 protection, there is specific reservations by Koniag for a 22 subsistence easement that protect the subsistence rights of 23 the residents of Larsen Bay and Karluk. The protection is 24 essentially identical to the protections that have been 25 included in other agreements that the Trustee Council has

00030 1 entered into. And certainly the level of protection equals 2 or exceeds that found in ANILCA. 3 The third question, I think, related to requesting 4 a map showing the agreement and we certainly can provide 5 that, perhaps we can get Mr. Reft's address. We would like б it sent and we'll be sure to do that. 7 The first question I didn't hear completely. 8 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Mr. Reft, can you repeat 9 that first question, please? 10 MR. C. REFT: Yeah, I'm sorry, I'm getting 11 kind of cut off, fading in and out. My first question is 12 the conservation easement along the river, is this a 13 potential purchase by Fish and Game or Fish and Wildlife? 14 MR. ELISON: There's a provision in the 15 agreement that Koniag may opt, at some point in the future, 16 but not sooner than December of 2012, to sell the lands in 17 fee to the Fish and Wildlife Service with the State having 18 an easement on it. But that would not occur until some 19 point well in the future. And there's no guarantee of a 20 sale, it's going to be up to Koniag's sole discretion as to 21 whether they choose to do that or not. 22 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Does that answer your 23 questions, Mr. Reft? I didn't hear the 24 MR. C. REFT: Yes. 25 second, like I said, I was fading in and out here, I didn't

00031 hear how this affected our subsistence rights along the 1 2 river. MR. ELISON: 3 The subsistence rights are 4 thoroughly protected as a result of a reservation in the 5 conservation easement for that purpose, so Larsen Bay and б Karluk residents have full subsistence rights to the area. 7 MR. C. REFT: And, thirdly, can I receive a copy of the boundaries of the easement along the river 8 9 that's involved? 10 MR. ELISON: Yes, just make sure we get 11 your address. 12 MR. C. REFT: Okay. 13 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Could you give us that 14 address at this time, so we can..... 15 MS. McCAMMON: We have it. 16 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: I've been informed we 17 have it, so we'll send that out to you. 18 MR. C. REFT: Yes, who do I give the 19 address to? 20 MS. McCAMMON: We have it, Chuck. This is 21 Molly. 22 MR. C. REFT: Oh, hi, Molly. Yeah, my 23 address is 2807 Bass Street, B-A-S-S, Anchorage, zip code 24 99507. 25 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, thank you.

00032 1 Sir, would you like to come back up or..... 2 MR. BOSKOFSKY: I think you pretty much 3 heard what I had to say, I want an answer from you people what's taking place on this -- up here. And also in behalf 4 of (indiscernible - away from microphone) we know for a 5 fact that Paul Panamarioff signed one part, we have that б 7 copy. And I'm also involved with the rep from them on this deal with Karluk, so I'd like an answer to that from 8 9 (indiscernible - away from microphone) 10 MR. TILLERY: Mr. Chairman. 11 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Yes. 12 MR. TILLERY: He's not getting this. 13 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Can you come up, sir, we 14 didn't get that on the record, we'd like to make sure to 15 get that on the record and then we can try to answer your 16 question. 17 MS. REFT: We're not hearing very well at 18 this end, he keeps cutting in and out. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Yeah, we had an 19 20 individual that was talking a ways away from the microphone 21 that's now come up to the microphone. 22 MR. BOSKOFSKY: Yeah, Dolly. 23 MS. REFT: Hi, Mike. 24 MR. BOSKOFSKY: Dolly, this is Mike. 25 MS. REFT: Thanks for being there, Mike.

