```
00001
                        EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL
1
                            TRUSTEE COUNCIL
2
3
                        TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEETING
4
                            October 3, 1997
5
                          10:30 o'clock a.m.
         Federal Building, Forest Service Conference Room
                    741 West 9th Street, Room 541A
7
                            Juneau, Alaska
9 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
10 STATE OF ALASKA -
                                          MR. CRAIG TILLERY
11 DEPARTMENT OF LAW:
                                          for the Attorney General
                                         MR. FRANK RUE
12 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT
13 OF FISH AND GAME:
                                         Commissioner
14 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR:
                                         MS. DEBORAH WILLIAMS
                                          Special Assistant to the
15 (Telephonically)
16 Assistant Secretary
17 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE - MR. JIM WOLFE FOR
18 U.S. FOREST SERVICE PHIL JANICK
19 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - NMFS: MR. STEVE PENNOYER
20
                                         Director, Alaska Region
21 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT
                                         MS. Ginny Fay for
22 OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: Commissioner M. Brown
23 Proceedings electronically recorded then transcribed by:
24 Computer Matrix, 3520 Knik Ave., Anchorage, AK - 243-0668
```

00002 TRUSTEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT: Executive Director 2 MS. MOLLY McCAMMON EVOS Trustee Council 4 MR. ERIC MYERS Director of Operations EVOS Trustee Council 5 6 MS. TRACI CRAMER Director of Administration EVOS Trustee Council 7 8 MS. REBECCA WILLIAMS Executive Secretary EVOS Trustee Council 10 MR. HUGH SHORT Community Involvement Coordinator, EVOS Staff 11 12 MR. BILL HINES NOAA/NMFS 13 MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI State of Alaska Department of Law Department of Fish and Game 15 MS. CLAUDIA SLATER 16 (Telephonically) State of Alaska 17 MR. BRUCE WRIGHT NOAA/NMFS 18 MS. CATHERINE BERG U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 19 (Telephonically) 20 MS. CAROL FRIES Department of Natural 21 (Telephonically) Resources 22 MR. BARRY ROTH Attorney-Advisor 23 (Telephonically) Conservation & Wildlife

24 25 Division

Department of the Solicitor

0	0	0	3
	0	00	000

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 3 APPROVAL OF AGENDA: 04 4 APPROVAL OF AUGUST 6TH MEETING NOTES: 05 5 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT: 06 6 PUBLIC TESTIMONY PAGE: 7 Mr. Hugh Short 20 8 Mr. Charles Hughey 23 9 Mr. Joe Leahy 23 10 Mr. Mike Lewis 25 11 Ms. Gail Evanoff 30 12 Ms. Pam Brodie 41 13 Ms. Laura Johnson 42 14 Mr. John Schoen 49 15 Mr. Robert Henrich 57 16 Mayor Jack Cushing 61 17 HOMER SPIT SMALL PARCELS: 65 18 EVOS INVESTMENTS: 112 19 ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESTORATION DISCUSSION: 133 20 TECHNICAL BUDGET AMENDMENT - PROJECT 97180: 159

```
00004
                       PROCEEDINGS
1
2
           (On record - 10:40 a.m.)
                  CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Good. And my understanding
4 is that we have Valdez on, Anchorage on, Fairbanks on and
              This is the October 3rd meeting of the Exxon
5 Chenega on.
6 Valdez Trustee Council which I'd like to call to order. I am
7 Craig Tillery representing the Department of Law. With us we
8 have Ginny Fay representing the Department of Environmental
9 Conservation; Frank Rue, the Commissioner of the Department of
10 Fish and Game; Steve Pennoyer with NMFS; and Jim Wolfe the
11 United States Forest Service; and in Anchorage we have Deborah
12 Williams with Department of the Interior.
          The first matter is the approval of the agenda, is
14 there a motion?
15
                  MR. PENNOYER: So moved.
16
                  MR. RUE: Second.
17
                  CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It's been moved and seconded
18 to approve the agenda; is there any discussion or additions
19 anyone wishes to make?
20
          (No audible responses)
21
                  CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Any objection to the agenda
22 as written?
2.3
           (No audible responses)
                  CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hearing none the.....
24
25
                  MR. RUE: Not an objection, just an
```

```
00005
   observation, just a time.....
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue.
2
                   MR. RUE: I will not be -- I have to be
3
4 somewhere else from 11:30 till 1:00.
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you.
6 shall....
7
                  MR. RUE:
                            If you need to take an action, again,
8 before then.
9
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                      That should work.
10
                   MR. RUE: Okay.
11
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Any
12 other....
13
                  MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, does
14 Commissioner Rue intend to have an alternate in during that
15 time period?
                   MR. RUE: If need be I can call someone, I
16
17 didn't intend to.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, looking at the agenda,
18
19 it doesn't look like it's essential, but he's indicated he's
20 got one that can come if it looks like we're going to need
21 someone.
22
           Okay, is there a -- the next item of business is the
23 approval of the August 6th meeting notes. Is there a motion?
                  MR. RUE: So moved.
```

MR. PENNOYER: Second.

00006 Is there any discussion? 1 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: 2 (No audible responses) 3 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there any objection to 4 approval of the August 6th meeting notes as written? 5 (No audible responses) CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hearing none, those meeting 7 notes are approved. 8 The next item on the agenda is the Executive Director's 9 report. Ms. McCammon. 10 MS. McCAMMON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In 11 your packet you will note the financial report as of August 12 31st, 1997, showing the balances in the liquidity account and 13 also in the restoration reserve. If you have any questions at 14 any time about any of these financial statements, as they come 15 to you, just be sure to give me call. I also wanted to note that if you go to the Anchorage 16 17 office beginning as of last week you will notice that the Oil 18 Spill Public Information Center has moved, has changed 19 locations. It is now consolidated with the new Alaska 20 Resources Library Information System or ARLIS, which is located 21 on C Street in the building that Magnum -- the Magnum business 22 is currently operating. With this move I think the ability for 23 the public to get greater access to resource information about 24 oil spills and about resources in the spill area from Fish and

25 Wildlife Service, BLM, from the State Department of Fish and

7

Game, from others will be greatly improved.

We will still have very close coordination between 3 ARLIS and our office and I'm hoping that the service that the 4 public receives will not only be as professionally done as it 5 has been in the last few years from OSPIC, but they'll actually 6 have increased access and increased information.

But this does mean that the office space in Anchorage 8 has consolidated to the fourth floor and we no longer have any 9 office space on the bottom floor of that building. And in the 10 near future I'll be sending you the access numbers, fax 11 numbers, the staff and who will be available there, what kinds 12 of things will be now available, such as the administrative

13 record in the Restoration office and things of that nature. I also wanted to take this time to call attention to 15 the fact that Dana Schmidt, who has been the key biologist for 16 the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill restoration work on the Kenai River, 17 the sockeye work on the Kenai River and who is regarded by our 18 Chief Scientist and by others -- I think Bob referred to him at 19 one time as bordering on brilliant. And certainly the work 20 that he has done for EVOS in terms of sockeye restoration has 21 been -- he's done an incredible job. Unfortunately he's 22 retired, left the state and has been snagged up by British 23 Columbia and will be working with them, I assume, on their 24 sockeye program. And I do have a resolution, certificate of 25 appreciation for all of the work and effort he's done in terms

of helping to restore the resources injured by the spill. And, Commissioner Rue, I'm sure you'd like to say 3 something there, too. MR. RUE: I guess I find this is a sad moment 5 for three reasons. One, we're losing a good person, Dana, who 6 did some terrific research. Two, it shows what the legislative 7 budget cuts do to the Department, we lost him because the limnology program is going away. And three, he's going to the 9 Canadians of all people, my God, how he can do that. I think 10 we may have to extradite him or something to maybe force him 11 back here. Anyway, no, he did great work for the Department 12 and for the Trustee Council, you know, it's a legacy that we'll 13 continue to use. The research he did on the Kenai really was 14 ground-breaking and great work and obviously the people in the 15 Department are going to miss him, but I think we'll miss him as 16 the Trustee Council. And I know he'll do good work for the 17 Canadians, maybe they'll get their act together. It'll be 18 great. I shouldn't say that. I just can't help myself. MS. McCAMMON: And we are passing around a 19 20 certificate of appreciation and when it's signed here I'll 21 bring it up to Anchorage, Deborah, for you to sign. Even though we're losing staff, we've also gained 22

23 staff, at least in the Restoration office. As many of you know

24 the position of Community Involvement Coordinator has been 25 vacant since -- at least since April. I'm very please to say

```
00009
   that we've been able to fill the position.....
                   MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, if you can hear
3 me you are breaking up badly in Juneau.
4
           (Off record comments - getting phone to work)
5
           (Off record - 10:46 a.m.)
           (On record - 10:55 a.m.)
7
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, Anchorage, can you
8 hear us?
9
                   MS. D. WILLIAMS: Anchorage is on.
10
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Can you hear us, Deborah?
                   MS. D. WILLIAMS: Yes, it's a little muffled. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, we're going to try to
11
12
13 make a slight physical adjustment in the room here.
           Valdez, can you hear us?
14
                    MR. HUGHEY: Yes, we can.
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. And Fairbanks?
17
                   MR. LEWIS: Can hear you just fine.
18
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.
                                              Chenega?
19
                   MS. EVANOFF: I hear you just fine.
20
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, is there any other
21 site that's come on? Is there anyone in Homer on line?
22
                    MS. BRODIE: Yes, this is Pam Brodie at home in
23 Homer on line, thank you.
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. All right, thank you.
24
25 Well, we tried to make another adjustment here on the
```

00010 microphone, we did hear -- we could hear all of you very well while you apparently couldn't hear us. I guess at this point, Deborah, could you give me an indication of sort of the last thing you remember us saying? 5 MR. WOLFE: This is a test. 6 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Deborah, can you hear me? 7 MS. D. WILLIAMS: We were just finishing up 8 talking about Dana. 9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. 10 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Oh, no, no, we were just 11 starting talking about Hugh. She just said, as many of you 12 know, we haven't had a Community Involvement Coordinator for a 13 while. 14 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. And that's about 15 where we essentially left off. So, Molly, if you could 16 continue. 17 MS. McCAMMON: Okay. 18 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And please, Deborah, if you 19 do -- if we start to break up again, please, cut in like you 20 did last time, we appreciate it. MS. McCAMMON: All right, we'll go back. As 22 many of you know we've been without a Community Involvement 23 Coordinator since about April. We're very fortunate now to 24 have on staff through a contract with Chugach Regional

25 Resources Commission, Hugh Short. He started about three weeks

7

ago. He's actually from Bethel, but he has worked in the spill area for Senator Georgianna Lincoln, he now lives in Anchorage and has been doing some traveling already to the communities and I'd like to -- he is actually here in Juneau and so I'd like to introduce him to the Trustees.

Hugh works in the Restoration office and is available in terms of working with communities on various projects and issues and we're really grateful to have him here.

I also wanted to mention that in the packet, under the 10 tab "10th Anniversary" there is an outline in there describing 11 our first cut at a status report book for the 10th anniversary. 12 And as many of you know, we've talked a number of times about 13 what this report or book should look like. We do have a 14 scientific forum scheduled for March of 1999, scheduled to 15 coincide with the 10th anniversary of the spill. At one point 16 we were trying to put together a symposium proceedings of all 17 of the papers that would be presented at that anniversary 18 session. However, in order to do that and get it edited, peer 19 reviewed, printed and available by March of 1999 we would have 20 had to have all the text written by this past summer. And when 21 you start working out the timing of doing that it just didn't 22 work since a number of our projects are actually in kind of the 23 final phases of reaching conclusions and preparing for the 24 analysis of the data that will be presented actually in those 25 papers at the forum.

Then we kind of backed up and thought about what we 1 2 actually wanted this report to say and we actually view the 3 report as being the Council's report to the public on what's 4 happened in the 10 years since the spill occurred. What is the 5 state of the ecosystem; what is the status of the resources 6 that were injured by the spill; what kind of recovery has been 7 achieved; how has the Council spent the money. And also, I think, to answer some of the questions from the public in terms 9 of what's different now, 10 years later, than the situation was 10 in 1989. Have things changed in terms of response and 11 prevention. And so even though these really aren't the purview 12 of the EVOS Trustee Council it's a question that we always get 13 asked and that I think we have some responsibility to have some 14 answers to. So there's a little bit of that addressed. 15 But what we're basically looking at is an expanded 16 annual report without probably the detail that we usually 17 include from the audit, but going into more detail in terms of 18 answering all of those various questions. And I know that 19 we've talked to a number of the Trustees and other folks about 20 the other kinds of information they're interested in getting 21 out to the public, either in the next year or in the next 22 couple of years, and so following the description of the 10th 23 anniversary booklet and the time line, there's a paper in your 24 packet that describes publications and productions. And this 25 is our rough schedule of the kinds of things we're working on

now -- between now and 2002. This includes the restoration update, which is our newsletter that comes out every other month. As you know we've also been working on a video source reel and video news release which is video that would be available to the news media and the public on habitat acquisitions and the various research projects that the Council's been funding. Those two products will be done this month.

We've also been working on what we call the Restoration Notebook series, which is something for the general public, 11 compiling all the information from the research on various 12 individual species. We're working on a small exhibit to go to 13 the various communities, explaining the status of the resources 14 and how the Council's been spending the money. And this would 15 be done in conjunction with the planning for the restoration 16 reserve.

The Trustee Council informational video will be done in 18 January and then there will be a half an hour long documentary 19 that will be done next fall. In addition we're putting 20 together a large exhibit for the opening of the SeaLife Center, 21 this would occur in May and probably stay there for six months 22 and probably come to Anchorage to be located somewhere during 23 the 10th anniversary symposium.

We're also reviewing the update on injured resources and services, that was updated last year. That will be updated

10

again next year in more detail than we have in the past and 2 basically do a synopsis of recovery and a synopsis of restoration actions.

We have the 10th anniversary booklet. By October 2002 5 or thereabouts we'll be putting together a final report on the 6 EVOS Trustee Council activities and then during the entire 7 process, as you know, we've been emphasizing additional peer reviewed papers, including synthesis papers that are being put 9 together now by Phil Mundy, Pete Peterson and others.

So these are some of the other kinds of publications 11 that we've been putting together to provide information to 12 various audiences and different formats as part of our effort 13 of responding to the public and letting the public and the 14 general scientific community know the results of the Research 15 and Restoration Program.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Molly, is there any action 16 17 required of the Council on this at this time?

MS. McCAMMON: The only action that I would ask 18 19 the Council is that at this point we're starting -- following 20 the time line for this report and if any of the Council members 21 have serious concerns or questions it would be helpful to get 22 that soon because without that we intend to go forward as this 23 -- this is kind of our plan of attack, basically.

24 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.

25 MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.

00015 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer. 1 2 MR. PENNOYER: So when does the estimated budget become an actual approval item then or are parts of it already approved or how is that going to be..... 5 MS. McCAMMON: The estimated budget would be for next year. 7 MR. PENNOYER: Okay, fine, thank you. 8 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams. 10 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Two small items but I'll use 11 my EVOS Council member prerogative to bring these up. With 12 respect to the second question, since I think this is going to 13 from this meeting out to more folks. This Trustee Council 14 member has great concerns about the use of the word "critters", 15 I really, really dislike that word for fish and wildlife and so 16 I would ask that be either changed to fish and wildlife or 17 affected species. The question is whether we're going to talk 18 anything about plant species. And if we're talking about plant 19 species then there needs to -- you know, a general term as 20 possible, but please substitute something appropriate for the 21 word "critters". And then with respect to the second -- or the third 22 23 question, the one right after the one that I hope we're 24 changing from the word "critters". I certainly think it is an 25 appropriate and necessary question to ask, has the ecosystem

16

17

recovered overall? It's a very, very hard question, I think, 2 is the ecosystem healthy overall? And I'm not sure that's what 3 we should be asking in this document anyway. There could be 4 other reasons that the ecosystem is not healthy, introduction 5 of non-indigenous species, contaminants, other factors, so I 6 think that first question is much broader than what we're So I would recommend that we only -- you know, has it 7 doing. recovered from, you know, from the oil spill overall? 8 9 So those are my two comments on the questions that are 10 presented.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Are there additional 11 12 comments? Commissioner Rue.

13 MR. RUE: I think I agree with Ms. Williams on 14 that, I don't know if I want to be called the "Department of 15 Critters".

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Yeah, Mr. Chairman. Deborah, I 18 generally agree with you, but as we get into the question of 19 recovery some of the other aspects of health of the ecosystem 20 are going to complicate the question. For example, why, 21 whether there's something -- a species has recovered that was 22 declining before the spill, that this accelerated the rate? So 23 with the provision that I understand that there's a 24 relationship there that's probably is going to have to be 25 explained to make a statement about whether resources have

recovered, then I generally agree with you. MS. D. WILLIAMS: And I concur with that, 3 Steve. Certainly when we talk about has the ecosystem recovered, we do have to talk about the issues. 5 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon. MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, if I could respond to that, too, because this issue was raised to me earlier and I 7 had some discussion with the Chief Scientist and with the 9 Science Coordinator on this. My guess is that the two chapters 10 on how are the fish and wildlife resources doing and is the 11 ecosystem healthy overall, that there's a lot of overlap in 12 there. And how the actual chapter will be written, my guess is 13 the two will probably be merged. That they probably won't be 14 so easily teased out separately. 15 But it is part of the mission of the Council, and if 16 you go back to the mission statement that the Council adopted 17 in December of 1993, the mission is to have the ecosystem 18 recovered to a healthy productive ecosystem. And so I think 19 it's important in terms of reporting to the public, in terms of 20 what is the status. And I know for sure that the answer will 21 be a complex answer because we know the ecosystem has changed, 22 how much of that is due to the oil spill, how much of that is 23 due to other environmental factors and other things going on is 24 hard to specifically know what has how much influence.

And what I would suggest is that what we do is just put

00018 1 these two items together in terms of how are the fish and 2 wildlife resources and the overall ecosystem doing and has it 3 recovered? And respond to it that way. And, certainly, as we 4 go through and draft everything, all of the agencies will have 5 -- will see everything in draft and will actually be writing 6 some of these things, so we'll have plenty of time to look at 7 it. 8 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there other comments? 9 Mr. Wolfe. 10 MR. WOLFE: Mr. Chair. Well, I'm not sure I 11 would support use of "critters", it is broader than fish and 12 wildlife, but -- so I'm not sure what the name or the 13 terminology is. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, there may be some 15 terminology, like natural resources that..... MR. WOLFE: Natural resources is what I had in 16 17 mind, yeah, or resources. Okay, that was my point. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there any other 18 19 comments? 20 (No audible responses) 21 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. I think what we need 22 to do, Molly, unless this is going to mess up the timing 23 somehow, is to skip by the Public Advisory Group for the moment

MS. McCAMMON: Go to public comment?

24 and....

```
00019
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: .....go to public comment,
  unless you got somebody waiting on line for that report.
                  MS. McCAMMON: No, we'll go right to public
3
4 comment.
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                     All right. At this time --
6
  I'm sorry.
7
                  MS. McCAMMON: Oh, the only thing is that Hugh
8 Short did want, in the public comment section, and he has to
9 catch a plane, so -- but he has been asked by the Chugach
10 Regional Resources Commission Board to do a presentation to the
11 Council during the public comment period, so we can start with
12 him.
13
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, then we can start with
14 him. Okay. At this time, then, since we are even a little bit
15 passed 11:00 due to our technical difficulties, let's move into
16 public comment. As Molly just indicated, Hugh Short here does
17 have an airplane to catch and so I would like to ask him to go
18 first.
19
          But first let me just make sure everybody is still
20 hearing me. Can you still hear me in Southeast?
21
                   SOUTHEAST: Yes, we can.
22
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Anchorage?
23
                  MS. D. WILLIAMS: Yes.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Fairbanks?
24
25
                  MR. LEWIS: Fairbanks is here.
```

```
00020
1
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Chenega?
2
                   MS. EVANOFF: Chenega is here.
3
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And Homer?
4
                   MS. BRODIE: Yes, sir.
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, is there any other
  site that has joined us?
7
           (No audible responses)
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, Hugh, then why don't
8
9 you go ahead.
                  I'm not sure where you speak into. Why don't
10 you sit right there, maybe, that'll be the closest mic.
                   UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Not sure they heard it.
11
12
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We heard it from each site.
13 Okay, Hugh.
                   MR. SHORT: Okay, some materials are being
15 handed out, there's a resolution passed by the Chugach Regional
16 Resource Commission, along with some supporting letters.
           Good afternoon, Trustee Council members, my name is
18 Hugh Short, I'm the Spill Area-wide Community Involvement
19 Coordinator with the Chugach Regional Resources Commission.
20 I'm here today as requested by the Chuqach Regional Resources
21 Commission Board of Directors, to present Resolution 97-05 to
22 the Trustee Council. Resolution 97-05 is an endorsement of
23 local repositories in the communities that make up CRRC and to
24 request you, the Trustee Council, to give our representatives
25 in the affected communities a chance to testify at a meeting in
```

15

Anchorage, in person, to the Council before any final decision is made on the fate of this matter.

