00001 **EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL** 1 2 TRUSTEE COUNCIL 3 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEETING Monday, June 8, 1998 5 10:00 o'clock a.m. Fourth Floor Conference Room 7 645 G Street Anchorage, Alaska 9 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: 10 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: MS. DEBORAH WILLIAMS 11 (Chairwoman) Special Assistant to the 12 **Assistant Secretary** 13 STATE OF ALASKA -MR. CRAIG TILLERY 14 DEPARTMENT OF LAW: Trustee Representative for the Attorney General 15 16 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MR. FRANK RUE 17 OF FISH AND GAME: Commissioner 18 (Telephonically) 19 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE -MR. JIM WOLFE 20 U.S. FOREST SERVICE for the Regional Forester 21 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - NMFS: MR. STEVE PENNOYER 22 (Telephonically) Director, Alaska Region 23 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MS. MICHELE BROWN 24 OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: Commissioner 25 (Telephonically)

21 MR. DOUG MUTTER

25 MR. BILL HINES

22 MR. KEN HOLBROOK 23 MS. CATHERINE BERG

00002 1 TRUSTEE COUNCIL STAFF 2 MR. ERIC MYERS 3 MS. REBECCA WILLIAMS 4 MS. TRACI CRAMER 5 MS. VERONICA CHRISTMAN 6 MS. SANDRA SCHUBERT	Director of Operations Executive Secretary Director of Administration	
7 MR. JOE HUNT	Communications Coordinator	
8 MR. HUGH SHORT	Community Facilitator	
9 DR. BOB SPIES	Chief Scientist	
10 MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI	State of Alaska	
11 Department of Law		
12 MR. BUD RICE	National Park Service	
13 MS. GINA BELT	Department of Justice	
14 (Telephonically - Seldovia)	·	
15 MR. STEVE SHUCK	U.S. Fish and Wildlife	
16 Service		
17 MS. CLAUDIA SLATER	Alaska Department of Fish	
18 and Game		
19 MS. LISA THOMAS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife	

Service

Service

Department of Interior U.S. Forest Service

U.S. Fish and Wildlife

NOAA/NMFS

00003	
1 TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2 Approval of Agenda 05	
3 Approval of Meeting Notes: 06	
4 December 18 and 23, 1997	
5 March 9 and 20, 1998	
6 April 2, 1998	
7 Public Advisory Group Report - Rupert Andrews 07	
8 Executive Director's Report - Eric Myers 15	
9 Financial Report	
10 Status of Investments	
11 Ratification of APEX Funding Reallocation	
12 PUBLIC COMMENT	
13 Ms. Pamela Brodie 19/61	
14 Mr. Matthew Zencey 25	
15 Mr. David Grimes 29	
16 Mr. Rick Steiner 37	
17 Ms. Beth Carlson (Sierra Club) 43	
18 Ms. Patty Brown-Schwalenberg 46	
19 Mr. John Schoen (Audubon Society) 52	
20 Ms. Theresa Obermeyer (Telephonically) 56	
21 Executive Director's Report (Continued) - Eric Myers 63	
22 Phil Janik's Departure	
23 Public Advisory Group Renewals	
24 Habitat Protection Status Report	
25 10th Anniversary	
26 Archaeology RFP	
27 FY99 Work Plan	
28 Alaska SeaLife Center Grand Opening	
29 Cordova EVOS Waste Station Opening	
30 Homer Dedication	
31 Small Parcels	87
32 Patson	
33 Blondeau	
34 Termination Point	
35 Kodiak Tax Parcels	
36 Afognak Joint Venture Payment Schedule	102
37 Restoration of Services	102

Restoration Reserve - Discussion of Public Comments

```
00004
1
               PROCEDINGS
2
       (On record - 10:37)
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Frank, who's in
3
  Juneau, please? Are all the Trustee Council members?
            MR. RUE: Yes, you got Frank Rue, Michele
5
6 Brown, Steve Pennoyer.
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right. In that
8 event, I will call this meeting to order. I am Deborah
9 Williams, the Department of Interior Trustee Council member.
10 It's my privilege to chair the meeting today. And I said, I
11 will now call to order the June 8th, 1998 meeting of the Exxon
12 Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council. Representing the other
13 agencies and departments, here in Anchorage we have Jim Wolfe
14 representing the United States Forest Service. We have Craig
15 Tillery representing the Attorney General's Office and, as we
16 just heard, in Juneau we have Steve Pennoyer representing
17 NOAA/NMFS, we have Frank Rue representing ADF&G and we have
18 Michele Brown representing Alaska Department of Environmental
19 Conservation. And we have several public members here, thank
20 you for joining us. We also have, at this time, Homer and
21 Kodiak on line, as well as Gina Belt in Seldovia.
        The first item of business is to review and approve the
22
23 agenda. I have in front of me an agenda dated 6/4/98. I
24 understand that if you have an agenda dated 6/3/98 it is the
25 identical agenda. Are there any recommended modifications to
```

- 1 be made to the agendas dated either 6/3/98 or 6/4/98? MR. PENNOYER: Deborah, I don't have a 2 3 suggested change, but I've got to leave for Dutch Harbor 4 tomorrow for North Pacific Council meeting on inshore/offshore 5 and other small topics and I'm going to get out of here about 6 noon, so Bill Hines will be sitting in for me. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you very 8 much, Steve. Are there any other comments or recommendations 9 with respect to the agenda? (No audible responses) 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Hearing none, do I 11 12 have a motion to approve the agenda? 13 MR. PENNOYER: So moved. 14 MS. BROWN: Second. 15 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it has been 16 moved by Mr. Pennoyer, seconded by Michele Brown to approve the 17 agenda. Are there any objections? (No audible responses) 18 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Hearing no objections 19 20 the agenda is approved as written. The next item of business is to approve the December 22 18th and 23rd and March 9, March 20th and April 2nd meeting
- 23 notes. Yes, Eric. 24 MR. MYERS: Just to draw special attention to 25 the meeting minute notes for April 2nd. On your chairs [sic]

```
1 you should have the correct version, there was one typographic
2 error that was corrected, so -- Rebecca, is there a way of
3 distinguishing these meeting minutes? Well, I guess there is
```

4 one way. The figure on the second page of the April 2nd

5 meeting minutes, approved motion, Item Number 3, the

6 appropriate figure should be 70,500,000 and there was a typo

7 previously, so that's the appropriate figure, otherwise the

8 meeting minutes were not changed.

9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. 10 MR. RUE: Hey, Eric, we barely hear you in

11 Juneau, maybe you need to get closer to a microphone.

MR. MYERS: Okay.MR. RUE: Thank you.

14 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Do I hear a

15 motion to approve the meeting notes of December 18, 23 and

16 March 9th, March 20th and April 2nd.

17 MR. RUE: So moved.18 MS. BROWN: Second.

19 MR. PENNOYER: Second.

20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I think it's moved by

21 Mr. Rue, seconded by, again, Ms. Brown that the meeting notes

22 be approved as written. Is there any objection to doing so?

23 (No audible responses)

24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Hearing none the

25 meeting notes are approved as written.

- Our next item of business is Public Advisory Group report by Rupert Andrews. Mr. Andrews, are you on the conference call?
- 4 MR. ANDREWS: (Phone cut out) present the PAG 5 report if you're ready.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. Is that you,
- 7 Mr. Andrews?
- 8 MR. ANDREWS: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, please, if you 10 would proceed.
- MR. ANDREWS: Can you hear me okay, Madam 12 Chair?
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: We can hear you very 14 well. Thank you very much.
- 15 MR. ANDREWS: Good morning. First off, I'd
- 16 like to thank you for the opportunity to report on the recent
- 17 activities of the Public Advisory Group. We did meet June 1
- 18 and June 2nd in Anchorage and my report today will be just a
- 19 brief summary. You have the full copy of the minutes before 20 you of the meeting.
- 21 But we reviewed three basic things. One, the fiscal
- 22 year '99 Work Plan, presented by Stan Senner. The fiscal '99
- 23 projects of special interest to PAG members. This was a
- 24 request of the PAG staff to revisit these projects of that
- 25 special interest. And last, and most important, we came to

- 1 somewhat of a general agreement on restoration reserve, the
 2 estimated \$150,000,000 to continue activities, I think, after
 3 2001. In fact, I'd like to apply an entire day and perhaps
 4 part of the next day of our time there.
- 5 (Phone cut out) the PAG also received a summary by 6 Veronica Christman on the public comments and discussed that 7 briefly also.
- 8 Very briefly, the fiscal '99 Work Plan presented by
 9 Stan Senner, there was a resolution about that plan and we
 10 looked at the public comments and there were some general
 11 agreement also that the public comments revealed a high degree
 12 of ignorance of the restoration process by the public. And it
 13 was a general consensus that more educational outreach is
 14 probably needed. We noticed that organizations with Outside
 15 membership dominated the responses in sheer numbers, that they
 16 were all pretty much the same responses. We agreed that
 17 probably a better of educational outreach has to be obtained in
 18 Alaska.
- 19 I'd like to talk mostly this morning in the report, if 20 you will, for the next few minutes on the summary of areas of 21 the restoration reserve. A great amount of credit should go to 22 Chris Beck of the PAG who led the discussion. And he's also 23 the chairman of the special group that we established at our 24 June 2nd meeting, to look at a couple of areas for our July 25 meeting.

We did get through all the areas of science research,
education information, community projects, land acquisitions
and deferred on governance and timeframe. We'll be more
specific, hopefully, in our comments to the Trustees after the
July meeting. The overriding goals that we had general
agreement on was stewardship, long-term sustainable health of
the spill area ecosystems and two, restoration, replacement,
enhancement of injured resources and services.

This got a little bit poetic in doing the mission
10 statement as you read "sustain the health of the achingly
11 beautiful, living chunk of planet". Well, everybody believes
12 in it and we think it's good statement, so we left it in. And
13 "to seize the unique opportunity to make the spill area one of
14 the few places in North America where people are figuring out a
15 way to live in and actively use rich, complex coastal ecosystem
16 without incrementally erasing its life and wonder."

17 I'd like to point out that trying to get a consensus of 18 agreement in a 15-member group is as diverse -- representing as 19 many diverse public as the PAG is probably not obtainable, but 20 we came very close in general agreement on the goals and the 21 means to the goals.

Generally speaking, it was recommended that a balanced 23 approach be taken to the science and research -- education, 24 information -- education outreach, community outreach and land 25 acquisitions. Land acquisitions created quite a bit of

- 1 controversy. We took a poll of the members present and asked 2 what their idea of percentage of restoration funds should be 3 dedicated towards land acquisitions. Apparently there are no 4 large parcels left on the table for purchase, everyone pretty 5 much supported small parcel acquisition, if and when they 6 become available, so therefore the survey of the members range 7 from about 10 percent of the restoration reserve fund all the 8 way up to 75 percent. If you go 50 to 75 percent, of course, 9 you don't leave much for the other activities. And a lot of us 10 felt that 10 percent of \$150,000,000 is \$15,000,000 and that's 11 a lot of money, particularly if you're dealing with small 12 parcels.
- 13 I think with that my comments are at about at a close
 14 here Madam Chair, unless there are some questions by the
 15 Trustees up there. There are two of them here, of course, and
 16 I would entertain any questions right now if they have any.
 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Are there any
 18 questions by the Trustee Council members?
- 19 (No audible responses)
 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I actually have one.
 21 What, again, do you hope to accomplish at your July meeting?
 22 MR. ANDREWS: Yes, at the July meeting we're
 23 going through the -- pretty much concentrating on the areas of
 24 governance of the restoration reserve and the timeframe, you
 25 know, should it be a short-term or in perpetuity? You know,

- 1 you can run the fund with final balance or you can invest it
- 2 and just work off the interest on it. There's still a lot of
- 3 problems about the governance, questions like, who will govern
- 4 this fund? You know, should the Trustees still be the
- 5 governing body? Should there still be a PAG group as a matter
- 6 of fact? And I think those area questions that we hope we can
- 7 come up with some options to present to the Trustees after the
- 8 July meeting.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Another
- 10 question I have is, did the PAG define and limit or define its
- 11 discussions by what was possible given existing authorities or
- 12 did you also explore things that you thought would require
- 13 legislation?
- MR. ANDREWS: Actually a little bit of each,
- 15 Madam Chair. Basically there seems to be some discussion, and
- 16 we did explore that to a small extent, whether the Trustees
- 17 should be the group or whether we should actually be able -- or
- 18 recommend that there should be another option involved in
- 19 creating another governing body. No one had any ideas at that
- 20 time, we're hoping in July that we can come up with something
- 21 that we can put on paper.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- 23 MR. WOLFE: Madam Chair.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Wolfe.
- MR. WOLFE: I do have a question now. It has

- 1 to do with the science research goals and objectives which say
- 2 "to help solve current and long-term resource management
- 3 issues." Could you elaborate a little bit on what that really
- 4 means? Are you trying to set up research that would replace
- 5 the normal research that would be done by the Trustee agencies
- 6 or this something that would compliment their long-term needs
- 7 for research, but be linked to the spill?
- 8 MR. ANDREWS: Actually the discussion centered 9 on continuing those projects that are necessary for continued
- 10 monitoring, if you will, the hydrocarbons through the food
- 11 cycles and the ecosystem, backed up by other types of research.
- 12 There are at least several people on the PAG that are very much
- 13 devoted to the basic research concept, I happen to be one of
- 14 them myself, but there also has to be some applied research
- 15 also. And we feel that a balanced program of both is probably
- 16 the way to go in the future on that.
- Do you have anything more specific that you had in mind 18 on that or.....
- MR. WOLFE: Well, it seems to be openended and 20 not focused on spill-related issues or monitoring. And that 21 was my point.
- 22 MR. ANDREWS: Yeah, that's correct, it's
- 23 openended on purpose and we hope to focus in and be more
- 24 specific after we've had a chance to take a break from this and
- $25\ \text{come}$ back in July with more specifics, and I hope that we can

24

25

1 present those specific options to the Trustees at that time. MR. WOLFE: Very good, thank you. 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any other questions 4 from the Trustee Council? MR. PENNOYER: Madam Chair. 5 6 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Steve. 7 MR. PENNOYER: Yeah, Rupe, when you say 8 governance you seem to be concentrating on legislation and 9 Trustee Council continuation and PAG continuing. How about the 10 actual management of this list and how it would interact with 11 other bodies or other groups or how you'd leverage? I mean, 12 how -- what should this thing look like? Not just you have a 13 Trustee Council signing off or a three member to vote on the 14 priorities or something like that, but actually how should this 15 be set up? Should it have staff? Does it need an Executive 16 Director? Did you get into things like that as well? MR. ANDREWS: Madam Chair, Steve, that's 17 18 exactly the conundrum that we're in and that's why we postponed 19 it to the July meeting. This was discussed in a number of ways 20 and we don't have any good ideas at this time to present to 21 you. Stan 22 MR. PENNOYER: Will you do that in July, though 23 (indiscernible - interrupted).....

MR. ANDREWS: We hope to, yeah.

MR. PENNOYER: Okay, thank you.

00014 MR. ANDREWS: Because you've just asked the 1 2 \$64,000 question here. MR. PENNOYER: Maybe it's close to \$1,000,000. MR. ANDREWS: Maybe it's a million now with 4 5 inflation. Madam Chair, I have also another member of the PAG 7 group here, Chuck Meacham, I wonder if it would be appropriate 8 if the Trustees would hear a few remarks from him? He has some 9 comments. 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Certainly. Chuck. MR. MEACHAM: Thank you very much. Very 11 12 briefly, there's one item I wanted to correct in the meeting 13 summary of PAG on page three. I appreciate that this is a 14 draft, but on page three of the PAG's meeting results, about a 15 third of the way down from top, it's Meacham said. And it's 16 not zero percent should go for science, it was that 90 percent 17 should go for science and 10 percent for land, and specifically

20 eventually.
21 Just very briefly in response to Mr. Pennoyer, I would
22 say that probably 90 percent of the discussion at our PAG
23 meeting dealing with the restoration reserve dealt with how we
24 thought the funding should be spent. And while we
25 superficially touched on the topics of governance and some of

18 small parcel-type acquisition. Just to clarify that. Again, I 19 appreciate it's a draft and it would have been caught

00015 1 the other items, we did not get into any detailed discussion, 2 in my view, and that would be the intent of our next meeting. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Any other 5 questions or comments? (No audible responses) 7 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right. Well, 8 thank you so much for joining us, giving us your report and 9 your continued diligent work, we really appreciate it. MR. ANDREWS: Thank you. I hope that we can 10 11 keep the pace up and momentum after the July meeting and 12 continue to be of a real service to the Trustees in their 13 decision-making. Thank you again.

14 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

15 MR. MEACHAM: Thank you.

16 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it is not quite 17 11:00. We are scheduled to begin public comment period at 18 11:00 and so what I would like to do is have Eric, who will be 19 giving the Executive Director's report, go ahead and give as 20 much of it as you can in the next five minutes. Now, I assume 21 we can talk briefly about the finance report and perhaps status 22 of investments. Eric.

MR. MYERS: Well, I feel confident we can talk 24 about those two items, Madam Chair, in as much as the financial 25 report, there's really not anything other than to say than it's

00016 1 in your binder, as it is traditionally provided..... 2 MR. RUE: Losing you, Eric. 3 MR. MYERS: Okay, I'm sorry, I'll speak a 4 little louder. The financial report is in the binder, it's 5 there for your reference. There's nothing more specific that I 6 intended to say in regard to that matter. 7 The status of investments. There is really no change 8 in the status of our efforts at this point, regarding our 9 ongoing long-term desire to see some additional ability to have 10 the funds of the Council earn a more productive rate of return. 11 So those items didn't take very long. You want me to just keep 12 going and we might be able to get through this other item if 13 you're interested? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I do have one 15 question, though. I'm looking at the financial report, I see 16 that in the restoration reserve we have 65,000,000. People are 17 now using the number 150,000,000. Do we have a level of 18 confidence that that is the number that we'll be talking about 19 and the date we'd be looking at the 150,000,000 would be 2002? MR. MYERS: Okay. Traci, are you on line? 20 21 MS. CRAMER: I am, Eric. MR. MYERS: Okay. Actually, I think that the 22

23 figure -- well, Traci, why don't you give them the background 24 for the genesis of the -- I refer to it as the \$140,000,000

25 number, but nonetheless, 150 and 140 are being bandied about.

- 1 And, Traci, why don't you tell us how we got to that figure.
- 2 MS. CRAMER: Deborah, we're estimating that the
- 3 principal already there will be roughly 140-150,000,000, that
- 4 is known -- in the year 2002. That assumes that the Trustee
- 5 Council continues to make \$12,000,000 payments and that the
- 6 fund earns roughly five percent.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. And it works to
- 8 that, with those assumptions?
- 9 MS. CRAMER: That's correct.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right, very good.
- 11 MR. MYERS: Continue?
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 13 MR. MYERS: Okay. Madam Chairman, under tab
- 14 APEX you will find some material pertaining to a reallocation
- 15 of FY98 funds within the APEX Project. The memo describes what
- 16 has been proposed and authorized, pursuant to a polling of the
- 17 Board under emergency procedures. At this point what is
- 18 intended is simply that this action be ratified by the Council
- 19 and so a motion to that effect would be appropriate at this 20 time.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I will entertain a
- 22 motion to confirm our written approval of the reallocation of
- 23 the APEX funds. As all the Council members know and Eric just
- 24 stated, we all reviewed this in an emergency form and, as Eric
- 25 also stated, it is Trustee Council procedure to re-ratify an

00018 1 emergency action in writing at the next Council meeting. So I 2 will take a motion to approve the reallocation of the APEX 3 funds. MR. TILLERY: So moved. 5 MR. WOLFE: Second. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it has been 7 moved by Mr. Tillery, seconded by Mr. Wolfe, that we confirm at 8 this public meeting our emergency authorization of the 9 reallocation of the APEX funds. All in favor indicate by 10 saying aye. 11 IN UNISON: Aye. 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed? 13 (No opposing responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: The ayes have it and 14 15 the allocation is authorized. 16 Okay, I think we are ready to go into public comment 17 period and we have several people here in Anchorage who have 18 indicated an interest in testifying this morning or speaking to 19 the Council this morning. Do we have people in Homer that are 20 interested in speaking to the Council this morning? MS. BRODIE: Yes, this is Pam Brodie, I would 21 22 like to speak. Thank you.

24 we have people in Kodiak interested in speaking?