00033 1 MR. BOSKOFSKY: Okay, I've asked them to 2 give us the information of who all signed the other 3 documents besides Paul here and we'd like to know who's done that because, like I said, I'm involved with Ouzinkie 4 5 and, of course, everything that's happening here is taking 6 place throughout the whole island of Kodiak. And as a 7 tribal member there, I don't believe anybody there has any authority to sign anything away, that includes the 8 9 corporations, at this point. And I'd like an answer from 10 the EVOS Committee here to come forward, at least give us 11 the information of who all signed that besides Paul. 12 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, Mike, could you 13 state your last name and spell it for us, please? 14 MR. BOSKOFSKY: My name is Mike Boskofsky, 15 B-O-S-K-O-F-S-K-Y. I'm a tribal member from Ouzinkie. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: 16 Okay. 17 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Molly. 19 MS. McCAMMON: I don't know what document 20 you're referring to that Paul Panamarioff signed. MR. BOSKOFSKY: Well, this is a deal on 21 22 something that he signed and he hadn't given us a clear 23 explanation of why he signed it or what it was for and it 24 sounds to me like most of the island has been signed off 25 for something here on this -- you guys are only squabbling 00034 over the Karluk area, so what we want is an actual draft of 1 2 what's taking place on this, so the people could see it. You know, the tribal boards don't have the authority to 3 sign anything away, neither does the corporation, without 4 5 our permission. They have to come to a vote for -- to the 6 tribes to do this. 7 MS. McCAMMON: If you have any copy of anything, any document, but I don't know what you're 8 9 referring to. 10 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Yeah, Mr. Boskofsky, if 11 you've got a copy, please provide that, then we can try to 12 get you a response, but at the present we don't know what 13 you're referring to. 14 Is there any other comments, at this time, from 15 Kodiak? MS. REFT: Yes, we got someone from here 16 17 that would like to make a comment. 18 MR. J. REFT: Yeah, this is John Reft from 19 Kodiak. Can we get in writing how these subsistence rights 20 are protected, please? 21 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Sure, we can get you 22 that quite easily. 23 MR. J. REFT: Okay, thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Any other comments from 25 Kodiak?

1 MS. REFT: Yeah, I think to clarify, Mike 2 Boskofsky, he was concerned because in the last meeting we 3 were referred to one individual that apparently 4 participated in identifying subsistence and the foods, and 5 that was Paul Panamarioff of Ouzinkie. And we never got an 6 answer to that first and second inquiry regarding that, and 7 people are real concerned about our subsistence foods, how they're identified and how they're protected and our 8 9 access, too, because that's a way of life. 10 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Yeah, maybe the 11 subsistence provision in the proposed agreement will answer 12 that, but my understanding is it's just like the existing 13 subsistence agreement rights that you have now, which is 14 rural preference to local residents, but basically that's 15 what, I think, the agreement states. MS. REFT: Okay. 16 I guess the concern is 17 that people are needing to have that assurance that they're 18 not going to be kept from getting the food within their 19 subsistence and lifestyle that we've enjoyed forever. And, 20 you know, we're really -- you keep saying that people are 21 being communicated with through their corporations or these 22 other agencies that you have and people don't know what's 23 going on and because of that they're starting to panic.

24 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. We can get you 25 that language on the subsistence provisions. Would that be

00036 1 the same fax number as yours, Dolly? MS. REFT: Yes. And, also, you know, we're 2 3 trying to participate and coordinate and we're coming 4 across looking like fools because we really don't know. 5 And, you know, I keep hearing from Molly and from other people that there's being public access and what not, and 6 7 the local Joe that's out there fishing and hunting isn't being reflected or they're not feeling like they've had 8 that access. So you may think that you have that, but 9 10 that's not what we're hearing from our people. And if we 11 don't start looking at that, you're going to have a 12 problem. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. 13 Thank you. Are 14 there any other comments from Kodiak? 15 (No audible responses) 16 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, maybe we can go to 17 Anchorage. I've got some people here that would like to 18 comment. Mr. Mahoney, would you like to comment at this 19 time? 20 MR. BOSKOFSKY: I forgot my paper in my 21 briefcase that I had with Paul's name on it. 22 MS. McCAMMON: Okay. 23 MR. BOSKOFSKY: I'll get back with you, 24 Molly. 25 MS. McCAMMON: Okay.

MR. MAHONEY: Well, I'm Tim Mahoney and I 1 2 represent Koniag, and I have a statement here, authorized 3 by Dennis Metrokin, the President of Koniag. It says, since 1994 Koniag has managed its lands in the Karluk and 4 5 Sturgeon drainages on Kodiak Island under the terms of a 6 non-development easement and during this time Koniag has 7 continued to work with its shareholders and other parties to find ways to better manage the important natural and 8 cultural resources of this area. In addition, Koniag has 9 10 undertaken discussions with leaders in this area in an 11 effort to better develop economic opportunities for the 12 shareholders from the villages as well as the local 13 residents.