The issue of repositories has been around for a while 4 now and has been quite contentious at times. But as we get 5 closer to 1998 and the 10th anniversary of the oil spill, many 6 in the communities most directly impacted by the spill feel it 7 is necessary to resolve the matter and begin this stage of the 8 healing process. The Alutiiq people of the Prince William 9 Sound and Lower Cook Inlet have a proud and expansive heritage 10 in the region and feel an impenetrable connection to their 11 ancestors. The remains of their ancestors that range from 12 spear tips to combs carries the spirit of those from so long 13 ago and rightfully belong in the region that they have 14 originated from.

Consequently, those charged with protecting and 16 preserving the Alutiiq culture feel it necessary that the 17 children of the next generation know and understand where they 18 descended from and that the trials, tribulations and triumphs 19 of those generations fast become evident in the communities 20 that now stand. It is because of this that the people of the 21 region feel that it is vital to have local repositories within 22 their community. Culture is the force that binds the people 23 and education is the force that advances the people. 24 Repositories in the communities serves both of these ends.

I have heard of plans to incorporate curriculum in

24

schools in these communities to the artifacts that would be located in the repository. Imagine an educational experience 3 of a child in one of these communities could have, first 4 reading about the history and traditional methods of his past 5 ancestors and then going to the repository and actually holding 6 the tool that his great, great, great, great grandfather used 7 to skin a seal. This is a cultural connection that is missing 8 too often with the youth of today and could bring together the 9 community culture there for generations to come. 10 All of you are in a position to bring a very positive 11 institution to the communities that have all too often suffered 12 unnecessarily. I, as a representative of these seven 13 communities within the region, ask you to seriously consider 14 this matter and understand the positive or negative 15 ramifications that come from your decision. 16 Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. 17 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much, Hugh. 18 MR. SHORT: Uh-huh. 19 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there any questions that 20 any Council members have for Mr. Short? 21 (No audible responses) 22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 2.3 Thank you. MR. SHORT:

25 and if we could start with Valdez. Is there anyone in Valdez

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Let's go around the sites

00023 who wishes to testify? MR. HUGHEY: Yes, we'd like to endorse the 3 Resolution 97-05 that CRRC has put together. 4 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. If you could -- if 5 each person who would like to comment could please first state your name and spell your last name, that's very helpful for our 7 -- the people who are compiling the record here. MR. HUGHEY: Yes, I'm sorry. My first name is 9 Charles, last name Hughey, H-u-q-h-e-y. I'm the EVOS 10 facilitator in Valdez. 11 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is there anyone else 12 in Valdez who wishes to comment? 13 MR. LEAHY: Yes, I'm Joe Leahy, L-e-a-h-y, 14 Director of the Valdez Museum. We believe that local 15 repositories are the only way to bring the resources back to 16 the people that they belong to, to be shared by future 17 generations at home. And thank you. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much. Are 19 there other people in Valdez who wish to comment? 20 MR. HUGHEY: No, there's not. 21 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 22 go to Fairbanks. Is there anyone in Fairbanks who wishes to 23 comment at this time?

25 may be questions for some of these witnesses.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Actually, Mr. Chairman, there

00024 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Oh, okay. Ms. Williams, did you have a question? MS. D. WILLIAMS: For Mr. Leahy. Mr. Leahy, 4 are you back at the microphone? 5 MR. LEAHY: I am, yes. MS. D. WILLIAMS: Good. Obviously as a 7 director of museum you fully understand the ongoing financial 8 obligations associated with that. What thoughts do you have 9 about how those could be addressed, and I'm sure you have many 10 challenges in a community as large as Valdez, what thoughts to 11 you have on how these ongoing O&M and other obligations could 12 be satisfied in the important but very small population-wise 13 communities in the Sound area? MR. LEAHY: I can't speak to the specific small 15 population areas, I think that that obviously speaks to having 16 those resources in those communities or having access to them 17 in some collaboration with larger repositories that may be 18 adjacent. A close collaboration, of course, would be possible 19 in areas where there is an existing heritage facility, Cordova 20 and Valdez, and so on. MS. D. WILLIAMS: Could you elaborate on that a 22 little bit more about the relationship between a larger 23 repository and the community repositories in your mind?

25 possible that would share space, that would jointly create new

MR. LEAHY: There may be some collaborations

24

25 or comments? Mr. Pennoyer.

space, that would share technical resources that are perhaps 2 existing on the staff and to share and to teach in that 3 existing institution and to share out that technology and that 4 knowledge through educational programs. So I think there's a 5 lot of collaboration that is possible and could be defined. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams, you have 7 additional questions? 8 MS. D. WILLIAMS: No, that's all for now. 9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there anyone here in 10 Juneau that has any comments? MR. PENNOYER: No, that's fine, thank you, 11 12 Craiq. 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, is there anyone in 14 Fairbanks who would like to testify at this time? MR. LEWIS: This is Mike Lewis, L-e-w-i-s, I'm 15 16 the Archaeology Collections Manager at the University of Alaska 17 Museum here in Fairbanks. Our concern at this point is 18 primarily with the term repository. Repository has a very 19 specific meaning, a technical meaning, and specifically in 20 regards to archaeological collections from state and federal The University of Alaska Museum is a recognized federal 22 repository and we want to make sure that this definition of 23 repository is part of the discussion. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Are there questions

24

MR. PENNOYER: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lewis. Could you expand on that? What do you exactly mean by the 3 definition? Is this the criteria for preservation of artifacts 4 being satisfied and that sort of thing? Would you elaborate on 5 that? MR. LEWIS: Yes, a state repository is defined 7 by 36 CFR 79, these are the regulations that govern the care of 8 federal collections and it outlines, very specifically, the 9 environmental conditions and security conditions required for a 10 repository that is to contain federal collections. MR. PENNOYER: Thank you. I suppose that's 11 12 something we'll have to look into. I don't know how to take 13 that relative to the actual requirements and costs and that 14 sort of thing and maintenance. But I suppose that's what 15 you're alluding to, is we need to investigate that before we 16 come up with a plan and cost it out. MR. LEWIS: Your signal broke up there just a 17 18 little bit, but it would be good to have a copy of 36 CFR 79 19 and also to consult with the federal people responsible for 20 collection management to determine just what those requirements 21 are. 22 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman. 2.3

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Lewis, in your

25 professional opinion, do you think it would be either necessary

00027 1 or appropriate, a two-part question, for the local repositories 2 to meet the 36 CFR 79 requirements? MR. LEWIS: It would possible, but it would be 4 extremely expensive and the cost is not only in initial 5 construction of the facilities but in ongoing maintenance. 6 requires a full time special staff as well as specific 7 environmental conditions that have to be met. 8 MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue. 10 MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I always thought that 11 we were using the word as Webster's Dictionary would define it, 12 rather than how regulations would define it, but that's not 13 true? 14 MS. McCAMMON: Not true. 15 MR. RUE: Interesting. 16 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, Ms. McCammon, could 17 you, perhaps, just elaborate a little bit on how we are doing 18 this? 19 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, because these are 20 collections that have been found on state and federal public 21 lands they do have to meet these federal requirements. And if 22 you go back to the original planning document, which is the 23 green book that we had commissioned through Chuqachmiut, it

24 does have a copy of the regulations in that book. And there's 25 extensive discussion in that planning document about those

requirements and about what extra expense might be required as 2 a result. And that has been one of the issues all along is 3 that they do require a higher level of environmental 4 conditions, security, they do require full time professional 5 staff, trained staff who meets certain requirements. There is 6 an extra level -- and that has been one of the issues and 7 concerns all along. And when we talk about repository, we do 8 talk about the federal definition of repository, yes. And I don't know -- Veronica Christman, I think, who 10 has been our chief staff person working on this, we have spent 11 a lot of time working with the various museums trying to 12 encourage collaboration and to this day, as far as I can tell, 13 there has not been much willingness to collaborate with local 14 museums in a number of the communities. So if that is 15 something that's changing, I think that would be a real 16 positive change, but..... MR. LEWIS: May I respond to that statement This is Mike Lewis in Fairbanks. 18 just a little bit, please? 19 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yes, Mr. Lewis. 20 MR. LEWIS: We have continually from the very 21 start and for many years have had close collaborative 22 relationships with many small museums around the state. 23 provide training programs, workshops to help the smaller 24 museums in the communities become established and become more 25 professional, to take care of the goods and collections, and we

00029 continue that policy today. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 3 other questions for Mr. Lewis or comments from Council members 4 here in Juneau? 5 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I do have one 6 more question. 7 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams. MS. D. WILLIAMS: Are there different standards 8 9 for visiting or traveling exhibits, as opposed to repositories, 10 so that if there were a central repository with traveling 11 exhibits, that the traveling exhibits would be under a 12 different standard? 13 MR. LEWIS: This is Mike Lewis in Fairbanks. 14 Yes, that is true, the requirements for a loan exhibit or a 15 traveling exhibit would be much less than for a full time 16 repository. In the case of a traveling exhibit all that would 17 be required is sufficient security to maintain the integrity of 18 the collection, as well as insurance that it's not going to get 19 rained on or otherwise damaged by environmental conditions, but 20 you don't have to have the very strict environmental 21 requirements and security requirements that are needed for a 22 repository. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 23 24 Ms. Williams, does that answer your concern?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you.

00030 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Are there other 2 questions or comments? (No audible responses) CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, is there anyone else 5 in Fairbanks who wishes to make a statement? MR. LEWIS: No, thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, is there anyone in 8 Chenega who would like to comment? MS. EVANOFF: Yes, there is. Good morning, 10 Trustee Council, this is Gail Evanoff. The spelling of my last 11 name is E-v-a-n-o-f-f. I'm the interim community facilitator 12 on this program, working with Hugh Short. I have two areas of 13 comment if I may, please. The first is, of course, is the 14 archaeological repository, the second is on Molly's report 15 regarding the 10 year agenda for the publication of some sort 16 of a printed material in 1999. But first I'd like to begin on the issue of the 17 18 archaeological repositories. Of course, Chenega IRA Village 19 Council has long been a very strong supporter of a local 20 facility. We have always been very concerned as to the end 21 result of artifacts or cultural sites visited, of course many 22 of these occurrences happened during the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill 23 treatment time period. But the meetings that the Council 24 and/or representatives, when given a chance to express our 25 opinions about the issues of archaeology in Prince William

Sound, we have always tried to share our cultural traditions of -- that the Chenega Bay people have always honored in that where cultural sites, artifacts or anything of archaeological value be left alone. 5 I've witnessed and heard many instances where that 6 request be made out of respect of this community was not taken 7 seriously. 8 (Telephone rings) 9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hello, Ms. Evanoff, are you 10 still with us? 11 MS. EVANOFF: Thank you, I'm here. 12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, we just had a strange 13 noise, so -- go ahead. MS. EVANOFF: Anyway, the Village Council and 15 the community residents have for years, since '89, expressed 16 (indiscernible) the seriousness of the Alutiiq people's history 17 in Prince William Sound. We've always wished for the 18 opportunity to represent the Alutiiq history in Prince William 19 Sound and the Chenega Bay area, particularly with whatever form 20 so as we can get the true and proper representation out. So 21 it's always reservation, because of what we said perhaps, it's 22 going to open the doors. That is, if what we say gets 23 published then we continue to see looters, we continue to get

24 calls about, can we go here and see this or, you know, why

25 don't be bring about this site?

So it's important for this village, one, because we know of artifacts, we know of cultural sites being invaded and artifacts being removed. We know that this village would like those archaeological resources back in this community, one -- I'd just like to share just 10 seconds of your time.

I just came back from a resource managers workshop, a very intense session, five day workshop on archaeology, understanding the laws of what resource managers are about, all the (indiscernible) agencies that issue archaeology, who they deal with. One of the biggest impressions that I came away from many of the speakers, and they were archaeologists, biologists, managers of small facilities in particular areas, one of the big issues that, as I said, came away with is the issue of education. That archaeology needs to be told to the general public and the best way that can be done is archaeology for the extremely good about that and I'm sharing with you and I hope that you understand when we say we'd like it here, we want that chance to share and put on record the true and actual record of our culture.

If there's a facility here it helps the elders, it 22 helps the people, it would have a tremendous impact on our 23 school children. We see it as something -- as an added 24 attraction to the village, very definitely. We're in the 25 process of developing our -- implementing our economics

development plan, of course, that is tourism. We've had questions as to what can we show people here? I've been sharing that with them here daily that issue of there's going to be a fun time, hopefully, some day soon that we will see a facility here that we can show you the culture of the Southwest Prince William Sound, the Chenega Bay area.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, Ms. Evanoff, we are going to have to move on. Could you wrap up your comments? I very much appreciate them, but we got several other people who 10 wish to comment.

MS. EVANOFF: Yes, I will, thank you. It's to 12 that end that Chenega Village Council participated in the 13 Resolution 97-05 under the PR (indiscernible). We very 14 strongly support that, we would like the opportunity to talk to 15 the Trustee Council or representatives thereof in a meeting 16 later on this month. We ask that you don't make a decision 17 regarding the resolution that was proposed by Molly.

The other issue, very quickly, is that it just really a 19 question to Molly regarding the 10 year anniversary where it 20 addresses subsistence in the outline there. Molly, do you 21 propose on coming to the communities and having them help you 22 develop some sort of response in the area of subsistence in the 23 oil spill?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Molly -- any Council 25 members have questions or comments can get to them, but let's

7

16

17

-- perhaps, Molly, if you can start off by answering that question.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman. Just real 4 quickly, Gail, what we would do is work with the facilitators 5 on that to -- with the various agencies working on subsistence 6 and with the facilitators.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, are there Council 8 members that have any questions or comments here in Juneau? 9 Mr. Wolfe.

10 MR. WOLFE: Ms. Evanoff, the strong support 11 from the Chenega folks for a local repositories is coming 12 across very loud and very clear, but we also heard earlier 13 about the costs associated with running a repository. Was that 14 a part of your consideration for this support for the local 15 repository?

MS. EVANOFF: Absolutely.

MR. WOLFE: Okay.

MS. EVANOFF: And then that does open a lot of 18 19 doors as to what is a repository? What is it that we, as the 20 Native villages, that have seen artifacts removed want to see 21 in a repository or a facility. I am asking that we be given a 22 chance where we could sit down and develop, finally, what it is 23 that we see as a holding place in our community. What's it 24 called and work with you on every aspect of management and all 25 the issues that was raised by the gentleman from the University

```
00035
   of Alaska, Mr. Williams (sic).
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Are there
3
  other questions or comments from Council members here in
4
  Juneau?
5
           (No audible responses)
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams, do you have
7 any questions or comments for Ms. Evanoff?
                   MS. D. WILLIAMS: No, Mr. Wolfe asked the
9 question that I was planning on asking.
10
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. All right, thank you
11 very much Ms. Evanoff. Are there other people in Chenega who
12 would like to say something at this time?
                  MS. EVANOFF: Mr. Chairman, I'm the only one on
13
14 teleconference. Thank you very much for hearing me.
15
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, thank you very much
16 and we certainly appreciate the documentation that the Chenega
17 IRA Council has sent in.
                  MS. D. WILLIAMS: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I
18
19 have a question that might go back to Mr. Lewis, if Mr. Lewis
20 is still in Fairbanks and then before Ms. Evanoff leaves the
21 microphone perhaps she can reply to, too.
22
           Is Mr. Lewis still in Fairbanks?
                   MR. LEWIS: Yes, I'm still here.
23
24
           (Commissioner Rue departs, Claudia Slater sits
25
           in - 11:30 a.m.)
```

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Fine. Going back to the 2 notion of the traveling exhibits, are there any restrictions on 3 how long those can be in a community? For example, could a 4 traveling exhibit be in, say, Chenega for the three months of 5 the summer for tourism purposes and three months during the 6 school year for educational and cultural purposes and then come 7 back for, you know, if there were to be a central repository for any handling, treatment, and so forth? MR. LEWIS: As long as traveling exhibits -- a 10 lot of people differ from institution from institution, but 11 here at the University Museum we generally make our loan for 12 one year and at the end of one year they are reevaluated and 13 the institution that has received the loan is given an 14 opportunity to renew it or return it at that time. 15 negotiated between the museum and the receiving institution. MS. D. WILLIAMS: And then, Ms. Evanoff, with 16 17 that in mind, could you comment on the possibility of a very 18 long-term loan of materials and a close working relationship 19 with a regional repository so that new materials could be sent 20 to a regional repository, new materials that your community 21 finds is sent to a repository for documenting everything out, 22 but then could be loaned back in long-term rotating exhibits? MS. EVANOFF: My response to that is I think 24 anything could be worked out, but if we're not talking 25 long-term, let's just make them permanent here where they

5

7

belong. The issue of training and management, I've long discussions with the Park Service and museums at to the kinds 3 of training -- we're trainable, we can do what the museums are doing.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Are there -- Ms. Williams, do you have any more questions? MS. D. WILLIAMS: Maybe one last question for 8 Mr. Lewis. I don't think the issue is training, I think the 9 issue is, you know, in some of the facilities that are 10 necessary to, you know, be classified as a repository, 11 temperature control and other things. Mr. Lewis, could you 12 just describe some of the physical requirements? 13 MR. LEWIS: The physical requirements for 14 federal collections addresses long-term care and conservation 15 of the collection. In terms of the physical environment we

16 maintain the facilities here at plus or minus one degree 17 Fahrenheit and 10 percent relative humidity. We also have a 18 very complicated security system that restricts access to the 19 collection to those who are authorized and everyone else is 20 actually physically kept away from the collection. Those are 21 the two primary areas.

22 A collection that has organic materials in it requires 23 stabilization to make sure that the objects don't rub against 24 each other and become abraded or broken as well as protection 25 from changes in temperature and humidity over the long term.

5

9

10

11

They also require ongoing conservation in order to maintain their integrity over time. And it requires people trained in conservation in the various materials that might be found in the collection.

So having a collection go out on what we would term a 6 short-term loan, which would be a year or less, giving us the 7 opportunity to maintain the collection as it comes back from those loans into what would be a less than ideal, under conservation terms, environment out in the loan situation.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lewis.

Mr. Pennoyer.

12 MR. PENNOYER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, thank you. 13 So, Mr. Lewis, even on traveling exhibits, so to speak, and 14 loans, you judge whether it's appropriate to make the loan 15 based on the quality of the care to be given it. So a 16 repository may require certain staff to analyze exhibits and so 17 forth, but even on loan the physical requirements under which 18 the collection would be maintained are something you're going 19 to look at before you make the loan; is that correct? 20 MR. LEWIS: That's certainly correct. 21 to be assured that there is a reasonable amount of security, 22 that objects won't be lost or stolen. We have to make sure 23 that it's in a building that's heated in the wintertime, for

24 example, and where there's a -- something in terms of a 25 comfortable office building or home would certainly be

satisfactory. And also the receiving institution needs to have 2 some form of insurance in case part of the collection is lost 3 or stolen, it needs to be covered by insurance while it's away 4 from here. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 5 6 Mr. Pennoyer. 7 MR. PENNOYER: One follow-up then, maybe for 8 Ms. McCammon. So in terms of looking at various proposals, are 9 all of these things being spelled out well to those making the 10 proposals or are we still at the stage of not scoping that out 11 very much? MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, we did have a 12 13 lengthy workshop where we had present the University of Alaska-14 Fairbanks Museum, we had the Valdez Museum, the Pratt Museum, 15 the Cordova Museum, we had the communities involved in that. 16 We had Chuqachmiut who has been working with the communities on 17 this issue. All of those folks were present. We went into 18 great detail on all of these issues, the various requirements, 19 the various cost factors involved. So, yes, they have been 20 fully explored at a number of workshops with all of the 21 communities present. 22

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Ms. Evanoff is making the point 25 that these are artifacts that would have been left in the

ground if they had had their way, and obviously not treated any 2 way except being left alone. And they've been basically, at 3 least, in her case the spill actions on cleanup have disturbed 4 them in some fashion. And so they've entered into a stream, 5 shall we say, of use that they would not have if the spill had 6 occurred, right? I quess I'm having a hard time understanding 7 what the costs would be in local repositories versus local 8 traveling display areas, and I don't -- we'll leave that for 9 Board discussion on the proposal, but I'm still not exactly 10 sure what the differences are except for perhaps hiring 11 permanent staff to do the analysis and have a permanent 12 archaeologist on the staff and that type of thing. 13 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I think there's 14 also a difference in the construction costs because the quality 15 of construction and the kinds of security and the kinds of heat 16 and back up and things like that have a higher level of 17 requirement.

MR. PENNOYER: Okay, Mr. Chairman, I guess I did understand that, but it still sounds like there's a 20 reasonable high -- if somebody is going to loan out a 21 collection from the repository that meets all these 22 qualifications, they're not going to want to go in a situation 23 when in even a year this collection could deteriorate or 24 something else can happen to it, so there still is a reasonable 25 high standard -- I'm not sure all the proposals have taken that

9

into account, but I'd have to look at it some more. I 2 apologized for not being as up on that as I should be perhaps 3 but this still seems to be -- Mr. Lewis said there's a fairly 4 high standard, otherwise why have the repository in the first 5 place with all those requirements if your loan is going to be 6 in an environment in which the collection could be -- could 7 deteriorate.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Is there 10 anything else, Ms. Williams, or shall we move on? 11 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Nothing else from here right 12 now.

13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 14

Ms. Brodie, do you have any comments you'd like to 15 make?

16 MS. BRODIE:Brodie in Homer. I have two 17 things. First, I would like to thank the Trustee Council and 18 your staff for the good work that you're doing in negotiating 19 with Afognak Joint Venture. I'm feeling encouraged about how 20 that's going and I really appreciate the effort as do the other 21 environmentalists I represent.

