Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Project Final Report

Microbiology of Subtidal Sediments: Monitoring Microbial Populations

Restoration Project 93047-2 Final Report

> Joan F. Braddock Zachary Richter

Institute of Arctic Biology P.O. Box 757000 University of Alaska Fairbanks Fairbanks, Alaska 99775-7000

June 1994

-

Microbiology of Subtidal Sediments: Monitoring Microbial Populations

Restoration Project 93047-2 Final Report

Study History: This study began as a part of NRDA Air/Water Study Number 2 Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Induced Injury to Subtidal Marine Sediment Resources in 1989. Status reports under this study number were submitted in 1989 and 1990. In 1991 the number of the study was changed to Subtidal Study Number 1. The title remains the same. A status report under the new title was submitted in 1991. The final report for Subtidal Study Number 1 (microbiology) was submitted in June 1992, reviewed, then revised in April 1993. No field work was performed in 1992. In 1993 Restoration Study Number 93047 Exxon Valdez Restoration Project: Subtidal Monitoring was initialed. Our project, Microbiology of Subtidal Sediments: Monitoring Microbial Populations, is part of Restoration Project 93047, Subtidal Monitoring: Recovery of Sediments, and Hydrocarbon-Degrading Microorganisms in the Subtidal Environment. The Restoration project was led by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency with cooperation from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation and the University of Alaska Fairbanks. The University of Alaska Fairbanks was responsible for the microbiological portion of the study. Previous findings on microbial numbers and activity in subtidal sediments can be found in two summary reports from Natural Resource Damage Assessment projects: (1) Braddock, J.F., M.R. Brockman, J.E. Lindstrom and E.J. Brown, 1990, Microbial hydrocarbon degradation in sediments impacted by the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill, NOAA Report for contract no. 50-DSNC-8-00141, Washington, DC and (2) Braddock, J.F., B.T. Rasley, T.R. Yeager, J.E. Lindstrom and E.J. Brown, 1993, Hydrocarbon mineralization potentials and microbial populations in marine sediments following the Exxon Valdez oil spill, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Project Final Report, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK. Some of the results from these studies have been published: (1) Brown, E.J. and J.F. Braddock. 1990. Sheen Screen: a miniaturized most probable number technique for oil-degrading microorganisms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56:3895-3896 and (2) Braddock, J.F., J.E. Lindstrom and E.J. Brown. 1995. Distribution of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms in sediments from Prince William Sound, Alaska following the Exxon Valdez oil spill, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 30:125-132. A second manuscript (Braddock, J.F., J.E. Lindstrom, T.R. Yeager, B.T. Rasley and E.J. Brown, Patterns of microbial activity in oiled and unoiled sediments in Prince William Sound) has been accepted for publication in the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Symposium Proceedings.

Abstract: An increase in the biodegradation activity of naturally occurring populations of microorganisms can lead to substantial removal of petroleum from the environment. Therefore, measurements of microbial populations are an important component of contaminated site assessment studies. Following the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill in 1989, we measured numbers of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms and hydrocarbon mineralization potentials of microorganisms in oiled and unoiled surface sediments from the shore through 100 m depth offshore. We found both temporal and spatial variations in numbers and activity of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms with statistically significant higher values at the oiled sites than at reference sites. In the summer of 1993 we returned to ten study sites within Prince William Sound to monitor the changes in the numbers and activities of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms were generally very low at all sites although elevated populations and activities were measured in intertidal sub-surface samples at several sites (Northwest Bay, Herring Bay and Sleepy Bay) with observable sub-surface oiling.

Table of Contents

.

Executive Summary	I
Introduction	
Objective	
Methods	
Sampling	
Microbial Population Estimates	
Hydrocarbon Mineralization Potentials	6
Results	7
Discussion	
Conclusions	
Literature Cited	
Appendix	

•

<u>List of Tables</u>

- -

Table 1.	Site names and locations for the F/V Scorpius cruise.	
Table 2.	Summary of microbial population data.	9
Table 3.	Summary of hexadecane oxidation rate potentials	16
Table 4.	Summary of phenanthrene oxidation rate potentials, 2 day incubation.	23
Table 5.	Summary of phenanthrene oxidation rate potentials, 4 day incubation.	