Finally, Koniag has held a series of meetings with fits shareholders, both in Alaska and outside the state and has conducted extensive surveys of all of its shareholders to determine their wishes as to the future uses of these lands. We believe that the proposal before the Trustees today contains essential elements to protect the natural cresources and the cultural resources of the area, to protect and promote economic opportunities for the shareholders and residents of Larsen Bay and Karluk and to provide for a management system of these lands which meets the desires of our shareholders. We look forward to seamining the proposal from the Trustees and we will

00038 1 address it at a special meeting of our board of directors. 2 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you, Mr. Mahoney. 3 Any questions for ..... 4 (No audible responses) 5 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: All right, thank you. 6 Mr. Schoen, would you like to comment? 7 MR. SCHOEN: Good morning. My name is John Schoen, I'm the senior scientist for the Alaska State 8 Office of the National Audubon Society. And on behalf of 9 10 the National Audubon Society and our 550 members, including 11 2,300 Alaskan members, I offer our strong support for the 12 proposed protection agreement between Koniag and the EVOS 13 Trustees. For several decades, the National Audubon 14 Society has had a strong interest in the conservation of 15 the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge. The drainages of the Karluk River and the Sturgeon 16 17 River are biologically very important to the Kodiak Refuge 18 in terms of providing valuable habitat for some of the 19 refuge's most significant salmon runs, brown bear 20 populations, nesting bald eagles and many other wildlife 21 resources. We believe this agreement will safeguard these 22 important lands and ultimately provide an opportunity to 23 include them once again in the Kodiak Refuge system. 24 The agreement provides a significant opportunity 25 for protecting the ecological integrity of the Kodiak

00039 1 Refuge and providing continuing opportunities for 2 subsistence, recreational and commercial uses of this 3 region's significant biological and recreational resources. 4 Audubon believe this agreement will bring significant 5 benefits to local residents and ultimately all Americans. 6 We want to reiterate our thanks to the Trustee Council and 7 to Koniag for their continuing dialogue on this issue and all of your conservation efforts within the Kodiak 8 9 Archipelago. Finally, we strongly encourage you to sign 10 this important agreement. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Any questions for 13 Mr. Schoen? 14 (No audible responses) 15 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you. Next, 16 Mr. Meiklejohn. 17 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: My name is Brad 18 Meiklejohn, I'm the Alaska representative for the 19 Conservation Fund. Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentleman of 20 the Trustee Council, on behalf of the Conservation Fund I 21 want to thank you for your years of hard work in protecting 22 the wild lands of Kodiak Island. Kodiak, with its massive 23 bears, its abundant salmon, its legendary rivers, its lush 24 valleys and rugged mountains is an international treasure.

25 It's our belief that on Kodiak you, the Trustee Council,

00040 have created the silver lining inside the dark cloud of 1 2 Exxon oil. 3 Land conservation is often thankless work, 4 especially in Alaska, where environment is a dirty word. 5 And believe me, I know, it's the business we're in. No 6 place can be as frustrating to work as Kodiak Island. An 7 experienced colleague once referred to Kodiak as the Bosnia of the North Pacific because of the perpetual rancor within 8 the various factions. But somehow the Trustee Council has 9 10 managed to traverse mine fields and dodge snipers and come 11 away with remarkable conservation victories again and 12 again. 13 Never in the history of America have we regretted 14 protecting our natural heritage, rather we have come to 15 regret that which we have failed to protect. We praise the 16 courage of those who protected Yellowstone and Yosemite and 17 Grand Canyon and Denali and we curse the spinelessness that 18 allowed buffalo to be slaughtered, our rivers to be dammed 19 and our forests to be stripped. It's terribly easy to be 20 swayed by the demands of the here and now and local, it's 21 far harder to pursue what is right for the nation, for the 22 world and for tomorrow. Your work on Kodiak is for the 23 future, you may get few thanks now, but history will prove

On behalf of the Conservation Fund, I thank you for

24 you wise.