22 The second thing I wanted to talk about, and this is on 23 behalf of the Alaska Rain Forest Campaign, is the Leisnoi land 24 in Chiniak. I understand that the Kodiak Island Borough has 25 volunteered to be a sponsor for this land if the Trustee

23

24

1 Council were to purchase it. And we would like to encourage 2 the Trustee Council to move ahead in the process and appraise 3 the land. I understand, of course, that this is coming in late 4 in the process and I've heard that the asking price -- someone 5 said it would be a lot of money, although I don't know what it 6 is, but I also understand that it doesn't necessarily need to 7 be a full package, that there could be a -- possibly a small 8 part or some combination of forested land and logged lands. So 9 at this point we just want to encourage the Trustee Council to 10 look at it and see what's there to see, what the face value 11 would be before any decisions were made. 12

Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Are there 14 any questions for Ms. Brodie or comments by Council Members? 15 (No audible responses)

16 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you very much. 17 We're going to move to Anchorage now and then we'll go back and 18 see if anybody's joined us in the other areas. According to 19 the sheet, I have two people who wish to comment, the first one 20 is Laura Johnson.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Ms. Johnson is sitting at the 22 table ready to testify.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, please go ahead then. MS. JOHNSON: Can you hear me there?

25 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yes.

13

25

MS. JOHNSON: Hello, my name is Laura Johnson and I am currently employed at Chuqachmiut in the Cultural and 3 Archaeological Program there. I guess I should just make two 4 different comments. The first is to remind everyone who I am, 5 again, that I have worked on the Comprehensive Community Plan 6 and so I'm very familiar with the issue and can answer any kind 7 of question anyone might have about different positions, 8 because there is a lot of the requirements for repositories 9 versus displays, all those type of things are presented in the 10 Comprehensive Community Plan, so anyone who hasn't had a chance 11 to look at it as of yet, I really highly recommend that you 12 take a look at it.

Today, I would just simply like to respond a memo that 14 was sent from Molly McCammon to the Trustee Council members 15 regarding archaeological repositories and that if I can just 16 briefly read the letter. Molly, I hope you got a copy of it 17 this morning here before the meeting. That -- I'll just go 18 ahead a read it real quickly here so we can move on.

19 I'm writing regarding your memo to the Trustee Council 20 members dated September 29th and the attached draft resolution 21 which proposes a new scenario for archaeological restoration. 22 I'm looking forward to hearing more during the Trustee Council 23 meeting on October 3rd, in particular, the Trustees' views on 24 the various items outlined in the draft resolution.

I would like to echo your recommendation that the

Trustees limit their actions at this meeting to discussion of the draft resolution including an indication of their willingness to support the items included in the new scenario. I also recommend the communities be given the opportunity to discuss this draft resolution within each community reflectively before any Trustee decision is made.

I'm more than willing to work with Hugh Short and others -- Hugh Short, who is the new Community Involvement Coordinator to facilitate a meeting for community involvement facilitators and other tribal leaders to discuss the draft resolution during the week of October 20th. Many of the tribal leaders will likely be in Anchorage for other meetings and the AFN convention at that time and I know that there is considerable interest in finding an acceptable conclusion to the repository issue. The topic could then be addressed again during the next Trustee Council meeting in Anchorage, in December, if that's actually where it is, and hopefully action taken at that time.

I recommend these procedures be continued, the 20 cooperative effort to draft a scenario for the archaeological 21 restoration that is acceptable both to the Trustee Council and 22 the Native communities of Prince William Sound and Lower Cook 23 Inlet.

That's the end of my comments. I'm happy to see that this is moving forward and that there is an opportunity to

discuss it further. Thank you. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Are there 3 questions of Council members or comments on Ms. Johnson's 4 statement? 5 (No audible responses) CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams, you have 7 anything? MS. D. WILLIAMS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Clearly, 9 Laura, I think what one of the items that we are reviewing 10 closely is the question of the full blown local repository in 11 each community versus meaningful longish-term traveling 12 exhibits in each community. I certainly can speak for myself 13 that, and there are several critical restoration values that 14 we're trying to achieve, and one of them is to make sure that 15 the communities have access to these materials in a meaningful 16 way. The other, of course, is to protect and preserve these 17 materials. And the third is to encourage community members to 18 protect and preserve additional materials And so the question is how do we go about doing that in 19 20 an appropriate but, nonetheless, cost effective way? And we 21 obviously must be mindful of being cost effective while trying 22 to meet those three goals in an appropriate way. And so what I 23 am struggling with, as you can tell from my previous questions, 24 is, you know, full blown local repositories in each location 25 versus meaningful, you know, good traveling exhibits that would

-- here, would rotate perhaps with as new materials come in and go in and out of a repository, so they would all stay in the region but go from a regional repository to the communities on 4 a positive basis. And they could, obviously, be there, you 5 know, all year, go back a year, new materials, new exhibits and that would be helpful for the community, too, potentially to 7 have new and different materials going to the community. I would just like your thoughts about a, you know, 9 meaningful -- if the communities would participate in, you 10 know, developing the local exhibits and working with local 11 exhibits, displaying the local exhibits. Your thoughts about 12 the pros and cons of this (indiscernible - lowers voice). Yes, I guess what I would do is I 13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 would take it back to the resolution or that draft resolution 15 which has three components, as I understood it. One, a 16 regional repository, second, local display facilities in each 17 community and then third, a road -- or a traveling exhibit type 18 program. And I quess I always come back to what I believe is 19 at the heart of the community, that you need a location in the 20 community where these can be displayed and so the facility 21 component in the community is a critical component there. In terms of whether it's a display facility or 22 23 repository facility, I think that there's room for discussion 24 and that's why I'd like to see that come back to the

25 communities. I can, you know, speak on their behalf and say

that this -- you know, that this is what they should do, I'd like them to discuss it and that's why I'm suggesting a meeting in October, the opportunities to look at the pros and cons of display facility versus a full blown repository facility in each community.

Also with the Comprehensive Plan, just to kind of backtrack there, that when we went through the different scenarios we looked at scenario one, which was local repository facilities in each community but it was tied together through a regional repository organization. The second scenario was display and repository facilities in each community, again tied to together in an organization. What's important there is I think that there probably is flexibility in terms of what do we call this building. What's important, I think, is that there is a place in the community where the communities can enjoy the artifacts, you know, that have been taken from the spill area, this type of thing.

In terms of the mechanics of how to get the artifacts 19 back there, I think that that's something that can be worked 20 through. Again, in terms of short-term versus long-term, 21 obviously I would be looking towards longer term, you know, 22 displays, this type of thing. And what is it is that, again, 23 it's a matter of probably of what form the agreement comes in, 24 whether it's a display loan, whether it's MOA, whatever it is. 25 And, again, that's, in my mind, sort of a detail that can be

worked out. That this -- my main focus is to continue to support the communities, one, in getting the artifacts back to the communities, and two, I can see the real need for having a facility there that can accommodate a large range of types of artifacts, so I guess in terms of the question of what the standards for these facilities, it would be worthwhile to look at what are the differences between standards for repositories versus local displays.

In many cases I think it will be very similar. 10 think the difference is in the professional standards for local 11 staff, that type of thing, and display facilities may be able 12 to accommodate the things we need a little bit easier. 13 that the idea of looking at display facilities and then the 14 potential of upgrading these to, you know, repositories on a 15 community basis, is something that the community should, you 16 know, should consider. And I'd like to see them have the 17 opportunity sometime in October before a decision is made, you 18 know, on any kind of reclamation there, but I think that what I 19 see all of this as, is the continuation of that Comprehensive 20 Community Plan that we came up with scenarios during '95, I 21 guess it was, and put down what we had at the time. And as 22 time goes by and it's been more and more clear that one of the 23 key issues is the operation and maintenance. It's like this 24 one here, and it is something that needs be addressed. 25 And so we're moving another step because another way of

00049 looking at this, but again, I really just urge that the communities be involved with this decision because it's 3 something that's really important to the communities and I 4 think it would take -- continue working together, we're going 5 to come up with a solution. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you, 7 Ms. Johnson. Are there other questions or comments from 8 Council members at this time? 9 (No audible responses) 10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you very much. 11 I believe we have Mr. Schoen, John Schoen, in Anchorage that 12 wishes to testify. And after that we'll check and see if 13 there's anybody else in Anchorage and then we're going to go to 14 Cordova where I believe Mr. Henrich is on line, so, 15 Mr. Schoen. 16 MR. SCHOEN: Hello, my name is John Schoen,

17 it's spelled S-c-h-o-e-n, I'm the Executive Director of the 18 Alaska Office of National Audubon Society. On behalf of Alaska 19 Audubon Society I thank you for the opportunity to address the 20 Trustee Council regarding its decision about acquiring small 21 parcels nominated on the Homer Spit and Beluga Slough. 22 Audubon strongly supports these important acquisitions. 23 supporting groups include the city of Homer, Kenai Peninsula 24 Borough, Trust for Public Lands and the Kachemak Heritage Land 25 Trust.

The Trustee Council's charge was to restore resources and services injured in the oil spill. Although most of the oil ended up on tidelands, we have been able to do relatively little acquisition work in these areas to restore those ecological communities. Because most tideland areas are already in state ownership the Trustee Council's habitat protection efforts have appropriately focused on adjacent uplands. However, the Homer parcels at (indiscernible - cough) and Beluga Slough offer an outstanding opportunity because they're privately owned tidelands and are threatened with development. Many of the valuable tidelands in the Homer area have been filled and converted to other uses.

In Homer the Trustee Council has a unique opportunity 14 to acquire and protect tidelands which are home to an 15 intertidal community that was a resource injured by the oil 16 spill. Additionally, recreation was a service that was 17 significantly injured by the spill. The small parcels 18 nominated at Homer are very important for the thousands of 19 recreational bird watchers and others visiting or living in 20 Homer each year.

The Beluga Slough and Homer Spit, though relatively 22 small parcels, are important links in the much larger and 23 important intertidal ecosystem of the Kachemak Bay critical 24 habitat area. We have previously described in our 25 correspondence to the Trustees the diversity of resources

22

contained in these parcels, which include shorebirds, water birds, marine mammals, fish and marine invertebrates. The intertidal habitat represented by these small parcels plays an important role in maintaining this diversity and abundance. They are also right in the heart of Homer's recreational use.

In conclusion, acquisition of these small parcels represents an outstanding opportunity for the Trustee Council to protect tidelands and their associated fish and wildlife resources or critters. This site is also highly visible and used by thousands of people. This is a win/win situation for conservation, recreation, the Homer community and EVOS Council. Audubon strongly recommends you take positive action and acquire both of these parcels.

I'd just like to mention an aside, that I also
suppreciate the good work that the Trustee Council is doing on
the Afognak lands issue, that's great. And finally, I'd just
like to thank you for the opportunity to address the Council,
you're doing a great job for Alaska conservation.

19 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much. Are 20 there questions or comments from Council members here in Juneau 21 for Mr. Schoen?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there questions or 24 comments from Anchorage? And I believe, Deborah, that you have 25 been joined by Claudia Slater who's representing Frank Rue for

5

9

1 the moment. So do either of you have questions or comments for 2 Mr. Schoen?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Claudia, you get to be a 4 Trustee Council member, you have to move to the table.

I don't know whether John can answer this or whether 6 we're going to have the Mayor or some official from Homer speak 7 to this, but where are we in terms of contributions from outside parties to help pay for this acquisition? And other related commitments for contributions?

10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Ms. McCammon should 11 be able to address that.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, the Mayor was 12 13 supposed to be on the teleconference today. Unfortunately the 14 person who works at the Legislative Information Office didn't 15 show up, so we're trying to get him to dial in directly. 16 until he does that I can answer the questions. We have had 17 extensive discussions with the Mayor about some of the issues 18 concerning the city's contribution.

19 The city has indicated their willingness to contribute 20 \$41,000 to the overall package, the Homer Spit parcels and 21 Beluga Slough. In addition they have agreed or have indicated, 22 and this is subject to final Council action, but he as 23 discussed this with the Council already, and they have 24 indicated support in having federal and state conservation 25 easements placed on the city land which is on both sides of the

Mud Bay/Homer Spit parcels. And I think the total amount of acreage is approximately 40 acres or so there. So with that, 3 plus the acreage involved, you're looking at substantial protection on both sides of the Spit. And then which is also 5 immediately adjacent to the state critical habitat area. So it 6 ensures that a large portion of the Spit would stay protected 7 in perpetuity. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Did that 9 answer your question? MS. D. WILLIAMS: I hope we can get the Mayor 10 11 on the phone, so I hope we're making every effort to do so. 12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah. Apparently..... 13 MR. CUSHING: Molly, can you hear me? This is 14 the Mayor of Homer and I'm on a different line. 15 MS. McCAMMON: This is the Mayor. 16 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Okay. 17 MS. McCAMMON: There he is. 18 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Now, we will be 19 bringing this up this afternoon but perhaps you could go ahead 20 and -- did you hear Ms. Williams' questions? MR. CUSHING: Yes, I did. This is Jack 22 Cushing, we were waiting our turn when it came back to Homer. 23 The Legislative Office was closed so we just came over to the

24 Mayor's office and came in the bridge and we've been listening

25 to the entire teleconference so far.

25

But to answer -- Molly answered very well Deborah's 1 2 questions. What we've done, we do have the \$41,000 committed 3 in this budget cycle for the Beluga Slough parcel, to make up 4 for some land actions that we did in previous years in the 5 Beluga Lake area. And we are ready to go ahead with the 6 easements as necessary on the Spit parcels. 7 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 8 Mr. Pennoyer. MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, as we get to this, 10 as a refresher, I would hope staff has detailed maps to show us 11 with which parts actually get additional protection versus what 12 we had, versus what other parcels that aren't protected are 13 that are in public land. I'd just like to see the general 14 mosaic one more time. 15 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And I -- Molly was nodding 16 yes. 17 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And I assume those will also 18 19 be available in Anchorage, is that true? 20 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, good. Okay, thank 22 you. Are there other questions of Council members here in 23 Juneau either for Mr. Schoen or for Mayor Cushing?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: How about in Anchorage, are

(No audible responses)

00055 there any questions or comments? MS. D. WILLIAMS: One further question for the 3 Mayor. I know that we were -- or you were hoping to get some 4 private contributions, any progress in that regard? 5 MR. CUSHING: I've met with the Ducks Unlimited 6 folks and they said more what I expected from Ducks Unlimited. 7 They're into the mitigation and restoration and they -- and 8 they said they don't get into acquisition themselves. 9 offered up their services on restoration and mitigation type 10 input, both on these parcels and on the tidal flushing project 11 that we have going under the -- with EVOS under the Restoration 12 Program. 13 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I think 14 I have just one last question. 15 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. 16 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Actually I'll let the Mayor 17 answer this and then we'll see if Mr. Schoen has any comment. 18 How would you plan on managing the two large tracts if we 19 purchase them and you receive the management authority? 20 MR. CUSHING: What we've done to prepare for 21 this is last fall we put in a new zoning district within our 22 Zoning Plan, it's a conservation district that has -- it has --23 it's outright permanent uses are for wildlife management and

24 the conditional uses are for boardwalks and interpedis (sic)

25 areas.

We would do a comprehensive rezone of the entire base of the Spit to recognize the new land that we acquired as well the city lands and we would talk to the state because they have some lands in this area, too, to put an end to this 5 conservation district. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 7 Ms. Williams. MS. D. WILLIAMS: That's fine then. 9 Mr. Schoen would like to comment on whether that is the 10 representative the conservation community was hoping for, that 11 would be great. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Schoen, do you have a 12 13 comment? 14 MR. SCHOEN: Yes, I think that that's an 15 outstanding proposal, we stand firmly behind that. 16 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. 17 MR. CUSHING: To further answer that, Deborah, 18 we would be -- this would just be the starting point, of 19 course, and we would be taking that land in terms of day-to-day 20 management and working with our community down here we -- a 21 couple of years ago, under initiation by the city of Homer we 22 put -- we joined the Western Shorebird Research Network which

23 is the Western Hemisphere Fly Away Program, as well as we're --

24 these parcels are also included in the National Estuarine 25 Research Reserve that the city of Homer initiated getting the

00057 Kachemak Bay area into. So there would be a lot of players that we would be bringing together and this would just be a 3 very key and vital part of which the city would be ownership, 4 but a participating member. 5 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you. Are there 6 any other questions or comments from Juneau? 7 (No audible responses) 8 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, what I'd like to do is 9 see if there's anybody else in Anchorage that would like to 10 testify, have a comment. We are running a little bit late and 11 would like to ask that people keep their comments limited to 12 about, say, three minutes. Is there anyone else in Anchorage 13 that would like to make a statement at this time? 14 MS. D. WILLIAMS: It does not appear so. 15 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you very much. 16 Mr. Hendricks (sic), are you in Cordova? 17 MR. HENRICH: Yeah, I'm here. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Do you have -- I understand 18 19 that you have -- would like to make a statement. 20 MR. HENRICH: Yes, I would. 21 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. And if you 22 could, again, kind of try to limit it, we are running a little

23 bit late. 24 MR. HENRICH: Yeah, my name is Robert

25 Henrich, I'm President of the Traditional Council of the

13

Native Village of Eyak. I'd like to thank you for the 2 opportunity to speak. I would like to say again that we 3 support local repositories and always have. We represent the 4 members of our tribe who live in Eyak/Cordova area and at the 5 time we have 550. I will remind the Trustees that no one 6 speaks for our tribe but our Tribal Council, corporations do 7 not speak for us. They are merely a state chartered 8 corporation with shareholders.

9 And like I've been saying -- I should be out deer 10 hunting today, it's a nice day, but I felt this was important 11 so I stayed in. And I'll repeat that, we support local 12 repositories.

That's all I have to say, does anybody got any 14 questions?

15 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you for your comments 16 and we appreciate your time and I know it's precious, it's sort 17 of the end of the summer here. Are there any comments from the 18 people in Juneau or questions? 19

(No audible responses)

20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: How about from Anchorage, 21 Deborah or Claudia.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Actually I do have one and 22 23 this may require Laura coming back to the microphone if 24 Mr. Henrich cannot respond to it. This is a question that was 25 passed to me by someone else here which I think is worthy

asking. And that is the question of how clear -- if you find an artifacts location, let's say, halfway between Port Graham 3 and Nanwalek or halfway between Eyak and Tatitlek or, you know, 4 halfway between Eyak and Chenega Bay, how clear to which 5 community they would most appropriately go to? MR. HENRICH: We've always been able to work 7 together and people here are descendants from the people who 8 occupied the Prince William Sound area and areas east, but they 9 live here now and we have a common ancestry with a lot of these 10 and I don't think there would be any problems for who exactly 11 owned them, I think they would be shared, as we always have. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Are there 12 13 other questions or comments by Council members? 14 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Actually, Mr. Chairman, Laura 15 did come to the microphone to give response on that question. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 16 17 Ms. Johnson, and again, if you could try to restrict it to just 18 a few minutes that would be helpful. 19 MS. JOHNSON: Okay, I'll keep it really brief. 20 With Chuqachmiut that -- we see that type of question different 21 times, both with artifacts but also (indiscernible - noise) and 22 what it is, is among the group -- among the various tribes we 23 try to just work it out and it's like some artifacts actually

24 located with more than one community. They may be more clearly 25 connected with two or three, but generally when it is their

5

7

8

9

decedents in many of the communities that are tied to these, so we try to look at, you know, the overall picture and it's a matter of negotiation among the tribes and we seemed to manage pretty well with that.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Is there 6 any other -- are there any responses or comments? (No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, what I'd like to do is just go around to the various sites one more time to make sure 10 someone else hasn't joined us. Well, first, I quess, 11 Mr. Henrich, is there anyone else in Cordova that wishes to say 12 anything?

13 MR. HENRICH: No, I'm calling from home because 14 there was nobody at the LIO office.

15 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, well, we certainly 16 appreciate your taking your time to do that today.

Is there anyone in Valdez who has joined us who would 18 like to make a statement?

19 MR. HUGHEY: Unfortunately -- yes, thank you. 20 Unfortunately Mr. Leahy has left, had to go back to the museum 21 there but I think rather than say anything today, I kind of 22 doing this job here and so I'd like to make some comments and 23 follow-up to this meeting today and work through the CIC, Hugh 24 Short, there in Anchorage. But this has been interesting and I 25 really do thank you for this opportunity, so that'll be all

from Valdez. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you very much. 3 Is there anyone in Fairbanks that hasn't previously spoken who 4 would like to make a comment or..... 5 MR. LEWIS: No one new here. 6 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. How about in Chenega? 7 MS. EVANOFF: There is no one else here, but I would like to say thank you for this opportunity to -- for me to speak before the Council on this issue, appreciate that very 10 much. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. And again, 11 12 we certainly appreciate on a good weather day like today. 13 Anybody in Homer? 14 MR. CUSHING: Yes, this is Jack Cushing again, 15 the Mayor. I'll just follow up very briefly. Barb Seaman was 16 here with the Kachemak Heritage Land Trust, she had to take off 17 to make her mail run across the bay. We came up from the LIO 18 office that was closed. I just want to thank you all for your 19 consideration in this. John Schoen of the Audubon Society said 20 it best from the technical standpoint and besides being in 21 conjunction with the Western Shorebird Research Network and the 22 National Estuarine Research Reserve, of course, it goes very 23 much together with the City of Homer Shorebird Festival, the 24 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Maritime National

25 Wildlife Refuge Headquarters in Homer, and it's just going to

00062 be a very high visibility spot with over 100,000 visitors that come through this area a year. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you, Mayor 4 Cushing. I anticipate that we will be taking this issue up 5 this afternoon around -- sometime between 1:00-1:30, something 6 like that. Are you going to be on line at that time? 7 MR. CUSHING: I can certainly come back on line 8 at that time. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. I think it might be 10 helpful because there are very likely to be questions that come 11 up. MR. CUSHING: Okay, great, I'll do that. 12 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, is there anyone else 14 in Homer? 15 MS. BRODIE: This is Pam Brodie. I will sign 16 off now and then for the afternoon session I'll go over to City 17 Hall, so that you don't need two different places in Homer, 18 save a little money, if that's okay with Mayor Cushing. 19 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, we appreciate it. 20 MS. BRODIE: Thank you, bye-bye. 21 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, bye. All right. And 22 I guess, last, is there anyone else in Anchorage?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: No.