23

25

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, very good. Do

KODIAK LIO: No, they don't wish to speak, just

```
00019
1 listen.
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, very good. And
3 do we have at this time anyone else who has joined us besides
4 people in Homer and Kodiak?
            MR. PANAMARIOFF: You got Ouzinkie here.
5
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Ouzinkie, great. And
7 is there -- are there people in Ouzinkie who wish to testify?
            MR. PANAMARIOFF: I don't believe so at this
8
9 time.
10
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, very good.
11 We'll give everyone the opportunity after we've gone through
12 Homer and Anchorage. Let us then begin with Homer and, Pam, if
13 you'd like to testify at this time, please. And just to remind
14 everyone, please say your name slowly and spell your last name
15 at the beginning of your testimony.
            MS. BRODIE: Thank you, Madam Chair. This is
16
17 Pamela Brodie, B-r-o-d-i-e, the Environmental representative on
18 the Public Advisory Group. Thank you for the opportunity to
19 testify. I would like to speak a little about the restoration
20 reserve and, as you heard from Rupe Andrews, the majority of
21 the Public Advisory Group members who were present at the last
22 meeting were not very supportive of putting a lot more money
23 into the restoration reserve, people were talking in the realm
24 of 10 or 20 percent.
25
       We were talking mostly about small parcels and there
```

- 1 was general support for some money for small parcels. And the
- 2 general feeling was that there should be or, in fact, are not
- 3 large parcels still to buy. I hope Rupe Andrews is still with
- 4 you in Anchorage [sic], because I think there's something of a
- 5 misconception. And this was my fault at the Public Advisory
- 6 Group, we did not talk about Koniag and the Karluk parcels
- 7 still being available for sale, and I know Rupe is a lot more
- 8 familiar with that area than I am, and cares a lot about it.
- 9 And we didn't really talk about it. I know the Trustees do
- 10 have 16,000,000 set aside for that and I know, also, that there
- 11 may never be an agreement, but the environmental community is
- 12 still very hopeful that buyers and sellers can come to
- 13 agreement on price. And we would think it very important to
- 14 have -- for the Trustees to have the ability to spend more
- 15 money if they decide that that's appropriate, considering the
- 16 value of the area. So I just wanted to make it clear that the
- 17 PAG was not really looking at that possibility.
- Another thing is the -- and I know this is very
- 19 controversial, but the environmental community has always
- 20 supported the Copper River Delta as being part of the oil spill
- 21 ecosystem and that the Trustees should be able to buy and
- 22 protect fish and wildlife habitat in that area, as well as the
- 23 area which is within the lines of the current maps, and we are
- 24 hoping that the Trustee Council will revisit those lines of the
- 25 maps before making final decisions about the restoration

1 reserve.

The environmental community supports 75 percent or I should say, at least 75 percent, of the funds in the restoration reserved being available for habitat acquisition. It has been a very popular item in the past, it is still getting a lot of public support. As you know the small parcels can be very important to local communities. Here in Homer we've had support for several of them, which the Trustees have purchased and people are delighted about that. And this is something that's hard to predict in terms of specifics, except just to say that they do become available and will become available, probably, indefinitely over time, so it's important to be able to do that.

And there are other possibilities for large parcels. I
15 am, unfortunately, not up on what's going on with Lake Clark
16 right now, I haven't been doing my homework completely, but in
17 the past there has been some idea there might be a need for
18 significant funds there, so we think it's critical for the
19 Trustees to make adequate funding available for habitat
20 protection in the future for the sake of the natural resources
21 and for Alaskans now and in the future and for the people of
22 the United States who are owners of much of the public land
23 that was damaged.

24 Thank you.

25

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much,

- 1 Pamela. Are there any questions for Ms. Brodie at this time?
- 2 (No audible responses)
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Ms. Brodie.
- 4 One thing both your comments and the previous comments by
- 5 Mr. Andrews do reinforce in my mind is that I would very much
- 6 like to be invited to talk to the next PAG meeting and if I'm
- 7 not here because of VIP travel, to have someone from Fish and
- 8 Wildlife Service and Park Service talk about large parcel
- 9 possibilities. So assuming that is agreeable to the PAG, we
- 10 would very much like to talk about large parcels possibilities
- 11 at the next PAG meeting, and sorry that that did not occur at
- 12 the last PAG meeting. Because there are some potentials out
- 13 there that I think the PAG should know about before it proceeds
- 14 much further in its deliberations.
- 15 MR. RUE: Madam Chair.
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 17 MR. RUE: This is Frank Rue.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Frank.
- MR. RUE: Are those that are on our radar
- 20 scope?
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Some, like Koniag,
- 22 that are and others that we've talked about, but not in a great
- 23 deal of detail. We've mentioned previous ones, such as Lake
- 24 Clark.
- Okay, very good, any other questions or comment for

```
00023
1 Ms. Brodie?
2
       (No audible responses)
3
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Pamela.
            MR. PENNOYER: I'm sorry, you mentioned Lake
4
5 Clark and a couple of -- can I ask what that is and how that
6 relates to the restoration reserve or the oil spill area?
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Certainly. Of course,
8 all the Trustee Council members know about Koniag, so I don't
9 need to describe that in much detail, other than to say that,
10 as we all know, we have set aside $16.5 million and the entire
11 price tag of the transaction, at our evaluation numbers is
12 larger than that, and so if we were to succeed in purchasing
13 both the Karluk as well as the Sturgeon we know that it would
14 be more costly than we have set aside.
15
        Secondly, in terms of Lake Clark. Lake Clark shoreline
16 is part of the spill area. There are lands both in the
17 southern and northern portions of the Lake Clark National Park,
18 within the boundaries of the Lake Clark National Park that are
19 owned by Native corporations. There has been some expression
20 of interest by some Native corporations to have us sit down and
21 chat with them about possible acquisitions. These are some
22 extraordinary lands and this is something that we're looking
23 forward to the possibility of exploring more. Of course, in
24 our current funds there has been no money conceptually
25 allocated to these lands, but there are possibilities with
```

- 1 respect to the restoration reserve and also reallocating2 current funds.
- We've also discussed with the Trustee Council, but have
- 4 done nothing more than that, some lands to the south of Lake
- 5 Clark and the Becharof Refuge and there have been no specific
- 6 plans made in that regard but the Becharof Refuge is within the
- 7 spill area and there are the potential of large parcel
- 8 acquisitions there. Again, the idea being to talk with the PAG
- 9 about the possibilities, not probabilities, but just
- 10 possibilities at this point, so the PAG can make the best
- 11 recommendations possible given our current state of knowledge.
- MR. PENNOYER: Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right. Any other
- 14 questions or comments?
- 15 (No audible responses)
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That brings us then to
- 17 Anchorage and the first person who has signed up is Matthew
- 18 Zencey.
- 19 MR. ZENCEY: May I reserve the balance of my
- 20 time to go last here? I'm learning as everyone speaks.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Matthew, no. First on
- 22 the list, first up.
- 23 MR. ZENCEY: First come, first served.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: First come, first
- 25 served.

MR. ZENCEY: I never thought there would be a 1 2 disadvantage to showing up early. Give me that list, I want to 3 write my name at the bottom. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: You get to influence 5 everyone else's presentation. MR. ZENCEY: Okay. All right. Well, thank you 7 very much again for inviting public testimony. And it is 8 important, I think, for the Trustees to maintain the good 9 record that you've had on soliciting public comment and 10 listening to and responding public comment. (Unidentified voices on teleconference) 11 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Can Juneau hear 13 Matthew Zencey fine? MR. ZENCEY: Can you hear okay down there? 15 MR. RUE: Yes. 16 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, very good. MR. ZENCEY: Where is the microphone? I feel 17 18 like I'm talking into the Mars Rover here, it's a strange 19 looking microphone. That's it, huh? All right, thanks. Okay, just briefly, we would like to reiterate the 20 21 comments that we have provided on the restoration reserve

22 process that habitat needs to be a highly significant component

24 most lasting, useful and demonstrable way to protect and help 25 enhance the restoration of resources that have been affected by

23 of this -- of the planning for the Trustees, that it is the

4 and wilderness and sport fishing and sport hunting as well, 5 that have been affected by the spill and displaced into other 6 areas. 7 I'm encouraged to hear that there is some discussion 8 of, you know, potential opportunities that may be there for 9 habitat acquisition and we would like to reiterate some other 10 possibilities. The question of what may happen in the Bering 11 River area on the Copper River Delta, a highly significant 12 biological area on the coastal rim here and even though it was 13 not directly oiled, we feel that there are both connections 14 biologically and though human uses to this area and some 15 development and proposal there could have significant impact on 16 the ecosystems and also on the human systems that were affected 17 by the spill. So we'd encourage you to keep that in mind as 18 you undergo your decision-making for the restoration reserve. I'd also like to allude to comments about the nature of 19 20 the public comment on the restoration reserve planning and I'll 21 be sure to come back here when you get to agenda Item 9, 22 restoration and reserve, discussion of public comments. I 23 think it's important not to dismiss the nature of the comments 24 merely because they may come from outside the state, these are 25 national resources that are issues here in many respects. And

1 the spill. And some of those resources are, you know, most 2 overtly biological, some species still in need of recovery, but

3 also to keep in mind human uses, such as, you know, subsistence

- 1 these people are stakeholders, they may be far away, they may
- 2 not have the sophisticated understanding that those of you at
- 3 the table do, but nonetheless, I think they -- those comments
- 4 speak to the kinds of values that these resources represent and
- 5 there is a significant, if you check the analysis of the
- 6 comments, there is a significant interest in habitat
- 7 acquisition as being a major tool in the toolbox for use of the
- 8 restoration reserve and would urge you not to lightly dismiss
- 9 it simply because it may come from outside the state or in a
- 10 form that is not considered significant by some who have looked 11 at it.
- 12 And then finally I would like to -- since there is some
- 13 political controversy surrounding the nature of habitat --
- 14 surrounding habitat acquisition and how beneficial it may be or
- 15 how politically wise it may be, just like to make sure that the
- 16 Trustees are aware of some of the constituencies that have
- 17 spoken up in support of significant use of the restoration
- 18 reserve for habitat acquisition. Here we have the American
- 19 Sports Fishing Association which commends you for your prior
- 20 action to protect 120 salmon streams and intertidal spawning
- 21 areas in Prince William Sound. Another 76 anadromous streams
- 22 and rivers in the Kodiak, Afognak and Shuyak area. And the
- 23 Sports Fishing Association urges you to consider major
- 24 initiatives for further habitat protection with the restoration
- 25 reserve.

- Here we have the National Rifle Association of America, the Conservation Director, supporting habitat protection for large and small parcels remaining a priority in the restoration reserve. We have the Alaska Professional Hunters Association encouraging allocation of up to 75 percent of reserve funds to purchase key habitat areas. We have the Safari Club International with a similar comment. We have the Alaska Outdoor Council with a similar comment. These are not your conventional environmental organizations and to the extent that they are speaking up for habitat protection, I think it is the 11 kind of comment that deserves full attention from the Trustees. The list goes on, here's Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, which point out that a number of the habitat protection measures that
- 14 have been taken also benefit the species that they're
- 15 interested in. We have the Congressional Sportsman's
- 16 Foundation which you may well know that probably at least one
- 17 of the lead critics of habitat acquisition is a member of that
- 18 Sportsman's Caucus where the foundation -- one of the groups
- 19 that the Sportsman's Foundation works with commends you for
- 20 taking one of the worst environmental disasters in the United
- 21 States and in its wake creating a legacy for the entire
- 22 country's present and future generations. There's this
- 23 completion of the Karluk River acquisitions and other
- 24 opportunities for habitat acquisition.
- 25 So I would like to suggest that there is a broad and

- 1 deep public interest in continued habitat protection as you
- 2 plan for the restoration reserve. Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much,
- 4 Mr. Zencey. Questions or comment for Mr. Zencey?
- 5 (No audible responses)
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good, thank you.
- 7 Okay, next on the list is David Grimes.
- 8 MR. GRIMES: Good morning and thanks for
- 9 allowing testimony. It's David Grimes, G-r-i-m-e-s from
- 10 Cordova. And this does look like the Mars Rover here.
- 11 I have a couple of comments and few questions and a few
- 12 questions also, but first I wanted to ask Craig Tillery if the
- 13 AG's office is planning any legal action to enforce a
- 14 moratorium on rain in the oil spill region. And if not, why 15 not?
- 16 MR. TILLERY: It's a Federal issue.
- 17 MR. GRIMES: Particularly what I would like to
- 18 address is my feeling that there clearly are large parcels yet
- 19 in the oil spill region and greater ecosystems that need
- 20 protection. And I wanted to speak about and Deborah has talked
- 21 about on the west and southwest side in Lake Clark area, I want
- 22 to focus attention again on the east side in the Copper River
- 23 Delta area. And it seems like one thread that's continuous
- 24 through the many hundred of millions of dollars on science that
- 25 has been spent trying to get a handle on how to approach

- 1 restoration and then take action. Because I assume we're not 2 spending this money for the sake of spending money but that we
- 3 hope to be able to take action based on the science. And one
- 4 of the things we keep talking about is ecosystem management,
- 5 that, you know, we can draw political boundaries but those
- 6 don't really reflect the ecosystem boundaries and that word
- 7 "ecosystem" seems to be throughout the literature that the
- 8 Trustees and other people are talking about, so I do want to
- 9 point out that the boundary that the Trustee Council has been
- 10 operating under on the east side runs down one side of the
- 11 Copper River. And I would certainly ask if anybody on the
- 12 Council thinks that that represents an ecosystem line? Does
- 13 anyone see how drawing that line down side of a river would be
- 14 ecosystem management?
- 15 (No audible responses)
- MR. GRIMES: Hearing no one say that that is, I
- 17 assume that -- then the Trustee Council, if it were
- 18 demonstrated that there were restoration values for injured --
- 19 replacing injured resources and services in the Copper River
- 20 Delta that we would be considering that. And also point out
- 21 that the Prince William Sound/Copper River "Ecosystem News"
- 22 where with this ecosystem partnership, it's sort of implicitly
- 23 stated that Prince William Sound and the Copper River Delta are
- 24 one greater ecosystem.
- 25 Signing on with this is the Department of Environmental

- 1 Conservation in Alaska, Alaska Department of Fish and Game,
- 2 Department of Natural Resources, the Bureau of Land Management,
- 3 Chenega and Chitina Native Corporations, U.S. Geological
- 4 Survey, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, Chugach National Forest and
- 5 Wrangell/St. Elias National Park and Preserve. And I just
- 6 wanted to, just briefly, lay out what I think are the
- 7 restoration values of the Copper River Delta, including the
- 8 Bering River country on the east side.
- 9 We know, for example, the greatest concentration in the
- 10 world of sea otters, beavers and trumpeter swan are there,
- 11 along with the Federally listed Steller sea lions. It's
- 12 considered the most important habitat in the Western Hemisphere
- 13 for shorebirds, it's probably the most critical site on the
- 14 Pacific Coast flyway. It's the only Forest Service district in
- 15 the United States legislatively mandated, first and foremost,
- 16 to be managed for fish and wildlife habitat values. It's in
- 17 the spill region, it's the only uncompromised major salmon run
- 18 and, of course, everybody knows the Copper River red salmon are
- 19 world renowned. And in addition of the handful of rivers
- 20 available in Chugach National Forests for Federal designation
- 21 as wild and scenic rivers, three of those are in this
- 22 particular area.
- 23 And on top of all that I don't think you could find a
- 24 place in the country more significant to the history of
- 25 conservation. As many of you know, the Copper River Delta, in

- 1 specific the Bering River coal fields -- Gifford Pinchot was
- 2 fired as the first head of the Forest Service over trying to
- 3 protect those and keep those in the public trust. Teddy
- 4 Roosevelt came out of retirement and formed the Bull Moose
- 5 party to do that. The Bering River coal fields were the
- 6 catalyst for that, but the larger issue was a conservation
- 7 ethic stating that the public's resources should be developed,
- 8 if developed, or conserved for the greater public good and not
- 9 to be given to private interests for their own gain. And Teddy
- 10 Roosevelt must be turning over his grave these days, because if
- 11 he were to walk in today and ask what the nation's oil reserves
- 12 were, he would be told, "sorry, that's proprietary information
- 13 of the oil industry".
- Then specifically what I wanted to talk about, one last 15 thing with this, is that there was an informal meeting a month
- 16 or so ago between some of the Trustee Council members and
- 17 Dr. Shin, the Korean -- and some of us here and Dr. Shin, the
- 18 Korean businessman who now is pretty much the sole owner of the
- 19 Bering River coal fields and what -- and expressing that while
- 20 he is moving forward with plans to develop these areas, and
- 21 indeed has met with the City of Cordova with the possibility of
- 22 looking at these coal fields as a way of generating power for
- 23 Cordova in the future, as well as other things. He also states
- 24 that he's entirely open to the idea of doing something with
- 25 these coal fields in the interest of conservation.

And so I think we have a sterling opportunity here to come to someone who does own significant interests in this area that would be vital, in my mind, for restoration objectives and here's someone who's open at the beginning before there's an imminent threat, someone is willing to come to the table and present this opportunity.

7 So I guess that's mostly what -- oh, and then the other 8 concern is then last -- a week ago on -- well, I guess it was a 9 little bit more than a week ago, the Anchorage Daily News had 10 an article and at that point talking about -- I think Frank 11 Murkowski in his wisdom was complaining about the Trustee 12 Council looking at the Bering River area and Copper River Delta 13 for restoration. And Molly McCammon was quoted, and a Forest 14 Service spokesperson were quoted as saying "absolutely there's 15 no interest in that". I wonder if there's some clarification 16 because my understanding, from our informal meeting, was that 17 indeed there was significant interest, however, there were 18 protocols that would have to be followed before the Trustee 19 Council could formally take this up. And so I'm wondering if 20 someone was misquoted or if, indeed, the Forest Service or 21 others are still drawing the link to restoration values here. 22 Is that -- maybe, Jim, I don't know if you have any comments on 23 that.

24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Certainly.

25 MR. WOLFE: Okay. The one thing that probably

- 1 is confusing is that there's no Trustee Council approval to do
- 2 any work with respect to evaluating the link with the spill
- 3 injuries in the coal field area. But the Forest Service has
- 4 agreed that we will look at that, as being a major land owner,
- 5 and we still propose to proceed with that. We've got a few
- 6 other alligators that we're working on right now, with the road
- 7 and some other things, but we do expect to get to that. We did
- 8 say that we would try to bring something to the table and
- 9 discuss it at our August, if I recall, August 8th, Trustee
- 10 Council meeting that's scheduled. And I don't see why we can't 11 still do that.
- 12 MR. GRIMES: Well, then the Forest Service
- 13 spokesperson who was quoted in the Daily News saying the Forest
- 14 Service was not proceeding in this fashion, was that a misquote 15 then?
- MR. WOLFE: I have no idea who it was, but you
- 17 just heard where we're at.
- 18 MR. GRIMES: Okay. Well, I'm just concerned --
- 19 I mean it doesn't look good for the Trustee Council to be
- 20 bending over because a Federal Senator is complaining about
- 21 these things. I'm just hoping, again, to as much possible, I
- 22 know we can't remove politics entirely from this process, but I
- 23 would remind us all that the oil spill cut across all
- 24 boundaries, political and ecosystem and otherwise, so we have
- 25 to keep our eyes on the prize.

And I hope that you all will do what you can. I really 1 2 think there are some large parcels still here. And it's also 3 my belief that with the science that we already have in this 4 arena that we know how to conduct triage for restoration. 5 Ninety percent of efforts surely would go into preventing oil 6 spill and protecting habitat. And I would just have to say, 7 surely, any triage system would put 90 percent of your effort 8 in those things and perhaps 10 percent in science. But you 9 don't -- in the emergency room you don't propose to study that 10 patient initially, you propose to save the patient and do no 11 further harm before you do any more science. 12 So, anyway, thank you so much for this opportunity. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you. And if I 13 14 could, just to remind the public of what the Trustee Council 15 process has always been. Whenever someone who owns an interest 16 in land comes to the Trustee Council and expresses an interest 17 in selling that land or being involved in the Trustee Council 18 process, we listen to that request, but then we ask that the 19 land-managing entity that owns or manages land adjacent to or 20 surrounding that land is the one that does the analysis to 21 determine whether it's something that they believe merits 22 further Trustee Council consideration. That's what is 23 happening now with the Forest Service. I do not know what the Forest Service is going to

25 recommend to the Trustee Council, I don't think the Forest

- 1 Service knows now either. If the Forest Service does not
- 2 recommend any action, which has happened in other instances,
- 3 then that is the end of it because the Trustee Council does not
- 4 seek to have land managers outside of the managing area, you
- 5 know, involved at that point. If the Forest Service does
- 6 recommend further action then the Trustee Council will look at
- 7 it at that time. And, of course, this issue is complicated,
- 8 substantially, by the fact that this is outside of the spill
- 9 area as defined.
- 10 Yes, Mr. Tillery?
- 11 MR. TILLERY: Just to clarify my own ease on
- 12 that.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, please.
- MR. TILLERY: As I understand it, when you say
- 15 the Trustee Council asked the Forest Service to look at
- 16 something.....
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: No, no, no.
- 18 MR. TILLERY:the Trustee Council has not
- 19 asked the Forest Service to do anything.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: No.
- 21 MR. TILLERY: The Trustee Council is not
- 22 putting any nickels behind any analysis that the Forest Service
- 23 does. It is taking any action it takes as part of its job as
- 24 the Forest Service and not at the request of the Trustee
- 25 Council.