00041 1 your commitment to conservation. 2 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you. Any 3 questions? 4 (No audible responses) 5 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Anybody else in 6 Anchorage that's not signed up that would like to testify 7 at this time? Sir. 8 MR. PAGANO: I really had no plans to testify today. 9 My name is Frank Pagano, I'm 73 years old, 10 I'm the past President of Koniag. I was the president that 11 signed the initial first phase sale with the provision in 12 it for the conservation easement for the life of the 13 Trustee Council. This had no provision for extension of 14 any easement as is, it was for the sole purpose to work out 15 a solution to purchase the remaining portion of the Karluk 16 lands, which included the Karluk River and the Sturgeon, 17 which is the main food source for the bears. 18 Also in that provision there was a set-aside of 19 \$16,000,000 for the second sale. Under the second sale 20 there was a contract with Koniag that was going to pay a 21 consultant three percent of that first 16,000,000. Now, my 22 questions and my concerns are when you do this deal with 23 Koniag to put the land into a pot and you're putting money 24 in, which we don't know what it is yet, 29, 35,000,000,

25 I've heard. How is that consultant paid the three percent

00042 of that 16,000,000, which is a non-Native? That's one of 1 2 my questions and statements. The second portion is I know this meeting is a 3 4 hurried-up meeting before the Bush administration takes 5 over. Number 1, the Karluk River belongs to 3,400 shareholders plus, not just to the people in the villages 6 7 who depend on subsistence ways of life. It's an asset that is worth many millions of dollars than what I see which 8 9 you're going to purchase it for. To me, this is a 10 purchase. And also setting up of a trust, because the 11 money is going to be in a trust managed by somebody other 12 than the Koniag Board of Directors, being removed from the 13 shareholders. 14 Two-thirds of our shareholders live off of the 15 island. Those shareholder have no concept or idea what is 16 taking place here today. That asset is going to be sold, 17 10 years, the first provision will be as to whether the 18 board, at that time, will sell or let it go for another 10. 19 These are big issues which I understand. I'm 73, in 10 20 years I'll be 84, if I'm even above the ground. My family 21 belongs to this, my grandkids may inherit my shares. My 22 question and statement, will the present shareholder, 23 today, benefit from this asset? Will the future 24 shareholders benefit? Who will benefit? 25 I don't believe the present shareholders will

1 benefit in one way, shape or form of this asset, the way it's being handled. Secondly, I don't believe that our 2 future shareholders will even benefit from it. I can go on 3 4 and talk a lot more on this, I'm really upset at the fact 5 that I, as a shareholder, and I'm not an instant 6 shareholder, I was raised in the BIA schools. I was 7 determined a Native by the U.S. Court circuit judge in Kodiak in 1934, to be placed in a Baptist mission because 8 9 my mother couldn't take care of us. So I'm not what you 10 call an instant Native. I've served in the United States 11 military, I've served in combat in Korea. So I really 12 resent the fact that I see this as a taking and what I call 13 it is a modern day Manhattan deed sale. Don't be part of 14 it. Koniag has not come to the shareholders to tell us 15 what this is all about. They haven't given us a right to 16 vote on it.

In 1997 there was a big shareholder fight on proxy, 18 in it was a voting down a shareholder trust and selling of 19 lands. The shareholders do not want a trust and I believe 20 they don't want any selling of lands without their input as 21 to voting on it. I don't believe the Koniag board has a 22 right to do what they're doing without the shareholders' 23 vote and I would urge this Council to demand that Koniag 24 take a vote of their shareholders before they commit 25 anything of putting in a trust. This, to me, is a sale.