25 comment portion of this Trustee Council meeting is closed.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, then the public

23

```
00063
           The next item on the agenda was archaeological
1
2 restoration. I don't think we have time for that, we've
3 already gotten into quite of bit of that discussion. We'll
4 have to do that one after lunch, which is scheduled to be an
5 executive session. At this time, is there a motion?
                  MR. PENNOYER: I move to go to executive
7 session for purposes, I believe, of discussion of land
8 acquisition matters.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
9
                                      Is there a second?
10
                   MR. WOLFE: Second.
11
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It has been moved and
12 seconded to move into executive session to discuss habitat
13 protection. All in favor?
14
                   IN UNISON: Aye.
15
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                    Opposed?
16
           (No opposing responses)
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, it is passed, the
17
18 public session is closed, we will hopefully rejoin public
19 session around 1:00 to 1:30, sometime in that time frame.
20 Thank you very much.
21
           (Off record - 12:08 p.m.)
           (On record - 1:15 p.m.)
22
23
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: If we could come to order
```

MS. McCAMMON: Jim.

24 here. Jim.

```
00064
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Anchorage, are you on line?
2 Hello, Anchorage. Is anybody else on line?
3
                   MR. LEWIS: Mike Lewis here in Fairbanks.
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is Valdez there?
5
                   VALDEZ LIO: Valdez is on line, we have one at
6 this time.
7
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Chenega?
8
           (No audible responses)
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: How about Homer?
9
                   MR. MYERS: Mayor Cushing was due in his office
10
11 momentarily.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, so Homer, I assume
12
13 will be calling in then. So we're still missing Anchorage or
14 is Anchorage on now?
15
           (No audible responses)
16
                   MS. R. WILLIAMS: I'll go check.
17
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Rebecca, could you call?
18 Okay, we'll hold up for just a second.
19 (Off record - 1:16 p.m.)
           (On record - 1:21 p.m.)
20
21
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you, Deborah.
22 And is Claudia with you?
23 MS. D. WILLIAMS: She is. Does she still need
24 to sit at the table?
25
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: She does not because
```

3

7

19

Commissioner Rue just walked in the room.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We are back in session with 4 the Exxon Valdez Trustee Council meeting for October 3rd. 5 had an executive session whereas described in the motion we 6 discussed habitation protection issues.

We got a little bit behind this morning and the agenda 8 indicates an archaeological restoration discussion and possible 9 action, it was supposed to be before the executive session. 10 took up quite a bit of that during the public comment period. 11 We have several people on line specifically for the Homer Spit 12 thing and then we have Bob Storer coming in at 2:00 for a 13 discussion on the EVOS investments. What I would propose to do 14 is to move at this time to go ahead and do the Homer Spit 15 discussion and see if we have time then prior to the 2:00 p.m. 16 presentation to do archaeology and if we don't, then come back 17 to archaeology after we hear from Mr. Storer. If there's no 18 objection to that?

(No audible responses)

20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Then, Molly, who will

21 be presenting the Homer Spit?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I'll start out 22 23 with it and then I would like to also have assistance from the 24 Department of Fish and Game and then it's my understanding that 25 Mayor Cushing will also be on line, if he isn't already. He'll

be joining us momentarily.

If you recall the Trust for Public Lands has been working with the city of Homer in their effort to ensure long-term protection of Homer Spit and the adjacent Beluga Slough, which are very important to the city of Homer for recreation purposes and then also just for protecting the kinds of intertidal habitat that are important to Kachemak Bay. They came to us a number of months ago with several packages of proposals that they were working on and asked if the Council would be interested in contributing towards that protection effort.

The three packages that they were putting together were 13 -- one of them called -- which they refer to as the Fishing 14 Hole parcels. And on this big map they're the little parcels 15 on -- I'm not even sure if that's north or south, but on the 16 outer side of the Spit. And the main purpose of acquisition of 17 those parcels was to basically stop the proliferation of the 18 tourist businesses that had been on that side of the Spit. The 19 restoration value, however, of these parcels was very low from 20 our perspective and we really haven't actively pursued that 21 package of parcels in this acquisition.

The two that we've been most interested in are, first 23 of all, this one called Mud Bay, and it's right in the middle 24 and it's -- there are a number of actual land owners with these 25 parcels, so it's not just one land owner and one parcel. And

2425

what the Trust for Public Lands has done is meet with the individual land owners and actually obtain options to purchase those parcels. The one exception is this -- the red right in the middle and if you go down the Spit that is an in-holder, they have been there -- well, they're all -- it's a private holder who does not want to sell. They have been -- they've owned the land for a long time, they've built a new house recently and they're just not interested in selling at this point.

But other than that one individual the were able to 11 obtain options on all of the lands surrounding it for a total 12 of 68.7 acres. In discussing with the city their plans for 13 protection of the Spit, if the Council were to commit to 14 protecting these lands, we asked if the city could provide some 15 assurances that the city owned lands on both side of these 16 parcels would also be protected in perpetuity and not just 17 until another city council came in or something like that. And 18 the city has indicated their willingness to be -- to have these 19 lands subject to state and federal conservation easements on 20 both sides.

This would involved the lands, and I'm sorry, 22 Anchorage, it's very difficult to point this out and I hope 23 someone there can -- if John Schoen is still there.

MR. MYERS: I think Deborah got a map.
MS. McCAMMON: Deborah, you should have the map

00068 there in front of you. But it's these lands right around here, the other green lands are state tidelands, but these lands here are city owned lands. And then these lands on the other side. Now half of the lands on the -- I wish I knew what was north, 5 south, east and west, but..... MR. PENNOYER: North probably. 7 MR. RUE: Inner part of the Spit. MR. PENNOYER: Yeah. 8 9 MS. McCAMMON: The inner part of the Spit --10 the lands towards the end of the Spit towards Land's End are 11 already in the process of being subject to a conservation 12 easement by the Kachemak Heritage Land Trust of about half of 13 that. And they have indicated it's going through some 14 platting, so it's almost complete at this point. The city has 15 indicated its willingness to have government conservation 16 easements basically layered on top of that. 17 I think there is a question, and I hope Mayor Cushing 18 is on the line about whether the city would agree to a 19 conservation easement for the other city lands, right below 20 that one section that they do have a conservation easement on. 21 And then they have agreed to a conservation easement on that 22 side.

I guess -- well, Mayor

CHAIRMAN TILLERY:

MS. McCAMMON: Not yet.

23

25

24 Cushing, are you on line?

00069 MR. MYERS: I spoke to him just a few minutes 2 ago and he was in transit and I told him we were about to 3 start, so he's trying to get there as quickly as possible and 4 will be on line momentarily. 5 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, good. MS. McCAMMON: But I think this is 7 approximately 26 acres, this is about 14 acres, so I think the 8 total between these two would be an additional 40 acres of 9 protection minimum, not counting this extra piece here. 10 it's -- in terms of leveraging additional protection --11 Mr. Pennoyer. 12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer. 13 MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, so then the part 14 we're talking about is both sides of the road? MS. McCAMMON: That's correct. 15 16 MR. PENNOYER: That narrow strip on the south 17 side as well as the part on the north side? MS. McCAMMON: That's correct. 18 MR. RUE: That end..... 19 20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: That is part of the parcels, 21 Frank. 22 MR. PENNOYER: Part of the parcel we're talking 23 about here? 24 MS. McCAMMON: Yes, right here

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: These parcels extend across

```
the road, as I understand it.
                  MR. PENNOYER:
                                 Oh, I see, okay. It's not
3
  separate....
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                      It's not a separate.....
5
                  MR. PENNOYER: Not a separate owner.....
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                     ....they're not separate
7
  parcels.
                   MR. PENNOYER: I got you, okay. And then
9
  second question says, consistent in a manner -- and a lot of
10 this discussion of conservation easements maybe here or there,
11 including what's written in this document we've got here, what
12 does that say exactly? I mean, what is the conservation
13 easement form that this is in before we decide on....
                   MS. McCAMMON: It would be basically a standard
15 type conservation easement that's been used in other
16 acquisitions which would prohibit development except for things
17 like interpretive displays, boardwalks for education and
18 interpretation and, Alex, since you write them, I don't know if
19 you want to.....
20
                   MR. SWIDERSKI: Well, this one -- this is Alex
21 Swiderski -- could conceivably be slightly different because
22 it's being held by a city, but there are a number of things
23 that are prohibited completely, such as the introduction of a
24 non-indigenous species, disposal of waste on the land, et
25 cetera. Most kinds of construction, commercial activities are
```

8

prohibited. There are some structures on the lower ones that we do that are allowed for purposes of either public safety or protecting habitat. For example, we would -- lower easements allowed for the construction of boardwalks and then the properties.....

6 MR. PENNOYER: But things like fill would be 7 prohibited?

MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes.

9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: That's altering the land. 10 MR. SWIDERSKI: Altering the topography of the 11 land is prohibited.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I would suspect this one might be even somewhat tougher than our usual ones because it's not -- usually there -- you can't do -- there are some things you can't do at all, ever. There's some things you can't do 16 unless the managing agency agrees for one of these purposes, 17 and I would think with this one it might be pretty strict with 18 some very specific interpretive centers, boardwalks, those 19 kinds of things, but making clear that fill and those kinds of 20 things, even with permission of the managing agency wouldn't be 21 acceptable.

MR. PENNOYER: Follow-up question then of the 23 same type. So the other part of this is conservation easements 24 on adjacent property, which don't have an answer of how that is 25 just exactly yet, are those conservation easements the same as

1 the conservation easements on the land we're talking about purchasing? Or what form would they take. MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, those -- that 4 easement differs somewhat but we will acquire a separate 5 easement for that same property. MR. PENNOYER: For the city property you mean? MR. SWIDERSKI: For the same city property, 7 8 that's correct. The city is conveying the property and an 9 easement on 26.4 acres to the Kachemak Bay Heritage Land Trust. 10 Separately they will convey an easement to the state of Alaska 11 and the United States and will track the kind of revisions we 12 just discussed. MR. PENNOYER: So part of the purchase 13 14 agreement will then be that the easement on the adjacent lands 15 will track the type of easement we have on the land we 16 purchase? 17 MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is then -- I guess the 18 19 question is, while easements on the city lands track the Land 20 Trust easement, will it track our standard for an easement? MR. SWIDERSKI: It will track our standard for 22 an easement.

23 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.

MR. SWIDERSKI: So we will have -- in effect, 25 we will an easement on the 26.4 acres held by conservation --

1 the Kachemak Bay Heritage Land Trust that will be similar to 2 the easements we use on all of our acquisitions. We'll have an 3 easement on the 14 acres on the other side that is also similar 4 to the ones use and then an easement on the lands that the city 5 will acquire with these funds, assuming we adopt the 6 resolution. 7

MR. CUSHING: This is Mayor Cushing and I'm on

8 line.

9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, Mayor Cushing, thank 10 you for joining us. Did you hear the last question and the 11 response?

MR. CUSHING: No, I didn't. I heard part of 12 13 the response but not the question.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, the question was on 15 the sort of end of the Spit side of the land that is being 16 proposed for purchase, we understand that the city will be 17 giving Heritage Land Bank a conservation easement and will also 18 be giving a conservation easement to the state and the federal 19 government. And the question was whether that easement would 20 be similar, at least the one that went to the governments, 21 would be similar to the same easement that they will be 22 retaining on the part that it is proposed that they purchase? MR. CUSHING: Okay. When you say the end of

24 the Spit, which side, the one toward the base of the Spit,

25 towards....

```
00074
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: No, the one towards Land's
1
2
  End.
3
                   MR. CUSHING: Okay, the one towards Land's End.
4 And so we're talking about Lot 6B?
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It's not marked on our maps.
                   MR. MYERS: There are maps that describe more
7
  particularly the.....
                   MR. SWIDERSKI: You are describing Lot 6B.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It is marked here, yeah, 6B,
10 it's marked on this one. So, right, 6B.
                   MR. CUSHING: Okay, presently on that we've
11
12 been working with the Land Trust and we are willing to do the
13 layered easement which would put it also in with the second
14 party with the state or the federal government, depending on
15 what you folks feel is best. Such that if the Land Trust ever
16 -- something ever happened to it, instead of going through the
17 courts and having that uncertainty, it would go directly to
18 this other party. And we'd be willing to do that on each of --
19 this easement as well as, of course, the purchased piece.
20
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                     Okay.
                                            And....
21
                   MR. PENNOYER: Each side.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, each side. And that
22
23 will be in the form that sort of fits with the standard state
24 easements on these or federal easements on these kinds of
25 purchases?
```

MR. CUSHING: Yes, we'd put it in the form 1 exactly as you folks would need to see it. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. And, Molly, you had a 4 question, I think, about what was contained in 6B or..... 5 MS. McCAMMON: Mayor Cushing, the question is 6 what you've been doing it -- with Lot 6B is only a portion of 7 the city owned lands on that side of the parcel, of the Mud Bay 8 parcel, and would the city be acceptable to putting a 9 conservation easement on the additional city owned lands on 10 that side of the parcel? 11 MR. CUSHING: I've approached the Council 12 informally on that and they seemed very receptive to it. 13 only -- to increase the size of that. The biggest issue we 14 have there is adjacent to the Spit Road all our utilities out 15 to the end of the Spit run on the inside of the road there, so 16 provided we left ourselves whatever we needed for utilities in 17 the future that would -- I've got a real good feedback from the 18 Council on that. 19 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. 20 MR. PENNOYER: So making the purchase sort of 21 contingent on that happening is not viewed as a barrier? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. All right. 23 Mr. Pennoyer, did you -- did you hear Mr. Pennoyer statement? 24 MR. PENNOYER: I said making the purchase of

25 this land contingent on all this easement pieces that you've

00076 discussed worked out is not a barrier to the continuing? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is that correct, Mayor 3 Cushing? 4 MR. CUSHING: I had trouble understanding that. 5 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I quess what we're asking is, typically if we were to approve this, our resolution would 7 make our approval contingent on these easements being in place and is that -- that wouldn't present a problem for you, would 9 it? 10 MR. CUSHING: Just to increase some of that 11 easement over into 6A. The biggest problem with that would be 12 is we've just spent all of the couple of thousand dollars we 13 needed to do the resurvey to get it going under the easement 14 that we did on our free will with the 6B. I think it would be 15 whatever you need to see on the base side of the Spit to make 16 this work. I've approached that. I'm wondering just on the 6A 17 if I'm going to -- I just -- and I would certainly myself, as 18 Mayor, I would not mind it at all, I know that it just starts 19 -- that it gets very comprehensive. We certainly planned to 20 put it into conservation zoning districts is a matter -- when 21 we did -- last year when we did have the discussion of Lot 6B 22 and Lot 6A and the size of it -- it started out as five acres, 23 Lot 6B was going to be five acres and I pretty much was

24 championing it to go to the 26 acres it is now. Some of the 25 argument that came up at the time, with a very different

Council -- keep in mind a very -- a more conservative Council, from the standpoint of not wanting to tie hands of future growth kind of thing. I was able to get it to the 26 acres with them. There's a much more -- the Council that has been voted in since then is very much more amenable to all the issues, as we're approaching with you now, in terms of putting more lands into easements, so I'd be opening up a whole -- I'd be opening up a discussion that we just concluded a year ago, is all on that.

10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, I think we understand 11 it. Molly, did you want.....

MS. McCAMMON: I just wanted to clarify that 13 that was Lot 6A that we were talking about, 19.07 acres, 14 so.....

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.

MR. CUSHING: I thought that was. And the 17 biggest thing that came -- well, everybody was concerned about 18 on the lot, 6A, was that we needed to -- if our conservation 19 zoning districts were not -- really don't have provisions for 20 parking in there, we just wanted to, at least, be able have 21 some provisions for parking right off the state highway, such 22 that we could at least get people to these lands.

23 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, so for 6A your main 24 concerns would be parking and utilities?

MR. CUSHING: Those were the primary concerns

00078 that were brought up with the Council a year ago, yes. Okay. Mr. Pennoyer or did CHAIRMAN TILLERY: 3 you.... 4 MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, that's fine. 5 was going to ask that before we go on to Beluga Slough we act on this one, if we can. And Mr. Wolfe may have another 7 question on that. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Wolfe. 9 MR. WOLFE: To me the proposed management, we 10 brushed over that briefly and we talked about visitor centers 11 and I had visions of a major visitor center with boardwalks 12 going everywhere, could use up the 60 acres right quick like, 13 so we're not proposing that we're going to have any major 14 visitor centers out there or a significant percentage of the 15 area would be impacted by a visitor center and boardwalks, 16 would we? 17 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: My understanding, and, Mayor 18 Cushing, correct me if I'm wrong on this, is what's envisioned 19 is essentially maybe a boardwalk and an interpretive display 20 which wouldn't be any kind of a building really but would be 21 like signage and stuff, that sort of thing; is that correct? Okay, so that would..... 22 MR. WOLFE: 23 MR. CUSHING: Precisely, yes.

25 most of our deals of any magnitude that -- especially areas

MR. WOLFE: And I had one other thought.

24

```
00079
1 such as this, are we looking at some kind of a reversion if
2 it's not managed similar to what we use in some of our bigger
3 deals?
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We haven't been doing that.
5 What we've been doing is just using the conservation easement
6 as the....
7
                   MS. McCAMMON: Enforcement.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: .....enforcement tool.
8
9 that seems to be satisfactory to -- I mean I think we've worked
10 these out where people are pretty comfortable with that being
11 the hammer.
12
                  MS. McCAMMON: But it would have dual.....
13
                  CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It's going to have three of
14 them.
15
                  MS. McCAMMON:
                                 ....conservation easements.....
16
                  CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Or two of them.
17
                  MS. McCAMMON: ....it will have city and
18 federal.
19
                  CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And a zoning change and, I
20 mean, it's going to have -- it's going to be the most
21 protective piece of land we'll ever buy, frankly.
                  MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, on that point. This is
22
23 Frank Rue. We're not buying this at all, the city is donating
24 it, which is sort of an unusual -- we haven't, I'm not sure I
```

25 remember any other.....

```
00080
                  MR. WOLFE:
                               Then we don't to worry about the
1
  money then.
3
                  MS. FAY: No, the conservation....
4
                   MR. RUE: The conservation easement part
5
  is....
                                  The conservation easements.
                  MS. McCAMMON:
7
                   MR. WOLFE: Okay.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Right, yeah.
8
9
                   MR. RUE: And I really think the city has gone
10 a long way and I have seen -- I don't remember seeing this.....
                  MR. WOLFE: Mr. Chair, I'm not talking about
11
12 the parcel where they're donating the easement, I'm talking
13 about the parcel where we're buying $400,000 worth.
                   MR. RUE: Buying right. Okay, I got you.
15
                   MR. WOLFE: Yeah.
16
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Which we will retain a
17 conservation easement on.
                   MR. RUE: Got you.
18
19
                   MR. WOLFE: Yeah.
20
                   MR. RUE: All right.
21
                   MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, with respect to
22 what we may be buying, is the conservation easement or
23 potential agreement with the city such that the city could put
24 a parking lot on the property that we're buying?
25
                  MR. SWIDERSKI: Certainly that would depend on
```

00081 1 how we craft the easement. There has been -- no one has come to us, as far as I know, and discussed putting a parking lot on the property that we're buying. 4 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: But Mayor Cushing's 5 reference was to 6A, as I recall. 6 MR. RUE: Yeah, the city owned. 7 MS. D. WILLIAMS: I understand that, but I'm 8 just trying to find out about the property that we're 9 potentially buying, do you have a parking lot on that property? 10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: When I envision that 11 property there's not a location for it, except for right next 12 to the road and there's not much there. 13 MS. McCAMMON: There's actually a..... 14 MR. CUSHING: This is Mayor Cushing, if we were 15 able to maintain that option on Lot 6A, I don't think we would 16 be asking for it or even consider it desirable for parking on 17 the piece that you're purchasing. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. And so we can work 19 that into an easement, that that's not an option available. 20 MR. WOLFE: On the parcel were buying?. 21 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: On the parcel we're buying, 22 but not -- on their's, yes. 23 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Right.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.

24 the parcel we're buying.