۰.

Executive Summary

Assessment of microbial populations is an essential component of oil spill monitoring since a major fate of spilled petroleum depends on the ability of microorganisms to use hydrocarbons as a source of carbon and energy (Leahy and Colwell, 1990). Microbial measurements also can be used to provide evidence for the presence of in situ biodegradation (Madsen et al. 1991). In addition, monitoring microbial populations is a tool for assessing the extent and persistence of oil contamination following a spill.

We have monitored the total numbers and activity of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms since 1989 in sediments (intertidal and subtidal) impacted by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. We sampled both oiled and unoiled sites in Prince William Sound on six separate cruises spanning 1989-1991. Surface sediment samples were collected from the shoreline (intertidal) through 100 m depth offshore. Both the numbers and activity of microbial populations were assayed in these samples. We used most probable number techniques to estimate the numbers of oil-degrading microorganisms and radiorespirometry to measure the mineralization potentials of the microbial community for various hydrocarbons including benzene, hexadecane, phenanthrene and naphthalene.

We found that the numbers and activity of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms are indicators of the presence of hydrocarbons in sediments from Prince William Sound. We found statistically significant differences in the populations of hydrocarbon degraders in shoreline sediments collected from bays within the path of the oil slick relative to populations at reference sites which were not oiled during the *Exxon Valdez* spill. We also saw differences in populations of hydrocarbon degraders with time following the spill. Populations of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms dropped substantially in most surficial intertidal sediments from 1989 to 1991 indicating a reduction in readily biodegradable fractions with time. However, high numbers still existed on several shorelines in 1991 particularly in buried sediments. In these sediments hydrocarbon degrader population numbers and activities were as great as seen in early summer 1989.

We returned to Prince William Sound in the summer of 1993 to ten sites previously sampled for microbial populations and activities. Our objective in this study was to continue to monitor the numbers of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms to determine if active populations remained at heavily contaminated sites within Prince William Sound and to validate microbial techniques as relatively cheap and quick assays for monitoring the extent and persistence of hydrocarbon contaminants following an oil spill. In 1993 the numbers and activities of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms were generally very low at all sites although elevated populations and activities were measured in intertidal sub-surface samples at several sites (Northwest Bay, Herring Bay and Sleepy Bay) with observable sub-surface oiling. We found that microbial population estimates were good indicators of exposure of sediments in Prince William Sound to oil after the spill.

1

Methods

Sampling: Surface sediment (top 0 - 3 cm) samples were previously collected and analyzed for microbial populations and activities on six cruises: R/V Fairweather (Summer 1989), M/V Nautilus (Winter 1989), R/V Cobb (Spring 1990), R/V Davidson (Summer 1990), R/V Cobb (Fall 1990) and F/V Big Valley (Summer 1991)(see Braddock et al., 1993). In 1993 ten sites in PWS were visited from 8 to 16 July on the F/V Scorpius (Summer 1993; Table 1 and Fig. 1). The season designators for the cruises used here are meant to reflect the weather in PWS during the time of the cruise even though the dates of the cruise do not strictly follow traditional season definitions. During the three summer cruises surface sediments were collected in the intertidal zone (referred to as shoreline or 0 m) and at 3, 6, 20, 40 and 100 m depths offshore at mean low tide. On the other cruises surface sediment samples were collected only in the intertidal zone and at the shallower water depths offshore (Winter 1989, 0 and 3 m; Spring 1990 and Fall 1990, 0, 3, 6 and 20 m), due either to the restricted capabilities of the support vessel or abbreviated cruise schedules for those sampling trips. Surface sediments at the 40 or 100 m depths were collected using either a Van Veen grab or a Smith-McIntyre grab. Composite samples at each depth from three grabs were obtained by subsampling surface sediment (top 0-3 cm) into sterile bags. Samples at the 3, 6 and 20 m depths were collected by SCUBA divers while shoreline samples were collected by either SCUBA divers or a shore party in the low intertidal zone as close to low tide as was feasible. The intertidal (shoreline), 3, 6 and 20 m samples were composites of eight subsamples collected at random intervals along a 30 m transect parallel to the shoreline. Only one bag of sediment was collected for each site at each depth on the Summer 1989 cruise while three replicate bags were collected at each depth for all subsequent cruises so that statistical procedures could be used to compare the study sites to reference sites. All sediment and water samples were placed in coolers at the time of collection for transport to the support vessel. Processing for microbiological analyses was performed within three hours of collection. We assayed samples from which all rocks greater than 1 cm in diameter were removed due to the prevalence of rocks and coarse-grained sediments at several locations and depths in PWS.