1 And Koniag's lowest appraisal on the land in the 2 ANWR deal was 77,000,000 plus, it was put on by DOI and our 3 people, Bill Timme, Uwe Gross, Art Kennedy, I don't know who else was involved, but that 77,000,000 is the lowest 4 5 value. We had three independent appraisals by certified 6 appraiser on those lands, one was for 141,000,000, 7 thereabout, one was for 116,000,000 and one was for about 8 101,000,000. We have received 26.5 million on the first With what I understand the 29 or 35,000,000 being 9 sale. 10 put into the pot from the Trustees, we equate out to some 11 60,000,000. According to the first appraisal of 77,000,000 12 we're still below that some \$19,000,000. I believe Koniag 13 is selling our land cheap, below the appraised value and I 14 believe the Council is accepting to get those lands, out of 15 the hands of the Native people. I think it's wrong. And I've said enough, so I just had to say that 16 I feel that we're being taken as Native people.

17 today. I feel that we're being taken as Native people. 18 We're being relieved of an asset and I know it's a valuable 19 asset and the government goofed up when they gave it to us, 20 but it's ours. And I think if the government wants it back 21 then they should pay the fair market value price for it. 22 And there was no provision for an easement extension. It 23 was to acquire the second phase.

24 Thank you.

25

CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you. Any other

1 people in Anchorage who would like to comment? Ms. Heiman. MS. HEIMAN: I'd just like to make a very 2 3 brief comment, which is that there is nothing in this 4 agreement that requires that Koniag sell their land. There 5 is a schedule of payments, and I hope everyone has had the 6 opportunity to see this, because I think it's very 7 important. There's a schedule of payments over the next 10 8 years and up to 20 years to pay for non-development along 9 the easement, but there's nothing that requires at any time 10 that Koniag sell their land. They may decide after 10 11 years they don't want the payments anymore and they don't 12 want to sell their land. So I guess I just want to say, 13 for the record, this allows for a payment from -- the first 14 year is \$372,000, the 10th year is \$673,000, that is paid 15 for the development rights along the river for those years. 16 At any time after that 10 years, you know, Koniag can 17 decide not to sell. I just want to make sure that's very 18 clear for the record, because I keep hearing a lot of 19 information and a lot of discussion about sale of land, and 20 that is not what this agreement requires. And I hope that 21 we can go forward with this and do what I think is a very 22 beneficial thing for the resources and if after 10 years 23 there's a feeling by the Koniag shareholders or others who 24 are members of -- I guess the Koniag shareholders, if they 25 feel they do not want to be a part of this, they don't have

00046 1 to be, so I just want to make sure that's very clear. 2 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Thank you. Any 3 other people in Anchorage who would like to comment at this 4 time? 5 MR. BARNES: Good morning. 6 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Good morning. Could we 7 please have you state your name and spell your last name, 8 please? 9 MR. BARNES: Okay. My name is Ronald 10 Barnes, B-A-R-N-E-S. I think what's going on here is a 11 State corporation is trying to purchase land utilizing this 12 oil spill and taking advantage of the situation. Of 13 course, for those of you who are not aware, the State of 14 Alaska is defined as a public and private corporation 15 informed (ph) territory under Trading With the Enemy Act. 16 Now, since it is under the Trading With the Enemy Act 17 there's only two ways that you guys could be operating. 18 Number 1, is if you are at war with the people and, number 19 2, is if you are in territory that does not belong to the 20 United States. I have made a diplomatic protest against the United 21 22 States government and the State of Alaska. And if you look 23 at the International Convention on the non-applicability of 24 statutory limitations for crimes against humanity and 25 crimes against peace, the opening statement mentions that

you cannot, and it specifically mentions indigenous
inhabitants, do anything against the indigenous inhabitants
for your own economic gain, for your own political reasons.
And as such this is a crime against humanity, what you guys
are doing.

6 I think what we're going to do is to lodge a 7 complaint to that effect because you're operating on the pretense that the United States has full title and dominion 8 9 and it's very obvious that in 1975 when the State of Alaska 10 tried to gain quiet title to submerged lands here in Cook 11 Inlet, the United States Supreme Court had said, and it's a 12 standing decision, it said that the -- the United State 13 acquired whatever dominion Czarist Russia had prior to the 14 1867 Treaty of Cession and it said that it was a quitclaim. 15 So if you're operating off a quitclaim how are you going to 16 quiet title? I know the State of Alaska is attempting to 17 quiet title through the Glacier Bay, using that and park 18 lands. And I was there in Geneva when the -- on behalf of 19 the Sho-Shone peoples and I read it in the newspapers, it And I did speak up against the 20 was very significant. 21 United States for their action.