00037 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. Thank you. If I 1 2 misspoke, thank you for clarifying that, Mr. Tillery. Yes, we 3 did not -- the Trustee Council did not ask Forest Service to 4 look at it as a course of action, the land-managing entity, 5 adjacent to the areas, you know, looks at it if they wish to 6 pursue it or wish to consider it. 7 Okay, any other comments on this item? MR. RUE: Yeah, Madam Chair. 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Rue. MR. RUE: There was a couple of question posed 10 11 by the last person testifying and they suggested, just by 12 silence, the Trustee Council was agreeing, and I think that 13 could not necessarily be true. I also think the "protocols" we 14 have to go through is a fairly significant action to change the 15 boundaries of the area that we're even looking at. There's 16 sort of major questions out here that need to be looked at. 17 And I think -- I have not, certainly, from the Division of Fish 18 and Game made up my mind one way or another. I haven't even 19 really been presented by [sic] a very thorough analysis of the 20 merits and demerits of this proposal. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you, 21 22 Mr. Rue. Any other questions or comments? 23 (No audible responses)

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Our next

24

25 testifier is Rick Steiner.

- MR. STEINER: Hi, folks. Thank you, again. 1 2 Basically I just wanted to reiterate and support some of the 3 comments that have been already made today by Pam Brodie, David 4 Grimes and also the Alaska Rain Forest Campaign ala Matt 5 Zencey. And I also wanted to fill in a couple of blanks. But 6 first of all, on the issue that Deborah just brought up on the 7 -- that the protocols are that the adjacent land owner would 8 evaluate potential habitat parcel for acquisition or its 9 restoration value. In some of these place, for instance, the 10 Bering River area that we're talking about, the agencies that I 11 believe have perhaps -- I have great confidence in the Forest 12 Service in making a positive recommendation of this place, but 13 the agencies that have more responsibility for the resource 14 that would be impacted by any development there would be the 15 Department of Interior and the Department of Fish and Game. So I -- you know, while I appreciate you have to 16 17 develop some protocol for a way to proceed in these habitat 18 parcels, I would think even if the Forest Service came to you 19 with a negative recommendation, that should not be the end of 20 the discussion on a particular area. 21 Further on this boundary issue that Commissioner Rue
- 22 just mentioned and several other people have mentioned and, I 23 believe, you've received written comments concerning the 24 boundary. First of all, your restoration program is not 25 limited to your -- what I consider quite arbitrarily or

- 1 politically drawn boundary. Your Restoration Plan states that 2 restoration activities, while they will occur primarily within 3 the spill area, they are not limited to the spill area and this 4 policy provides some flexibility to restore and monitor outside 5 of the spill area.
- So you do have the abilities to do things outside of the drawn boundary. The boundary was drawn, I think Mr. Tillery was around, and Mr. Wolfe was around when the boundary was drawn. And my recollection of that was it was drawn specifically because in House Bill 411 we had -- or Cape 11 Suckling was in House Bill 411, which was the State's first 12 attempt at appropriating the criminal restitution area 13 recoveries.
- It was the intent of the Alaska Legislature, at that 15 time, as passed by the Alaska Legislature in 1992 or '93, I 16 can't remember which, '93, that areas as far east as Cape 17 Suckling were, indeed, within the spill-affected area. And 18 then by acquiring habitat protections in this region you were 19 at least replacing damaged and threatened -- or damaged 20 resources and populations within the immediate spill-impacted 21 region. That was the intent of the Alaska Legislature just a 22 few years ago. That bill, as we all know, was vetoed by the 23 Governor at the time, but it was passed by the Legislature. The area, the Bering River area, is only 100 miles from

25 the geographic ground zero of the spill, which is Western

- 1 Prince William Sound. It's much more biologically connected
- 2 than areas such as Ivanof Bay and Chignik Bay, which are some
- 3 400 miles from the geographic center and the biological center
- 4 of damage. There's a number of resources and services that
- 5 would be protected and would provide replacement for injured
- 6 resources and services, if this area were included, including
- 7 bald eagles, salmon, cutthroat trout, Dolly Varden, river
- 8 otters, harbor seals, which go up and use the Bering River area
- 9 extensively, harlequin duck, marbled murrelet, pink salmon,
- 10 well, I mentioned salmon.
- 11 Significant archaeological resources, designated
- 12 wilderness areas, if this area were protected it would replace
- 13 a number of injured, very special wild, achingly beautiful, to
- 14 steal the phrase. Wild area in Western Sound on Kodiak Island.
- 15 And then, perhaps, as importantly or possibly most importantly,
- 16 the resource services that protection of this area would help
- 17 replace, and that's commercial fishing. Passive uses, such as
- 18 recreation -- including recreation and tourism, sport fishing,
- 19 sport hunting, other recreational uses and subsistence.
- 20 There's no question that this, that the Eastern Copper
- 21 River Delta and the Bering River region is perhaps your most
- 22 significant restoration opportunity remaining. I strongly
- 23 support the Koniag discussions and whatever can be done in Lake
- 24 Clark, it is a very special place as well.
- 25 Lastly, there is imminent threat in that region and I

- 1 believe by -- simply the coal field alone, by taking care of
- 2 the coal field, conserving it, leaving it in the ground, where
- 3 it should be, you're providing a symbolic gesture to the Kyoto
- 4 agreements that the United States is a party to, that the U.S.
- 5 Senate has yet to ratify and possibly will never, but it's at
- 6 the foot of the extensive ice field in the world, outside of
- 7 Greenland and the Polar Ice Caps and an ice field that is
- 8 showing response to global warming here. You would be leaving
- 9 100,000,000 tons of coal right in the ground and not putting it
- 10 up into the atmosphere and assisting climate change.
- On the restoration reserve, I would underscore comments
- 12 that the bulk of it should go towards habitat, I think I've
- 13 commented that way before. And I would encourage you to
- 14 incorporate the comments from day one until now in trying to
- 15 make a decision of how to disperse these monies. Not just the
- 16 comments specific to the restoration reserve. There have been
- 17 tens of thousands of comments, if not more, supporting habitat.
- 18 I would imagine from day one until now the bulk of the weight
- 19 of the comments has been 90 some percent in favor of the bulk
- 20 of the monies going toward habitat protection.
- 21 Secondly, the marine research community has -- it's a
- 22 whole different set of circumstances today than it was two or
- 23 three years ago when the restoration reserve was discussed.
- 24 The Dinkum-Sands monies are forthcoming, that's a significant
- 25 -- that will provide, perhaps, \$10,000,000 a year to the marine

- 1 research community in Alaska. There's this new \$100,000,000
- 2 facility that NMFS has to be constructed in Juneau, this big
- 3 Seward aquarium, \$50-60,000,000 facility, the Oil Spill
- 4 Recovery Institute that Senator Stevens found monies for.
- 5 There's a substantial amount of new support. Infrastructure
- 6 and research funding for marine research in Alaska now, that
- 7 was not in existence three or four years ago. That should be
- 8 factored into your consideration.
- 9 And lastly, I would like to have -- all of us want
- 10 closure to this event and the specter of waking up in the year
- 11 2020 and reading the Anchorage Daily News and finding out what
- 12 the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council restoration reserve
- 13 is doing today, over my morning coffee is not all that enticing
- 14 to be honest with you. If you look at some of Steve Picou's
- 15 (ph) work, he finds that these things need closure and they
- 16 will not find it unless the appropriate government agencies and
- 17 the polluter, the perpetrator of the incident, give it closure.
- 18 So I think the restoration reserve, whatever you're going to
- 19 continue spending on science out of it, ought to be folded into
- 20 the Dinkum-Sands money or however you can do it legally, but I
- 21 guess I'm saying, as politely as possible, is it should go
- 22 away, you know.
- And I think that's all I have to mention on that.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any questions or

- 1 comments for Mr. Steiner?
- 2 (No audible responses)
 - CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right. The next
- 4 person I have listed who wishes to testify is Beth Carlson.
- 5 MS. CARLSON: Good morning and thank you for
- 6 letting me comment this morning on the future of the
- 7 restoration reserve fund. My name is Beth Carlson,
- 8 C-a-r-l-s-o-n, and I am commenting on behalf of the Sierra
- 9 Club, whose members have a great interest in the decisions made 10 by the Trustee Council.
- A little over a month ago in a letter dated April 30th,
- 12 1998, Sierra Club submitted written comments concerning the
- 13 restoration reserve. As this is the case, I'm only going to
- 14 briefly highlight today what was submitted in those comments.
- 15 First, with regard to the use of the funds, the Sierra Club
- 16 believes that protection of fish and wildlife habitat remains
- 17 the most lasting and beneficial use. We recommended 75 percent
- 18 of the restoration reserve be set aside for this purpose.
- 19 Whether the funds are used to acquire large or small parcels
- 20 will depend on what land become available in the future.
- 21 However, we believe that the importance of purchasing parcels
- 22 of land for habitat protection, as well for public use, for
- 23 public education and for peaceful enjoyment cannot be
- 24 overstated.
- 25 Second, we recommend that the Trustee Council divide up

- 1 the restoration reserve into separate categories or accounts,
- 2 according to the types of uses that will exist in the future.
- 3 By doing this, the Trustee Council can insure that monies
- 4 designated for a particular purpose will, in fact, be used for
- 5 that purpose. Further we would recommend that these separate
- 6 accounts be under the control of separate governing bodies.
- 7 The reason for this is quite simply that the individuals best
- 8 qualified to make determinations regarding the use of money in
- 9 one category or account are not necessarily the individuals
- 10 best qualified to make determinations regarding the best use of 11 money in another category or account.
- 12 If this recommendation is not followed, the Trustee
- 13 Council should keep its present form and resist any pressure to
- 14 put full restoration reserve under the control of
- 15 representatives from different interest groups due to the
- 16 difficulty that these groups often have in reaching a consensus
- 17 in the future.
- 18 Third, if our recommendation regarding division and
- 19 separation of the restoration reserve into separate accounts
- 20 with separate governing bodies, for separate purposes, is
- 21 adopted, kind of the same way that the Federal government puts
- 22 monies into separate categories for separate purposes within an
- 23 agency, then we believe that these accounts should also have
- 24 separate terms. The terms on the account, such as its length
- 25 of existence and the amount of money which could be used at any

- one time for any one purpose within the category would depend
 on the particular category of use for that money for which it
 is designated. Only by providing separate terms for the
 separate accounts can the Trustee Council ensure that the best
 use of money is made for the intended purpose.
- Finally, as the Trustee Council and its staff have done an admirable job of listening and responding to public opinion, and as less money will be available for public participation in the future, the Trustee Council should consider discontinuing the Public Advisory Group. The current PAG is expensive and it's not effectively providing advice from the interest groups its members are supposed to represent in all cases.
- 13 Individuals and groups interested in this process here in
- 14 Alaska and Outside wisely communicate with the Trustee Council
- 15 directly as the Trustee Council is a decision-maker.
- 16 Dismantling the PAG will save money and ensure that comments
- 17 made by individuals and groups interested in the work of the
- 18 Council actually reach the decision-makers directly.
- 19 Although the Trustee Council has not requested comments
- 20 on what area or areas should benefit from the oil spill
- 21 restoration funds, the Sierra Club would like to see the
- 22 official oil spill area expanded to include the Copper River
- 23 Delta, as other speakers have mentioned today. We certainly
- 24 hope this important and essential section of the Prince William
- 25 Sound ecosystem will be included at some point in the future.

On behalf of the Sierra Club, I would like to thank the 1 2 Trustee Council for the permanent protection of nearly 600,000 3 acres of valuable wildlife habitat throughout the oil spill 4 area, to date, and for the opportunity to comment today. Thank 5 you. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Very good 7 comments. Any questions for Beth? (No audible responses) 8 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, hearing none, we 10 will go to our next testifier. Patty Brown-Schwalenberg. MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Good morning. My name 12 is Patty Brown-Schwalenberg and I'm Executive Director of the 13 Chugach Regional Resources Commission. That's 14 S-c-h-w-a-l-e-n-b-e-r-g. I wanted to comment on a few issues and bring you up to 15 16 date on some of the other issues that are currently ongoing. 17 We just finished last Saturday with a retreat with the 10 18 community facilitators where we talked about restoration 19 reserve, the TEK project, community involvement project, 10th 20 anniversary. A lot of the issues that are on the table right 21 now, plus future directions for some of the projects that we're 22 working on in Chugach Region or the oil spill area. The last time I addressed the Trustee Council we were 23

24 discussing the TEK protocols, that was one topic of discussion 25 at our retreat. And the community facilitators are in support

of the protocols, we drafted a resolution, we're going to be
 going to all the village councils this month and next month to
 get the resolution passed, that all the village councils will
 have passed the TEK protocols.

We also learned that the facilitators were in support

of the TEK project and they were a little concerned that the -
that it's listed as a "do not fund as proposed", but we're

hoping to work with the Trustee Council staff and discuss the

directions that we had shared at the retreat to see if we can

address the concerns raised by the Trustee Council staff, so

that we can come up with a program that will be advantageous to

everyone. Because we do think it's an important component of

the restoration effort.

We also talked about the subsistence assessment project 15 that the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is proposing and 16 the communities are very positive about it. We look forward to 17 working with Alaska Department of Fish and Game on it, if it is 18 funded. It provides for a active involvement by the tribes and 19 by the tribal people to help collect some of that data, but not 20 only collect the data, but also to teach them what happens once 21 the data is collected, so they're not just out going and 22 getting the surveys filled out and sending them to Anchorage, 23 we're actually going to have some kind of a -- hopefully have a 24 program where they can find out what happens to that data after 25 it's collected, so it more meaningful. And the project will be

- 1 -- there will be more of an ownership of the project by the
 2 communities if that kind of an approach is taken, so we really
 3 appreciate working with Jim Fall and Rita Miraglia on that and
 4 I think it'll be a real positive thing.
- In regards to the 10th anniversary, the communities are looking forward to being involved in that. We'd like to put a paper on for that event in March. But one concern they did express and I'd like to relay it to the Trustee Council, is that the term 10th anniversary to them connotated like it was some kind of a celebration, and to them it's not a celebration. They would prefer something, like a 10-year memorial or some 2 other kind of name, rather than anniversary. And I think they have a good point there.
- As far as the FY99 Work Plan, we support the projects
 15 that have been proposed by the communities and we're especially
 16 interested in getting the clam project funded again. I
 17 understand that it's been deferred for another review and data
- 18 from this last fiscal year, so we hope that the Trustee Council 19 continues to support that project now that the Qutekcak Tribe
- 20 is in the new hatchery and things seem to be working very well.
- 21 And the -- let's see what else here. Under the
- 22 restoration reserve, Chugach Regional Resource Commission Board
- 23 did submit a position paper which I think you all have
- 24 received, maybe in the past. But one of the main components of
- 25 our position paper was a \$20,000,000 set aside for

- 1 community-based projects and I think that's real important and
 2 if you use some kind of a funding mechanism, such as the DCRA
 3 it would be -- it's more user friendly to the communities,
 4 they're not competing with universities and Ph.D. professionals
 5 to get their projects funded and they would be able to have
 6 more access, I think, and more involvement in the restoration
 7 effort.
- As far as the archaeological repository project is
 concerned, we attended a meeting that Chugachmiut hosted of all
 the communities, village councils, corporations, to try and
 tome together with a plan. I understand they've requested an
 extension in submitting the proposal, so I hope the Trustee
 Council considers that. It was real heartening to see that the
 communities are working together and they're committed to
 coming forward with one plan that everyone supports, so it was
 real pleasing to see that everyone is in one room and really
 trying to work hard to come up with something.

 And I guess I would be remiss if I didn't talk about,
- 19 what you call, habitat protection and acquisition. Under your 20 current Work Plan, I understand that's what you are planning on 21 doing and continuing to do whatever the restoration reserve, 22 the communities really do not support any land acquisition 23 [sic]. I think it's a travesty to be purchasing lands from the 24 tribe, and I'm speaking not only from the tribes in the Chugach

25 region but personally as well. I come from a reservation back

- 1 in Wisconsin and the tribes in the Lower 48 now are struggling 2 to buy back land that was sold, in one form or another, back
- 3 in, you know, early history of the United States. And I can
- 4 see it all happening again here and I have to agree with the
- 5 villages and community facilitators when they say that the
- 6 habitat protection and acquisition program is not something
- 7 that's going to support the traditional tribal people, it's not
- 8 something that's going to be good for them. And one of these
- 9 days they're going to be going out subsisting and there will be
- 10 a bunch of kayakers coming along when they're in the middle of
- 11 their subsistence activities, and that's not good.
- 12 And as far as the habitat protection, because you want
- 13 to protect the fish and wildlife habitat, to me that's almost
- 14 saying that the corporations -- you're not giving them enough
- 15 credit that they can protect the wildlife, fish and wildlife
- 16 habitat themselves. They do have land management plans and
- 17 unfortunately because of ANCSA they've been turned into
- 18 capitalistic mentalities, so that they have to make money in
- 19 selling their land, it just happens to be one of it, cutting
- 20 timber, maybe another part of it, but traditionally speaking, I
- 21 think that you should really take a serious look at how you're
- 22 going to address the habitat protection and acquisition under
- 23 the restoration reserve.
- And finally, we hear Molly was back in the hospital
- 25 last week, we hope she has a quick recovery and extend our

- 1 condolences and everyone in our region is concerned and we send 2 our prayers.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much,
- 4 Patty. Are there questions or comments for Patty?
- 5 (No audible responses)
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Patty, I have one
- 7 question about -- and thank you for the update on so many
- 8 important topics. On the TEK protocols, do they pretty much
- 9 look like the last draft that we've all seen?
- 10 MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Yeah, it's the draft
- 11 that was approved by the Trustee Council.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Great.
- MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: The same thing, same
- 14 document, yeah.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Terrific. Thank you
- 16 for doing that.
- 17 MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: It was just a -- like
- 18 I said the last time I addressed the Trustee Council, it was a
- 19 basic misunderstanding of what was actually in the protocols
- 20 and what was going to be negotiated between the village and the
- 21 researcher once it got down to that point. And so once they
- 22 understood how it read in the protocol, those people were
- 23 pretty willing to support it.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Good. And with
- 25 respect to the anniversary, any further recommendations you

00052 1 have on that would be greatly appreciated. 2 MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Okay. 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 4 MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Thank you. 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any other questions of 6 Patty? 7 (No audible responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. 8 9 MR. PANAMARIOFF: Madam Chair. 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PANAMARIOFF: I just wanted to say hello to 11 12 Patty and hello to Deborah before I leave, I got to be leaving 13 the teleconference at this time. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 15 MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: Bye. 16 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Is that Rupert do we 17 think? MS. BROWN-SCHWALENBERG: No. 18 19 MR. MYERS: It was the person in Ouzinkie. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: The next person we 20 21 have listed to testify is John Schoen. 22 MR. SCHOEN: Thank you for the opportunity to 23 address the Trustee Council, even though I'm a little bit late, 24 but I had an early morning run, so I'm all set and fresh.