Microbial Population Estimates: Heterotrophic and hydrocarbon-degrading microbial populations were estimated using most probable number (MPN) techniques. While no technique to enumerate specific metabolic types of microorganisms in marine systems is absolute, the MPN technique can give consistent results that are appropriate for relative comparisons among stations and depths. The number of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms in each sediment sample was estimated using the Sheen Screen MPN (Brown and Braddock, 1990). Hydrocarbon degrading microorganisms were defined as those microbes capable of dispersing a sterile Prudhoe Bay oil sheen layered on Bushnell-Haas marine mineral salts (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) broth. On the Summer 1989 cruise, duplicate sets of cell well plates were prepared for each subtidal depth at each site. For all other cruises one set of cell well plates was prepared from each replicate sediment sample at a given site and depth to yield triplicate values. The Sheen Screen plates were incubated at approximately 15 °C for three weeks before being scored for disruption of the oil sheen. Marine heterotrophs were enumerated in a similar manner, except that the growth medium was marine broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) and growth was indicated by turbidity (Lindstrom et al., 1991). The heterotrophs plates were incubated for 1 week after inoculation before being scored for turbidity.



Figure 1. Locations of study sites sampled in 1993 (indicated by bold triangle). Site numbers correspond to sites as follows: 1. Northwest Bay; 4. Herring Bay; 5. Lower Herring Bay; 7. Drier Bay; 8. Sleepy Bay; 10. Snug Harbor; 11. Bay of Isles; 12. Mooselips Bay; 14. Zaikof Bay and; 15. Olsen Bay.

<u>Results</u>

The distribution of the surface slick of oil after the grounding on Bligh Reef was dependent on winds and currents and generally resulted in a spread of the oil to the southwest (Royer et al., 1990; Galt et al., 1991). By about six days after the spill the surface slick had heavily coated many shorelines around Knight Island and other smaller islands in that area (Fig. 2). The study sites sampled followed, in general, the path of the oil slick (see Fig. 1).

Microbial populations (heterotrophs and hydrocarbon degraders) were estimated at each site in 1993 (Table 2, Appendix A). The numbers of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms were generally low at all sites visited in 1993 relative to populations measured at many of these sites in 1989 or 1990 (Fig. 3a-e). In 1993 the only samples which contained high populations of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms were collected from sub-surface samples collected by digging shallow pits (approximately 20 cm deep). Northwest Bay, Herring Bay and Sleepy Bay all had visible sub-surface oiling in 1993 and all of these same sites had populations of hydrocarbon degraders greater than $10^4/g$ sediment. No other sediment samples collected in 1993 were recorded as containing visible oil and the populations of hydrocarbon degraders were $10^3/g$ sediment or less.

The activity potentials for the hydrocarbon degrader populations were measured by assaying the mineralization of radiolabelled hydrocarbon fractions in laboratory incubations. Hexadecane and phenanthrene were used as representatives of a linear alkane and a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, respectively. Mineralization potentials for hexadecane were low (less than 10% mineralized after a two day incubation; Table 3) except in two sub-surface samples collected at Northwest Bay and Sleepy Bay. Temporal decreases were detected in the mineralization potentials for hexadecane at all sites and depths since 1989 (Fig. 4a-e).