You are continuing the same pattern of deception against the indigenous peoples of Alaska. And you need to look into this. Because if you are directly put on notice, you can be held liable for this. Now, what -- this

1 attempted sale or trying to take land from these peoples by 2 messing up their land and creating some form of council with no indigenous peoples or without full information to 3 anyone here on this board, it's sham and you guys should 4 look into this. I believe it's serious what you are doing. 5 6 In 1955 when the Tehaiton case decision, of course 7 Hugo Black was a Kluk Klux Klan member, and I did raise this question. Why did the United States Supreme Court say 8 9 that the land in Alaska was for the white race and the 10 Alaska Natives have no Fifth Amendment rights under the 11 U.S. Constitution? You are fulfilling and continuing this 12 deception, because in this decision the U.S. Supreme Court 13 said the land in Alaska was for the white race and it also 14 said that we have no Fifth Amendment rights under the U.S. 15 Constitution, no due process of law, no right to life, no 16 right to liberty, no right to just compensation, and you're 17 continuing to operate under grave offenses under which the 18 United States government has signed these conventions. I did an intervention at the United Nations, at the 19 20 Commission on Human Rights, that the reason that the United 21 States government will not implement -- they may sign 22 conventions and they may, also, even ratify a few 23 conventions, but if they do not complete the optional 24 protocol for the full implementation then they do not have

25 to uphold or use these in their decisions, and this makes

00049 the U.S. Supreme Court a racist regime and it continues to 1 2 be a racist regime. And until there is full and freely informed people with due knowledge to everything that I 3 said, if you continue in this regard, I will speak up 4 5 against the United States at the Commission on Human б It's a crime against humanity what you're doing. Rights. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Thank you. Any questions? 9 10 (No audible responses) 11 MS. REFT: Thank you, Ambassador Barnes. 12 MR. BARNES: Yes? 13 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, thank you. 14 MS. HEIMAN: She said thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Any other people in 16 Anchorage like to comment at this time? 17 (No audible responses) 18 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Anybody else on line 19 that hasn't commented previously that would like to comment 20 at this time? (No audible responses) 21 22 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, hearing nothing, 23 I'll close the comment period and thank all who commented 24 and I appreciate that and I'm sure the Trustee Council 25 does.

00050 1 MS. McCAMMON: Can we take a couple of 2 minutes break? 3 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: I think we'll take a 4 five-minute break at this time and we'll come back. (Off record - 10:43 a.m.) (On record - 10:55 a.m.) 5 6 7 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Well, looks like everybody is back, maybe we can get started again. Next 8 item here is further discussion on the possible lands 9 10 proposal. Is there any other comments on this or 11 statements? 12 MS. REFT: Well, this is Dolly Reft from 13 Kodiak, actually I have a strong recommendation. Although 14 (phone faded) the Karluk IRA Tribal Council and Koniag with 15 EVOS, I guess I just want to ensure that we're getting all 16 the information so that we can pass this out to other 17 Natives affected, so they can participate or at least pass 18 on our concerns. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: 19 Sure, we can make sure 20 and see that happens. MR. C. REFT: Chuck Reft from Anchorage, 21 22 I'm sorry, I didn't hear your question, I'm cutting in and 23 out also. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Just to supply the 24 25 information, to adequately inform all the shareholders.

00051 MS. REFT: Well, not just all the 1 2 shareholders, the Native people because although these lands are coming from Koniag there's a strong effect on the 3 Native people and it's not just Karluk. Natives around the 4 villages aren't knowledgeable and aren't assured that 5 6 they're being taken care of. 7 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. 8 MR. C. REFT: Chuck Reft in Anchorage, can 9 I make one statement? 10 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Sure, go ahead. 11 MR. C. REFT: And this is directed to the 12 Council, the EVOS Council, and to all the people who are 13 thanking EVOS and what they're accomplishing. If you 14 people were members of our village I think you would have a 15 total different attitude. Understand what we're trying to 16 tell you people, these are not your lands, these are our 17 lands. 18 That's all I have to say. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: 19 Thank you, Mr. Reft. 20 Any other comments from the Trustee Council or --21 Ms. Heiman. 22 MS. HEIMAN: If you're ready, I would like 23 to make a comment and then a motion. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Sure. 24 25 MS. HEIMAN: This is Marilyn Heiman from