25

I would like that in

00082 MR. WOLFE: Mr. Chair. 1 2 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Wolfe. 3 MR. WOLFE: You know, that goes along with the visitor center question that I asked for. And so is there --5 could there be some language that limits the amount of 6 development on this parcel? 7 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yes. That's right, I think, 8 like I said, this one's going to be a fairly tight one. 9 suspect it will have some which essentially limits it to those 10 functions that we've described so we can take of that, I 11 believe. 12 MR. WOLFE: Okay. 13 MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, the easement is 14 still to be written. The way the resolution draft is currently 15 written, the easements would be subject to approval by the 16 United States and the state of Alaska, so I would envision 17 circulating that as it gets drafted to, certainly, the 18 attorneys and to the Council members as requested for review. 19 But I think the kinds of protections we're talking about are 20 something we would anticipate having in there. MR. PENNOYER: So the request, Mr. Chairman, is 22 contingent upon the approval of whatever is written up, 23 obviously? So you're going to come to us with the conservation 24 easement draft and we'll look at it and sign off? 25 MR. SWIDERSKI: That's correct.

```
00083
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Correct.
1
2
                  MR. PENNOYER: Okay, fine, thank you.
3
                  MR. RUE: Mr. Chair.
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Rue.
5
                   MR. RUE: This is Frank again. If we're still
  in the discussion mode on this, I guess having heard what I
7 have just heard, I'd be a little nervous making any purchase
8 contingent on an easement on 6A, which is the parcel that they
9 haven't surveyed out. I'm not sure that how -- I'd just be
10 interested in how other people feel about that. And how long
11 that might take to come up with a conservation easement to fit
12 all this in. Do you think that would be fairly quick action?
13 And maybe that's a question to the Mayor.
14
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                      It may be a question to the
15 Mayor.
16
                  MR. RUE: Do you think we could work out an
17 easement on 6A fairly quickly? Because I'd hate to hold
18 everything up, we've got good options on a lot of other pieces.
19
                  MR. CUSHING: Okay, to answer that question.
20 In terms of a city working easement with the Land Trust, it
21 takes a normal period of time. What the city's intention on
22 6A, once we could comprehensibly do this was to rezone it to
23 our conservation district. And if you want me to read out the
24 permitted use and the conditional use on that, I could do that
25 for you, and I think that might shed some light on the
```

direction that we feel would even be available at 6A. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, if you can do that 3 quickly, I think it would be of interest to the members here. MR. CUSHING: Okay, the permitted uses in our 5 conservation district are fish and wildlife habitat protection 6 and enhancement and marine life and wildlife sanctuary or 7 preserve. The conditional uses are for pedestrian trails, 8 including boardwalks and viewing platforms, educational and 9 interpretive displays and signs and parking lots. And there's 10 other conservation uses, as needed, to enhance the conservation 11 district and approved by the Planning Commission. MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman. 12 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer. MR. PENNOYER: I don't know if this is 14 15 pertinent to whether this happens or not but can you explain 16 what a conditional use is? Conditional on what? MR. CUSHING: Conditional use is when it's not 17 18 permitted outright, you're allowed to do it without any further 19 input from our Planning Commission. On a conditional use you 20 have to take it to the Planning Commission, it can be appealed 21 by parties withstanding -- affected parties withstanding, the 22 City Council could be brought into it if they make a ruling 23 that is considered unsatisfactory to the terms of our 24 conditional use. So it is a use but it's not permitted

25 outright, you do have to go through -- it has to be reviewed

00085 1 and it has a series of appeals stops if people feel that the 2 use isn't as outlined in the conditional use. MR. PENNOYER: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue, if I 5 understand your comment, it is, perhaps, we should make 6B a 6 condition of this -- of any motion or -- but not 6A, so that we 7 can move forward. And I assume that -- is 7, 8 and 9, are they 8 also going to have conservation easements? 9 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: So make those also 11 conditional.... 12 MR. RUE: Right. 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY:but not 6A, but 14 strongly encourage the city, is that the..... 15 MR. RUE: I could go either way on it. I just 16 wanted know how much of it -- how difficult 6A was going to be 17 to get an easement, how much time it might take since the city 18 hadn't gone as far along that road as it has on some of the 19 other parcels. 20 MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, I haven't heard 21 anything that's going to cause substantial delay and if it's 22 being offered, I think that it makes a package, that's 23 something we should go for.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.

MR. RUE: Yeah, so, I'm ready to go.

24

25

```
00086
                   MR. RUE: Yeah, I think Mayor Cushing just
  answered my question.
3
                  MS. D. WILLIAMS: 6A in.
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams.
5
                   MS. D. WILLIAMS: I want 6A in.
6
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.
7
                   MR. WOLFE: I'm interested in seeing 6A in also
8 but I still have a question on 6A, and that's if parking lots
9 are part of this conditional use, and I'm assuming that there's
10 some amount of limitation the parking lot size or you can pave
11 the whole area, I assume. So what is anticipated?
                  MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, would that be the
12
13 subject of the easement? You'd have to have an agreement with
14 the....
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, that would be -- the
15
16 easement might be different and more restrictive than a.....
                  MS. McCAMMON: Than the zoning.
18
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: ....than the zoning thing.
                   MR. WOLFE: Yeah, right.
19
20
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The whole thing will be
21 zoned this, but there will certainly -- some of this will be
22 more restrictive than that zoning designation.
                  MR. WOLFE: I hope so.
23
24
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah. That's just another
```

25 layer.

10

11

15

MS. McCAMMON: And I think the reason for the 2 parking lot is this area of the Spit, having been down there 3 three times in the last four months with my son bird watching, 4 it's a real hazard to public safety because the only place you 5 can park is right on the side of the road and there's a lot of 6 traffic on the road and people crossing back and forth. 7 think the idea of the city is to have, at least, in some located areas around the Spit, some areas where you actually 9 can get off the road itself.

> MR. WOLFE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: To some extent the fact that 12 they're throwing in 6A, which allows for parking to be on it, 13 rather than on some of these others that we're buying, and it's 14 right next to that industrial area, is a benefit.

MR. WOLFE: That's fine. As long as I know 16 what we're -- and we all know what we're buying because an 17 easement on the parking lot isn't something that I'm 18 particularly concerned about, but if it's an easement on some 19 natural lands or protecting some natural lands, I am interested 20 in that, it's worth something to us.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Now, Mr. Pennoyer, 22 you had raised a question about taking these up on at a time 23 or....

24 MR. PENNOYER: Well, I wondered if there was a 25 motion in front of us and since we.....

00088 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: There is no motion -- right, there is no motion in front of us at this point. MR. RUE: Maybe we could hear a description of 4 them all and then talk about a motion. Do you want to do that, 5 Mr. Pennoyer? MR. PENNOYER: I was suggesting we've done this 7 one, we go ahead and do this now, one way or the other, and then go on from there. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: What's the sense of the 9 10 Council? 11 MR. PENNOYER: They are separate parcels. 12 MR. RUE: I see a certain connection, but I --13 I guess I'm willing to do them separately. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: My own understanding is that 15 there is something of a connection here that the -- I mean the 16 city's willingness to go along and this, is that they view it 17 something of -- as a package and it would be useful, I think, 18 to do all this at once but if the Council wishes otherwise, I'm 19 amenable to it. 20 MS. D. WILLIAMS: I think we ought to do it all 21 at once. 22 MR. RUE: I guess I would prefer that, too. 23 MR. PENNOYER: I don't care, Mr. Chairman.

24 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Molly, can you move 25 on -- is that all on Homer Spit?

MS. McCAMMON: Well, I do just want to 2 reiterate, for the record, real briefly some of the restoration 3 benefits on these parcels. By protecting not only the 68.7 4 acres but, in effect, almost 60 total acres of protection of 5 land on both sides. And as John Schoen, I think, very 6 eloquently described this morning the importance of the 7 intertidal resources, that these are estuarine wetland habitats 8 extending from mid-intertidal to super-tidal elevations. On the base side of the Spit the intertidal habitats 10 have brackish waters providing feeding habitat for juvenile 11 salmon out-migrating from other parts of Kachemak Bay. At high 12 tide there are harbor seals swimming in the area to feed. 13 intertidal habitat supports intertidal vegetation and 14 invertebrates that are of special significance to migratory 15 birds on a seasonal basis. During spring migration these flats 16 are used by tens of thousands of migrating shorebirds. And 17 because of this biological productivity and the diversity there 18 they are of a special public interest for helping to support 19 tourism and recreation services in the Homer area. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I'd like to add that one of 20 21 the things that makes this particularly unique from a legal 22 perspective is in many areas you're intertidal is protected 23 because it's owned by the governments. Here, because of the 24 legalities surrounding the earthquake, the intertidal 25 essentially is privately owned. And as you had notice on the

5

7

Spit, the last five or six years, that intertidal has disappeared because of fill. And this is an opportunity to do something that is kind of a unique situation.

Commissioner Rue.

MR. RUE: Yeah, I guess I would just like to echo what Molly just said, as well what I didn't hear John Schoen say earlier, but I've read his letters and I've spoken in favor of this in the past.

One additional thing I think is really terrific about these, in addition to the values as well as the city's limitally willingness to come in and offer protections for their own lands, which is unusual, I don't know that we've seen another city that's been willing to be as forthcoming, is the access to the huge number of people that will enjoy and learn from and benefit from these parcels. It's tremen -- Homer is right there, this a place that's very accessible to people. And it's remained the it's a tremendous educational opportunity on sort of the whole, not only the system and the resources that are being protected but to the larger issues of the -- I'd say the spill, the damage resources as well as the resources that -- like migrating shorebirds. So it's a terrific educational and access opportunity.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I do have one 24 quick question, for probably the Mayor, and that has to do with 25 fill. We have a copy here of the appraisal report for Trust

12

for Public Lands and there's some pictures that show before and after fill activities by the current land owners. What would -- after winter storms a lot of this is washed away, what would be the fill activities of the city if they managed this property?

MR. CUSHING: When you say the current land owners, you talking about the industrialized strip where all the intertidal lands have been filled, out toward the tip from here or -- there's not been really any fill on this land, this is the last remaining intertidal land on the inside of the Spit and there hasn't really been any fill in there.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Sorry. Trying to find the 14 page in particular I was looking at where they showed post-fill 15 activities on, I thought, the parcel that we were looking at. 16 Let me see if I can find the page. Barry, do you see that?

MR. ROTH: It's the boundary between the city 18 piece, the Lot 6B, and a portion of 6A, there has been fill 19 there by the -- but those parcels are out -- that's the Herman 20 and Thompson property and the fellow that has all the boats, 21 that's....

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Okay. Parcel 1 -- we are at a 23 disadvantage, we don't really have the map you have in front of 24 you, but looking at page seven it says, "Looking northwest 25 along the beach frontage of Parcel 1 after the owners-filled

1, right. MR. CUSHING: Oh, I'm sorry, yeah, okay. Those pieces there, the city has -- typically on that we've been 5 letting those parcels go and just migrate with the city -- with 6 the winter storms -- I know where you're talking about, out 7 toward the end of the Spit. We've been letting Mother Nature run its course on the outer beach there. We've been asked in 9 the past to get involved and put rip-wrap (sic) out there on 10 city property in conjunction with the state efforts -- federal 11 and state efforts to protect the road. We've pretty much 12 declined to get involved. We said that the road should be 13 protected through state efforts but the city is -- it's not 14 within our Comprehensive Plan or desire to be trying to 15 maintain fills on the outer beach. And so the city hasn't. 16 We've just let the storms take their course and whatever --17 however it's left -- it tends to sometime erode, sometimes it 18 acretes (sic) and builds up. 19 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams, does that 20 answer your question? MS. D. WILLIAMS: Bottom line, you wouldn't do 21 22 any fill activities, except to protect the road? 23 MR. CUSHING: Right. And that's not even on 24 these parcels, that's occurring all on state right-of-way. 25 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Okay.

area eroded by winter storm action." We are looking at Parcel

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Molly, could you -or have someone lead us, then, through Beluga Slough?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, Beluga Slough is
the third piece of this -- the packages that the Trust for
Public Lands and the city of Homer brought to the Council.
This is a piece of property owned by one single land owner,
it's 38 acres, it was appraised at \$615,000. This is a large
-- one of the largest pieces of undeveloped land in Beluga
Slough immediately adjacent and accessible from the business
district road. It's a combination of uplands and intertidal
lands, so the acquisition of the property would provide
protection for species that use both habitats.

The estuarine wetlands support intertidal vegetation 14 and invertebrates that are also of special significance to 15 migratory birds. It's used extensively during the spring 16 migration with tens of thousands of migrating shorebirds which 17 also use the uplands for roosting at high tide. In addition 18 these lands are of significant value to a variety of water fowl 19 species. So, again, the combination of biological, 20 productivity and diversity combined with the aesthetic value 21 makes these of importance to the tourism and recreation 22 services.

The potential threat to this piece of property is very 24 high. It has substantial development potential, as indicated 25 by the value of 615,000, the appraised value. Most of which is

25

derived from the upland portion of the parcel. And I think where this particular parcel fits in terms of an overall 3 package is that it's combined with other city, federal and state land in the Beluga Slough area which once again fills an 5 important piece of protecting a large aspect of the Beluga 6 Slough area. And this is immediately adjacent to the city's 7 water and sewer treatment center, and I did request the Mayor to ask the city if they would consider moving that as part of 9 the deal and he thought it was probably unlikely. 10 MR. CUSHING: Good idea though. 11 MS. McCAMMON: A good idea. 12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer. 13 MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman. Ms. McCammon, 14 thank you for the explanation. I noticed that this parcel is 15 subdivided leaving a strip along the road open to development 16 but then we're still acquiring considerable uplands in here, is 17 this -- that's obviously where most of the value is in terms of 18 appraised value. 19 MS. McCAMMON: That's correct. 20 MR. PENNOYER: Is there a reason why this was 21 divided up in the way it was? 22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Isn't that state right-of-23 way or am I wrong? 24

I'm not sure.

MS. McCAMMON: It's my understanding that it's

MR. PENNOYER:

15

16

state right-of-way and as a matter of fact they're in the 2 process now of redoing the road and taking a little bit of the 3 curve out of it and with the idea, I think, it may even take a 4 little chunk off one corner when they redo it, but it is state 5 right-of-way. And Mayor Cushing may be able to address that. MR. CUSHING: Yeah, there's a section line that 7 runs down through there, I believe it's a section line, is --8 the little chunk north of that right before the state right-of-9 way is a strip of city land. And that's been -- there's an RV 10 dump station in that right now and a pull off and there's some 11 gravel that's been stockpiled in that and a bunch of that on 12 the east end is -- has been deeded over to the Department of 13 Transportation for the rebuilding of the by-pass road through 14 there.

> CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, just a couple of 17 follow-ups then. Then how is the decision made as to where to 18 subdivide the rest of the uplands in terms of value to us 19 because this -- most of the value of this property in terms of 20 appraised value has got to be in the very short part of the 21 upper boundary there.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: My understanding is that 22 23 that is the parcel. I mean, what.....

24 MR. PENNOYER: Oh, this is the actual parcel? 25 MS. McCAMMON: This is the actual parcel, yes.

```
00096
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: This is the actual parcel.
1
                   MR. PENNOYER: Whole parcel, okay. It wasn't
  divided in any way, this is just.....
                   MS. McCAMMON: No.
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                     No.
                   MR. PENNOYER: And can I have one more
7
  follow-up question?
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yes, Mr. Pennoyer.
9
                   MR. PENNOYER: The Trustee Council has decided
10 that we need to do these two actions together because they're
11 "linked" and I've heard that and I'm not sure what the linkage
12 is. Obviously the values, I think, obviously. Ms. McCammon,
13 I'll ask you that, the values for restoration are not exactly
14 the same between these two, Mud Bay versus this?
15 acquisition of additional easements are different, the rate of
16 development on the Spit of intertidal areas is different.
17 not saying that this isn't valuable, I'm just saying I'm not
18 sure what the linkage is that requires that they -- that
19 somehow there's an inner energy between these two parcels
20 that's required to consider all at once. And if you could
21 elaborate on that I'd like to know what that is because there's
22 obviously an extra reason for doing it.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, I think part of the
24 linkage, as I understand it, and again it's not a real --
25 sometimes we have some real direct kinds of linkages but I
```

5

think here where we're asking the city to go -- and they're 2 being apparently willing to go very far out on the Spit, to add 3 in value on the sides of it -- of things, this is a parcel that 4 they are very concerned about, would like a lot of protection. They're willing to throw in, as I understand it, \$41,000 to 6 help us on this, and the sort of view this whole thing as a 7 package that works together to take care some of the city's 8 highest conservation priorities.

9 Mayor Cushing might be able to speak more to that. 10 MR. CUSHING: Thank you. Yes, this particular 11 piece -- both of them are highly used by similar shorebirds 12 that a great part of our Shorebird Festival economy is now 13 based on and they're both -- they're equally used spots during 14 viewing season, during migration season. Of course, one being 15 right off the road there, that's where most of the people set 16 up on the road are looking into this area. And then there's 17 Mud Flats.

The Beluga Slough -- they're each unique also in some 18 19 other ways. The Beluga Slough ties directly back into the 20 Maritime Refuge Headquarters Center that -- and they have the 21 bulk of the land to the south and west of the Beluga Slough 22 parcel. So that whole area -- just the integrity of that whole 23 area is certainly going to help the various other projects that 24 we have going in town, the Maritime Refuge Center as well the 25 NERRS Program that we plan to headquarter in that same

00098 building. 2 MR. RUE: If I might add? This is Frank again. 3 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue. MR. RUE: I think if you sort of stand back 4 5 even further than where we are now there's a -- you just 6 establish critical habitat area right over on the right side 7 here if you go up around the airport area, which I believe --8 you know, you're going to have sort of a horseshoe shape of 9 really valuable areas that are now going to be linked going 10 from upland habitat in the critical habitat area by the airport 11 down through this Beluga Slough and around the corner on both 12 -- you know, on the Spit as well as this -- both sides of the 13 Spit actually will now be public lands, you know, protected 14 status. So it's going to have a very -- I mean it's kind of 15 linked as a package in addition to what the Mayor has just 16 talked about. 17 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Wolfe. 18 MR. WOLFE: Who owns the parcel or the property 19 between the Slough and the parcel that we're looking at right 20 now, Beluga? MR. CUSHING: Okay, I -- this is the Mayor. 22 That came up, was pointed out that that was a concern, so what 23 I did was is I took -- I looked into that during lunch and I 24 was under the impression it was owned by the residents, the 25 local residents that had -- right along in the little

residential portion right south of that, it's two doctors in town that live out there and had picked it up. I called them and that was, in fact, the case, they had purchased that piece of land so that they could protect it, to keep someone else from coming in and -- in there under -- and making an RV Park or something out of it. That is still their intent. I asked them at lunch if they would be willing to allow city to zone that into our conservation district and I was told they would. They would let that go, so I feel that we have a willing land owner that would allow and that's a super major down zone on that piece.

12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Mr. Wolfe.

MR. WOLFE: I guess without some assurance that 14 that was protected you really could very easily lose the value 15 of the other property for habitat, other then -- well, it 16 certainly wouldn't necessarily marine related if they filled in 17 there and had authority to fill in there.

18 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, that whole area fills 19 in at high tide.

MR. PENNOYER: With water?
CHAIRMAN TILLERY: With water.

MR. PENNOYER: But could they extent -- 23 Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry, could they extend the fill out to 24 where that yellow line is so that the Slough would revert 25 itself onto our property, that you'd lose that?

00100 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I guess, I'm not sure where they would start from. 3 MR. PENNOYER: Well, the property they own over 4 here, I suppose. 5 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, they have to bridge the -- because there is a continual outflow. 7 MS. FAY: It's a creek. MR. PENNOYER: Continual flow, they'd have to 8 9 bridge it. 10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Or something. 11 MR. WOLFE: I don't know. I don't know the 12 answer, but.... MR. CUSHING: Even if they wanted to, which 13 14 they apparently don't, that would probably be one of the more 15 complex Corps permits going in the state to get fill permitted 16 in there. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I would think it would be. 17 18 So I guess the answer is there's not something definitive at 19 this time, there is some good indications, and it sounds like 20 the city is willing to follow-up on this and, possibly, I 21 assume, even approach them about donating an easement which 22 might give them then some tax benefits or whatever? MR. CUSHING: We could certainly approach them 23 24 on that.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The Trust for Public Land is

25

```
00101
   very good at those kinds of things.
                   MR. CUSHING: I've known the owners for years
3
  and if anybody in the town would be open to that they would be.
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Mr. Pennoyer.
5
                   MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman. Actually,
6 Ms. McCammon, you told us on the Mud Bay part, you reiterated
7
  some of the restoration values associated with the property, I
  don't recall that you did this specifically here, do you.....
                   MS. McCAMMON: I did when I started, but
10 basically it's a combination of uplands and intertidal
11 resources that are protected here. There are estuarine
12 wetlands that support the intertidal vegetation and
13 invertebrates that are of special significance, primarily to
14 shorebirds, migrating shorebirds. And this is especially in
15 the spring migration when you have tens of thousands of
16 shorebirds migrating through. So it's a combination of the
17 intertidal areas plus supported by the uplands, which support
18 -- which are roosting areas for these birds. There's also
19 non-injured oil spill resources there, there have been bear on
20 the land, moose have used it, and other wildlife resources too.
           But I think again when you look at this parcel, and I
22 think as Commissioner Rue pointed out and as the Mayor has
23 pointed out, this provides another piece in the linkage of
```

24 providing these long units of protection throughout the Spit

25 area, around the airport and in the Beluga Slough area.