In a similar manner, mineralization potentials for phenanthrene were measured in 1993 sediment slurries after two-day and four-day incubations (Tables 4 and 5, respectively). Phenanthrene mineralized was less than three percent after a two day incubation for all depths and sites except one anomalous value of 7.9% at Drier Bay at 100 m (Table 4). The variability in replicates measured at this site was also high (see Appendix A) and the same trend was not reflected in the hydrocarbon degrader populations measured or the hexadecane or four day phenanthrene mineralization potentials measured. The mineralization potentials measured after four day incubations were less than four percent except in two subsurface samples collected at Northwest and Sleepy Bays. The two day phenanthrene data from 1993 were compared to values measured in samples collected in 1989-1991 (Fig. 5a-e). As with the hexadecane mineralization potential data (Fig. 4a-e) the phenanthrene potentials generally have declined since 1989.

		Heterot	rophs (cells/g d	ry sed.)	Hydrocarbon D	ydrocarbon Degraders (cells/				
	Depth (m)	Mean	Std. Dev.	Log Mean	Mean	Std. Dev.	Log Mean			
Northwest Bay	Beach	9.0E+05	9.1E+05	6.0	2.1E+02	3.3E + 01	2.3			
7/8/93	Sub-Surf	8.6E+05	8.8E+05	5.9	1.3E+05	2.0E + 05	5.1			
Site #1	3	3.0E + 05	1.7E+05	5.5	2.5E+02	7.2E+01	2.4			
	6	7.7E+04	3.4E+04	4.9	9.7E+00	1.7E+01	+ 1.0			
	20	3.1E+05	3.4E+05	5.5	1.6E+03	1.8E+03	·3.2			
	40	4.7E+04	2.3E+04	4.7	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00				
	100	3.3E+04	1.5E+04	4.5	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00				
Herring Bay	Beach	7.4E+05	3.9E+05	5.9	4.9E+01	8.1E+01	1.7			
7/9/93	Sub-Surf	4.0E+04	3.1E+04	4.6	3.2E + 04	5.6E + 04	4.5			
Site #2	3	1.1E+05	1.3E+05	5.0	5.7E+02	5.7E+02	2.8			
	6	6.1E+04	3.2E + 04	4.8	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
	20	3.2E+04	1.4E+04	4.5	3.6E+01	6.2E+01	1.6			
	40	2.3E+04	2.8E+04	4.4	3.0E+01	2.6E+01	1.5			
	100	7.1E+03	7.1E+03	3.9	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
Lower Herring Bay	Beach	3.5E + 05	4.1E+05	5.5	0.0E+00	0.0 E + 00				
7/10/93	Sub-Surf	1.2E+04	1.3E+04	4.1	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
Site #3	3	6.5E+05	9.5E+05	5.8	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
	6	2.6E+05	2.5E+05	5.4	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
	20	1.9E+04	1.0E+04	. 4.3	3.3E+00	5.7E+00	0.5			
	40	9.2E+03	4.7E+03	4.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
	100	2.5E+04	2.0E+04	4.4	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00				
Drier Bay	Beach	1.9E+05	1.3E+05	5.3	1.3E+02	8.9E+01	2.1			
7/11/93	Sub-Surf	2.4E+04	1.9E+04	4.4	0. 0E + 0 O	0. 0E + 0 0				
Site #4	3	8.5E+04	3.4E+04	4.9	0.0E + 00	0. 0E + 0 O				
	6	1.8E+06	2.1E+06	6.2	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
	20	1.1E+05	2.3E+04	5.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
	40	7.2E+04	8.8E+04	4.9	0.0E+00	0. 0E + 0 0				
	100	3.4E+04	3.7E+04	4.5	0. 0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
Sleepy Bay	Beach	1.2E+05	8.1E+04	5.1	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00				
7/11 to 7/12/93	Sub-Surf	3. 6E +08	6.2E+08	8.6	1.2E+05	2.1E+05	5.1			
Site #5	3	4.7E+05	2.5E+05	5.7	2.0E+02	1.2E+02	2.3			
	6	3.1E+05	1.3E+05	5.5	1.9E+02	1.4E+02	2.3			
	20	7.5E+04	4.4E+04	4.9	2.0E+02	2.4E+02	2.3			
	40	9.6E+03	5.0E+03	4.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00				
	100	1.5E+04	9.8E+03	4.2	0. 0E + 00	0. 0E + 0 0				

Table 2. Summary of microbial population data (heterotrophs and hydrocarbon degraders) from intertidal and subtidal sediments collected in July 1993 (Scorpius cruise).