the Department of Interior and I want to thank the Refts 1 2 and all of the folks who have testified and provided us This has been a very good learning experience 3 information. for me, I learned a lot about what happened with the Koniag 4 5 merger and how some shareholders were left behind and how 6 -- this is a very difficult situation. And I want to 7 recognize that and I thank you for helping us understand 8 what has taken place.

9 I also, again, want to reiterate that this does not 10 lock Koniag shareholders or Koniag Corporation into a sale 11 of their land. They may, after 10 years, decide they don't 12 want anything to do with this proposal and decide not to 13 sell the lands, and they will have received the payments 14 each year for the 10 years.

15 This area and the Karluk River is one of the most 16 important salmon rivers in the world and it is a resource 17 that is important to the public, to subsistence users, to 18 sport and commercial fishermen and it's important for our 19 grandchildren and those to follow us that we protect this 20 river and this area.

I want to reiterate, too, that the subsistence protection on these lands is beyond what is in ANILCA and I subsistence continues on all the lands that are purchased or there are conservation easements related to the Exxon

00053 Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council. 1 So given all that information and the benefits, I 2 3 think, outweigh some of the costs that we have heard, I would like to move that we, I hope I'm going to say this 4 5 right, approve the resolution of Exxon Valdez Oil Spill б Trustee Council involving the Koniag conservation easement. 7 Do you want me to say more? Is there a motion 8 specifically? 9 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: I believe there's a 10 draft motion in front of us. 11 MS. McCAMMON: It's a resolution. 12 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Excuse me, a resolution 13 in front of us. 14 MS. McCAMMON: Draft January 4th. 15 MS. HEIMAN: So I move the resolution of 16 Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 1/4/2001 -- oh, it's 17 Resolution 01-05, with the understanding that this does not 18 make the agreement go into place until it's approved by the 19 Koniag Corporation. Is that correct? 20 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Is there a 21 second? 22 MR. BALSIGER: Second. 23 MR. TILLERY: I'll second. 24 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Is there any 25 further discussion on it?

00054 1 MS. HEIMAN: Actually I would like to 2 request one other thing. I would like to request that Molly McCammon go to Kodiak and meet with the folks that 3 have concerns remaining prior to the finalization of this 4 proposal, if possible. 5 6 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: I see a head bobbing, I 7 think, yes, so we'll make that happen. And before we go any further, there's one other person that would like to 8 testify, even though I've closed it, there's been -- we've 9 10 allowed people to come, so there's a Mr. Tim Richardson on 11 line who would like to comment at this time. (No audible responses) 12 13 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Mr. Richardson? 14 (No audible responses) 15 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, I guess not. 16 Okay, we've had the -- shall I call the question at this 17 point? 18 MS. HEIMAN: We need a second, don't we? 19 MR. BALSIGER: We had a second. 20 MS. SEE: We had a second. 21 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Any further 22 discussion before I call the question? MS. REFT: We're having a hard time hearing 23 24 over here. 25 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, we're about ready

00055 1 to call the question on the draft resolution proposal to go 2 forward with the conservation easement on the Koniag lands. MS. REFT: Ms. Heiman, I want to thank you 3 4 for making that statement and I just want to reiterate 5 we're scared, okay? It's not like we have any authority б we're having to work with. What's left over after you guys 7 make the deal? So that's all I have to say. MR. C. REFT: Chuck Reft in Anchorage. Can 8 9 I ask Ms. Heiman for her phone number where I can contact 10 her? 11 MS. HEIMAN: Sure, my number is 12 907-271-5485. 13 5485? MR. C. REFT: 14 MS. HEIMAN: Yeah. And, Dolly, I thank you 15 for your comments and I want to just say although this may 16 seem like a long-term decision, and I think to some degree 17 it is, although it is not a purchase, you know, at any time 18 after the 10 years this -- you know, this can be reopened, 19 it can be relooked at if other kinds of proposals are on 20 the table. I think that this leaves a lot of flexibility 21 and that it isn't as foreboding as it may sound. 22 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Thank you. I guess I'll 23 call the question at this time. All in favor of the 24 resolution as stated by Ms. Heiman, say aye. 25 IN UNISON: Aye.