00102 MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.... 1 MS. McCAMMON: And that is one thing we don't 3 have is an overall map showing all of those, which.... MR. RUE: Right, it's actually -- it's really 5 quite a unique area given -- it's right in the community. MR. PENNOYER: Ms. McCammon, I think that's the 7 explanation I think I wanted on the record because obviously we 8 could look statewide at linkages and total visitors in the 9 state, but in terms of the Homer area, this in a very much 10 smaller area, is a linkage that does occur and distribute 11 visitor use in the area, which we know is quite heavy. 12 this assists in that, so thank you for the explanation. 13 MS. McCAMMON: I should also mention this is 14 tied into the Alaska Maritime Refuge that's building a new 15 visitor center, pending funding. And I believe that's on this 16 parcel -- this piece of the land over there, right off the road 17 over -- right off the Homer by-pass road, and their intent is 18 to have boardwalks and trails that connect throughout the 19 Beluga Slough and out over to the berm. MR. PENNOYER: And, Ms. McCammon, then all of 21 that green area is basically protected now, in essence, by 22 this? MS. McCAMMON: Well, as long as you go passed 23 24 the city water and sewer plant, yes. 25 MR. PENNOYER: Well, Ms. McCammon, as long --

00103 you did suggest that be moved, and as the Mayor said, that's 2 probably a nice idea, but not practical at the moment, but yes, that's -- I understand that. So we might have a boardwalk 4 going outside that area to our property then? 5 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. MR. PENNOYER: Property we purchase, rather. 7 One last question, Mr. Chairman, if I might? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer. 9 MR. PENNOYER: So is the disposition of this 10 purchase if we do it the same as the other part? It goes 11 over.... 12 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. 13 MR. PENNOYER: And how does that relate to the 14 rest of this green area (indiscernible - interrupted)..... MS. McCAMMON: The city would own and would 15 16 manage that land subject to a conservation easement owned by 17 both the state of Alaska and by the federal government. MR. PENNOYER: But this green part over here is 19 actually managed by the federal government? 20 MS. McCAMMON: Some of it managed by the city, 21 some of it is state of Alaska and some of it is Fish and 22 Wildlife Service.... 23 MR. PENNOYER: It's mixed? 24 MS. McCAMMON:and I don't have the actual

25 description of the ownership there, but it's mixed government.

24

25 affirmatively)

MR. PENNOYER: But there is some MOA or something -- an agreement so that somebody doesn't build a 3 boardwalk and have to cut it off and then pick it up somewhere 4 else? Does this plan -- there's a plan for this area that 5 manages the use of all land? MS. McCAMMON: It's my understanding that Fish 7 and Wildlife Service there does have a plan already in place 8 for the boardwalk, for their visitor center and I believe that 9 they have already had plans on how it would cross this portion 10 of the property that's under consideration today. MR. CUSHING: We do have that, Molly, and on 11 12 the portion that's over on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 13 area it was city funds that we were going to use to build that, 14 so we just signed all Memorandums of Understandings and the 15 necessary easements we need to do to get this -- to start 16 construction on the boardwalk this year. 17 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there any other 18 questions about these or does anyone have a motion? MR. RUE: I guess I would -- I don't have any 19 20 more questions. This is Frank. I would make a motion that we 21 have a draft resolution, at least, before us and so I'm not 22 sure what form the motion would be in, whether it would be this 23 resolution....

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: (Nods his head

00105 MR. RUE: Okay, I would move that -- I'm looking at the Chairman here for guidance. 3 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Correct. The one 4 difference, as I understand it, between this resolution and the 5 discussion we've had is that this resolution does not reference MR. RUE: 7 Yeah. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And so then if that were 8 9 added into subparagraph 7(j), I guess or 7(2)(i) it should be. 10 MR. RUE: Yeah, right, making this contingent 11 on the city giving an easement to the state and the federal 12 government on Parcel 6A. 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And as well as the others. 14 MR. RUE: As well as the others, right. 15 would be added into paragraph seven? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It's 7(2)(i) it should be, I 16 17 believe. MR. SWIDERSKI: This draft, this copy is 18 19 missing page three. I don't know if anyone else is, but..... 20 (Indiscernible - multiple voices at same time) 21 MR. PENNOYER: We're just trying to figure 22 out.... Deciding about where we would put the 23 MR. RUE: 24 MS. FAY: Do you have page three? 25 MR. RUE: No, I don't have page three on mine

```
00106
  either.
2
                   MS. McCAMMON: Nobody does.
3
                   MR. RUE: Why is that?
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, it looks like it is
5
  7(j).
6
                   MR. SWIDERSKI: It's 7(j), yeah.
7
                   MR. RUE: Are we missing a page or did it just
8 paginate wrong?
                  MR. SWIDERSKI: You're missing a page -- you're
10 missing page three. For some reason page three didn't get
11 copied.
12
                           Does anybody have page three here?
                   MR. RUE:
13
                   MR. SWIDERSKI: What I'm concerned about is
14 that may not be the original.....
15
                   MR. WOLFE: May be we ought to have a
16 general....
17
                   MR. RUE: Should we take an at ease here or
18 should we do a general motion and then have a specific
19 resolution before us?
20
                   MR. WOLFE: Let's do that.
21
                  MR. RUE: Can we make a general motion?
22 guess I would suggest a general motion that we offer to buy
23 these parcels at the appraised value, with contingent on an
24 easement from the city on the adjacent parcels that have been
```

25 discussed, and we ought to list the parcel numbers, which I

```
00107
  don't have before me. Is that okay? And then we'll get the
   specific language of the resolution?
3
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I believe that the.....
4
                   MR. RUE:
                           Does that work as a motion?
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: ....appraised value --
  we're actually paying less than the appraised value, as I
7
  understand it, because the city is donating $41,000. Is there
  any other changes to the appraised value way of describing it?
8
9
  So that....
10
                   MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman.
11
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: ....essentially if you're
12 offering a motion to purchase these lands subject to conditions
13 substantially similar to those in this draft resolution, with
14 the addition of 6A, is that -- so what I'm hearing.....
                  MR. RUE: That's -- yeah, yeah, I'm trying --
15
16 I'm stumbling my way toward that resolution.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, we'll accept that
18 motion. Is there a second?
19
                   MS. FAY: I'll second it.
20
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Seconded by Ms. Fay.
21 there discussion on the motion?
                   MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman.
22
                  MS. D. WILLIAMS: Do you have page three there,
23
24 and if you do, can you fax it to us in Anchorage?
25
                  MR. SWIDERSKI: We're getting it printed.
```

00108 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We're getting it and we will fax it to you. We have sort of a copy here but we want to 3 print it out to make sure it's in the same order again. Do you 4 want those -- would you like to put off this just for a few 5 minutes while we get that to you? MR. RUE: We can take an at ease or move on to 7 something. 8 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, we probably -- well. 9 MR. RUE: Could we take an at ease for three 10 minutes? 11 MR. PENNOYER: How long does this take? 12 MR. SWIDERSKI: They're printing it right 13 there. For some reason when it printed out it didn't print 14 three. 15 MR. RUE: Maybe we can discuss where Parcel 6A 16 would go in the list, because I think it's on page four, isn't 17 it? 18 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, it would go under (j). 19 MR. WOLFE: I'm not -- Mr. Chair. 20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Wolfe. 21 MR. WOLFE: You know, I haven't had a chance to 22 review this, nor has our counsel had a chance to review this. 23 I generally agree with the concept and what's being proposed 24 but to agree to a specific resolution at this time makes me

25 uncomfortable.

00109 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, I think the motion, though, is to purchase it subject on a resolution substantially 3 similar to this form. We may have to make a few little changes 4 here and there but with these essential -- with these terms is 5 what the motion is, so it's not..... MR. PENNOYER: So, Mr. Chairman, then we 7 wouldn't do anything further than a general group at this meeting and you come around to us individually for signature on 9 the resolution? 10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We come around individually, 11 that's correct. MR. PENNOYER: That's fine. 12 13 MR. WOLFE: That's fine. 14 MR. PENNOYER: Thank you, I agree to that. 15 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. 16 MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, there's just one 17 other issue and that is, is the \$41,000 to be applied to the 18 Beluga Slough parcel and just the Beluga Slough parcel because 19 that the way the resolution draft is kind of..... 20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Right, that's my 21 understanding. Is that correct, Mayor Cushing? MR. CUSHING: Yes, the \$41,000 would be applied 22

25 feel I can sell 6A to my Council right now. The one concern

And while I have the air here, just the on thing that I

23 to the Beluga Slough parcel.

00110 that I know that's going to come up is if some parts of that, right parallel to the right-of-way, do have existing city 3 utilities on it now, so if we could structure this such that as 4 part of that conservation easement we have the rights necessary 5 to maintain and upgrade size, as necessary, our utilities out 6 to the end of the Spit. 7 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: My understanding is that the 8 Council is amenable to that conservation easement, having a 9 utility function in it as well as something that accommodates 10 potential parking facilities there on 6A, albeit not the other 11 ones. 12 MR. RUE: Right, that was my understanding of 13 the discussion. 14 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Wolfe. 15 MR. WOLFE: He'll need a utility corridor 16 across part of the property that we're acquiring also, will he 17 not? I thought I heard him saying that. All the way to end of 18 the Spit he said, so are we talking.....

19 MR. PENNOYER: I suspect they already have

20 that. 21

23

MS. FAY: Yeah, he said beyond.

MR. WOLFE: Yeah.

MS. FAY: But aren't we in front of the part

24 that goes beyond?

MR. RUE: I'm not sure.....

```
00111
                   MS. McCAMMON: It's connected.
1
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We will take whatever steps
3 are necessary to preserve that utilities.
                  MR. WOLFE: What were talking about is a
5 utility corridor not....
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Correct, yeah.
7
                   MR. WOLFE: And if we could describe that to
8 some limits, it would help, yeah.
                   MR. SWIDERSKI: I think we can structure that
10 in the easement, Mr. Chair.
11
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.
                   MR. CUSHING: It would just be a very, very
12
13 small, almost negligible portion of this entire -- all these
14 pieces that we're talking about.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer is going to
15
16 have to leave and Mr. Hines will be sitting in for him. At
17 this time if it is -- if the Council would like to take a vote
18 on this before he leaves that would be appropriate, I think.
19 Ms. Williams, are you okay with going ahead and voting before
20 Mr. Pennoyer leaves?
21
                   MS. D. WILLIAMS: Yes.
22
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. The motion has been
23 made and seconded. All in favor say, aye.
                   IN UNISON: Aye.
25
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Opposed?
```

```
00112
1
            (No opposing responses)
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The motion carries. And we
3 will be getting back to you with specific resolution for your
4
  review and signature.
5
                    MR. PENNOYER: Okay, thank you.
6
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much.
7
           MR. CUSHING: Thank you very much. (Mr. Pennoyer departs, Mr. Hines sits in - 2:16 p.m.)
8
9
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much, Mayor.
10
                    MR. RUE: Thank you very much, Mr. Mayor.
                    MS. D. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mayor. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, the next item on the
11
12
13 agenda is discussion on EVOS investments. I know that
14 Department of Revenue is waiting very patiently. Molly, can
15 you bring us into that?
                    MS. McCAMMON: Yes. Mr. Chairman, in your
16
17 packet under the tab "Investments" is a draft issues paper that
18 I prepared with Traci Cramer our Director of Administration and
19 with the assistance of the folks with the Alaska Department of
20 Revenue, Division of Treasury. And what this is in response
21 to, basically, is the Council's direction to me to see if there
22 was a way to increase the rate of return on EVOS funds and
23 reduce the costs of investing those funds. And I believe all
24 of you are aware that we are working with congressional
25 legislation to give the EVOS settlement an exemption from the
```

requirement that those funds be kept in the federal treasury and currently in the Court Registry Investment system.

If we are successful with that legislation, this would 4 -- we would then have to go back to the court to get a court 5 order to change where the funds are currently invested. In 6 anticipation, and maybe, hopefully, not to overly optimistic 7 anticipation, of getting passage of that I have been working 8 with Traci Cramer to look at some various options for both the 9 state's -- the EVOS liquidity account and the reserve fund.

And one thing I did want to mention before we go too 11 much further is on page one of this issues paper we do have an 12 error under Section I, B, Private Investments, on the third 13 line. I do want to correct this right now. When we talk about 14 fees would not be as high as those for CRIS, but more likely 15 along the lines to 30-35 basis point or .3 out of .35 percent 16 of assets. So it's not 30-35 percent, the decimal point slid 17 out there. And thank you, Barry Roth, for your careful 18 editorial eye.

But what this issue paper describes, and I do have Bob 20 Storer, who is Director of the Treasury Division and Michael 21 Chang (sic) here to do a short presentation on this and also to 22 answer any questions you might have. But what this does is go 23 through and explore some of the options available to the 24 Council as options to investing in CRIS.

25 And I think there are three basic options before you.

5

One is to privately invest the funds. And in this case it would probably be doing some kind of a competitive bid and seeking out some profit making entity to manage the EVOS funds and invest them on your behalf.

The second option is to use the Natural Resources 6 Damage Assessment and Restoration Fund or the NRDA account. 7 And this fund is an account managed by the U.S. Department of the Interior for the recovery of natural resources damages and 9 for expenses necessary for restoration. It's the account that 10 the federal government currently houses its criminal 11 restitution funds, it is also the account that's used for the 12 federal funds prior to their disbursal to other federal 13 agencies and used by the Department of Interior.

Their investment scenarios would be similar to the 15 Court Registry Investment system, in other words, they would be 16 limited to investing in treasury bills, treasury securities and 17 keeping the money in the U.S. Treasury but they would not 18 charge the fees that CRIS is currently charging the Council, 19 other than the cost of purchasing the securities.

20 The Council is currently funding four months of Fund 21 Manager Bob Balduf's salary and that's for \$34,800, in large 22 part because he is overseeing the federal expenditure of these 23 funds for restoration projects. That expense is expected to 24 decrease over time. So if the NRDA Fund were to be used for 25 housing, one, either the liquidity or the reserve fund the

18

19

costs of staff time to make those investments would have to be reviewed.

And then the third option is a fund with the Alaska 4 Department of Revenue and in this one we have put some greater 5 detail in terms of what those options are. We asked the 6 Department of Revenue to look at the two accounts that the 7 Council currently has, the liquidity account and the reserve fund. And the liquidity account is the one that the Council 9 uses to access for land payments, contractual land payments, 10 for the Annual Work Plan, and basically for ongoing expenses. 11 The reserve fund, at this point, the Council has just been 12 putting into longer term securities at about -- at 12,000,000 a 13 year and keeping the interest accruing with that account. And Bob -- with the Chairman's permission, Mr. Storer 15 could do a quick presentation on the types of funds and 16 investment scenarios available and answer any questions that 17 the Council members might have.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Storer.

MR. STORER: Thank you. Thank you,

20 Mr. Chairman, members of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Council.

21 It's a pleasure to be here. My name is Bob Storer and I

22 oversee the investments in the Department of Revenue, which

23 include a number of funds, the constitutional budget reserve,

24 the general fund and the retirement system, as well as a number 25 of others. To my left is Michael Chung who oversees all of the

fixed income investments in our department.

What I'd like to do today is talk about the process 3 that we employ in terms of developing an asset allocation for 4 the specific funds that we use. I want to talk about some of the strategies we would recommend employing for your equity or stock market exposure. And then Michael Chung will talk about 7 how we manage the fixed income securities, and that's managed all internally by staff in the Department of Revenue. 9 could discuss our flexibility in reporting.

10 If I may, what the Department of Revenue does is we 11 employ an independent consulting firm to develop what we call 12 capital market assumptions, to develop the proper asset 13 allocation. This firm, every January, presents to -- actually 14 through the retirement system at the Department of Revenue 15 they're market assumptions on how they perceive the equity 16 market, the returns you'll have over the next five years. 17 always use a five year time horizon. We look at fixed income 18 and all the asset classes that a large fund would use.

Not only do we look at expected return, and I emphasize 19 20 this, this is a median expectation, you can earn -- the chance 21 of earning double or less exists but we look in terms of median 22 expectation. We also look at the volatility, which is how we 23 define risk in our investments. We're so well diversified that 24 the risk is market volatility, not losing money in a specific 25 company. And we measure risk or volatility by simple standard

deviation, which I'm sure many of you here are familiar with. But, for example, if the assumption is the stock market will earn 10 percent and the standard deviation is 20 percent. Now we know two-thirds of the time you can expect to have returns between a plus 30 and a minus 10 percent.

Then we also look at the correlation or how each asset class, the relationship between each asset class, not all asset classes move in sync. For example, equity markets -- over time equity markets of fixed income market, international equity markets all move in slightly different manners. And that's the point of diversification so you can aggregate all these different correlations and smooth out the returns, reduce some of the volatility.

We then take all of these assumptions and we have very sophisticated technology in our office and we -- what we do is the we develop a model portfolio, we work with the different funds, we understand their constraints, what their goals are, be it inflation proofing, income, their tolerance for risk, et the specific fund.

If you look in your handout you'll notice on page six 22 there's some recommendations, they're just some ideas for what 23 you can do for the liquidity fund. Now, I'd like to emphasize 24 a couple of things. One, we can literally create any 25 combination of assets you want, these are just simply examples

7

of what we can do and how we can work with staff to create the proper asset allocation for your respective funds. And just the first two lines, short-term pool, intermediate pool, these 4 are just simply two different fixed income pools and then the 5 percentages of each pool that you would invest in. And Michael 6 Chung will explain the composition of those pools in just a minute.

You'll see then in the different mixes we have an 9 expected return, again this is a five year median expectation. 10 Then we do -- we look at the volatility of the respective 11 portfolios and then one of the things that we find is useful is 12 just to show the probability of a negative return. Not only 13 the probability of a negative return in one year, but we also 14 look at it at three and a five year return. We find that's 15 very helpful to people to understand, we take it out of the 16 conceptual act of risk, but what reality can be. So what is 17 your probability of the negative return.

You notice on the liquidity fund this is very 18 19 conservative, it's essentially money market oriented, so 20 there's a very low probability of a negative return. 21 were to turn two pages forward to page eight, you'll notice a 22 longer term fund, which would be the restoration fund, and I 23 emphasize, these are just simply some conceptual ideas, we can 24 get any combination you want. But you'll notice this has 25 equity investment which are more volatile instruments and you

5

can see that your -- naturally if you go down to the expected return you get a significantly higher return, well in excess of 3 eight percent versus more in the five percent return for the 4 conservative fund.

But if you look at a probability and negative return 6 you see an array of anywhere from a 14 percent probability in 7 one year to a 19 percent in a more, what you would call, an aggressive type fund. I think more importantly, and this is 9 the point why you diversify and why would -- this is a longer 10 term fund, if you looked over the five year term you'll see 11 that the probability of a negative return is nominal, in mix 12 one, less than one percent, as an example.

13 So those are how we look at and develop the asset 14 allocation for the respective funds. Now we would employ 15 probably two strategies, the one investment class that we would 16 exclude for purposes of this type of fund would be real estate 17 ill liquid, very difficult to replicate, but we would look at 18 U.S. fixed income, domestic equities and potentially 19 international equities as a diversification.

20 Now, I'm going to talk a little bit about the equity 21 market and how we would recommend approaching it and Michael 22 will talk about the fixed income, but a definitional point, 23 it's an active versus passive.

24 On our equity exposure we use State Street Global 25 Advisors and it's just a passive portfolio. And what these

portfolios are, are -- they are designed not to outperform a 2 benchmark or some market measure, but simply to replicate the 3 performance of that market. A common one you hear for the 4 domestic portfolio would be the S&P 500, but you can get an 5 array of much broader U.S. equity exposure, you can have 6 international equity exposure. The advantages of this approach 7 is it's an extremely low cost way of getting U.S. or international equity exposure. For example, our existing 9 relationship has -- with State Street Global Advisors and the 10 S&P 500 fund is just .01 percent, what we call one basis point. 11 If you were to have an actively managed portfolio it could be 12 considerably more expensive. I was disappointed it isn't 35 13 percent, I thought it would drive me into private enterprise. 14 But this is a low cost way to get a very diversified exposure 15 into the respective markets. 16

We do manage all the fixed income in an active manner 17 and we have benchmarks just you like you have in the U.S. 18 equity market and -- but we manage that internally and actively 19 and in all cases, currently, I'm pleased to say, we have out 20 performed the relative benchmark. Mike will explain a little 21 bit more on that.

But that, very briefly, I know it's been a very long 22 23 day but with that I would like to turn it over to Michael to 24 discuss how he manages the fixed income portfolios. 25

MR. CHUNG: Thank you, Mr. Storer. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. My name is Michael Chung, I'm responsible for the fixed income management for the state. Currently we manage 3 close to 7.6 billion. Looking to page six here of the 4 document, underneath the liquidity fund, let's say, going to 5 the short-term pool, currently we manage closer, in the 6 short-term pool, up to one billion in the short-term liquidity 7 fund for the state. In essence, when we manage the money for 8 the short-term pool the key thing is the time horizons. Time 9 horizon for the short-terms investment is relatively short, we 10 have maximum maturity of 14 months or less. Liquidity is 11 critical to these -- investment in this pool. And current 12 income is all is critical in investment with the short-term 13 pool here.

Going into intermediate pool. Intermediate pool, the 15 time horizon is a little bit longer with a maximum maturity of 16 five years. Liquidity needs is moderate, current income needs 17 is also moderate. We are willing to give up a little bit of 18 those concerns to gain a higher returns over various interest 19 rate cycles. Our research has concluded that, you know, we can 20 get incremental returns from there.