9



Figure 3a. Log Most Probable Number of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms in surface sediments from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Northwest Bay and Herring Bay in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar for a date or depth indicates that the measured value was < 1.3 which reflects the lower sensitivity of the MPN technique used.</p>



Figure 3c. Log Most Probable Number of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms in surface sediments from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Sleepy Bay and Mooselips Bay in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar for a date or depth indicates that the measured value was < 1.3 which reflects the lower sensitivity of the MPN technique used.</p>



Figure 3e. Log Most Probable Number of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms in surface sediments from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Bay of Isles and Olsen Bay in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar for a date or depth indicates that the measured value was < 1.3 which reflects the lower sensitivity of the MPN technique used.</p>

	Depth (m)	% Dry	% Mineralized		ORP	
		Weight		µg/lg ≀	dry wt	day)
		-		(@95%	Confic	I. Level)
	_ .					1.0
Mooselips Bay	Beach	0.75	8.8	4.4	±	1.3
7/12 to 7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	0.4	0.2	±	0.1
Site #6	3	0.71	1.6	0.8	±	0.2
	6	0.70	1.0	0.5	±	0.1
	20	0.70	1.2	0.6	±	0.2
	40	0.66	0.7	0.3	±	0.1
	100	0.71	0.4	0.2	±	0.1
Zaikof Bay	Beach	0.81	1.2	0.6	±	0.1
7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.87	0.7	0.3	±	0.1
Site #7	3	0.72	1.5	0.7	±	0.1
	6	0.61	1.4	0.7	±	0.1
	20	0.65	4.4	2.2	±	1.3
	40	0.63	0.6	0.3	±	0.1
	100	0.74	0.5	0.2	±	0.1
Snug Harbor	Beach	0.75	1.2	0.6	±	0.1
7/14/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	5.3	2.7	±	0.3
Site #8	3	0.00	2.6	1.3	- +	1.1
	6	0.73	0.4	0.2	- +	0.1
	20	0.68	0.3	0.2		0.1
	40	0.57	0.3	0.2	±	0.1
	100	0.43	0.2	0.1	±	0.1
Roy of Islan	Pench	0.94	7 0	3.6	+	23
7/15/02	Sub Surf	0.04	1.2	2.0	÷ +	0.5
7/15/55 Site #9	300-3011	0.03	4.0	0.3		0.0
316 #3	5	0.01	1.9	0.5	⊥ +	0.1
	20	0.54	1.0	0.5	+ +	0.2
	20	0.23	0.7	0.3	 	0.1
	100	0.38	0.6	0.3	±	0.1
Olana Rou	D e b	0 71	0 4	0.2	. L	0.1
UISEN Day	Beach	0.71	0.4	0.2	±	0.1
Ceve #10	Sub-Surt	0.90	0.1	0.1	Ĩ	0.1
SIL6 # 10	3	0.54	0.8	0.4	I	0.1
	5	0.53	1.1	0.5	Ĩ	0.1
	20	0.34	1.2	0.0		0.1
	40	0.70	0.3	0.2	±	0.1
	100	U.44	0.3	U. 1		0.1

 Table 3 cont.
 Summary of hexadecane oxidation rate potentials (average rate for two day incubation) for sediment slurries from samples collected in July 1993 (Scorpius cruise).

۰.





Figure 4b. Oxidation rate potentials for hexadecane (average for a two day incubation) in surface sediment slurries from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Lower Herring Bay and Drier Bay in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar or triangle indicates a measured value of zero.

Zaikof Bay



Figure 4d. Oxidation rate potentials for hexadecane (average for a two day incubation) in surface sediment slurries from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Zaikof Bay and Snug Harbor in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar or triangle indicates a measured value of zero.