I'd like to make recommendations on the restoration

- 1 reserve fund on behalf of the National Audubon Society and our
- 2 550,000 members throughout the nation and our 2,000 members in
- 3 Alaska, based in chapters in Juneau, Kodiak, Anchorage, and
- 4 Fairbanks.
- 5 We commend the Trustee Council for its twin emphasis on
- 6 both habitat protection and ecological research and monitoring
- 7 in the spill area and note that the EVOS Trustee Council and
- 8 your staff have carried out this effective program with
- 9 widespread public involvement and support. We also commend the
- 10 Trustee Council for its decision to set aside funds in the
- 11 restoration reserve which will total about 450,000,000 in the
- 12 year 2001.
- 13 National Audubon strongly supports the Trustee
- 14 Council's habitat protection program, including the most recent
- 15 acquisition to acquire additional superb coastal habitat on
- 16 Afognak Island. The more than 600,000 acres of coastal habitat
- 17 that have been or will be acquired by the Trustee Council is an
- 18 extraordinary legacy, the value of which will only increase
- 19 over time.
- 20 Recognizing the strategic importance of critical
- 21 habitat to long-term ecosystem conservation, we favor
- 22 earmarking one-half of the restoration reserve for additional
- 23 habitat acquisitions. These funds should be invested to obtain
- 24 the best possible yield to build the fund. This fund should
- 25 provide the Trustees with the flexibility, however, to use the

1 income and, in some unique circumstances, even the principal to
2 strategically acquire critical habitats. Habitat acquisitions
3 could either be small or large parcels with high fish and
4 wildlife values. For example, inholdings within existing or
5 newly acquired conservation units.

The National Audubon Society favors using the balance
for the restoration reserve to endow a long-term research and
monitoring program in the Northern Gulf of Alaska. If the
Trustee Council proceeds with a long-term science program, we
necessary endowed the restoration office in Trustee agencies to work
the actively with the public, industry and others to apply the
results of the program to management and conservation needs
across the spill area, including the identification and
recessary endowed

Any long-term research and monitoring program should 16 strive for the best possible science and, to that end, we favor 17 a competitive process with thorough outside peer review. We 18 favor retention of existing Trustee Council for purposes of any 19 long-term science and acquisition programs.

Finally, we recommend that additional resources be 21 devoted to responding to restoration needs in and around 22 communities and villages, but ideally such funds would come out 23 of the remaining annual payments from Exxon rather than the 24 reserve fund itself.

25 Thank you.

00055 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Are there 1 2 any questions or comments for John? (No audible responses) 4 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Actually I have one, 5 and that is with respect to one of the latter comments you 6 made. One of the previous testifiers pointed out that there 7 may be some efficiencies associated with merging the future 8 research functions of the Trustee Council with the North 9 Pacific Research Board. Do you have any observations on that? MR. SCHOEN: I haven't given that specific 10 11 thought, but I'd certainly be willing to think that through. 12 You know, I think it's potentially a unique opportunity. 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Right. 14 MR. SCHOEN: I'd have to sort that through. 15 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: And that is one 16 question I would be particularly interested in having the 17 Public Advisory Group think about, particularly as soon as the 18 North Pacific Research Board gets any money. 19 All right, any other questions or comments for 20 Mr. Schoen? 21 (No audible responses) 22 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I have no further 23 names of people who have indicated today a desire to testify,

24 but I will, of course, open up at this time. Is there anyone

25 here in Anchorage who desires to testify?

00056 1 MS. OBERMEYER: Madam Chair. 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. 3 MS. OBERMEYER: Theresa Obermeyer waiting patiently, telephonically. Greetings. May I speak now? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, you may. 5 MS. OBERMEYER: Good morning, ladies and 7 gentleman, although I think it's almost noon, so good day. I 8 am really always fascinated by everything that goes on in our 9 state. I wrote down as I thought for the almost hour of public 10 testimony all these very idealistic and caring people that care 11 so much about our state and the beauty of our state and making

12 sure that it is maintained.

And the two themes that I have to speak about today are 14 the miscommunication among us and the fact that I fully 15 conclude now, after over 20 years of living here, raising four 16 children, having been the Democratic nominee to the U.S. 17 Senate, that I live in a dictatorship. I am now sure that I 18 live in a place where the only thing that people are focused on 19 is money. I mean truly, if you'll forgive me, Ms. Williams, I 20 listen to all this and all reminds me of the Anchorage School 21 Board. I am fascinated when I hear these people speak and how 22 many wonderfully, well-intentioned, members, they have the 23 Sierra Club, the Audubon Society.

We live in such a great country, but you see and I, in 25 the case of myself, I am trying to lead by example. At least

- 1 over 17,100 citizens thought so highly of me as long ago as 2 1990 that they elected me to the foremost elected non-partisan 3 board in the state, the Anchorage School Board. And look, 4 ladies and gentleman, at the way my husband, my four children 5 and I have been treated in this state. What I say is the 6 Obermeyers are lepers and I am very proud to say that. 7 And let me also mention I did prefer, if you would 8 allow it, to fax to you materials, because see -- if you 9 forgive me nothing that is going on is real. I look at the 10 organizations that are involved in your Council and do we know 11 that Bruce Botelho is prosecuting me? Do we know that for over 12 five years, as I raised four children, I have had endless court 13 charges fabricated against me. Do we know, Ms. Williams, that 14 on February 20th I incurred the most serious injury of my 52 15 years of life as a collage administrator with a Ph.D. You 16 know, if you'll forgive me, I do not expect to be treated in 17 any violent way by anybody. I have formed a self-concept over 52 years of life and
- I have formed a self-concept over 52 years of life and 19 all of this is so unexpected. But I was literally knocked out 20 cold by a bunch of underworld figures in the Anchorage Hilton 21 Hotel. And as a thinking person I said, how -- why does this 22 happen? I want to mention I was knocked out cold, I woke up in 23 a pool of blood, I had to have seven stitches in the back of my 24 head. I still have -- you know, it still hasn't totally healed 25 over three months later.

- But I then went to the library and I realized that the 1 2 Anchorage Hilton, of course, is owned by Baron Hilton and as of 3 1996, Bally's International was bought by the Anchorage --4 excuse me the Hilton International. Sixty percent of the 5 holdings of the Hilton International are now in gambling 6 casinos. Can we grow up? You see, and would you forgive me, I 7 must say I don't ever in my life grow up. I want to always 8 take people at face value. I want to know that people are so 9 good. They care and they are very nice. And that's what 10 motivates me so much. How could this still be going on, 11 Deborah? 12 I mean, would you forgive me bringing up during my 13 general election campaign for the U.S. Senate, to my memory, I 14 came to the Trustee Council and you adjourned into executive 15 session with Cliff Groh and his son. Deborah, you know exactly 16 -- I mean do you know, do we know how to read? That Cliff Groh 17 was the Chairman of Ted Stevens' Reciprocity Committee. He 18 holds the key to the fraud Ted Stevens. And how much did you 19 pay him? May I simply ask directly if I can have the documents 20 from your executive session; are they releasable?
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Ms. Obermeyer, we did 22 not pay Cliff Groh any funds whatsoever.
- MS. OBERMEYER: Well, you see -- and let me go 24 back to the open meeting forum. You should announce what the 25 topic that you are going into executive session about was --

- 1 now, I'm looking on your agenda right now and I see that you
- 2 have an executive session for lunch and you have listed the
- 3 topics. But to my memory the topic of your executive session
- 4 was not listed when I was there and Cliff Groh, Senior and
- 5 Junior, sat there and you went into executive session.
 - CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry,
- 7 Ms. Obermeyer, when we go into executive session we always go
- 8 in pursuant to a motion and almost.....
- 9 MS. OBERMEYER: Well, I did not hear one at the
- 10 time. Let's not really go over, you know, something that is
- 11 historic, but I remember, Ms. Williams, your colleague from
- 12 Harvard law. Ms. Williams, no. N-O. It is time for you, you
- 13 all are so bright, you have colleagues and friends and you are
- 14 caring. You and I and Matt Zencey, we are raising children in
- 15 this town. What can the future be if this is the way that
- 16 someone gets treated who is nice, honest and cares enough to go
- 17 forward. I simply am really trying to ask you, and all of you,
- 18 I mean, where's (indiscernible yelling)? I mean, is Craig
- 19 Tillery there?
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Ms. Obermeyer, you
- 21 have spoken for 10 minutes and so.....
- MS. OBERMEYER: Yes, Ma'am, and would you
- 23 forgive me, this is the first time of any of the speakers, you
- 24 have even talked about time. I don't know how long they spoke.
- 25 And how much time does one have?

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: We try and ask people
- 2 to restrict their comments to 10 minutes and no.....
- 3 MS. OBERMEYER: Okay. And let me make sure.
- 4 Would you -- I wanted to fax -- I was thinking of about eight
- 5 pages just because I want to make sure that things that I say
- 6 are in writing and logical. May I fax this and would you
- 7 distribute this today?
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, we would,
- 9 Ms. Obermeyer.
- MS. OBERMEYER: And that's to 276-7178?
- 11 MS. SCHUBERT: That's right.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That is correct.
- MS. OBERMEYER: And, lastly, would you tell
- 14 Bruce Botelho to resign as Attorney General and Tony Knowles to
- 15 resign as Governor. How could they? They are -- they're
- 16 control freaks. They aren't Americans and I mean, Deborah, you
- 17 know exactly what I'm talking about.
- Did anyone on the Council have a question? Does anyone
- 19 have a question?
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I do not believe so.
- 21 And we'll look forward to your fax, Ms. Obermeyer.
- 22 MS. OBERMEYER: And thank you so kindly. Have
- 23 a good meeting.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: And let me clarify one
- 25 thing. The Trustee Council, of course, did not pay Mr. Groh

00061 1 for any kind of consulting or anything of that sort, but he was 2 part-owner of some of the small parcels that we have acquired 3 and, of course, as owner of property he did receive 4 compensation for the sale of his property. All right, thank you very much. Is there anyone else 5 6 in Anchorage who wishes to testify at this time? 7 (No audible responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Is there anyone in 8 9 Homer who wishes to testify at this time? MS. BRODIE: Madam Chair, this is Pam Brodie 10 11 again, I'd just like to add one thing to my previous testimony, 12 if I may? 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That would be fine. 14 MS. BRODIE: Thank you. I forgot to mention 15 when I was talking about possible large parcel acquisitions in 16 the future I forgot to mention Leisnoi land, Cape Chiniak. 17 which I hope that the Trustee Council will take a look at that 18 land and consider the value. Thank you very much. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. 19 20 Is there anyone else in Homer who wishes to testify?

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Is there anyone in

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Is there anyone in

(No audible responses)

(No audible responses)

23 Kodiak who wishes to testify at this time?

21

22

24

00062 1 Ouzinkie who wishes to testify? 2 (No audible responses) 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Is there any other 4 remote site and any other public members who wish to testify? (No audible responses) 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Hearing none, I will 7 call to a close this period of public testimony. Thank you 8 again all public members who have taken time to share your 9 views with the Trustee Council. The next item we have on the agenda is Executive 10 11 Director's report continuation of the previous report. Trustee 12 Council, I will look to you, do we wish to continue with the 13 Executive Director's report until, say, 12:30 at which time we 14 would go into lunch, or do we prefer to adjourn to lunch and 15 our executive session at this time? 16 MR. WOLFE: How long will it take to finish? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Eric, how long to you 17 18 estimate it will take to finish the Executive Director's 19 report? 20 MR. MYERS: I think there's -- I can be pretty 21 quick about it, there's one item that warrants -- I think I can 22 be pretty quick. I think I can get done in about another 10 23 minutes at the most.

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, under those

25 circumstances, go ahead and finish.

```
00063
            MR. TILLERY: Potentially, it's 10 minutes
1
2 beyond my parking meter.
       (Off record comments - parking meters)
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Juneau, do you agree
4
5 that we should proceed and finish the Executive Director's
6 report before lunch and the executive session?
7
            MR. PENNOYER: Sure, go for it.
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, let's go for it.
8
9
            MR. MYERS: Okay.
10
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right, Eric,
11 please.
            MR. MYERS: Well, the next item is in reference
12
13 to the departure of Phil Janik who, as you all know, has served
14 in his capacity for the Forest Service as a Trustee for quite
15 some time now and is now in the process -- or maybe you can
16 tell me, has he relocated? He's gone, okay. So he moved to
17 Washington, D.C. to take a position as the Deputy Chief for
18 State Private Forestry, did I get that correct?
19
            MR. WOLFE: Correct.
20
            MR. MYERS: We have here a certificate of
21 appreciation which I will read aloud. Certificate of
22 appreciation, the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council
23 members extend our deep appreciation to Phil Janik for your
24 contribution to restoration of the resources and services
```

25 injured by the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill as Trustee for the U.S.

- 1 National Forest Service. And there are signature blocks that
- 2 we can have circulated. And I'll give this to Rebecca to
- 3 circulate, but just to let you know about that.
- 4 The next item.....
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any comments, Jim?
 - MR. WOLFE: Well, I didn't have any comments.
- 7 We certainly hated to see Phil leave and we'll miss him not
- 8 only in the Trustee Council but in the Alaska Region of the
- 9 Forest Service, but his new job, he has a much broader scope of
- 10 responsibilities and we may hear from him in the future, who
- 11 knows.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Any other
- 13 Trustee comments?
- 14 (No audible responses)
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I would just like, for
- 16 the record, to thank Phil very much for his service on the
- 17 Trustee Council, it was a pleasure to work with him and he did
- 18 some very important work for the Trustee Council during his
- 19 tenure.
- 20 MR. PENNOYER: Madam Chairman, I'll second your
- 21 remarks and Phil has been a very wonderful addition to this
- 22 Council during the time he was here.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you,
- 24 Mr. Pennoyer. Any other comments?
- 25 (No audible responses)

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Eric. 2 MR. MYERS: Okay. The next item, as you know, 3 the Public Advisory Group is chartered for two-year terms, we 4 are approaching the end, again, of our current PAG membership 5 and charter cycle, this was brought to my attention by Doug 6 Mutter, who is here. We need to move forward with reupping the 7 PAG, if you will. The process includes reaffirming the charter 8 as well as soliciting nominations for the PAG membership anew 9 and, in that process, if we're going to pursue that needs to be 10 initiated here shortly. It's primarily a matter of information 11 and I just wanted to bring that to the Council's attention. I 12 don't know if there's any comment. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Well, will you be 13 14 presenting us with a motion at our next meeting? MR. MYERS: Well, if we could get a motion now. 16 Actually I wasn't aware that a motion, per se, was necessary, 17 but I guess it is, and so if we could get a motion now to 18 affirm that we proceed in that manner that would be 19 appropriate, Madam Chair. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. I would 20 21 entertain a motion that the Trustee Council endorse the 22 extension of the Public Advisory Group as a FACA entity,
- 23 Federal Advisory Committee Act entity, for two more years. MR. MYERS: Okay. And that would include the 25 solicitation of the nominations for recommendation to the

- 1 Secretary of the Interior who would ultimately affirm the 2 charter and the membership, correct? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. 4 MR. MYERS: Just want to be clear. 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. TILLERY: So moved. 7 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. MR. WOLFE: And second. 8 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it's been moved 10 by Mr. Tillery and seconded by Mr. Wolfe. Is there any 11 discussion of the motion? 12 MR. PENNOYER: Madam Chair, I'm sorry, we're 13 voting on extending the process but then we're going to get a 14 slate -- I'm not sure everybody wants to continue on that. Are 15 we going to get individuals then at the next meeting or 16 something? 17
- CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That's correct. We're 18 voting on extending the Public Advisory Group by two years 19 under the Federal Advisory Committee Act.
- MR. PENNOYER: Thank you. 20
- CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any further questions 21 22 or comments?
- 23 (No audible responses)
- CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All in favor of the 24
- 25 motion indicate by saying aye.

00067 1 IN UNISON: Aye. 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed? 3 (No opposing responses) 4 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: The motion passes. 5 MR. MYERS: The next item on the agenda is 6 simply to draw your attention to, in the packet you have both 7 the most current habitat protection status reports for the 8 large parcel program and the small parcel program. I guess the 9 most recent accomplishment of significance is that the Tatitlek 10 purchase has been consummated and, as we all know, that was the 11 product of long labor. So I guess that's the most significant 12 change in the status report, but otherwise it's just there for 13 your reference unless members have questions or comments. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: And the closing on 15 Tatitlek represented approximately two-thirds of the 16 acquisition? MR. WOLFE: That's correct. 17 18 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okav. 19 MR. MYERS: The next item concerns the 10th 20 anniversary, and I will again take to heart the comments that 21 Patty Brown-Schwalenberg provided regarding the use of that 22 term. I'll have to think about what kind of printed materials 23 may have already been initiated in that regard, but certainly 24 the sentiment of the comment is noted and we will do what we

25 can to respond to that.

14 Spill.

- More than anything else at this point, I wanted to draw to your attention, and it is included in your packet, the detailed project description and the detailed budget that will be available for your consideration, for formal action in August at your next meeting as part of the FY99 Work Plan. There is within the packet of materials, if I can -- okay, in your packet there is a day one agenda which reflects efforts on the part of a planning committee to try and put together an agenda for the day one session. It'll be a five-day -- what we've planned is a five-day symposium across the period of March 23rd to March 27th. The first day very much geared toward the public, in general, to try and communicate with the spublic where we are 10 years later after the Exxon Valdez Oil
- The plan is to partner in this effort with the Prince
 William Sound Regional Citizens Advisory Council, as well as
 work with the Alaska Sea Grant Program as co-sponsors. The
 spublic symposium will open with an overview session and then
 followed by more technical sessions for the following several
 days.
- The symposium itself will be the center piece of 22 several anniversary-related events, these will include a 23 traveling exhibit in the spill region communities and a special 24 edition of the Annual Status Report, in effect, to look back 25 over the last 10 years to that point and, to the best of our

- 1 ability, communicate to the public what has come of the efforts 2 through the Restoration Program.
- At this point, fundamentally, what the Executive
- 4 Director, Molly McCammon, was hoping to do was to draw to the
- 5 Council's attention that this is a significant undertaking,
- 6 there will be some substantial costs associated with a five-day
- 7 symposium, it will be a national, if not even international,
- 8 event with, we hope, presentations coming from across the
- 9 spectrum in terms of the Restoration Program and we hope to
- 10 attract quite a bit of attention to share the results of the
- 11 Restoration Program nationally and even internationally.
- 12 There is a detailed budget, we've anticipated having
- 13 the event at the Egan Convention Center. The detailed budget
- 14 reflects significant costs including day one and a luncheon
- 15 event. We're hopeful that we can recoup the lion's share, if
- 16 not the total cost, through registration fees associated with
- 17 that event. There are some receptions as well which we hope to
- 18 be able to get hosted, but in any case we wanted to make the
- 19 Council aware of this material and to allow you to look at it.
- 20 If you have any questions about any of the planned events or
- 21 the cost of the event, please let us know, we do want to move
- 22 forward with this and feel that we've got it fairly well
- 23 organized in concept, but we didn't want to get too far in
- 24 front of things without the Council having a chance to
- 25 familiarize yourself with it.

- 1 There was one component of this enterprise that is 2 noted on the agenda pertaining to the video project that has 3 been ongoing for some time now. Upon further reflection we had 4 decided that there is no, in fact, no need for action at this 5 time. The sponsor of the video project effort -- or the 6 contractor that we've in place working on the project 7 essentially has been responsible for several deliverables and 8 we will be able to obtain those all within the structure of the 9 current contract. Those have included the several-hour source 10 reel, documentary source reel, that anyone is able to use, 11 which includes video footage from throughout the spill area and 12 documents a wide variety of restoration activities. And 13 included the production of the 12-minute restoration reserve 14 orientation film that we used during the community meetings 15 throughout the spill impact area, and Juneau and Fairbanks and 16 Anchorage.
- 17 It included a wide range of photographs. It will also
 18 include a 30-minute video documentary as the final major
 19 product. And there was some discussion about the contractor
 20 trying to expand, as yet another deliverable, the video into a
 21 full one-hour documentary, in partnership with a major film
 22 production entity, Turner Broadcasting, the Discovery Channel.
 23 Some major video entity along those lines. They feel as if
 24 they can proceed with that effort without any additional work
 25 on our -- any additional funding at this time.