00056
1 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Opposed?
2 (No opposing responses)
3 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Resolution passes.
4 Thank you. Molly.
5 MS. McCAMMON: Well, given that the
6 resolution is passed, then the next item would be the blue
7 sheet here, which is a proposed amendment to Project 01126,
8 Habitat Protection and Acquisition Support. And this is a
9 request for an additional \$11,700 in support costs for site
10 inspection, air charter for the site inspection, title
11 insurance and then some general administration costs. And
12 there is a suggested motion at the end.
13 MS. HEIMAN: Mr. Chairman.
14 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Yes.
15 MS. HEIMAN: I move that the Trustee
16 Council approve the addition of 11,700 to Project 01126 for
17 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for support costs for
18 the Koniag acquisition.
19CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Do we have a second?20MR. BALSIGER: Second.
20MR. BALSIGER: Second.21CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. It's been moved
22 and seconded, all those in favor say aye.
23 IN UNISON: Aye.
24 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Against?
25 (No opposing responses)

00057 1 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. Thank you. 2 think we have one more item on the agenda, it's a revision 3 to Project 1404. 4 MS. McCAMMON: That's correct, 5 At the December meeting you approved \$75,000 Mr. Chairman. 6 in funding for Dr. Neilsen to proceed with Project 01404, 7 Archival Tags for Tracking King Salmon. At the time there 8 were some questions raised, though, concerning which 9 particular species of salmon might be better, most 10 appropriate, for the tagging test and the location of the 11 release of the fish. During this interim period 12 Dr. Neilsen has been in discussion with hatchery folks and 13 with Department of Fish and Game, they are requesting 14 revisions to the project to test the tags on coho instead 15 of chinook salmon and to release the fish at Ship Creek and 16 Cook Inlet, rather than the Ester Island Hatchery and 17 Prince William Sound. These revisions have been reviewed 18 by Dr. Spies, the Chief Scientist, and he approves them. This doesn't change the funding amount, but it 19 20 changes some of the major -- and it doesn't really change 21 the object of the project because it still is testing the 22 tagging technology, but these were significant enough 23 changes that we thought it should come back to you. CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay, thank you. Do we 24 25 have a motion? Ms. Heiman.

00058 MS. HEIMAN: 1 I move that we change the --2 on Project 01404 that we change the species from king 3 salmon to sockeye? 4 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Coho. 5 MS. McCAMMON: Coho. б MS. HEIMAN: Sorry, coho, and we change the 7 location, I guess, from Prince William Sound to Ship Creek. 8 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Is there a second? 9 MS. SLATER: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Okay. It's been moved 11 and seconded, all those in favor say aye. 12 IN UNISON: Aye. 13 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: All opposed? 14 (No opposing responses) CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Motion carries. 15 Ι 16 believe that's it for today. MS. McCAMMON: That's it. 17 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: So do I hear a motion we 18 19 adjourn? 20 MR. BALSIGER: I move we adjourn. 21 MS. SEE: Second. 22 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: It's been moved and 23 seconded to adjourn, all those in favor say aye. IN UNISON: 24 Aye. 25 CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: Opposed?

00059	
1	(No opposing responses)
2	CHAIRMAN GIBBONS: We stand adjourned.
3	(Off record - 11:09 a.m.)
4	(MEETING ADJOURNED)

00060 CERTIFICATE 1 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) 3 ) ss. 4 STATE OF ALASKA ) 5 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for б the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court 7 Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify: 8 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 59 contain a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon 9 10 Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Meeting recorded 11 electronically by me on the 4th day of January 2001, 12 commencing at the hour of 9:38 a.m. and thereafter 13 transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability. THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the 14 15 request of: 16 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 17 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 18 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 10th day of January 19 2001. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 22 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska 24 My Commission Expires: 04/17/04 25