-

	Depth (m)	%Drv	% Mineralized		ORP			
		Weight		µg/g a	iry wt	day		
				(@95%	confic	I. level)		
	Beach	0.88	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
Northwest Bay	Sub-Surf	0.92	0.6	0.3	±	0.1		
7/8/93	3	0.80	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
Site #1	6	0.69	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	20	0.47	0.0	0.0	±	0.1		
	40	0.70	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	100	0.46	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
Herring Bay	Beach	0.77	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
7/9/93	Sub-Surf	0.72	0.2	0.1	±	0.1		
Site #2	3	0.66	0. 0	0.0	±	0.0		
	6	0.75	0. 0	0.0	±	0.0		
	20	0.74	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	40	0.89	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	100	0.72	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
Lower Herring Bay	Beach	0.85	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
7/10/93	Sub-Surf	0.82	0.0	0.0	±	0. 0		
Site #3	3	0.97	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	6	0.91	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	20	0.81	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	40	0.75	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
	100	0.37	0. 0	0.0	±	0.1		
Drier Bay	Beach	0.97	0.8	0.4	±	0.3		
7/11/93	Sub-Surf	0.81	0.4	0.2	±	0.2		
Site #4	3	0.90	2.3	1.1	±	0.9		
	6	0.51	0.5	0.2	±	0.2		
	20	0.52	0.3	0.1	±	0.1		
	40	0.63	0.4	0.2	±	0.1		
	100	0.37	7.9	4.0	±	2.5		
Sleepy Bay	Beach	0.76	0.3	0.1	±	0.1		
7/11 to 7/12/93	Sub-Surf	0.85	1.5	0.8	. ±	0.3		
Site #5	3	0.75	0.3	0.1	±	0.1		
	6	0.75	0.2	0.1	±	0.0		
	20	0.70	0.2	0.1	±	0.1		
	40	0.77	0.2	0.1	±	0.0		
	100	0.66	2.7	1.4	±	0.7		

Table 4. Summary of phenanthrene oxidation rate potentials (average rate for two day
incubation) for sediment slurries from samples collected in July 1993 (Scorpius cruise).

. .

-

	Depth (m)	% Dry	% Mineralized	ORP		
		Weight		µg/g d	iry wt	day
				(@95%	confic	l. level)
Northwest Bay	Beach	0 88	0 0 [°]	0.0	+	0.0
7/8/93	Sub-Surf	0.00	10.1	25	+	0.0
Site #1	300-3011	0.32	0.0	0.0	+	0.0
	6	0.69	0.0	0.0	+	0.0
	20	0.47	0.0	0.0	- +	0.1
	40	0.70	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.46	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
Herring Bay	Beach	0.77	0.0	0.0	÷	0.0
7/9/93	Sub-Surf	0.72	0.6	0.2	±	0.2
Site #2	3	0.66	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.75	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	20	0.74	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	40	0.89	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.72	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
Lower Herring Bay	Beach	0.85	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
7/10/93	Sub-Surf	0.82	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
Site #3	3	0.97	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.91	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	20	0.81	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	40	0.75	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.37	0.1	0.0	±	0.1
Drier Bay	Beach	0.97	0.2	0.0	±	0. 0
7/11/93	Sub-Surf	0.81	0.1	0.0	±	0.0
Site #4	3	0.90	0.5	0.1	±	0.1
	6	0.51	0.7	0.2	±	0.2
	20	0.52	0.4	0.1	±	0.2
	40	0.63	3.9	1.0	±	0.9
	100	0.37	0.7	0.2	±	0.1
Sleepy Bay	Beach	0.76	0.1	0.0	±	0.0
7/11 to 7/12/93	Sub-Surf	0.85	4.4	1.1	±	1.0
Site #5	3	0.75	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
	6	0.75	0.2	0.0	±	0.0
	20	0.70	0.3	0.1	±	0.0
	40	0.77	0.2	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.66	1.5	0.4	±	0.3

 Table 5.
 Summary of phenanthrene oxidation rate potentials (average rate for four day incubation) for sediment slurries from samples collected in July 1993 (Scorpius cruise).