- So that was basically the reason the video item was on there, but at this time we require no actions. If there are any questions about the 10th anniversary effort I'd be happy to answer them.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I have a question, 6 Eric. It looks like the projected budget is about 162,000
- 7 minus the video? Minus the 9.5?
- 8 MR. MYERS: There is a line item in there for
- 9 9.5. That would no longer be there.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. So.....
- 11 MR. MYERS: And within the largest share of
- 12 those costs are.....
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: The Egan Center.
- 14 MR. MYERS:the Egan Center event-related
- 15 costs and the large cost component within that still is the
- 16 food service cost which we hope to be able to recoup through
- 17 registration fees and -- a combination of registration fees and
- 18 also a hosting of certain reception events, that's our
- 19 intention and hope at this point.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That was my question.
- 21 That's now, let's say, a little over 150,000, what would we
- 22 hope to recoup?
- MR. MYERS: I think it's approximately 40 to 50
- 24 depending upon attendance and registration fee. There are
- 25 various scenarios that we've developed using different

- 1 estimated attendance levels and also different registration
- 2 fees and we think that we have settled upon, roughly, a
- 3 registration fee which would be an \$80 registration fee for the
- 4 full event, if it's early registration, \$100 if it's late.
- 5 According to the Sea Grant Program that we have been working
- 6 with those are very comparable or consistent with other kinds
- 7 of registration fees for events of this magnitude and nature.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any other Trustee
- 9 Council questions?
- 10 (Tape malfunction)
- 11 MR. MYERS:and essentially information
- 12 for you to review and familiarize yourself with, but no action
- 13 is being requested at this time.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I have one question
- 15 about the agenda for the first day and that is really the last
- 16 -- or second to the last substantive item, starting at 3:15,
- 17 preventing and responding to oil spills. Are we -- obviously
- 18 that is something that is not within our mandate or
- 19 jurisdiction.
- 20 MR. MYERS: We are working with Prince William
- 21 Sound Regional Citizens Advisory Council as a co-sponsor of the
- 22 event.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, they would be a
- 24 co-sponsor?
- 25 MR. MYERS: Yes. And that is part of what --

- 1 what we are trying to do is respond to what will be an
- 2 obviously interesting question on the part of the public. Not
- 3 only how are the biological systems functioning, not only how
- 4 are the services that were damaged by the oil spill doing, but
- 5 an inescapable question is, are we any better off today in
- 6 terms of response preparedness than we were 10 years ago? And
- 7 in order to be able to address that question, we felt that some
- 8 portion of the agenda should be devoted to that and in order to
- 9 accommodate that, we have been working with the Prince William
- 10 Sound RCAC as a co-sponsor to accommodate that within the
- 11 context of this symposium.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any Trustee comments
- 13 on that particular item? Mr. Tillery.
- MR. TILLERY: Only that it is not as obvious to
- 15 me that that is not part of the Trustee Council's mission. I
- 16 believe it's most obvious to the Department of Justice, but to
- 17 me it's not that obvious.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right. Thank you.
- 19 Mr. Tillery wishes to clarify that point on behalf of the
- 20 State, yes.
- 21 All right, so Trustee Council members feel comfortable
- 22 with this?
- 23 MR. RUE: Madam Chair, I'm not sure -- it seems
- 24 to me we've spent a fair amount of time on this first day
- 25 agenda but, actually spent half an hour on status, on injury

- 1 recovery and 45 minutes on human dimensions of the oil spill.
- 2 At first glance I'm not sure we got the weight were we want it.
- 3 I don't want to spend any time today to do this.
- 4 MR. MYERS: Well, that is why it is in your
- 5 packet and that is why it's stamped "draft".
- 6 MR. RUE: Right.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Well, that was
- 8 certainly one reaction I also had, Commissioner Rue, was the
- 9 time allocations, particularly the hour and 15 minutes on that
- 10 item versus some of the shorter time periods for earlier
- 11 topics.
- MR. MYERS: Okay. Well, any and all
- 13 suggestions are welcome. This will be followed by several days
- 14 of detailed papers and presentations on projects so that
- 15 CED.....
- MR. RUE: I guess what would help me to see to
- 17 see the groupings of the -- the rough outline, if you have it,
- 18 of how those next few days will go and what blocks of things
- 19 you see presented. If you have an idea, then that let's you
- 20 see how the introduction sets up those next few sessions.
- 21 MR. MYERS: Right. Okay.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
- 23 MR. RUE: I also think we can do -- I sort of
- 24 like Eric's -- the way he described the 3:15 session as "Are we
- 25 any better prepared?" versus preventing and responding to oil

00075 1 spills. Let's get a little exciting in our titles here. MR. MYERS: I will convey your sense to the 3 Science Coordinator, Stan Senner, who will be encouraged to be 4 more exciting in future drafts. MR. RUE: Very good. Make people want to come. 5 MR. MYERS: Okay. Let's see, do we want to 7 continue? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: How long do you think 9 it will take us to Work Plan, archaeology? MR. MYERS: Well, actually there's one item 10 11 that I would, if I could, I would like to rearrange the order 12 and take the archaeology question first and defer the '99 Work 13 Plan discussion until after our break, because something has 14 just been handed to me that I need to read. 15 DR. SPIES: Eric. 16 MR. MYERS: Yes, Bob. DR. SPIES: I just wanted to let you know that 17 18 I'm on the line and prepared to discuss that issue at any time. MR. MYERS: Okay. Well, I would like to defer 19 20 it if I could. 21 DR. SPIES: Sure. 22 MR. MYERS: If I could, Madam Chair, just flip

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Please.

MR. MYERS: The issue with the archaeology RFP,

23 those two in order?

12 develop its proposal.

- 1 and Veronica Christman is here to help me if I misstate
 2 something mistakenly, so please do speak up. But things have
 3 been progressing well, as reflected by -- actually in the
 4 comments that Patty Brown-Schwalenberg gave earlier we have
 5 reason to believe that there's good cause for hope that we will
 6 be receiving a number of substantial responses to the RFP that
 7 is out. In response to the RFP and in response to some of the
 8 most recent deliberations we did receive, last week, a written
 9 request from Laura Johnson at Chugachmiut to extend the
 10 deadline for submitting proposals from July 8th to September
 11 8th, two months, to allow Chugachmiut additional time to
- After reflecting upon this and also the prior guidance
 14 given to try to keep this process within the timeframe of the
 15 FY99 funding cycle, and actually after having discussed it with
 16 Molly McCammon also, I would suggest that the way to proceed
 17 would be to extend the deadline by one month, to August 7th for
 18 one of a number of several reasons. That length of extension
 19 would, I feel, be responsive to community desires, as expressed
 20 by Chugachmiut. The one-month extension will provide ample
 21 time to develop proposals and obtain statements of support.
 22 The one-month extension will still enable us to keep the
 23 project on track for a grant award in early -- in FY99. Other
 24 groups are preparing proposals for submission by July 8th for a
 25 grant they expect will be awarded in October of '98. And for

- 1 all of these reasons a one-month extension, I feel, would be
- 2 reasonably responsive, but also allow us to keep moving forward
- 3 with the project in an expeditious manner.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Do you believe we need
- 5 a motion for that?
- 6 MR. MYERS: We don't need a motion for that. I
- 7 did want to -- we've consulted with -- Veronica's consulted
- 8 with the DNR contract procurement specialist and has advised
- 9 that we have the ability to do this with proper notification to
- 10 the various interested and affected parties, but I did want to
- 11 bring to the Council's attention this proposed -- both the
- 12 proposed extension as well as the proposed response to the
- 13 extension.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Are there any Trustee
- 15 Council member comments on Eric's proposal that we extend the
- 16 deadline for the archaeological proposals by one month?
- 17 Mr. Tillery.
- 18 MR. TILLERY: I just -- I don't have a copy of
- 19 it, but I have a vague recollection that we actually had a
- 20 deadline in the last resolution; is that incorrect?
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That's what I was
- 22 trying to recall.
- 23 MR. TILLERY: That would need to be changed.
- 24 MR. MYERS: Is that correct, Veronica?
- 25 MS. CHRISTMAN: The resolution says April 15th

00078 1 is the deadline for the receipt of..... 2 MS. CRAMER: Can't hear Veronica in Juneau. 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: We'll have Veronica 4 move closer to the speaker phone. And I believe this is a 5 speaker phone here, Veronica. MS. CHRISTMAN: Okay. The resolution stated 7 April 15th as the deadline for proposals to be submitted. And 8 then in February it became obvious to us that there would be a 9 delay in getting the RFP out and at that time Molly did consult 10 with all six Trustee Council members and informed me on 11 February 20th that she had received six votes to extend the 12 deadline. There was, to my knowledge, no motion. So a motion 13 wouldn't hurt. MR. TILLERY: Something would have been 15 approved. I'm just wondering whether it had time period in it 16 that now needs to be formally extended? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Why don't we just do a 17 18 motion, so there's no question about it? MR. TILLERY: That's a good idea. 19 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I will entertain a 20 21 motion to extend the deadline for receipt of archaeological 22 RFPs to MR. MYERS: Friday, August 7th. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS:Friday, August

25 7th.

00079 1 MS. BROWN: So moved. 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Do I hear a second? 3 MR. WOLFE: I second that. I have a question. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it's been moved 5 by Ms. Brown and seconded by Jim. And now discussion. Jim. MR. WOLFE: If we move the deadline schedule 7 for proposals to the 7th, are we going to be in the position to 8 act on it on the 8th, that's kind of a short time line. MS. CHRISTMAN: It would require a special 10 meeting on this issue. 11 MR. WOLFE: Okay. 12 MS. CHRISTMAN: And it does make things a 13 little bit tight. The general notion would be it would take us 14 approximately a week and a half to complete the evaluation. We 15 then provide a summary of the evaluation to the Trustee Council 16 and at that point begin discussions about when is a reasonable 17 date for a meeting or perhaps it would be a teleconference 18 meeting at the end of August or beginning of September, which 19 could be a nightmare, but nonetheless it could be arranged at 20 that time for the Trustee Council to consider it. And, as you 21 know, you have not really approved the project, so it does take 22 some deliberation. And then that would allow us the rest of 23 September to conclude a contract and complete negotiation. And 24 looking to grant award in October. 25 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any other questions or

00080 1 comments regarding the motion? (No audible responses) 2 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All in favor of the 4 motion indicate by saying aye. IN UNISON: Aye. 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed? 6 7 (No opposing responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: The motion passes. 8 9 Thank you, Veronica. It is 12:30 now and I do think it would be a good time 10 11 to take our lunch break and go into executive session. After 12 executive session we will complete the Executive Director's 13 report and then move into the remainder of the agenda. I'll 14 entertain a motion for executive session, Mr. Tillery. 15 MR. TILLERY: I would move that we go into 16 executive session for purposes of discussing the Executive 17 Director's evaluation and the staff's and activities with 18 regard to habitat protections negotiations. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Is there a second? 19 20 MR. PENNOYER: Second. 21 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. It's been moved 22 by Mr. Tillery and seconded by Mr. Pennoyer that we go into 23 executive session for purposes of discussing the Executive

24 Director's evaluation and habitat protection negotiations. Is 25 there any objection to going into executive session at this

```
00081
1 time?
2
       (No opposing responses)
3
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Hearing none the
4 motion passes and we will be going into executive session. For
5 purposes of the public, I would estimate that our executive
6 session would last approximately an hour and a half. And so it
7 is anticipated that we would be resuming in general session at
8 approximately 2:00 o'clock. Thank you very much.
       (Off record comments - directions on reconnecting)
        (Off record - 12:34 p.m.)
10
11
        (On record - 2:15 p.m.)
12
             CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Welcome, everyone,
13 back from lunch and executive session. We have with us six
14 Trustees as follows: Bill Hines has replaced Steve Pennoyer in
15 representing NOAA/NMFS; Commissioner Frank Rue representing
16 ADF&G; Michele Brown representing ADEC; Craig Tillery
17 representing the Attorney General's Office; Jim Wolfe
18 representing Forest Service; and myself, Deborah Williams,
19 Chair, representing the Department of Interior.
       We concluded our executive session and as our motion
20
21 going into executive session reflected, we discussed habitat
22 protection negotiations and the Executive Director's
23 evaluation.
24
       We will now go back to our agenda and take up where we
```

25 left off, specifically our next item is under the Executive

- 00082 1 Director's report, the fiscal year '99 Work Plan. Eric. MR. MYERS: Madam Chair, I'll try and move this 2 3 right along. Nothing earth shattering, but we are on track 4 with the '99 Work Plan. The Draft Work Plan will go to the 5 printer on the 11th, whereafter it will be subject to 6 approximately six weeks worth of public review and comment. 7 There will be a -- we plan to have a public meeting on the 27th 8 followed by another..... 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Of? MR. MYERS: I'm sorry, July. 27th of July and 10 11 then a PAG -- we hope to have the PAG meeting perhaps on the 12 next day to get additional PAG input. And then eventually we
- 13 will have the full Council meeting -- and actually that's
- 14 something that we should before -- I think we're shooting for a
- 15 full Council meeting on August 13th, at this point. And I
- 16 believe that Rebecca has been in consultation with your
- 17 respective offices, I hope so. She's not here to defend
- 18 herself, but that's what's been represented to me. So that's
- 19 the most current thinking about the schedule.
- With regard to the '99 Work Plan, I did want to draw to 20
- 21 your attention a project of particular interest that is in
- 22 response to a part of the invitation, the '99 invitation, there
- 23 was a -- as you know, there were several items or issues that
- 24 were highlighted for potential proposers to respond to. One of
- 25 those had to do with the additional research defining the

- 1 acoustic target strengths of different age classes of herring
- 2 and other schooling forage fishes in order to approve the
- 3 ability to assess their biomasses. We have a lot of
- 4 hydroacoustics data and the Chief Scientist and reviewers have
- 5 identified an interest in having some research done that will
- 6 help with the interpretation -- to strengthen the
- 7 interpretation of the hydroacoustics data, and essentially it
- 8 involves doing some controlled tests to take -- or to develop
- 9 hydroacoustic measurements on fish that we know exactly what
- 10 they are through the staging experiments, if you will.
- There was a project proposal in response, 99468, and
- 12 that has been reviewed by the Chief Scientist and the peer
- 13 reviewers and regarded favorably. Upon further reflection, the
- 14 Chief Scientist and the Scientist Coordinator have identified a
- 15 need to try to accelerate a portion of that project. We've
- 16 been in conversation with the project PI to see if there would
- 17 be -- and that purpose of that would be in order to make sure
- 18 that we could stay on track with the interpretation of data in
- 19 the APEX and SEA Projects, so that there would be no disruption
- 20 to the close-out schedule that had been previously established.
- 21 What has emerged from those discussions is the idea of
- 22 roughly, perhaps 20 -- roughly \$25,000 worth of work in FY98,
- 23 that's the current fiscal year. We don't have all of the
- 24 detailed documentation for your consideration today. It's my
- 25 understanding that there may well be another Trustee Council

- 1 meeting in the near future to address some other issues and we2 would hope to bring back for your further consideration the3 issue at that time.
- There is a memo in the materials that you were faxed.
- 5 A memo from the Chief Scientist that reflects on this. I don't
- 6 know that there's anything more that needs to be said at this
- 7 point. If you do want to discuss it further, I believe
- 8 Dr. Spies is on line. Are you on line, Dr. Spies?
- 9 DR. SPIES: Yes, I am. Notwithstanding the
- 10 weed-eater the gardener's got in the background.
- 11 MR. MYERS: Okay. And I guess it would -- have
- 12 I done substantial injury to the concept in my description of 13 it?
- DR. SPIES: No, you were just about right on,
- 15 Eric. What's being asked for is really calibration exercise
- 16 that looks at the target strength of the acoustic herring, in
- 17 particular, and eventually sand lance. And this data is really
- 18 needed for the proper interpretation of data from a number of
- 19 different projects so we felt that it wasn't fair to
- 20 necessarily to hold one project responsible for this work. So
- 21 this cuts across a number of projects, including SEA and APEX
- 22 and some others. And work that I think is of the utmost
- 23 importance to get done as soon as possible in order to go
- 24 forward with closing out APEX and some other large projects and
- 25 getting those data into the open literature.

- 1 So the Prince William Sound Science Center, Jay Kirsh,
- 2 in particular, has been very cooperative in putting forth --
- 3 working with us and the reviewers and the administrative staff
- 4 up there, putting forward a reasonable kind of Work Plan that
- 5 would implement those kinds of studies as soon as possible. If
- 6 we're able to revisit the issue I think what is being
- 7 recommended here is to spend about \$25,000 this summer to get
- 8 some material fabrication done, so the work can be done, at
- 9 least the target strength on the herring, which is the major
- 10 issue to be addressed here, would be done early in fiscal year
- 11 '99, in October. And then completed later in the fiscal year
- 12 '99. And then the initial data would become available to the
- 13 APEX investigators, for instance, right around December of this 14 year.
- MR. MYERS: Madam Chair, with that, I guess,
- 16 that we just propose that we move on, but I did want to flag
- 17 that because it's going to be coming back to you very quickly
- 18 in the near future.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Any questions
- 20 for Eric or Dr. Spies on this topic?
- 21 MR. RUE: Just one quick one. This is Frank
- 22 again. When did you think you would be coming back to us with
- 23 a specific action item?
- MR. MYERS: Well, in the interim, just at the
- 25 break, I consulted with Mr. Tillery who suggested that there

- 1 might be need for a meeting here in another approximately 10 2 days or so to address some other issues, maybe a teleconference 3 meeting, having to do with some of the habitat issues and so at 4 that time would be the thinking. MR. RUE: Okay. 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, anything else? 6 MR. MYERS: Just very quickly, and I'll keep 7 8 this to a minimum. The Alaska SeaLife Center did open and a 9 lot of people in this room, I think, we're there, it is a 10 spectacular facility and I encourage everyone to visit. During the early part of May, I think in conjunction 12 with the Shorebird Festival in Cordova, there was a dedication 13 ceremony for the EVOS waste station in Cordova, that Molly was 14 at. I was -- I've seen the one that's in Tatitlek and I think 15 they're identical, they were -- it's a very well-received part 16 of the Prince William Sound Solid Waste Management Project. 17 It's the end result, the end product of that, a physical 18 facility where the oil can be burned and hazardous material can 19 be either exchanged and disposed of. There was a celebration
- 20 there or a dedication there.
 21 And then also in conjunction with the Katchemak Bay
 22 Shorebird Festival there was a dedication ceremony for the
 23 lands on the Homer Spit, as well as a great deal of recognition
 24 of the importance of that and appreciation of that at the
 25 keynote address that occurred that evening and that the

00087 1 community of Homer was extremely appreciative of the Homer Spit 2 and Beluga Slough acquisitions and the Council's work was very, 3 very much appreciated. 4 And that's it. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Terrific, any 5 6 questions or comments? MR. RUE: How many researchers do we have in 8 the SeaLife Center, does anyone know? MR. MYERS: Actually Sandra Schubert can speak 10 to the number of projects that we have ongoing, but in terms of 11 the total capacity, if you're asking whether the capacity is 12 being filled, I think they're -- they got a bumper crop of 13 projects going on down there. And I think we've got five 14 projects that are gearing up or in progress presently. 15 MR. RUE: Okay. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, very good. Any 16 17 other questions or comments? (No audible responses) 18 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right, we can 19 20 proceed then to Item 6 on the agenda, which is small parcels. 21 Eric, Craig or Alex, do you believe there's anything that needs 22 to be said on Patson or Blondeau at this time?

MR. TILLERY: I don't believe so, no.

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Then let's move

23

24

25 to Termination Point. Alex.

- 1 MR. SWIDERSKI: You should have before you a -- 2 actually, you probably don't have before you, a draft of the 3 proposed resolution for Termination Point. The Termination 4 Point appraisal has been approved for \$1,865,000 and the
- 5 resolution would authorize this group to make an offer to the
- 6 Leisnoi Corporation to buy Termination Point for that amount.
- 7 The resolution is subject to provisions as to no additional
- 8 timber harvesting on the land, a hazmat survey, compliance with
- 9 NEPA and showing of adequate title on the land. The
- 10 Termination Point land consists of 1,028 acres of land adjacent
- 11 to Monashka Bay on Kodiak Island, just a few miles outside the 12 town of Kodiak.
- The habitat there is important for bald eagle nesting,
- 14 for intertidal and subtidal organisms for marbled murrelets,
- 15 for pigeon guillemots. It's also an area that's used for
- 16 subsistence gathering. There are archaeological resources
- 17 there including middens and biotic depressions. It's also
- 18 important locally for recreational and tourism purposes. The
- 19 parcel has commercially viable Sitka spruce on it, quite a bit
- 20 of it. In fact, that's the main portion of the value of the 21 parcel.
- 22 And I think that's it. If there are questions from the
- 23 Council?
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Alex, if you could
- 25 remind us who would be managing this parcel if we purchased it?

- 00089 MR. SWIDERSKI: I would think the State of 1 2 Alaska would be managing it as State Park lands. There has 3 been some discussion about it being acquired by the City of 4 Kodiak or the Kodiak Island Borough, I'm sorry, but this 5 resolution contemplates that it'll be managed by the State. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Yes, 7 Mr. Tillery. 8 MR. TILLERY: The price you mentioned, 1,865, 9 that's slightly different than what was in some earlier 10 materials, did you -- is that confirmed; is that a correct 11 appraised price? 12 MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes. Apparently the appraisal 13 initially had a technical error in it and printed appraisal was 14 initially for \$1,882,000 and there's a handwritten correction 15 in to \$1,865,000, which I checked on this morning. That is the 16 correct appraised value. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, very good. Any 17 18 other questions or comments regarding Termination Point? 19 (No audible responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: There is, as the 20
- 21 public may know, a question of title and this resolution is 22 subject to satisfactory title and so that's a condition we just 23 want to make sure everyone understands. Also for those of us 24 who have had the opportunity to see this parcel it certainly is 25 a very lovely piece of land with high restoration values.