And in the restoration fund -- and on page eight we 22 talk about a long-term pool. Long-term pool in this case here 23 with much longer time investment horizons here. Liquidity 24 needs is minimal and current income needs is also minimal. 25

And all and all the general investment philosophy that

we apply for all of our fixed income investments is very conservative with close to 50-60 percent of fixed income investment for each of these pools comprised of U.S. Treasury or agency securities. The rest is all in high grade investment grade securities. Liquidity is always critical in how we can get out of investments. Preservations of the principle is also critical in investment decision making.

As Bob mentioned earlier, we actively manage the portfolios and we believe over actively managed fashion, over various interest rates cycles and we should be able to outperform the whatever respective benchmarks that we measure our performance against.

MR. STORER: Thank you, Michael. If there's no 14 questions, what I'd like to conclude with and then be happy to 15 answer any questions at that point too, is the reporting and 16 the oversight, if you will. One of the things that we do with 17 all of our funds is a -- what we'd like to believe is a 18 comprehensive investment policy statements, so it's well 19 articulated, everybody understands and expectations are set out 20 front.

The other thing we do is we want to report to all of 22 our funds on how well we're doing, it's our report card. With 23 the retirement system we report quarterly. Now with that it's 24 an independent report, and I have a copy of it right here, it's 25 by an independent firm called Kell & Associates. It shows all

25

our performance by the retirement system funds, fixed income, U.S. stock market and total portfolio, all the relevant 3 benchmarks. And the distinction and why I bring this up is 4 that we -- is that in this document we are compared against 5 other public funds and the private sector. For example, in our 6 fixed income management in the retirement system portfolio, we 7 have our nominal returns, the benchmark, and we are compared 8 about against 400 firms and primarily in the private sector. 9 And I'm pleased to say over the longer term horizon we rank in 10 the 25 percent. And I think that's an important issue. We also get performance from our custodian bank, I keep 11 12 saying independent but I think it's important, it shouldn't be 13 a "trust me" aspect to this. And we get that on a monthly 14 basis. And while we could not provide you the relative 15 performance on what we call that universe comparison, what we 16 can provide you, at your pleasure, would be monthly, quarterly, 17 annual returns, not only of the respective funds, total fund, 18 but against all the other relative benchmarks. 19 And then the last piece, of course, would be the 20 presentation, such as this, hopefully we could work on the 21 flexibility of when, but we'd be happy to come before this 22 Council at their wish to report on performance and 23 accountability issues, et cetera. 24 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yes, Ms. Williams.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: For several reasons I'm making the following recommendation. One, we do have guite a 3 few folks here from the Chuqach region who want to, and 4 appropriately should, have the opportunity hear our discussion about archaeological resources.

But, two, you know, the Council could do one of two 7 things now. We could ask -- and thank you for an excellent presentation. We could ask lots of specific questions about 9 what it mean if we have the flexibility to do this, to have our 10 funds managed by the Treasury Division of the Alaska Department 11 of Revenue. But this is what we need to do. We need to have 12 EVOS staff figure out all our options, you know, and do a 13 comparison chart, so what it would mean to have our funds 14 managed by the Treasury Division of the Alaska Department of 15 Revenue versus, you know, these huge, and I'm on the Capital 16 Board of Directors, so I share the names of the mega-firms out 17 there that manage similar, you know, asset basis, you know, 18 what -- you know, compare those, compare the Permanent Fund 19 option and so forth.

20 And I just don't think it makes much sense, if any 21 sense, to do this during this Trustee Council meeting now. 22 really as a Trustee Council need to have the full spectrum of 23 options in front of us presented in a comparative manner and 24 then if we narrow it down to two, then we can bring, for 25 example, these two gentlemen back and maybe a couple of

5

7

9 10

representatives from one of the, you know, mega-investment 2 firms in the Lower 48 who would argue they would do a better job than these gentlemen, and these gentlemen argue they would 4 do a better job than some of the mega-investment firms in the Lower 48.

The long and the short of it is, I guess, I would recommend that we not spend any further time discussing this 8 now, until we have, you know, comparisons and the staff has done that comparative work for us.

> CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon.

11 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, if I could respond 12 to that. As you'll notice, this is a draft document and what I 13 see this as being is the first discussion of many discussions 14 to come. And what I had hoped from this initial discussion is 15 exactly what you said, Ms. Williams, which is to find out from 16 the Trustees what additional information you would like staff 17 to obtain for you in order to help you make a decision later 18 one. And that was the purpose for having Mr. Storer and 19 Mr. Chung here also was that if there were any questions of 20 them and then we could get additional direction, guidance, in 21 terms of how the Trustees would like staff to go ahead and 22 provide information leading to the ability to make a decision 23 later on.

24 And from what I can tell from your comments there, you 25 would like us to go back and obtain additional information from

the private sector and from others in order to do a comparative analysis of that. And we'd be happy to do that. And I'd like to hear from the other Trustees if there's other additional information they would like to see put forth. 5 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Council members, do we have 6 a sense of -- Commissioner Rue. 7 MR. RUE: Just quickly. Yeah. I guess one 8 thing. I think it's in this sort of analysis, but I think it's 9 important for us to know not only the risk and the costs but 10 also what legal changes we might need to implement any 11 particular strategy. So I think you've got it in this analysis 12 so, if Congress has to change a law or doesn't it or the state 13 has to change a law, we ought to know that if we.... MS. McCAMMON: Congress has to change a law no 15 matter what we do..... 16 MR. RUE: No matter what we do, okay. 17 MS. McCAMMON:other than keeping it in 18 CRIS. We need to go back to the court no matter what we do 19 other than CRIS. 20 MR. RUE: CRIS, okay. 21 MS. McCAMMON: To go directly into a state 22 account requires no statutory provision, to go into the

23 Permanent Fund would require a statutory change, to go into the

24 NRDA account, it's my understanding, requires statutory 25 language that's currently in the Department of Interior

```
00127
  Appropriations Bill. There are.....
                   MR. RUE: How about going to a private firm?
3
                   MS. McCAMMON: I private firm, I don't believe,
4
  would require any statutory change.
5
                   MR. RUE:
                             Just CRIS and the court?
6
                   MS. McCAMMON: Yes.
7
                   MR. RUE: Or the court and Congress?
8
                   MS. McCAMMON: Yes. Yes.
9
                   MR. RUE: All right, well whatever.....
10
                   MS. McCAMMON: I'd be happy to lay that out,
11 too.
                            Right, that's all I'm saying.
12
                   MR. RUE:
13
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I think you're right that
14 needs to be laid out and I guess I had -- I had, actually, a
15 number of questions I'd like to ask you, but I understand there
16 are a lot of people in the villages and other places that are
17 kind of waiting to have a discussion we didn't get to earlier.
           For me to look at this, and I think I -- I looked
19 pretty closely at this and I think I understand the charts but
20 it sure would be helpful if you could just make an assumption,
21 like, we got $50,000,000 and just track it through all these
22 different options so that we can see how they compare.
23 I look at the short-term allocations and I see an expected
24 return between 5.85 and 6.15 percent and I don't even -- if I
25 had a chart next to me that showed me what CRIS was doing, for
```

7

16 17

example, and what NRDA R would do, all those would help. So if there was just something that made everything apples and apples that would be very beneficial to me.

And I -- actually I think your explanations are very 5 helpful, particularly with respect to the equity stuff, I was 6 not sure how that was working, I think I have a better sense of it, but -- Ginny.

MS. FAY: I guess I'd just like to make a point 9 that if you put together a chart like that you need to have net 10 returns and show what the likely cost would be, too.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Absolutely, the different 11 12 fees, I mean, that's a very critical element of it. Because 13 there are some of these that aren't that bad but you get to the 14 fees and it kills you, whereas this is a fairly low fee kind of 15 arrangement.

> Mr. Wolfe, do you have comments about it? MR. WOLFE: (No audible response)

18 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is that then -- would the 19 Council prefer at this time then to move back to archaeology 20 and....

21 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman. 22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon.

23 MS. McCAMMON: I do -- there actually is one 24 potential action item on this issue and that is the fact that 25 in the reserve account now we have approximately six -- we have about six and a half million dollars, and I have the exact numbers here, that -- in securities, the strip ladder securities, that will mature on November 15th, 1997. And under -- when these were invested if the Council takes no action these funds will be deposited into the liquidity account, so unless the Council takes action to reinvest these in a -- further out into new securities, and I would like to ask Mr. Storer and Mr. Chung while they're here if they would have any recommendation or advice to give to the Council in terms of what to do with those funds.

11 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I think not only from the 12 prospective of what is a good investment advice but also in 13 terms of if we're going to make a change is doing something 14 going to get in the way of that?

MR. STORER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, I had the -- Michael and I had the pleasure of working with this Council on developing that portfolio a number of years ago and so while I don't have the information in front of me I remember a fair amount of it. And I think one of the important things that we tried to develop was -- it was simple instructions and that you could invest the money and then not working about insure that you were maximizing return. And the reason, without getting hopefully too esoteric in here, but we didn't want get into cash flows from coupon payments from those securities and that's why you invested in what are known as

7

zero coupon treasury notes. They're quaranteed by the U.S. Treasury and the rate of return -- they function like 3 short-term treasury bills where you -- the cash flow is essentially reinvested internally, so the point that on 5 maturity you get all the funds that you earned and you don't 6 have to worry about the reinvestment.

But the point is that what I would recommend is -- as I 8 remember it, all the maturities were set for November 15th and 9 I would take any funds that you have right now and recommend 10 that you -- the maturing money, if you will, and just leap frog 11 over to one year beyond your oldest maturity and invest in the 12 same instruments from Novem -- with a maturity of November 13 15th.

14 I think there's an important issue here also that I'd 15 like to touch on, and that's that if you're successful, 16 whatever it's managed, it's important to know that what you're 17 investing in is very liquid instruments and you can -- whoever 18 manages your money they can take those instruments and they can 19 work with you to liquidate them, to restructure the portfolio 20 or they can hang on to them and you do not have to worry about 21 the ability to sell or what you do with those securities. And 22 I think that that's an important issue here, too. So I would 23 take the proceeds and I can't -- I honestly can't remember what 24 the last maturity was, it was early in the next century, I'll 25 say about five or six years out and I would just take 2003 and

00131 so I would take whatever the proceeds are and invest it an a U.S. Coupon Treasury Note maturing in November 15th, 2004. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, are there questions 4 about this issue for Mr. Storer? 5 (No audible responses) CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Any questions from 7 Anchorage, Ms. Williams? MS. D. WILLIAMS: No. 9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. We can come back to 10 that one or take care of that now if anybody wants to make a 11 motion or whatever. We do have to deal with this before we 12 have another meeting so we are going to have to deal with that 13 this meeting. 14 Ms. McCammon. 15 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I guess I would 16 again as staff to present us a short memo with the options on 17 this. And my quess is we're going to have some kind of meeting 18 before November 15th where we could act on a specific 19 recommendation, be it U.S. Coupon Treasury Note or whatever. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon, are we likely 21 to have a meeting? I thought there was a time problem. MS. McCAMMON: At this point we have no meeting 23 scheduled until the first week of December. That doesn't mean 24 we couldn't have a short teleconference meeting for a specific 25 purpose with a lot of alternates for the Trustees if we need

```
00132
  to, but it -- we had a real difficulty in the next month to six
  weeks getting enough folks together for an actual in-person
3
  meeting.
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Well, we can probably
5
  -- I think you're right, we can probably get together for a
6 teleconference, a continuation meeting or something.
7
           Okay. Are there -- other Council members have any
8
  further sort of questions on where we are on this now or
9
  comments?
10
           (No audible responses)
11
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Well, thank you very
12 much for coming, I'm sorry we were late getting to you and.....
13
                   MR. STORER: No problem, it's our pleasure,
14 thank you very much.
15
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                      Thank you very much.
16
                   MS. FAY:
                           Thank you.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, that brings us back to
17
18 the discussion on archaeological restoration. We've indicated
19 earlier, to some extent we've done that during the public
20 comment period. Ms. McCammon, who is bringing that discussion
21 to us?
                                 Mr. Chairman, before -- we need
22
                   MS. McCAMMON:
23 to do one technical budget amendment today, too, but.....
```

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, why don't we get.....

MS. McCAMMON:let's do archaeology, yeah.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We're not going to lose them. As long as we got voters, let's go ahead and do archaeology.

MS. McCAMMON: Yeah. In the packet under
Archaeology there is a memorandum from myself to the Trustees
describing -- briefing you, basically, on kind of the history
of archaeological repositories in Prince William Sound and
Lower Cook Inlet. This goes back -- dates back to March, 1993
when the Council first agreed to contribute one and a half
million toward construction of the Alutiiq Museum for the
purpose of storing archaeological artifacts from the Kodiak
Island Archipelago.

In January, 1994, the Council asked the Department of 14 Natural Resources to develop a plan for involving local 15 communities in the restoration of archaeological resources. 16 That final report recommended a regional repository and local 17 display facilities, however, it also very clearly indicated 18 that this was not -- that there was no consensus among the 19 communities in the region on this issue.

In April of '95 the Chugach Heritage Foundation 21 submitted three proposals to plan and to design repositories 22 and train village residents to operate them. Later that same 23 year in August the Council authorized over \$200,000 for the 24 Chugach Heritage Foundation to plan for community based 25 archeological restoration projects. The goal of this planning

7

effort was to go out to the communities and to see if a consensus in the region could be developed on future 3 restoration of archaeological resources.

At the same time Chenega Corporation had requested 5 funds for a repository in Chenega Bay. The Council deferred a 6 decision on that project until the completion of the planning project. And in the meantime the corporation has decided it 8 does not intend to pursue that.

In November of 1996 the final report for Project 96154 10 was released, you all have copies of that report. The 11 recommendation from the planning effort, which was a community 12 based planning effort, recommended that the Council contribute 13 \$4,000,000 towards the construction of an artifact repository 14 in each of the eight villages in the planning area.

Since that time the invitations for project proposals 15 16 have discouraged proposals for repositories until the Council 17 decided whether to invite proposals for that purpose and how to 18 structure the invitation.

19 The Public Advisory Group met on this issue a number of 20 times and probably their most comprehensive meeting was in 21 March of 1997. They were not able to make a recommendation at 22 that time, they asked for additional information from the 23 villages in terms of what their actual interests were in each 24 individual village. In response to that and in response to the 25 Trustee Council's request for additional information I did send

1 a letter to all of the village councils. Most of them 2 reiterated their support for repositories in each village.

In August of 19 -- of this year, Chugach Alaska
Corporation requested 2.3 million to develop an artifact
repository and cultural center in Seward for the Chugach
region. Develop traveling exhibits and approve village
facilities to accommodate the exhibits. They -- however, after
submitting their proposal they did send a letter to the Council
reiterating their support for individual community repositories
as the preferred option and clarified that their proposal had
noly been submitted if the Council chose not to support that
scenario.

Since that time CAC has continued to negotiate their 14 lease with the city of Seward for the railroad depot and they 15 have committed to spending half a million dollars from 16 corporation funds on renovation of the facility for a cultural 17 center.

It seems like through all of the planning efforts what 19 the options that have narrowed down for the Council's 20 consideration are primarily two. The recommendation of the 21 community based plan, which is for individual repositories in 22 each of the eight communities or some scenario with a regional 23 -- region-wide repository plus some kind of renovations to 24 existing facilities or some new facility for displays plus 25 accompanying traveling exhibits.

In the Restoration Plan itself the Council acknowledged the restoration value of repositories and the display of archaeological exhibits in communities. In fact, one of the restoration strategies is to protect sites and artifacts from further injury and store them in appropriate facilities. They could be protected from further injury through the reduction of looting and vandalism or the removal of artifacts from sites and storage in appropriate facilities. Opportunity for people to view or learn about the cultural heritage of people in the spill area would also provide protection by increasing awareness and appreciation of cultural heritage and would replace services lost as a result of irretrievable damage to some artifacts.

In looking at the various discussions over the past year it seems like the major threshold question before the Council is the issue of individual repositories in the eight communities. And I think it's been very difficult for the communities to even consider other alternatives since this is their preferred option, and they've been very clear on that and very unanimous in their support of that. And I think before -- if the Council would like them to consider other alternatives this -- the issue of whether this is something the Council wants to support needs to be addressed first.

24 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Are there questions 25 for Ms. McCammon or comments from Council members?

00137 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman. 1 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Williams. 2 MS. D. WILLIAMS: I think we've heard a very 3 4 clear request by the people who testified today and whatever we 5 do today -- the discussion motion and so that there's the 6 opportunity for a meeting when a lot of representatives of the 7 communities will be here during the AFN Convention with a large -- you know, all the Trustee Council or, I guess, a large 9 subset of the Trustee Council. I wanted to ask Molly her views 10 about that procedural question or issue and also how many folks 11 we could get together in mid-October to have that discussion. MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman. 12 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon. 14 MS. McCAMMON: It's -- we're currently planning 15 to have a meeting of the community facilitators in Anchorage on 16 either October 20th or 21st, in advance of the AFN meeting, so 17 I think that is very doable. In addition the Public Advisory 18 Group is scheduled for a day and a half meeting in Anchorage on 19 November 4th and 5th. 20 MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Rue. MR. RUE: Yeah, I think I'm tracking with what 22 23 Ms. Williams is suggesting, which is, we not take any action 24 today except to, perhaps, set up a time for the communities or 25 representatives from the communities to give us feedback on

some specific proposals. And I quess I think from the dis -- I missed some of the public comment, I believe, this morning, but I'd certainly be interested in hearing from communities on what 4 they think about the draft resolution that you had in this 5 pamphlet. I'd be interesting in hearing -- you know, let folks 6 look at that, give us their thoughts on that, does that work, 7 how would they suggest amending that, or do they still think individual repositories in every community is the only way to 9 go? I'd be very interested in having more discussion on that. 10 And talking also specifically about what kinds of facilities 11 people want in the communities given some of the options and 12 the costs that they're going to face. I think that would be a 13 good thing to hear more about. I know I'd like to have 14 specific feedback on the proposal that you have in here, 15 whether that kind of help meets their needs or doesn't meet 16 their needs, and if not, why. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Mr. Wolfe. 17 18 MR. WOLFE: Mr. Chair, I'm still toying with 19 whether or not the villages have looked at and considered all

MR. WOLFE: Mr. Chair, I'm still toying with 19 whether or not the villages have looked at and considered all 20 the costs that are associated with local repositories or if 21 it's clearly understood that the artifacts that are returned, 22 if they were returned, to the local repositories, would they 23 have to meet the standards for federal protection? And I'm not 24 sure that the villages understand that yet or agree with it. 25 I'm not sure which it is, but it -- but if I'm hearing right it

has to meet that standard if it is a repository. And if that's the case then it would be good if when we do meet to discuss this further that we have a common basis for where we're talking from when they request a village or a local repository, that everybody understands that it involves the costs that's associated with protecting the artifacts to the same level as what would be protected in the facility at Fairbanks. That way we can at least be talking apples and apples rather a mixture of things.

And certainly -- I think Deborah was getting at it this 11 morning, was the whole issue of maybe a long-term display or 12 something to that effect may satisfy their needs. And I think 13 maybe if we could put that out some way to them so that they 14 have a chance to dialogue with us on that issue as a response 15 it would be of some benefit, so I'm okay with having a -- 16 deferring any decision. I think we need to defer a decision 17 today and meet very soon, if we can, to deal with the issue 18 further and hear more discussion, but we certainly need to come 19 to closure on this fairly soon.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Anything

21 else?

20

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I actually -- 23 with respect -- I concur with everything that's been said so 24 far. Now with respect to the draft resolution, after the 25 discussion today, the only thing I would like, perhaps, either

1 to change or have an, you know, alternative point when we 2 discuss this with the community representatives is that the 3 development of traveling exhibits.

I'm starting to develop a different view of that concept, should we pursue that concept than I had before. I guess initially I thought, well, maybe one exhibit, it would go from community to community. I'm much less attracted to that as the alternative. I -- what attracts me and what I would like, at least tentatively, you know, just as a concept to present before the community representatives, would be this notion of, you know, an exhibit per community, so every community would have its own exhibit. It wouldn't have to go from community to community. And that it could be somewhat of a notion of an annual exhibit, so it could change over the years.

Now, of course, this would represent some potential funding in the out years, but we could accommodate that as need be, as it comes up. With an idea that each community could then participate with the central repository, again if we go down this road, in developing their exhibit for that year. And it might be semantic, like, you know, marine mammal hunting cobjects or objects used by women or, you know, some semantic thing. Would use the artifacts, particularly from EVOS but any others that were available, get that to the community, they would have that available for school children, for the

5

community, for tourists and then with a possibility of then having a slightly different exhibit the following year. 3 have artifacts in the community, each community, for a substantial period each year.

And I like the notion, the idea, of really 6 incorporating that into the education of the children, letting 7 people, to the extent that you can, touch them. I'm not sure what the rules are there, but very tangible in the community 9 for a substantial time each year and those which are closest to 10 the community.

So I quess I would just ask that when we discuss this 11 12 with the communities that that be part of the notion, a 13 traveling exhibit, which may mean that \$200,000 -- and I don't 14 know whether the 200,000 was per community or for the whole 15 shebang, 200,000 wouldn't do what I just described, and so I 16 don't know how much per community it would cost to work with a 17 repository to develop one, but, you know, it might be, I don't 18 know, 50,000 per community -- something per community that 19 would be meaningful. Anyway, that's the only variation I would 20 particularly like to put on the table for discussion in 21 October.