۰.

•

.- .



Figure 5a. Oxidation rate potentials (average for a two day incubation) for naphthalene (1989) and phenanthrene (1990,1991 and 1993) in surface sediment slurries from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Northwest Bay and Herring Bay in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar or triangle indicates a measured value of zero.



Figure 5c. Oxidation rate potentials (average for a two day incubation) for naphthalene (1989) and phenanthrene (1990, 1991 and 1993) in surface sediment slurries from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Sleepy Bay and Mooselips Bay in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar or triangle indicates a measured value of zero.



Figure 5e. Oxidation rate potentials (average for a two day incubation) for naphthalene (1989) and phenanthrene (1990,1991 and 1993) in surface sediment slurries from the shoreline to 100 m depth offshore collected from Bay of Isles and Olsen Bay in the summers of 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993 (see also Braddock et al., 1993). A bold triangle indicates that data were unavailable for that date or depth. The absence of a bar or triangle indicates a measured value of zero.

Depth

6 m

20 m

40 m

100 m

0

0 m

3 m

Exxon Valdez oil by very expensive and time-consuming advanced chemical hydrocarbon fingerprinting techniques. These additional sources of oil in PWS confound the use of standard chemical analyses alone in the collection of data for damage assessment purposes. If this older weathered oil is present (in surface sediments) at our study sites then our data indicate that the older oil has not led to increased populations of hydrocarbon degraders. The response of microbial populations may then be a useful complement to hydrocarbon chemistry data in defining the extent of recent pollution occurring from the spill.

There was no statistically significant correlation between the total numbers of hydrocarbondegrading bacteria and mineralization potentials for hexadecane. However, when high hexadecane mineralization potentials were measured the numbers of hydrocarbon oxidizers were generally also high. Increased populations of Sheen Screen positive organisms represent an increased potential for biodegradation of hydrocarbons in oil (Bartha and Atlas, 1987). But other factors such as salinity, temperature, mineral nutrient availability, oxygen availability, hydrocarbon concentration, and acclimation of the microbial population to a particular hydrocarbon component of the oil can affect the mineralization potential as well (Bartha and Atlas, 1987; Leahy and Colwell, 1990). Experiments in this study were designed to minimize as many of these factors as possible (including hydrocarbon availability) except the in situ microbial biomass and its potential to degrade the hydrocarbons added experimentally (see Brown et al., 1991). Using this "optimal" procedure, rates of hexadecane, naphthalene and phenanthrene mineralization among sites and sampling stations could be compared. For example, mineralization potential samples were run in a mineral salts medium with nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus, were well oxygenated and were incubated at a constant temperature (15 °C). In addition, a relatively large amount of hydrocarbon substrate was added to each sample to be assayed so that the final rate was dependent on added substrate rather than the hydrocarbon substrate in the original sample. The concentrations of the specific hydrocarbon we added greatly exceeded ambient levels in heavily oiled samples collected in 1989 (see Brown et al., 1991). The reported potentials thus reflect the potential of the in situ microbial populations (Bartha and Atlas, 1987; Aelion and Bradley, 1991) to transform hydrocarbons when incubated in conditions that are standardized and essentially optimal.

Bartha and Atlas (1987) summarized the results of a number of published studies on biodegradation rates (potentials) of samples from marine systems. They found a range of 5-2,500 $\mu g/g$ -day for seawater communities under partially optimized conditions. These rates for nutrient enriched samples were found to be as much as 300-fold higher than for non-nutrient enriched samples. Values from our study range from 0 to approximately 40 $\mu g/g$ sed-day. Therefore, our values fall at the low end of those reported by Bartha and Atlas for samples incubated under partially optimized conditions. A more recent study (Karl, 1992) conducted about six weeks after the grounding of the *Bahia Paraiso* in Antarctica in 1989 found extremely low rates for hexadecane mineralization potential (0.13-1.21 pmol/g sed-day) in samples run without nutrient amendments and incubated at 1 °C (sub-optimal conditions).