00090 1 Okay. Do I hear a motion? Yes, Mr. Tillery. MR. TILLERY: I would move that the Council 2 3 resolve to authorize the State to negotiate the purchase of 4 Termination Point parcel for the price of \$1,865,000, subject 5 to the terms that Mr. Swiderski described. The Council to sign 6 a written resolution that I understand should be prepared by 7 the end of the day. MR. SWIDERSKI: The resolution is available 8 9 now. 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right. Is there a 11 second to the motion? MS. BROWN: Second. 12 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, a motion's been 14 made by Mr. Tillery and seconded by Ms. Brown. Is there 15 further discussion on the motion? (No audible responses) 16 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right, hearing 18 none, all in favor of the motion indicate by saying aye. 19 IN UNISON: Aye. 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed? 21 (No opposing responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: The motion passes. 22 23 Thank you very much, Mr. Tillery.

The next item on our agenda is the Kodiak Tax Parcels.

25 Steve, if you could come to the microphone, please.

And, Trustee Council members, if I may give a brief overview of this. What we're bringing you today are two motions and one resolution. And I think, logically, it makes most sense to talk about the resolution first and then the two motions.

The first resolution arises out of an authorization that occurred on December 11th, 1995 by this Trustee Council in connection with the Shuyak Island resolution. At that time, as the Trustee Council recalls, the Trustee Council authorized the Wildlife Service to work with the Kodiak Island Borough for the acquisition of up to \$1,000,000 of parcels that have been forfeited for a failure to pay taxes. And the Trustee Council, at that time, asked that before we purchase any of those parcels that we come back to the Trustee Council and seek specific authorization for the purchase of those parcels after approved appraisals have been rendered.

We now are coming to you with a resolution to seek 18 specific approval for the purchase of seven tax parcels for a 19 value of \$102,000. And, Mr.Shuck, if you could tell the 20 Council briefly about the restoration values of those seven tax 21 parcels.

MR. SHUCK: The restoration values of those 23 seven parcels lie primarily in the fact that they will connect 24 the shoreline to the back lands that the Service will purchase 25 using restoration funds this fall. They are valuable as bald

25

1 eagle nesting habitat, the intertidal zone, and will provide 2 islands of access through other private lands to reach public 3 lands managed by Kodiak refuge. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Does the 4 5 Trustee Council have any questions or comments? We have before 6 us a resolution regarding certain Kodiak Island Borough tax 7 parcels. Do all members have the resolution in front of them? MS. BROWN: Yes. 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. I will 10 entertain a motion regarding the resolution. Yes, Mr. Tillery. MR. TILLERY: I would move that we adopt the 12 resolution as proposed. 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Is there a second to 14 this motion? 15 MR. HINES: Seconded. 16 MR. WOLFE: Second. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it was moved by 17 18 Mr. Tillery and seconded by -- was that Mr. Rue or Mr. Hines? MR. HINES: Hines. 19 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Hines. Is there 20 21 discussion regarding the resolution? 22 (No audible responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All in favor of the 23

24 resolution indicate by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

```
00093
1
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed?
2
       (No opposing responses)
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: The resolution passes.
3
4 Thank you very much.
       That then brings us to our first motion. And what we
5
6 found in investigating the tax parcels was that we realized
7 that the million dollars that was authorized for purposes of
8 purchasing the forfeited tax parcels could be better spent on
9 other parcels that would be available. And so while, in fact,
10 while we believe that there will be approximately $350,000 of
11 money very well spent for restoration in the tax parcels, we
12 believe that $645,000 would be better spent for restoration
13 values for other parcels around Larsen Bay. And so we are
14 seeking at this time the authorization to move $645,000 from
15 tax parcels for the potential purchase of approximately 42
16 small, generally 10-acre, parcels in the Larsen Bay area. By
17 this motion we are seeking to have these 42 small parcels be
18 designated as parcels meriting special consideration. And, of
19 course, any final approval for purchase would be subject to
20 Trustee Council motion in the future.
21
        Steve, would you like to tell us a little bit more
22 about the restoration values of these 42 small parcels and
23 where they're located?
```

MR. SHUCK: The restoration values are very

25 similar to those for the seven tax parcels that you just acted

23

1 on. The parcels are located in Zachar Bay, Uyak Bay and the 2 head of Larsen Bay and make up some of the lands that Larsen 3 Bay Tribal Council conveyed to tribal members. We are noticing 4 an increase in the sale of those parcels to non-tribal members, 5 in many cases, who are developing either hunting cabins or in 6 some instances eco-tourism or guiding businesses on those 7 lands. Each time that happens there is a subsequent 8 interruption of migratory patterns and use patterns of the fish 9 and wildlife that inhabit the island. We feel that the acquisition of these lands will serve 10 11 to protect the species and the habitat and make the land 12 available to all of us instead of just a select few. 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Are there 14 questions or comments on the motion? 15 MR. RUE: Yeah, this is Frank. 16 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Frank. 17 MR. RUE: My understanding is there will be 18 quite a few parcels still in the general area that would be 19 available for development around Larsen Bay should people wish 20 to do that. And your strategy is to consolidate these pieces 21 around those previous purchases, maintain the highest value 22 there is around the refuge for public use; is that right?

MR. SHUCK: That is correct. We would not be

24 looking at acquiring any of the properties within the Larsen 25 Bay city limits or on Amook Island which is the large island

- 1 within Uyak Bay. Those lands would remain available for
- 2 whatever development the owners might choose.
- 3 Also, there are a number of people who have indicated,
- 4 even within the areas that we would like to protect, that they
- 5 are not interested in selling and, of course, we would buy only 6 from willing sellers.
- 7 MR. RUE: Okay.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Wolfe.
- 9 MR. WOLFE: I was just trying to figure out how
- 10 much was going for various parcels. Have you acquired some of
- 11 the parcels out of the tax base using these funds already? Is
- 12 that part.....
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Well, that is the
- 14 102.....
- MR. WOLFE: The 107, but that leaves another
- 16 250,000 or so.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
- MR. WOLFE: And that money is still going to be
- 19 used to acquire the small parcels in the tax.....
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Correct.
- 21 MR. WOLFE: Okay. Very good, thank you.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any other questions?
- 23 (No audible responses)
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right, I will
- 25 entertain a motion. Can the Chair make a motion? I forgot.

00096 1 MR. WOLFE: So moved. 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you. The 3 motion is in front of us and the Chair will read it. I forget 4 my Robert's Rule, but somehow I remember the Chair is not 5 supposed to make a motion. But I will read the motion. It 6 says, and Mr. Wolfe moved, that within the \$1,000,000 7 authorized by the Shuyak Island resolution of December 11th, 8 1995 for the purchase of small parcels in Uyak Bay owned by the 9 Kodiak Island Borough as a result of tax forfeitures, up to 10 \$645,000 of that amount is authorized for the purchase of 11 approximately 42 small, generally 10-acre, parcels owned by 12 Larsen Bay shareholders, their heirs, or successors in 13 interest. As these parcels are surrounded by large parcel 14 purchases previously funded by the Council in the Koniag 15 acquisition, they are parcels meriting special consideration. Any acquisition are to be made only from willing 16 17 sellers at fair market value. The Council will address the 18 specific parcels to be acquired by specific resolutions after 19 Interior has obtained approved appraisals and indication of the 20 owner's willingness to sell. 21 Is there a second to the motion? MR. RUE: Second. 22 23 MR. HINES: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Frank, did you win on

24

25 that one?

00097 1 MR. RUE: I beat him by a nose. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. It's been moved 2 3 by Mr. Wolfe and seconded by Mr. Rue. Is there further 4 discussion on the motion? Mr. Tillery. MR. TILLERY: Madam Chair, I would note that, 5 6 as one phrases, it says in here, any acquisitions will be only 7 from willing sellers at fair market value. I think as part of 8 our Restoration Plan other things did not impose that kind of a 9 limit. The willing sellers, yes, but not the fair market value 10 as a specific limitation on it. And I would suggest that we 11 delete those words "at fair market value" and simply say that 12 any acquisitions are to be only from willing sellers. 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Is there any 14 opposition by the mover or the seconder to that? MR. WOLFE: Well, just some discussion, further 16 discussion. I thought that on small parcels we generally 17 agreed that we would stay with fair market value. MR. TILLERY: We do not pay more than fair 19 market value for small parcels, but..... CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 20 21 MR. WOLFE: Okay. Oh, I see your point. Okay, 22 I see your point. Very good. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. Friendly 23 24 limits, so mover and seconder would agree to it?

MR. WOLFE: I concur.

00098 1 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. 2 MR. RUE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Any further 3 4 discussion of the motion? 5 (No audible responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All in favor of the 6 7 motion, as amended, indicate by saying aye. IN UNISON: Aye. 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed? (No opposing responses) 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. 11 12 The last motion we have before you today is also a substitution 13 motion. As the Trustee Council recalls, in November of 1996 14 the Trustee Council authorized \$281,000, a little more than 15 \$281,000, to purchase KAP-1055, which has turned out that owner 16 of KAP-1055 has chosen not to sell the parcel in question and 17 so we have three parcels that we would seek Trustee Council 18 approval to go forward with in terms of appraisals and 19 approving appraisals and that we would bring back to the 20 Trustee Council once those have been concluded. 21 The total value of the three substitute parcels we 22 believe to be around \$264,000. We are seeking Trustee Council 23 designation of these three substitute parcels as parcels 24 meriting special consideration.

Steve, if you could talk about the restoration values

- 1 of these three parcels, please? MR. SHUCK: Yes, these three parcels are all 2 3 located west of the Village of Old Harbor in and near Three 4 Saints Bay. Three Saints Bay has historical significance as it 5 was the site of the first Russian community in Alaska inhabited 6 in the late 1700s. These properties are prime for development, 7 one of them being the only privately owned land between the 8 Village of Old Harbor and Three Saints Bay itself. We feel 9 that because of the historical significance and the nearness to 10 the Village of Old Harbor, they are particularly at risk for 11 development and the consequential harm to the natural 12 environment that may occur because of that. 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. 14 MR. RUE: I have a question. 15 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, please, Frank. MR. RUE: Can you discuss the restoration 16 17 values of these parcels? MR. SHUCK: Certainly. 18 MR. RUE: I heard the rest, but I didn't hear 19 20 about the restoration.
- MR. SHUCK: The shallow bay immediately east of 22 property 126 supports winter feeding concentrations of common 23 murres. The coastal section of the property and the flat 24 peninsula is a favorite site for subsistence hunting of Sitka 25 black-tailed deer. All accessible shorelines and the nearshore

- 1 waters of Three Saints Bay are used for subsistence purposes, 2 primarily by residents of Old Harbor, for seals, herring, 3 salmon, shellfish, Sitka black-tailed deer and berries. 4 Archaeological sites are most likely found on all accessible 5 beaches, even though they have not been fully explored. There is one cabin, also, that has been used in the 7 area. We are also entering into -- or considering entering 8 into negotiations to purchase that with restitution funds. Does that help? MR. RUE: Yes, thank you. 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Are there any other 11 12 questions? 13 MR. HINES: Just one other one. That is these 14 parcels are also part of a much broader -- parcels that were 15 purchased earlier; is that correct? They're contiguous with 16 former -- with initial purchase of the Old Harbor land? MR. SHUCK: That is correct, they are all 17 18 adjacent and bounded by properties that were purchased though 19 the Old Harbor large parcel acquisition.
- CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, are there any 20
- 21 other questions?
- 22 (No audible responses)
- CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I would entertain a 23
- 24 motion, then, on this matter. Mr. Tillery.
- 25 MR. TILLERY: I want to make a motion, and it's

- 1 slightly different from what you have written here.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- 3 MR. TILLERY: But I would move that as a result
- 4 of the owner not to sell, it's the Abston parcel, I move the
- 5 Trustee Council authorization approved November 8th, 1996 to
- 6 purchase for \$281,300, KAP-1055, the Virginia Abston Native
- 7 allotment within the Kodiak Refuge be rescinded. And I further
- 8 move the Trustee Council in its place authorize the Fish and
- 9 Wildlife Service to negotiate for the acquisition for parcels
- 10 KAP-95, KAP-126 and KAP-134 located in Three Saints Bay and
- 11 Sitkalidak Strait within the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge.
- 12 These parcels are Native allotments surrounded by lands
- 13 recently acquired from Old Harbor Native Corporation with
- 14 Council funding. These parcels qualify as parcels meriting
- 15 special consideration. The parcels are estimated to cost
- 16 264,000, and the Council will address the specific parcels to
- 17 be acquired by specific resolution after the Department of
- 18 Interior has obtained approved appraisals and an indication of
- 19 the owner's willingness to sell.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Is there a
- 21 second to that motion?
- 22 MR. WOLFE: Second.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it's been moved
- 24 by Mr. Tillery and seconded by Mr. Wolfe. Is there any further
- 25 discussion of the motion?

```
00102
1
       (No audible responses)
2
           MS. BROWN: Nobody seems to know you were here.
3
       (Indiscernible discussion on teleconference)
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Juneau, if you're
4
5 having a discussion -- I don't know if it's about the motion,
6 but..... Okay. Hearing no further discussion I will call for
7 the motion. All in favor indicate by saying aye.
           IN UNISON: Aye.
8
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed?
9
10
       (No opposing responses)
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right, the motion
11
12 carries, thank you very much. And thank you, Steve.
            MR. SHUCK: Thank you.
13
14
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Do we need,
15 Eric or Alex or Craig, to say anything about the Afognak Joint
16 Venture payment schedule at this time?
            MR. SWIDERSKI: (Shakes head no)
17
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: No. Okay, very good.
18
19 That brings us then to Item 8 on the agenda, which is
20 restoration of services. Who's going to walk us through this?
            MR. MYERS: Madam Chair, we have Jim Fall and
21
22 Sandra Schubert here and Sandra will steer us through this.
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good, Sandra.
23
24
            MS. SCHUBERT: In your packet is a memo from
25 Molly McCammon dated April 22nd that discusses the possibility
```

- of updating the status of the four services that are recognized
 as lost or reduced by the spill. These services are
 subsistence, commercial fishing, recreation and tourism and
 passive use. As you know, in preparation for the 10-year
 symposium on the spill, Dr. Spies and Stan Senner are going to
 be updating the status of the injured resources and Molly would
 like some direction from you on updating the services.
 The memo presents a couple of options for each service,
 which basically represent different levels of effort. In
 general, option one calls for Trustee Council staff to update
 the status of the service, based on the status of the resources
 on which the service depends, which could be done with
 information available from ongoing Trustee Council projects.
 And a simple example of this would be, say, with subsistence
- 14 And a simple example of this would be, say, with subsistence 15 that we would look -- well, one of the resources we would look 16 at would be the harbor seal, which is an important subsistence 17 resource. If the harbor seal is not recovered we would 18 conclude that subsistence is not recovered.
- The second option generally calls for commissioning 20 agency personnel or outside experts to review or gather 21 additional information that would allow a more complete story 22 to be told about what has happened to the service in the 10 23 years since the spill. So, for example, with commercial 24 fishing, in addition to looking at the status of pink salmon, 25 sockeye, herring, the other commercially fished species, we

- 1 would also describe market conditions or other changes that
- 2 have taken place since the spill and try to identify any
- 3 relation to the spill or any lingering effects from the spill.
- 4 The only service that Molly has specific recommendation
- 5 on is subsistence and her recommendation is option two, which
- 6 in this case would have the Alaska Department of Fish and Game
- 7 Subsistence Division in collaboration with the Chugach Regional
- 8 Resources Commission repeat household harvest surveys that were
- 9 last conducted in 1994. And Jim Fall is here from Subsistence
- 10 Division to present that recommendation in more detail, if
- 11 you'd like.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, please, Jim.
- MS. SCHUBERT: And Patty Brown-Schwalenberg who
- 14 this morning, during the public testimony, endorsed it, is here 15 also.
- And before Jim begins, I'll just -- there are really
- 17 three things, I think, that we're asking for today and the
- 18 first is for general guidance on updating all of the services.
- 19 Then if you decide to proceed with updating, second thing we're
- 20 asking for is conceptual approval of this household survey
- 21 approach to subsistence. And if you give your conceptual
- 22 approval to the household survey then we're asking for
- 23 authorization to spend \$8,700 in FY98 money, which is current
- 24 year money, so they can hold a planning meeting next month.
- 25 The additional money for updating subsistence or any of

- 1 the other services you decided you'd like to address would be 2 funding through the FY99 Work Plan. And after today's meeting 3 we'll be preparing a detailed project description and detailed 4 budget for your further consideration as part of the '99 Work 5 Plan. 6 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you. Jim. 7 MR. FALL: Thank you. My name is Jim Fall and 8 I'm the Regional Program Manager for the Division of 9 Subsistence, Department of Fish and Game in Anchorage. And I 10 would direct your attention to several items which I believe 11 the Council was provided with last week. The first is a letter 12 from me to Molly McCammon dated May 29th, 1998, that briefly 13 describes the planning meeting that we propose to hold in July 14 to define the purposes, objectives and methods of where the 15 study, in collaboration with the proposed study communities, 16 is..... 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Jim, one moment, 18 because -- let's see..... 19 MR. WOLFE: You can borrow mine. 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- MR. WOLFE: You got your package, it's up here.
 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Oh, it's here. Okay,
 does Juneau have this? This was something passed out today.
 MR. RUE: We've had it, we're tying to find it.
- 25 MS. BROWN: Yes.

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Very good, 2 thank you. Go ahead, Jim.
- 3 MR. FALL: And this is really the next step in
- 4 planning this project where we propose to bring in two or three
- 5 representatives from each of the proposed eight study
- 6 communities to meet with personnel from the Division of
- 7 Subsistence and from some Federal agencies that have worked
- 8 with us in the past to review the research on subsistence in
- 9 the spill area that took place for the first five years after
- 10 the spill. Talk about the methods that we've used, then hear
- 11 from the communities about ongoing issues, continuing issues
- 12 and concerns. And then work together to define objectives, to
- 13 identify some research topics that need to be addressed in the
- 14 research. So this is both a training and a planning workshop
- 15 that basically gets everybody on board.
- After that workshop we'll work on the details of the
- 17 project plan and prepare a detailed project description and a
- 18 final budget for the project. And I can describe in more
- 19 detail how we would organize this workshop, if you like. If
- 20 you don't need any more detail, I'll move on to the second 21 piece.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Why don't you go ahead
- 23 with your entire presentation and then we'll ask questions
- 24 after you're through.
- MR. FALL: There are two other items that were

- 1 also provided to you, one is a letter dated June 3rd, 1998 from 2 me to Molly McCammon which is a brief overview of our
- 3 preliminary research plan with a set of bullets. The third
- 4 item is a more detailed brief project overview which provides
- 5 background on the project, a set of preliminary objectives, and
- 6 which study communities we propose to include, the kinds of
- 7 research data that we would collect, a schedule and preliminary 8 budget.
- 9 And turning to the letter, I would just point out a
- 10 couple of key items. There's really two purposes to this
- 11 project, as we see it, the first is to respond to the need to
- 12 update the status of the subsistence service by collecting
- 13 information that is comparable to that which is collected --
- 14 that was collected previously. That means using similar
- 15 methods and techniques that have proven to be effective in
- 16 assessing subsistence in the past. Acknowledging that things
- 17 change and that there might be new items that need to be
- 18 investigated and we need to continue to pay attention to what
- 19 the communities are asking for and what they're indicating to 20 us are issues for them today.
- 21 The second purpose, though, is to follow through on the
- 22 Trustee Council's role to enhance community involvement in the
- 23 restoration process and, for that reason, this project is going
- 24 to be designed to be a fully collaborative effort between the
- 25 Department of Fish and Game, representing the Trustee Council,

- 1 and the eight study communities that we propose. That means
- 2 that the study communities will be involved in the planning of
- 3 the research, members of each community will be trained to help
- 4 us conduct the work and there will be a second workshop after
- 5 the information is collected and a preliminary set of tables
- 6 and figures prepared during which the study community
- 7 representative will again meet with us to talk about the work,
- 8 to help us interpret what the results mean, all leading up to a
- 9 report that would be prepared in time for the event marking the
- 10 10th anniversary of the oil spill next March.
- 11 So there really is that double goal that we have here.
- 12 We picked eight study communities tentatively, this depends
- 13 upon approval of our study plans and each community, of course,
- 14 will have the option of participating or not participating.
- 15 And preliminarily it's Cordova, Chenega Bay, Tatitlek,
- 16 Nanwalek, Port Graham, Ouzinkie, Larsen Bay and Old Harbor.
- 17 There are one or more years of pre-spill data for each of these
- 18 communities and three to five years of post-spill data for each
- 19 of these communities, so they will provide us with a good set
- 20 of information to compare our results with.
- 21 This work will be face-to-face interviews, it's the
- 22 best way to collect this kind of detailed information. Again,
- 23 it will be designed to be consistent with what we've done
- 24 earlier. We'll try to do most of the work in October and early
- 25 November. Two reasons for that. One, that's when your funding

- 1 cycle starts. And equally important, October is a good sort of
- 2 time out in most of these communities from subsistence
- 3 activities. To do something in the late fall, early winter, is
- 4 a good time to not interrupt too many ongoing activities.
- 5 Salmon is over, fall hunting is over and we can get a good
- 6 representative study by doing it then. We'll then have the
- 7 follow-up workshop with everything winding up, we hope, in
- 8 March.
- 9 I would end by saying that this is an ambitious
- 10 undertaking, we anticipate doing about 400 interviews, it's
- 11 going to be an intensive field season. I think we can do it,
- 12 with the help of the communities, but it's going to be close.
- 13 But it's not as if we're reinventing the wheel here, we've done
- 14 thousands of these interviews in the past, we're confident that
- 15 we can get a good product.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Questions by
- 18 the Trustee Council on the subsistence component of this memo?
- 19 (No audible responses)
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Actually I have one
- 21 question, both for you, Jim and for you, Frank. And that is,
- 22 how this differs from the information that ADF&G gathers
- 23 currently on subsistence? And whether one alternative is to
- 24 just carefully analyze the existing data that we have?
- MR. FALL: Frank, I can take a crack at that.