22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. From my own 23 perspective I guess I didn't understand this the way you did. 24 I understood this traveling exhibit to be pretty much what you 25 described, which is not one that traveled around, but one that

25

was in each village at all times and that they would just change in the village and new ones would come and things would 3 go back to Fairbanks, or wherever they went, but there would 4 always be something in the village, that was my own 5 understanding of what this meant. And Ms. McCammon is going to 6 tell me whether I was right or wrong. Well, my thinking on it was kind 7 MS. McCAMMON: 8 a variation of the two, which was you'd have six or eight 9 developed exhibits, and I think this cost was reflecting that, 10 that then would be in a community for a long amount of time, 11 six months, a year, whatever, and would change on, you know, a 12 semi-regular basis among all of the communities. 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. 14 MS. McCAMMON: So you would have a bank of 15 exhibits. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, exactly, that's kind 16 17 of what I was -- Mr. Hines. MR. HINES: 18 Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 Just a question of clarification regarding the traveling 20 exhibits. Do they have to be maintained or kept in an approved 21 facility itself with certain standards accordingly and will 22 that add to the expense of doing this particular concept? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: At the risk of getting this

MR. HINES: I'm sorry I missed that.

24 wrong, that was discussed earlier and I think.....

CHAIRMAN TILLERY:the answer is that if you keep them in a repository your dealing with, like, one 3 degree Fahrenheit differential in temperature and strict 4 humidity and stuff. If you make them a traveling exhibit you 5 can't let them get rained on and you got to have heat in the 6 building, I mean, but it's not nearly as expensive or precise 7 as is if it's a "repository up to federal standards". MS. HINES: So each of the villages -- excuse 9 me, Mr. Chairman, each of the village would have to have some 10 type of an approved facility to be able to do that? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: That is correct. And which 11 12 I believe is sort of the proposal under B, which is the 13 \$200,000 per community to develop that kind of a thing. And, Ms. McCammon, if you could, for purposes of people 15 who do want to comment on this draft, if you would clarify what 16 Ms. Williams was asking, which is, is the 200,000 to develop 17 these eight or nine, however many it is, exhibits that would 18 move? 19 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: For all of them, it per 21 exhibit, it's the total? MS. McCAMMON: Yes, it's for all of them, yes. 22 23 Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And is there some budget --

25 I mean some analysis that that's based on?

25

MS. McCAMMON: It was an estimate that our staff made based on some research that she had done. And it 3 may not be the right figure but it was -- it wasn't just pulled 4 out of the air. 5 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Commissioner Rue. MR. RUE: One question, also, and sorry I'm not 7 an expert on archaeology and the standards. I understand there 8 may be a variation in how much money you have to spend to 9 maintain a traveling versus a repository, a traveling exhibit 10 versus a repository. Are there variations in sophistication of 11 your facility based on how delicate the particular items are? 12 For instance, if they're skin garments or something that may be 13 very fragile, that might take a very sophisticated storage 14 facility or repository to maintain those artifacts versus a 15 stone tool or, you know, a metal object or something that may 16 not take the same level of sophistication to maintain its 17 integrity. Can you vary the level of expense and cost to be 18 the "repository" based on the sensitivity or fragility of the 19 exhibit? That's a question..... 20 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, it's my 21 understanding you can, yes. MR. RUE: Because if these aren't very fragile 22 23 items you might be able to do these very -- you know, a little 24 less expensively.

MS. McCAMMON: Yes.

```
00145
1
                   MR. RUE: That would be great.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer was asking that
3 question earlier about....
                   MR. RUE: Oh, was he. Sorry, I missed that.
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well, he was asking it
6 during the break about why is a rock that's been sitting on the
7
  beach for 1,000 years have to be within one degree.....
                   MR. RUE: Yeah, versus a very delicate item.
9
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah. Ms. Fay.
10
                   MS. FAY: Mr. Chairman, I guess the comment I
11 had was from what I think Mr. Lewis said this morning.
12 sounded like it was in a traveling exhibit that the building
13 requirements, he said, might not be more than an office
14 building or a home, so it seems like you might not need 200,000
15 per community to renovate your buildings under those
16 conditions, but you might need more to actually dedicate to
17 constructing your traveling exhibits if you were going to do
18 eight of them here. So maybe -- it looks to me like you've got
19 in the realm of between these -- between B and C, wouldn't you
20 have in the realm of about $1.8 million? We could move it back
21 and forth depending on exactly what their needs were.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I quess what you're
22
23 suggesting is perhaps combining B and C as a lump sum to....
                  MS. FAY: So that you could get the most
25 practical, lowest cost for it because it could be that your
```

00146 building facility might not take as much if you really only need -- I mean maybe some of your school houses -- your schools 3 could.... 4 MR. RUE: Like add on to a school or fix a room 5 in a school? MS. FAY: Have the conditions that are heated, 7 right, that could do this as opposed to you might need more 8 money to actually do a good exhibit. 9 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, there's a certain base 10 that your going to need for display cases and with some 11 environmental controls on them and so forth, but..... MS. FAY: Right. 12 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Anyway, so other --14 Mr. Wolfe. 15 MR. WOLFE: Just one last thought that keeps 16 coming up in my mind in this whole issue on the archaeological 17 side of it is that we have done a lot of work in the 18 archaeological end of it, in the stewardship work and some of 19 the other things that are going on in the field and we're not 20 really displaying as a part of everything that we're doing in 21 the archaeological side. And somehow if we have a session with 22 the villages we ought to put that on the table and talk about 23 how -- what we are doing there, what we plan to do, or at least

24 have in the works and get the whole arena of the archaeological 25 projects that we're working on, on the table and discussed at

7

9

10

11

one time maybe. Because I think we are doing a lot of other positive things and have done a lot of other positive things, too.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: So you kind of suggest it's 5 done like an archaeological workshop as opposed to a meeting or something, is that.....

MR. WOLFE: Well, a one day dealing with the 8 whole issue.

> MS. McCAMMON: Mr....

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, we have done that 12 numerous times, we have had workshops where we have brought in 13 the Valdez Museum, the Cordova Museum, Aaron Crowl with the 14 Smithsonian Arctic Studies Program, Michael Lewis from the 15 University of Fairbanks, the folks from the university who do 16 the training with the North Slope Borough for their small 17 museum program. We've had the communities there. We've had 18 all the information provided in terms of federal regulations. 19 We've had those discussions. We've talked about the other 20 elements of our program.

My concern, and I don't think the Council should take 22 action today, however, my concern is that I would like to have 23 a little more direction from the Council for the one reason, if 24 what you're telling me now is we won't take action now, we'll 25 take action in December, go off and meet with the facilitators

and the Public Advisory Group on this, we have. The facilitators will tell you and Chuqachmiut will tell you that 3 their recommendation is to do local repositories. And you will 4 have that same question back before you on December -- whenever 5 in December. And I quess my question is, is there additional 6 quidance or direction or information that you would like to 7 have in order to help facilitate a decision at that time? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Correct me if I'm wrong, but 9 my understanding was Mr. Wolfe was suggesting that the Council 10 members be present at a session that went -- that was devoted 11 pretty much strictly to archaeology.

MR. WOLFE: Trying to bring us to closure on 12

13 this.

14 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: To -- in other words, it's 15 kind of like a Council meeting but it's just focusing on 16 archaeology and everybody gets to have their say and so forth 17 was, I believe, the proposal. 18

Commissioner Rue.

19 MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I guess I would see it 20 would be a better use of our time, not that it isn't 21 interesting, to have the next meeting do something, like, look 22 at two alternatives, one is the repository in every community 23 and the costs it would take to do that versus this alternative 24 or perhaps slightly amended, as Ms. Williams suggested, for the 25 traveling exhibits and ask the communities to tell us -- well,

you could either have one or two proposals. Perhaps they prefer a repository in every community but it's X millions of dollars per community with this cost.

Or could this other option work if it had traveling exhibits and facilities in each community that could accept and maintain exhibits as well as traveling exhibits. I guess I'd like a reaction to maybe one or two proposals and then we decide. And I think that -- and I guess what we're really asking for -- I'm really asking for is a reaction to a slightly modified proposal of a central repository with exhibits in each community and a facility in each community to accept traveling and/or other exhibits. And does that work for the communities? So maybe just get a specific reaction to that at the next meeting or at an October session as has been requested here by the Chugach Regional Resources Commission in Anchorage that people can afford to come to, right around that AFN session.

18 I think maybe, Molly, is that what you're looking for? 19 Let's get a reaction to this and see if we can move on and made 20 a decision here, either go for one option or the other.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, is the concern of 22 the Council on the individual repositories the cost issue or 23 are there any other concerns or questions or information that 24 you want to have provided to you?

25 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue.

MR. RUE: Yeah, I guess, I have a couple of 1 things, I'll let other speak for themselves. One is the cost 3 issue, but two, I'd be interested in the communities' reaction 4 to perhaps a benefit of having more things come through the 5 community with traveling exhibits. They may actually have 6 access to more artifacts, more things, if it's constantly 7 moving around the region into different communities, rather than each community having a small exhibit that could not be as 9 broad and varied and interesting. And I don't know, I'd be 10 interested in the communities' thinking about that and telling 11 us whether it wouldn't be, you know, more interesting to them 12 or beneficial. So that's two things I'm interested in hearing. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I have a number of concerns 13 14 but I think they really all come back to money because most of 15 them you can buy. I mean security, environmental controls, 16 everything else, they're concerns, but you buy them with money 17 so....

MR. WOLFE: And you maintain them with money.
CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And you maintain them with
money. And the maintenance is not, of course, just the
purchasing of the equipment, but it's the next five to 10 years
that need to be done.

Ms. Williams, we haven't heard from you in a while.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Unfortunately the problem
is isn't -- maybe it's because I have terrible jet lag from

getting back from D.C. last night. The Rolling Stone song "You 2 Can't Always Get What You Want", but, you know, you try and get 3 what you need. I think, in my mind, what I'd like to hear from 4 the community representatives is what are their priority of 5 needs? You know, what I heard today, and it wasn't -- there 6 was no representation that we heard from everyone today that, 7 you know, had a desire and appropriately spoke to it. But I 8 heard -- the most important need I heard today was having 9 artifacts in the community, you know, so you could see, touch, 10 and so forth.

The second one was having a community location. A
12 community location. And I didn't hear, you know, very much
13 having, you know, the archaeological repository, you know,
14 requirements for that, you know, I mean that they need -- that
15 there was that need. I also like Commissioner Rue's comments
16 about, you know, the variety that you might get with, you know,
17 eight traveling displays, that that might share within the
18 region, and I know there's a regional identity and care about
19 the region and that I think that is an asset to this.

So -- and going back to Molly's point, I -- you know, 21 with modifications we've described I am attracted to this 22 proposal. I would like more emphasis on the development of 23 traveling exhibits, to make sure we have enough money in there 24 to have the community involvement and make them really nice. 25 Make them really, really positive, user friendly, informative,

5

reflective of the community, so it would involve a representative from every community working with the central 3 repository or whatever and really making really meaningful 4 exhibits.

And I like -- you know, I like -- you know, I'm 6 attracted to this proposal. Now there may be things I don't, 7 you know, understand but when I was trying to listen as hard as I could to what the primary needs were, there might be a lot of 9 have, you know, all the requirements for a repository for each 10 community, but I'm not sure that's a core need.

So going back to Molly's, I guess I would put this 11 12 proposal in front of the community representatives and say --13 I'd be happy to say that I, you know, and I don't know if the 14 other Trustee Council members agree with me, that we are 15 positively disposed towards this with appropriate input and 16 modifications that meet the needs of the community. And so if 17 -- Molly, do you think that helps the discussion then I would 18 be happy to put this forward as the proposal that we are 19 positively disposed towards, but we certainly want community 20 reaction to it to either make it better or to really clearly 21 point out to us why it is not adequate. Okay.

22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Ms. Fay. 2.3 24

MS. FAY: I would agree with that because I 25 don't think we'll get very many comments on this proposal while

17

there still the hopes that we are likely to go for individual repositories in these communities. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there other comment from the Council members? 5 MR. WOLFE: I'm still feeling like maybe the 6 desire to have individual village repositories are not based on 7 a full understanding of what costs are associated with that. I'm not just talking just the initial costs. And somehow -- I 9 don't know how we reflect that. I know it's noted here that 10 they needed be looking at -- if this proposal here goes out 11 that they need to be looking at revenues to cover the operating 12 costs, but telling them to cover the costs of an exhibit versus 13 a repository, there's two different things and I'm not sure 14 they understand that at this point in time. Or they agree with 15 that concept and I don't know how we get passed that. 16

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon.

MR. WOLFE: You know listening to the 18 discussion this morning I don't think that they agree that a 19 repository is required in order for them to have those 20 artifacts in their village. I really don't believe they agree 21 with that concept. And if that's true, then they have a 22 different vision of what's needed than what we have as -- or at 23 least I have as being needed out there.

24 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Ms. McCammon, do you 25 think you're getting enough of a sense to put together

00154 something or do you think there is any formal action that would be required to make something in October successful? MS. McCAMMON: Well, I don't think formal action is actually desirable, but it -- we've been through 5 three years of discussion on this and we keep in the same --6 we're in the same quagmire we were three years ago and we 7 haven't really made much progress on that. And I'm not sure --I'm sorry, I'm frustrated on this process. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Well, let me explain, 10 I think, I'm kind of counting on, is if you're -- the proposal 11 that you have made is one that I think most Council members 12 have looked at and kind of nodded their head and say "yeah, 13 that sounds good to me" but we're concerned that the 14 communities have a different vision. But, frankly, when I look 15 at it, I'm not sure that their vision is so much different, at 16 least I don't understand how it's different. What I would like to see is when we have our next 17 18 meeting that the communities either come in -- or actually they 19 do both, they come in with an explanation of why their vision

20 is different and how they're going to pay for it. How they are 21 going to pay for the upkeep and so forth on it. Or, which is a 22 good alternative or possibility, would be to look at this thing 23 and are there modifications to this or with just a better 24 understanding of where this is coming from, will this work? 25 Will the draft resolution work with some of the modifications

00155 that we have discussed here today? And, basically, just figure, look, this is the last 3 shot, everybody make your comments now. If you can demonstrate 4 that a completely independent community based repository is 5 financially feasible then bring that demonstration to the next 6 meeting. But currently this is the one that the Council sees 7 as something that would work but can you tell us what's wrong 8 with it because I don't understand what's wrong with this right 9 now. I guess that's where I'm coming from. 10 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Or again, you know, how to 11 make this better. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Or how to make it better, 12 13 exactly right. If they can articulate the concerns and there's 14 a way to change this that works, I'd be delighted. 15 Ms. Fay. 16 MS. FAY: Then implicit in what this resolution 17 says, can we assume that we are, in a sense, setting a ceiling 18 on what the Council is willing to pay for these by what's 19 included in here? Because that's..... 20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: If and when we vote on it, I 21 think, but remember this is still just draft. MS. FAY: Yeah, but that's the issue, they 22

23 might -- it's a question of who pays for the additional costs

24 between traveling exhibits versus having individual

25 repositories, isn't it?

```
00156
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: My sense of prior Council
2 discussions is that this is the ballpark of what the Council is
3 willing to put forward.
4
                   MR. RUE:
                           Which is? The 1.2?
5
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The one that's in the draft.
6
                  MR. RUE: Roughly 1.2 million; is that right?
7
                   MS. McCAMMON: Well, plus the 1,000,000 for a
8 regional -- up to 1,000,000 for a regional so the total would
9 be actually 2.8.
10
                  MR. RUE: Two point eight.
11
                   MS. McCAMMON: It could go as high as 2.8.
12
                  MR. RUE: Instead of four for the individual
13 repositories?
                  MR. WOLFE: Yeah.
14
                   MR. RUE: And is that an accurate cost,
15
16 4,000,000? I mean that was pretty good?
                  MS. McCAMMON: It was half million per
18 community, basically. Eight communities.
                   MR. RUE: And the University Museum folks think
19
20 that would work for a "repository meeting all the federal
21 requirements"?
                  MS. McCAMMON: You could probably -- something
22
23 -- the problem is it doesn't pay for the staff which is
24 required.
25
                  MR. RUE: Right, okay, but was the
```

```
00157
  4,000,000....
                   MS. McCAMMON: Or for the operation and
3 maintenance. You could construct eight facilities to meet the
4 requirements for that.
5
                   MR. RUE:
                            Okay. That would meet the federal
6 regs for $4,000,000?
7
                   MS. McCAMMON: Yes.
8
                   MR. RUE: In each community?
9
                   MS. McCAMMON: Yes.
10
                   MR. RUE: But you wouldn't have any operating
11 or nothing?
                   MS. McCAMMON: You wouldn't have any programs,
12
13 you wouldn't have any displays, you wouldn't have any.....
MR. RUE: Okay, you'd simply have a room or a 15 facility with display cases that met all the.....
16
                   MS. McCAMMON: Yes.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: There wouldn't even be
17
18 display cases, it would be storage facilities.....
19
                   MR. RUE: Oh, storage facilities.
20
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: .....as a repository.
21
                   MS. McCAMMON: Right. So you wouldn't have
22 very much.
                   MR. RUE: Okay.
23
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there other -- any more
24
25 discussion on this point? Ms. Williams in Anchorage?
```

MS. D. WILLIAMS: No. The only thing I guess that I would add because I have a much clearer vision of what I 3 would think would be a desirable traveling exhibit context. 4 One think that puz -- when you look at how the money is 5 distributed here is, you know, the regional repository, the 6 million dollars. I certainly would invite thoughts on, well, 7 you know, if we're going to put more emphasis on traveling 8 displays in the communities and, you know, community additions, 9 you know, 200,000 a community addition to assist with those 10 displays. It's possible that having a regional repository is 11 less important. And if the repository were, say, University of 12 Alaska, it could be a lot less than a million dollars which 13 would free up much more money for the traveling exhibits and a 14 little bit community additions. 15 So I don't want to suggest -- if the communities came 16 in with a different notion about, we'd like to redistribute 17 this dramatically, and if we do have more emphasis on the local

16 in with a different notion about, we'd like to redistribute
17 this dramatically, and if we do have more emphasis on the local
18 traveling -- you know, the displays in the communities, we
19 don't need a million dollars for regional repository, so....
20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. I think that's enough
21 of that....

MR. RUE: I think -- are we at the point where 23 we're saying we like this, where we're well disposed or 24 positively disposed towards this proposal, we want to hear from 25 the communities, what they think....

00159 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: But we want to hear and we got an open mind. 3 MR. RUE: Okay. I think that was the sense I 4 heard. 5 MS. McCAMMON: I have enough guidance, I think, 6 to go forward and put together a meeting, so..... 7 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The last item on the agenda is the technical budget amendment on Project 97180. 9 Ms. McCammon, could you explain that? 10 MS. McCAMMON: Yes, Mr. Chairman, on August 11 6th, the Council included in its motion for the FY1998 Work 12 Plan a provision clarifying that Project 180, the Kenai Habitat 13 Restoration Project, was a capital project. At that time it 14 was my intent that both the FY97 funding and the FY98 funds be 15 considered as capital funds, which means, in essence, that they 16 don't lapse at the end of the fiscal year. Unfortunately the 17 motion as written was unclear in relation to the FY97 funds and 18 for that reason I recommend now that the Council adopt a motion 19 clarifying that the funding for 97180, which is the '97 funds, 20 be considered capital and not lapsing as of September 30th, 21 1997. 22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there a motion? MR. RUE: So moved. 23 24 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there a second? 25 MR. WOLFE: Second.

```
00160
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there discussion on this
2 motion?
3
           (No audible responses)
4
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hearing none, all in favor?
5
                   IN UNISON: Aye.
6
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY:
                                      Opposed?
7
           (No opposing responses)
8
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The motion carries.
           That brings us to the end of the agenda as I read it.
10 Is there a motion to adjourn or do we need to continue this for
11 potential teleconference.....
12
                   MS. McCAMMON:
                                 On investments.
13
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: ....on investments?
14
                   MR. RUE: Need to recess?
15
                   MS. McCAMMON: Recess.
16
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Recess.
17
                   MR. RUE: Why don't we recess because we heard
18 the presentation.
                   CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And we are going to have to
19
20 -- and that's very likely to be a fairly quick teleconference
21 so....
                   MR. RUE: We need a motion to recess. I move
22
23 we recess.
                  MR. HINES: Second.
24
```

MS. FAY: Second.

25

00161

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: All in favor.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We are recessed.

(Meeting recessed - 3:25 p.m.)

```
00162
                       CERTIFICATE
2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                   ) ss.
4 STATE OF ALASKA
          I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the
5
6 State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify:
           THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 161 contain
7
8 a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil
9 Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded
10 electronically by me on the 3rd day of October 1997, commencing
11 at the hour of 10:40 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to
12 the best of my knowledge and ability.
           THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request
13
14 of:
15
                   EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street,
16
                   Anchorage, Alaska 99501;
17
          DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 13th day of October
18 1997.
19
                                   SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY:
20
                                  Joseph P. Kolasinski
21
                                  Notary Public in and for Alaska
22
                                  My Commission Expires: 04/17/00
```