- 1 MR. RUE: Go for it, Jim.
- MR. FALL: The big difference is that this 2
- 3 survey is a comprehensive overview of all subsistence uses in
- 4 these communities. ADF&G does not monitor all subsistence
- 5 activities in these communities. For example, most marine
- 6 fish, which comprise a very large percentage of the total
- 7 subsistence harvest, there's no way to tap into halibut and
- 8 ling cod and Dolly Varden harvest in most of these communities.
- 9 Then there are other examples. Marine invertebrates are
- 10 another example. Wild plants are another example. In
- 11 addition, the quality of some of our ongoing harvest monitoring
- 12 is good in some communities, not as good in others. The level
- 13 of participation in salmon permit programs is good in some
- 14 communities, not particularly good in others. So we think that
- 15 this is the best way to get at maximum participation.
- The third, maybe this is the fourth, reason for doing 16
- 17 this way is that in addition to harvest and use information, we
- 18 collect other kinds of information in these surveys.
- 19 Demographic information, economic information, evaluation from
- 20 the respondent about how subsistence is doing, how it's
- 21 changing, why it's changing, and then those kinds of
- 22 qualitative things that we can look at, and we can link all
- 23 that information together by household, by community. We would
- 24 not be able to do that if we relied on existing databases for
- 25 the information.

```
00111
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Other questions or
1
2 comments on the subsistence component?
           MR. HINES: Madam Chair.
4
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes.
5
           MR. HINES: Yes, in looking at that letter
6 dated June 3rd, there's four tables of four different figures
7 in terms of the costs, but yet in the memo it indicates
8 $150,000 as the budget for this one particular component; is
9 that my understanding; am I correct in that?
            MS. SCHUBERT: $150,000.
10
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Jim or Sandra, if you
11
12 could just, again, review the bidding [sic]. Sandra talked
13 about if we would go forward with option two we would want an
14 appropriate -- you want an appropriation of how much for this
15 fiscal year again?
16
            MS. SCHUBERT: $8,700.
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Eight thousand, seven
17
18 hundred. And then on top of that in fiscal year '99 you would
19 expect how much to finish the project?
            MR. FALL: About 150,000, maybe a little bit
20
21 more with the general administration line.
22
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, so approximately
23 $158-159,000 project. Okay. Does that answer your question,
```

MR. HINES: Well, sort of like Table 1, \$84,349

24 Bill? 25

```
00112
```

- 1 to do something; Table 2 has 8,085; Table 3 is 148,098; and 2 Table 4 is 27,514. How much of those projects or what are we 3 voting on here today? MR. RUE: Eight thousand. 4 5 MR. HINES: Just the 8,000? MR. RUE: Right. All we're voting on today is 7 the planning project for next month. MR. FALL: One point of clarification. This is 8 9 Jim Fall. Table 2 in that overview looks at the request for 10 this fiscal year and when I put this together I neglected to 11 include the general administration charge. The cost of the 12 actual conference workshop is about \$8,100 and there's an 13 additional \$600 of general administration for 8,700. The 14 estimated preliminary costs for the research itself is on Table 15 3 and that's about \$148,000, not including general 16 administration. Table 4 in that packet is a subportion of that 17 148 of about 27.5 which would be the subcontract with the 18 Chugach Regional Resources Commission as part of that work. 19 They would be subcontracted to hire the local researchers and 20 to organize the second workshop that we would have. MR. HINES: Yeah, thank you very much for that 21 22 clarification.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, are there any 24 other questions or comments on the subsistence component of 25 this memo?

00113 1 MR. WOLFE: Madam Chair. 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Jim. MR. WOLFE: I have a question. I'm not sure 4 I'm totally ready to ask it, but I'll try. Option one was to 5 use the resources recovery as an indication of subsistence and 6 option two, then, would be go out and do surveys. I wonder if 7 there's room for an option three that would say, let's do 8 option one, pick out the resources that we think we have some 9 problems with and then only survey for those that we know we 10 have problems for. See if we can't expedite the process a 11 little bit or cut the cost a little bit. Is that feasible? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: What are your thoughts 12 13 on that, Jim and Sandra? MR. FALL: I don't think that that really saves 15 all that much money, for one thing, especially if you want to 16 keep the same level of involvement of the eight study 17 communities. The big expense is in getting researchers down 18 there and training them and analyzing the information 19 afterwards. And whether you ask a set of questions about three 20 or four or five or six resources or the full range, it's not 21 that much different in terms of setting up the interviews, 22 explaining the purpose of the study and then analyzing it

And I think in addition we've heard from the study 25 communities, from the affected communities, that to understand

23 afterwards.

- 1 the effects that the oil spill had on subsistence and continues
- 2 to have on subsistence it's important to look at their harvest
- 3 and use patterns within the cultural context of the community.
- 4 And that's what we have done in the past and we propose to do 5 here too.
- MR. WOLFE: Okay. So option one really isn't 7 considered a viable option then? Is that what you're telling 8 me?
- 9 MR. FALL: In my view as a cultural
- 10 anthropologist I would say no, but to understand the status of 11 subsistence at this point it is important to look at it broadly
- 12 and that would be our recommendation from our department.
- 13 MR. RUE: It strikes me, Jim, that besides --
- 14 or once you've mobilized and asked the question about the half
- 15 the resources, you've really done most of the work, so asking
- 16 about the other half is really not -- you won't save much and
- 17 you learn a lot more. The other (indiscernible) that might be
- 18 interesting is to look at the perception and use pattern versus
- 19 our understanding of recovery of science. You might find that
- 20 we might believe it's recovered from the scientific
- 21 perspective, but from a broad Prince William Sound or spill
- 22 area perspective that there may be users out there who either
- 23 local -- because of localized reasons or problems still are
- 24 using the resource. So I think you might not see a one-on-one
- 25 comparison between our assessment of recovery and their

- 1 assessment of their continuing use of the resources. 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Wolfe. 3 MR. WOLFE: I really -- you know, as a part of 4 whatever project we wind up doing, I think option one needs to 5 be built into it, so that we can see the comparison that Frank 6 was talking about. To me that should be the foundation for how 7 we look at how we're doing and then we work from there on the 8 perception or how they're dealing with in distribution of those 9 resources out in the regions. MS. SCHUBERT: I might add that one of the 10 11 things that we are interested in, including in the survey, if 12 possible, are some very localized questions about specific
- 13 projects that the Trustee Council has funded. For example, in 14 Chenega Bay, inquiring of people whether the Chenega Chinook 15 Release Project made a difference to their subsistence use. So 16 I think this planning meeting that we're asking for a little 17 bit of money for today will look at really at what questions 18 need to be asked.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Any other 20 comments on the subsistence component of this memo. MR. RUE: This is Frank again. I would just --22 for Jim's point, I would suggest that we keep the Subsistence 23 Division doing what they do well, which is doing a survey like 24 this, work with the communities to come up with some sort of 25 survey. And the Trustee Council agencies figure out we might

00116 1 compare those results with "restoration of the resources" 2 (indiscernible)..... 3 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. 4 MR. WOLFE: That was option one, yeah. 5 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. All right, any 6 other comments? 7 (No audible responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, before we act on 9 it, I'd like go through the others. Commercial fishing. 10 Sandra, you're going to do this? MS. SCHUBERT: Sure. If you're looking at your 12 memo, commercial fishing begins at the top of page three and 13 what's repeated there is the recovery objective that is in the 14 current update of the injured resources, which was prepared in 15 '96. And I might point out that when the resource status 16 update was prepared the services were really not updated, they 17 were kind of left the same. So there hasn't been an update on 18 this since the Restoration Plan was adopted in '94. It then points out what the previous studies that were 19 20 done on commercial fishing were and this takes a look back to

21 the economic studies that were done just after the spill and 22 that was kind of our point of initial consideration, how to 23 look at commercial fishing? But you'll see that the previous 24 study really focused on economic losses and, of course, now 25 with the Council's restorations objectives being focused on the

4

1 resources, there isn't much of a match, really, between the 2 objectives of those economic studies and what you might be 3 interested in today. So the options here are to update -- option one is to

5 update the status, based on the status of commercially 6 important fish species and also the presence or absence of 7 fishery closures. Which both of these go back to the recovery 8 objective and so we would just look to kind of a literal 9 interpretation of what the recovery objective says. Then option two would broaden this out a little bit. 10 11 We had talked here at the office about commissioning a 12 fisheries analyst to prepare a short memo or report that would 13 look at the economic issues that have affected the industry 14 since the oil spill, just to try to present a better picture or 15 more complete picture of where commercial fishing is today 16 versus where it was at the time of the spill. So this report 17 would probably discuss things that aren't related to the spill 18 or caused by the spill but have, nonetheless, affected the 19 industry since then. And it's kind of a recognition that the 20 status of commercial fishing is complex question, there have 21 been so many factors in the last 10 years and it's hard to 22 tease out what was caused by the spill and what wasn't. But to

23 only look at the status of the injured resources themselves

24 might oversimplify or miss some important things that should be 25 taken into consideration when you talk about where commercial

- 1 fishing is today.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Trustee Council 3 questions or comments?
- MR. WOLFE: Some of the same thoughts come to mind here. It looks like this option one flows from everyone of these. And if we do one time an option one, it would cover all of the resources and then it could be used for all the different studies then.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I will be candid, my
 10 reaction to option two was quite negative, because I just don't
 11 know how we can separate out the influences. And separate out
 12 the factors is -- seems -- would be a tremendous task. I'm
 13 sure there will be criticism about whatever approach one took
 14 and so I would see a product from option two either not being
 15 useful or being so highly criticized that its usefulness would
 16 be substantially compromised.
- One alternative I've thought about to a new study in 18 option two, is just have someone do a brief analysis of the 19 information that came out of the lawsuit. I mean obviously the 20 purpose of the lawsuit was to quantify and also, I think in 21 some instances, qualify the impact of the spill on the 22 commercial fishing industry. Maybe that already exists, maybe 23 we don't need to reinvent that, but in my mind the best
- 24 evidence out there came from the lawsuit. I think doing option 25 one for commercial fishing is all that needs to be done, but if

- 1 we were to do an option two, I would recommend looking at the2 lawsuit instead of reinventing the wheel.
- 3 Mr. Tillery.
- 4 MR. TILLERY: I think the materials in the
- 5 lawsuit are too dated to accomplish the goal of finding out the
- 6 extent to which there have been sort of recovery of the
- 7 services, which would be the purpose, as I understand the
- 8 exercise, so I don't think that's really how to go about it. I
- 9 share your concerns of it not really -- I don't think we're
- 10 going to find anything out by doing this. I don't believe
- 11 we've really had a very good -- we didn't have a study --
- 12 unlike some of the other areas, we didn't have a study to begin
- 13 with of this. But I don't think the lawsuit way.....
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- MR. TILLERY: Reusing that I don't think is
- 16 going, it's going to accomplish much.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Very good.
- 18 MR. RUE: This is Frank. I would be interested
- 19 in discussing for one second whether we should look and see if
- 20 there were some sort of threshold events that happened around
- 21 '89 and whether the herring, you know, the three-year herring
- 22 closure which may or may not have been, you know, the -- we
- 23 don't know how much of that was oil spill-related or not.
- 24 Plant closures in Cordova was economic, they were on the edge.
- 25 Did the spill take them over the edge? They closed, they

- 1 haven't come back. I think at least asking the fishing
- 2 industry or the fishing representative on the PAG or may be the
- 3 Mayor of Cordova and Valdez or some local officials, do they
- 4 think there's anything useful to look at here? Were there key
- 5 events that happened around '89 and the spill that had a
- 6 lingering impact on fishing that you can actually tease out
- 7 before we totally dismiss it. I think it would be worth asking
- 8 a few more folks their opinion, I guess, is all I'm saying.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: What do other Trustee
- 10 Council members think?
- 11 MR. RUE: They're asleep.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Bill, do you have any
- 13 thoughts on this?
- MR. HINES: Well, Madam Chair, I guess I'm a
- 15 little confused, and that's not unusual, obviously, we're going
- 16 through various options and first of all, are we going to vote
- 17 on, like.....
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 19 MR. HINES: We're going to go as a package or
- 20 are we going to identify which option we want to go with at
- 21 this time? For example, option two on commercial fishing, it
- 22 talks about funds for this purpose would be needed. Don't even
- 23 have an estimate, so I don't think we can necessarily make an
- 24 informed judgment without some type of description of an
- 25 estimate for it.

00121 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I think once we're 1 2 done with the whole package we'll go category by category, 3 Bill. 4 MR. RUE: This is my suggestion, Bill, would be 5 that on commercial fishing we ask the opinion of a few key 6 folks in the spill area, in the fishing industry and perhaps, 7 say, in local government, too. (Indiscernible) happen if 8 communities in the fishing industry, ask them were there 9 particular events you think are worth analyzing and to see if 10 they've had a lingering effects. MR. HINES: Determine if that'll be useful. 11 12 MR. RUE: And then if they come back and say, 13 yes, here it is and this is what would be really important to 14 look at, then we could reconsider whether or not we would want 15 to do it. That would be my only suggestion for that. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, any other 16 17 thoughts on commercial fishing before we go to the next 18 category. And again, when we get through it all, we'll go back 19 and vote. 20 MS. SCHUBERT: Madam Chairman, could I..... 21 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. MS. SCHUBERT:clarify something..... 22 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes. 23

MS. SCHUBERT:for Mr. Hines? We're not

25 asking for approval of any amount of funding for any of these,

- 1 other than subsistence today. And the memo does make a
- 2 reference to needing the money in FY98, which was our thinking
- 3 when the memo was prepared back in April and we've now decided
- 4 that if we have direction from you and can start putting
- 5 something in place, if the money is approved for the '99 Work
- 6 Plan, which means it would be available October 1st, that
- 7 should be plenty of time. So you will have an opportunity in
- 8 the FY99 Work Plan to approve specific budgets and so on for
- 9 each of these.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, very good.
- 11 Let's move to recreation and tourism then. Sandra.
- 12 MS. SCHUBERT: Again at the top, now, of page
- 13 four is the recovery objective as it reads today. And this is
- 14 kind of a three-part objective. It says that
- 15 recreation/tourism will have recovered when the fish and
- 16 wildlife resources on which they depend have recovered, when
- 17 recreation use of oiled beaches is no longer impaired and
- 18 facilities and management capabilities can accommodate changes
- 19 in human use.
- 20 So option one here, again, goes back to this recovery
- 21 objective, and option one would have us looking at the recovery
- 22 status of important fish and wildlife species, such as salmon,
- 23 Dolly Varden, sea otters and so on. We would also look at the
- 24 presence of oil on beach, which we would do by looking back to
- 25 the studies that the Council has funded, the Kodiak Shoreline

24

1 Survey, the work around Chenega last year. And we would also2 look at the presence of recreational facilities to accommodate3 changes in use that have happened since the spill.

Option two would go a little bit farther and we would try to look at numbers of people who are recreating. And this is tricky because there has been such a tremendous increase in the number of tourist in Alaska in the last five years from -- or the last 10 years, from about 600,000 in '89 to over a million in '96 that there's a concern that just looking at the numbers won't tell you the whole story because the numbers are 11 going to be up, we know that.

So for recreation there's actually a third option which 13 would take into account recreational users' perceptions, so 14 this would involve talking to people who were familiar with 15 Prince William Sound, the spill region, before the spill and 16 who continue to recreate there now. And to see, through 17 interview, what their perception of recovery is. Even though 18 the number of people -- number of tourists is up, people who 19 were familiar with the Sound before the spill have said that 20 there's still certain beaches that aren't being used, sightings 21 of wildlife are diminished and so on.

22 MS. BROWN: (Indiscernible).....

23 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Michele.

MS. BROWN: Is it necessary to do -- if you're

25 going to do option three to do one and two as well? I mean,

8 that other data.

- 1 couldn't you just do one and three? I have the same concern
 2 that Deborah raised on the commercial fisheries. If we start
 3 counting numbers, there's so many variables in there that
 4 you're just kind of throwing money after a bunch of numbers
 5 that aren't going to necessarily be meaningful. I don't see
 6 why you couldn't do option one and then the additional part
 7 three of the phone interviews without having to collect all
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I had that same 10 reaction, uh-huh.
- MR. RUE: I was wondering, in line with that, I think. I wonder if there aren't statistics already that would 3 show kind of trends around the state and see whether, say, 14 Prince William Sound is keeping up with the overall trend and 15 them maybe expand three as potential visitors. Does the fact 16 that there was an oil spill in '89 affect where you go and is 17 it a negative for Prince William Sound? Are you avoiding the 18 Sound because of that, I guess, is the -- I wonder if anyone, 19 you know, asking -- if that would be a useful question to see 20 if the public out there in the larger world, as opposed to 21 people who know the Sound are still harboring a perception that 22 they shouldn't go to Prince William Sound.
- I still, I mean AVA does all sorts of surveys, these 24 would be potential travelers. I'm not sure I'm in favor of 25 that, but I think it might be an interesting thing to see if

- there's a lingering perception that's keeping people from
 coming to that part of Alaska.
 MS. SCHUBERT: Madam Chairman.
 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Sandra.
 MS. SCHUBERT: I did talk to a few people about
 each of those, you know, as I was developing the options, and
- 7 so I did talk to the AVA and they have not asked the question 8 about whether or not the oil spill's affected your travel plans
- 9 for several years. I mean it was really the couple of years
- 10 after the spill. Because one of Molly's general thoughts was
- 11 -- I mean, kind of her opinion was to use existing data
- 12 wherever we could and in the area of recreation there is quite
- 13 a bit available. Usage statistics are available and I believe
- 14 that the Forest Service has done some user surveys in Whittier,
- 15 people who are coming out of the Sound, asking some questions.
- 16 Not necessarily related to the oil spill, but asking what their
- 17 experience was like and so on. So there is some data out there
- 18 and, you know, with more digging we might find more.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yeah, you want to tell 20 us a little about that data?
- 21 MR. WOLFE: Well, we did a use survey just to
- 22 see what was happening out there as far as use. And I haven't
- 23 seen all the results from that, but it was really hard to pick
- 24 up that the spill was affecting anything because the use is
- 25 going up dramatically, so it's hard to say. Our main concern

1 are the impacts on the resources from that use, rather than do 2 we perceive there's still recreation opportunities out there, 3 we're know they're out there. So I would say, maybe we don't 4 need to discuss option two or three any further. I think -- I 5 would like to see a more, and talk to a lot more people before 6 I'd talk about doing option two or option three here, because I 7 think option one is adequate at this point in time. MR. TILLERY: May I? 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Tillery. MR. TILLERY: The only thing I would -- I agree 10 11 with that, I don't -- and I think these things can get hugely 12 expensive, I know because we bought some of these once. But 13 the alternative that you mentioned of the Trustee Council staff 14 conducting telephone interview of key informants [sic]. I 15 think this sort of perceptional stuff is the only thing that's 16 going to have any meaning and that one might fit in with option 17 one. It would be relatively inexpensive, it could be done --18 it would be done with existing staff and that might tell us if 19 there's any reason go further. MR. WOLFE: You'd turn that over in the passive 20 21 use of..... 22 MR. TILLERY: No, in option three, I thought 23 that as an alternative, as opposed to hiring a consultant or 24 something, having the Council staff simply identify and speak

25 to people that are identified as people of information.

00127 MR. WOLFE: As long as it's done by some 1 2 protocol that we all agree that it would be..... MR. TILLERY: Well, it's..... 4 MR. WOLFE: Yeah. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. Okay. Any 5 6 other comments at this time on recreation and tourism? MR. RUE: I suggest -- did Craig say that the 8 staff -- what he was thinking was the staff would call 9 operators or people in the Sound and ask them the question 10 about whether the spill was still affecting either their 11 business or their profession or their use? MR. TILLERY: That's correct. I mean Sandra 12 13 can explain what she meant by that, but that's what I 14 understood. 15 MS. SCHUBERT: The other types of people we 16 were thinking of talking to were some of the guides that work 17 in the Sound, and there are some recreation and eco-tourism 18 organizations that I think have looked at some of these 19 questions of use quite a bit. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Passive use. 20 21 MS. SCHUBERT: So passive use, what was looked 22 at after the spill was, as you know, a contingent valuation

23 study that was looking at the value of the loss. And the

24 recovery objective here reflects people's perceptions, whether 25 people believe that the spill area is still diminished in some

00128 1 way. So this recovery objective does not look back to any 2 injured resources, where all of the other say, in one form or 3 another, when the injured resources are recovered, then the 4 service will be recovered, this one does not. So option one talks about modifying the recovery 5 6 objective to reflect the injured resources and services, so 7 that passive use would be declared recovered when all of the 8 resources and services that were injured by the spill are 9 recovered. 10 And option two calls for a survey of people's 11 perceptions, which would mean calling a random sample of people 12 and asking them whether they still perceive Prince William 13 Sound, and the rest of the spill area, to be injured. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Any comments on 15 passive use? 16 MR. TILLERY: Madam Chair. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Tillery. 17 MR. TILLERY: Having been involved in the 18 19 original \$3,000,000 passive use study, I am not anxious to 20 replicate that. I really don't think option two is very 21 viable, it's usually expensive to do it right and I don't think 22 we'd want to do it if we weren't going to do it right, so I

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I don't fully

23 would, I guess, feel very strongly that we should limit

24 ourselves to option one.

- 1 understand option one because even though all of the recovery
- 2 objectives can be met and all of the injured resources,
- 3 potentially, can be in the recovered stage, I'm not sure we're
- 4 ever going to be in the position of all of the injured
- 5 resources being recovered, in fact, my guess is we will never
- 6 be able to say all the injured resources are recovered. Even
- 7 if all of the injured resources were determined to be
- 8 recovered, there could still be perception that the spill area
- 9 was diminished by the spill, so I guess I don't conceptually 10 agree with option one.
- MR. RUE: I have the same problem that you do.
- 12 I'm not sure that leads me to option two.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: No.
- 14 MR. RUE: But certainly I don't want to just
- 15 reject the notion that there isn't passive value out there,
- 16 passive use value.
- MR. TILLERY: I think you're correct, it 17
- 18 doesn't tell you that passive use has been restored, because
- 19 people's perceptions you can only find that out by asking them.
- CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Right. 20
- 21 MR. TILLERY: And, again, I think that's too
- 22 major of an undertaking for us to do.
- CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Right. 23
- 24 MR. TILLERY: I do think, though, that it does
- 25 collect in one thing -- if we would go back, I think, and look

- 1 at our original passive use survey and look at the information 2 we provided the recipients of that and, as I understand it, it 3 would essentially say, okay, if you were doing it again, 4 really, how would you have changed those things so that things 5 would be different, you wouldn't -- perhaps you would be saying 6 the same things or you'd be inviting additional information as 7 to how stuff has changed. I mean we talked about bald eagles 8 and today we would say, bald eagles are back and so forth, but 9 I don't think you could draw any conclusions from it, I think 10 you would just be so reporting, that this was what this 11 original damage was based on and now the information would be 12 different that we provide people, but we can't reach conclusion 13 as to what difference that's going to be. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, any other 15 thoughts on passive use? 16 (No audible responses)
- 16 (No audible responses)
 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right, let's go
 18 back then. What Sandra said she needed from us was general
 19 guidance on each of these issues and then specifically on
 20 subsistence, a motion if we wish to proceed with option two.
 21 So let's start with subsistence. Sandra reminded us or told us
 22 that Molly recommended option two in subsistence and we had, I
 23 think, a very good discussion about subsistence. Does anyone
 24 wish to make a motion with respect to the subsistence issue?
 25 MR. RUE: I guess, Madam Chair, I will make a

00131 1 run at a motion that we approve, I think, it's \$8,100..... CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Eighty-seven hundred? 3 MR. RUE:700, that's true, you have to 4 include the administration, for the Subsistence Division to 5 sponsor a workshop to develop a subsistence recovery survey of 6 the communities in the spill-affected areas. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Is there a 8 second to that motion? 9 MS. BROWN: I second it. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, it's been moved 10 11 by Commissioner Rue and seconded by Commissioner Brown that the 12 Trustee Council allocate from fiscal year '98 \$8,700 for a 13 workshop to put together a plan for executing option two. MR. RUE: A simpler way of putting it. 14 15 MR. WOLFE: Question. MR. RUE: That works. 16 17 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Wolfe. MR. WOLFE: Now, how we going to deal with 18 19 option one? CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: That would be 20 21 included. 22 MR. WOLFE: That would be included? 23 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yes.

MR. RUE: I'm sorry, option one would be

MR. WOLFE: Okay.

24

```
00132
1 included?
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Because option two
3 says, in addition to option one.
           MR. RUE: Okay.
           MR. WOLFE: All right.
5
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Are there any
7 other questions or comments about the motion?
       (No audible responses)
8
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Hearing none, all in
9
10 favor of the motion indicate by saying aye.
            IN UNISON: Aye.
11
12
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed?
13
       (No opposing responses)
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: All right. Commercial
14
15 fishing. I'm not sure we need a motion here but more a sense
16 of the Council. The sense of the Council I heard was a lack of
17 enthusiasm for pursuing option two. Is there any Council --
18 oh, except, Frank -- at this time, but Frank did say that he
19 wanted some specific inquires from some specific people to see
20 if there is an option two that would be more beneficial.
21
            MR. WOLFE: Appropriate.
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Or more appropriate.
22
23 Okay, proceed under that assumption. All right. On
24 recreation/tourism I heard a lack of enthusiasm for option two
25 and, in fact, there was some discussion about doing an option
```

25

1 three, a scaled-down option three which would involve staff 2 making selected calls to various, you know, high-end users of 3 the area to get their perceptions of the impact of the spill on 4 that area, but it sounded like the staff project would not 5 require additional money in fiscal year '99. Is that the sense of the group? 6 7 (No audible responses) CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. And with 8 9 respect to passive use, I think there was a lack of enthusiasm 10 for option two and not even a lot of enthusiasm for option one, 11 but option one, if we were to do it, it sounds like a small 12 staff project anyway. Okay, so at this point with respect to our Work Plan it 13 14 sounds like we would not have a Work Plan entry with respect to 15 recreation/tourism or passive use and we'll be seeking 16 additional information to see if there is any utility to having 17 an option two Work Plan entry for commercial fishing. Does that sound about right? 18 19 MR. RUE: Yeah. MS. BROWN: Okay. 20 21 MS. SCHUBERT: Thank you. As I mentioned, I 22 will be putting together a detailed project description and 23 budget that you'll have another opportunity to look at. 24 MR. WOLFE: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay, that leads us

- 1 then to our last item on the agenda, restoration reserve,
- 2 discussion of public comments. It is late in the day.
- 3 Mr. Tillery.
- 4 MR. TILLERY: Actually I know I'm going to have
- 5 to report for surgery early in the morning, but I don't know
- 6 when, so I'm going to ask Mr. Swiderski to sit in for me during
- 7 this discussion and I'll just read the minutes later.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Very good. And
- 9 I also have to leave at approximately 3:45. I'm not sure this
- 10 needs to take very long, right, it'll be a very short summary
- 11 at this point? Thank you, Mr. Tillery.
- 12 MR. MYERS: Madam Chair, as short as the
- 13 Council wants to be. Actually we've got two things in
- 14 particular that we'd like to focus on. One is Veronica
- 15 Christman has prepared an analysis of the public comment that
- 16 you have under your tab, and I think it would be appropriate
- 17 for her to give the benefit of that summary analysis.
- Molly was hoping, as much as anything else, to try and
- 19 get the Council to focus on this topic in the interest of
- 20 trying to see whether there is a timeline or a schedule that
- 21 the Council would like to set for itself regarding the process
- 22 for making some sort of decision. So there wasn't anything --
- 23 any action, per se, that was essential at this juncture but
- 24 Veronica, if you would.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. And I would

- 1 note for the public that the Trustee Council has been given a 2 copy of all the comments.
- 3 MS. CHRISTMAN: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: And in those instances
- 5 when comments were identical because of a postcard format or
- 6 letter form format, we were told how many of those identical
- 7 postcards or letters had been received, so I believe we have a
- 8 comprehensive collection of all of the comments in our folder.
- 9 MS. CHRISTMAN: Yes, that we received as of the
- 10 date. We have received about a dozen comments since.11 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. You'll send
- 12 those to us?
- 13 MS. CHRISTMAN: Yes, yes, they will be
- 14 packaged. And we did receive nearly 1,100 comments in response
- 15 to the newsletter article that went out in the spring, in
- 16 March. And approximately half of those comments were from
- 17 within the state, approximately half were from outside the
- 18 state. And about two-thirds of the responses appeared to have
- 19 resulted from an outreach effort. That actually was discussed
- 20 earlier today by the Sierra Club, the Alaska Center for the
- 21 Environment and Alaska Rain Forest Campaign. And these
- 22 responses, many of which were the multiple responses that were
- 23 in your packet, all shared one common theme, which was to urge
- 24 the use of at least 75 percent of the restoration reserve for
- 25 habitat protection.

All of the comments we received did address the issue
of use. The newsletter also asked about governance, how should
decisions be made with regard to the restoration reserve, also
public advice that mainly should the Public Advisory Group
continue and also term, whether we'd be looking at a fixed term
or a endowment. Although all the comments addressed use, very
few -- relatively few of the comments addressed other issues.
In terms of use, the strong preference was for a primary use of
the reserve to be for habitat protection. It varied from 60
percent to 80 percent. There were variations.

12 you is I did take a look at any variations we would have in the 13 responses based on the location, the origin of the response. 14 And what I found was that with regard to use, only a fifth of 15 the responses from the spill area advocated use of the reserve 16 primarily for habitat protection and nearly half of the 17 responses from the spill area proposed other combinations of 18 use, although there was no real pattern to the other 19 combinations of uses. Some entailed research and monitoring, 20 some entailed community-based projects, but there was no real 21 theme to those.

In terms of governance, there were only 174 responses 23 to this issue at all, so this was not an issue that captured 24 much response, however, the strong preference among those who 25 did address this issue was to continue the Trustee Council in

- 1 its present form. And once again the residents -- the
- 2 responses from the spill area, although they were quite small
- 3 in number, I think they are significant in that the pattern of
- 4 response differed significantly from the overall trend and the
- 5 responses were about evenly split between retaining the Trustee
- 6 Council and changing the governance, either establishing a new
- 7 board or using a foundation.
- 8 In terms of public advice, the overall trend among the
- 9 140 responses that we received was to retain the existing PAG
- 10 structure, although the responses from the spill area were
- 11 about evenly split among three opinions, retaining the PAG,
- 12 disbanding it or establishing a different group.
- And in finally in terms of term, this issue did attract
- 14 more responses than we received for any of the minority issues
- 15 or secondary issues. About 400 responses were submitted and
- 16 most of those responses were -- did advocate maximum
- 17 flexibility, that is to retain the flexibility to be able to
- 18 tap the principal, if needed, if there were an opportunity
- 19 available. And again, within the spill area about
- 20 three-quarters did favor a permanent endowment.
- 21 So that was the summary.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Very good. Are there
- 23 questions for Veronica regarding the summary?
- 24 (No audible responses)
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Veronica,

- 1 very good summary and thank you for providing us those2 additional comments.
- 3 I'd now like to see if the Trustee Council would like
- 4 to, at this time, address timing. Do any Trustee Council
- 5 members have a -- first of all, do you wish to address timing
- 6 at this time or is the hour late enough that we would discuss
- 7 this at our next meeting?
- 8 MR. WOLFE: Timing of?
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Timing of making a
- 10 decision on.....
- 11 MR. WOLFE: On the restoration.....
- 12 MS. WILLIAMS:the restoration reserve. I
- 13 think one of our -- let's see if we can talk about an ultimate
- 14 timing goal and work back from there. Did the Trustee Council
- 15 members believe that it is important for the 10th year event to
- 16 announce to the public what our plans are or recommendations
- 17 are with respect to the restoration reserve? That's the only
- 18 objective event out there that I can think of that we could
- 19 either decide to tie this to or not tie this to. If we decide
- 20 that we should announce at or before the 10th year event our
- 21 recommendation on the restoration reserve then we can work
- 22 backward from there. If we don't feel it's necessary,
- 23 appropriate or desirable to do that, then, of course, we have
- 24 all the flexibility in the world on timing between now and 25 2002.

25

1 One thought we had with respect to timing, as some 2 people know, is some of the options might require legislation 3 and so we are going to build that into the timeframe. Do we 4 want to discuss this now or do we want to defer this? Jim. MR. WOLFE: Madam Chair, I think having 5 6 something on the table by the 10th year, as a minimum, would be 7 our target, maybe sooner, would be my preference. I was 8 thinking that our original schedule was to have something on 9 board by August of this year; is that right? MR. MYERS: Well, there's been discussion in 10 11 the past of trying to perhaps this late summer or fall -- and 12 all along what we have been trying to do through the schedule 13 of the public meetings and the collection of public comment is 14 to be able to provide the information to the Trustee Council 15 through the public comment process that would inform the 16 Council in its deliberations. And there has been, as I say, 17 informal discussion about the late summer, fall, but nothing 18 definitive and that's what we wanted to bring before you today. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Do we want to target 19 20 -- I assume we should spend an entire meeting just on this. MR. RUE: I think it might be worth that, Madam 21 22 Chair. MR. WOLFE: Work session. 23 24 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I think so.

MR. RUE: Let me ask a process question. It

seems to me that going out and ask the public question, if our
next step might be to go out with a proposal, having heard the
public, sort of summarize what we heard, the way Veronica did a
very nice job of, and say, here's what we heard, here's what
we're thinking or here's a proposal, public, and then get a
reaction to a proposal. Maybe I'm.....
MR. MYERS: The only other -- I was simply

8 going to note that the PAG is still in mid-stride, as it were,
9 with its deliberations and it did wrestle mightily with this
10 question for a good day and a half solid, so it's one that's
11 challenging, but they do not plan to have their deliberations
12 concluded until at least the next meeting, which would be, as I
13 indicated, in late July. So that additional piece of
14 information is as yet forthcoming in terms of your own
15 thinking.

MR. RUE: I was suggesting that we not do that 17 today. Suggesting that we figure out that our next meeting 18 ought to be to discuss process, timing and then perhaps even 19 start laying out a preference which could fleshed out and we'd 20 probably have to have subsequent meetings on it. But I'm just 21 thinking before next March we're probably going to go out to 22 the public with a proposal before we make a final decision. 23 Which means we probably ought to go out with a proposal next 24 fall, early winter, having heard from the PAG and thought about 25 this; is that right?

```
00141
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Do we want to target a
1
2 September, mid-September meeting for this?
           MR. RUE: Just on this issue after the PAG has
4 had a chance to.....
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Early September?
5
           MR. RUE: The PAG is going to give us their
7 stuff, when, late July?
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Maybe.
8
9
           MR. RUE: Maybe?
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh (affirmative).
10
11
           MR. WOLFE: Maybe August.
12
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: August is tough.
           MR. MYERS: August is the Work Plan meeting.
13
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: August is the Work
15 Plan meeting, we're really going to be focused on the Work
16 Plan.
            MR. RUE: Yeah.
17
18
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I think we ought to
19 let the dust settle on the Work Plan and then really.....
            MR. RUE: Maybe September?
20
21
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: .....do this in
22 September.
23
            MR. WOLFE: Yeah.
24
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: People feel
25 comfortable with September?
```

00142 1 MR. WOLFE: Yeah. 2 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: And then I think it's 3 just Rebecca's job to find a date in September, we're won't 4 even restrict you to early, mid or late and let you..... MR. MYERS: You say that now. 5 6 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: We'll just let you 7 meet the challenge of finding a date. And I really think this 8 is a topic where we all should be in the same location. Do we 9 agree? MR. WOLFE: Yes. 10 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Be it Anchorage or 11 12 Juneau. 13 MR. RUE: How about Juneau. 14 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I think we need to 15 look at each other. Are we having the Work Plan meeting here, 16 I assume? 17 MS. R. WILLIAMS: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Okay. 18 MS. BROWN: Work Plan meeting is in Anchorage. 19 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Work Plan meeting is 20 21 in Anchorage, we'll let Rebecca work out the logistics of 22 location, too, but I do think our goal should be to have 23 everyone there physically present. 24 MR. RUE: Okay. 25 CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: September sounds good.

```
00143
           MR. RUE: Juneau's beautiful in September,
2 we'll want to stay inside.
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Yeah. Okay,
4 excellent. Is there any other business to bring to the Trustee
5 Council at this time?
      (No audible responses)
7
           CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I will entertain a
8 motion to adjourn.
           MR. TILLERY: Madam Chair, I would move to
10 recess.
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: I knew you were going
12 to do that. I tried though.
13
            MS. BROWN: Second.
14
            MR. WOLFE: If something comes up?
15
            MR. TILLERY: If we have to come back
16 (indiscernible - lowers voice).....
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: We have been informed
18 there are a couple of items that we will probably have to
19 address in the next week or two and so Mr. Tillery has made a
20 motion to recess. All in favor of the motion to recess
21 indicate by saving ave.
            IN UNISON: Aye.
22
23
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Opposed?
24
       (No opposing responses)
25
            CHAIRWOMAN D. WILLIAMS: Thank you again,
```

- public and Trustee Council members, look forward to talking to
 you in the next couple weeks and let it shine.
 (Off record)
 (Council recessed at 3:46 p.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) 4) ss. 5 STATE OF ALASKA) 6 7 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the 8 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify: 9 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain 10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 22 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska 24 My Commission Expires: 04/17/00	00145
3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4) ss. 5 STATE OF ALASKA 7 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the 8 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify: 9 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain 10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	1 CERTIFICATE
4) ss. 5 STATE OF ALASKA 6 7 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the 8 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify: 9 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain 10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 22 Joseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska	2
5 STATE OF ALASKA 7 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the 8 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify: 9 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain 10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 22 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the 8 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify: 9 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain 10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	4) ss.
I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify: THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the the best of my knowledge and ability. THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request of: THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request Anchorage, Alaska 99501; DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: Joseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska	5 STATE OF ALASKA)
8 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify: 9 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain 10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 22 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	6
THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain 10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	7 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the
10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil 11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	8 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify:
11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded 12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	9 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 144 contain
12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at 13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	10 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil
13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the 14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	11 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded
14 best of my knowledge and ability. 15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	12 electronically by me on the 8th day of June 1998, commencing at
THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request 16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	13 the hour of 10:00 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the
16 of: 17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	14 best of my knowledge and ability.
17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, 18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. 20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 22 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	15 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request
Anchorage, Alaska 99501; DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: Joseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska	16 of:
DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998. SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: Joseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska	17 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street,
20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 21 22 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	18 Anchorage, Alaska 99501;
21 Joseph P. Kolasinski 23 Notary Public in and for Alaska	19 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 14th day of June 1998.
Joseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska	20 SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY:
Notary Public in and for Alaska	21
	22 Joseph P. Kolasinski
24 My Commission Expires: 04/17/00	Notary Public in and for Alaska
	24 My Commission Expires: 04/17/00