We found apparent differences in microbial preference for hexadecane, naphthalene or phenanthrene in Prince William Sound sediments temporally and with depth. Hexadecane potentials nearly always exceeded those for PAH except for sediments collected in the spring of hydrocarbon levels should be measured periodically for several years following other major oil spill events to monitor transport of petroleum compounds in the environment.

Conclusions

1. Populations of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms were good indicators of the distribution of *Excon Valdez* oil following the spill.

2. Populations of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganism generally declined with time at most sites probably as a result of a decline in readily biodegradable hydrocarbon fractions. By 1993 few oiled sites sampled had populations of hydrocarbon degraders that were significantly higher than those measured at reference sites. High populations were only measured in samples collected from the intertidal 10-20 cm below the surface.

3. The numbers of hydrocarbon degraders were highest in sediments offshore heavily oiled beaches in summer 1990. These populations declined to levels observed at reference sites by 1991 and continued to be low in 1993.

4. Mineralization potentials for hexadecane and for phenanthrene were not statistically correlated to the populations of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms measured in sediment samples. Hexadecane potentials were generally higher than those for phenanthrene (or naphthalene).

- Karl, D.M. 1992. The grounding of the *Bahia Paraiso*: microbial ecology of the 1989 antarctic spill. Microb. Ecol. 24:77-89.
- Kvenvolden, K.A., F.D. Hostettler, J.B. Rapp and P.R. Carlson. 1993. Hydrocarbons in oil residues on beaches of islands of Prince William Sound, Alaska. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 26: 24-29.
- Leahy, J.G. and R.R. Colwell. 1990. Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment. Microbiol. Rev. 54:305-315.
- Lindstrom, J.E., R.C. Prince, J.C. Clark, M.J. Grossman, T.R. Yeager, J.F. Braddock and E.J. Brown. 1991. Microbial populations and hydrocarbon biodegradation potentials in fertilized shoreline sediments affected by the T/V Exxon Valdez oil spill. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 57:2514-2522.
- Lizarrago-Partida, M.L., F.B. Izquierdo-Vicuna and I. Wong-Chang. 1991. Marine bacteria on the Campeche Bank oil field. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 22:401-405.
- Madsen, E.L., J.L. Sinclair and W.C. Ghiorse. 1991. In situ biodegradation: microbiological patterns in a contaminated aquifer. Science 252:830-834.
- Page, D.S., P.D. Boehm, G.S. Douglas and A.E. Bence 1993. The natural petroleum hydrocarbon background in subtidal sediments of Prince William Sound, Alaska. 089, p.37 Abst 14th Annu. Meet. Soc. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 1993.
- Prince, R.C., J.R. Clark and J.E. Lindstrom. 1990. Bioremediation monitoring program. U.S. Coast Guard, Report, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Anchorage, AK.
- Prince, R.C., J.R. Clark, J.E. Lindstrom, E.L. Butler, E.J. Brown, G. Winter, M.J. Grossman,
 P.R. Parrish, R.E. Bare, J.F. Braddock, W.G. Steinhauer, G.S. Douglas, J.M. Kennedy,
 P.J. Barter, J.R. Bragg, E.J. Harner and R.M. Atlas. 1994. Bioremediation of the *Exxon*Valdez oil spill; monitoring safety and efficacy. Proceedings of the International
 Symposium on In Situ and On Site Bioremediation, April 1993.
- Roubal, G. and R. M. Atlas. 1978. Distribution of hydrocarbon-utilizing microorganisms and hydrocarbon biodegradation potentials in Alaska continental shelf areas. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 35:897-905.
- Royer, T.C., J.A. Vermersch, T.J. Weingartner, H.J. Niebauer and R.D. Muench 1990. Ocean circulation influencing the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Oceanography 3: 3-10.
- Shiaris, M.P. 1989. Seasonal biotransformation of naphthalene, phenanthrene, and benzo[a]pyrene in surficial estuarine sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55:1391-1399.

APPENDIX A

•

.

٠

Raw data and calculations for microbial populations and activities in sediments collected in 1993, F/V Scorpius cruise.

- -

					HETE	ROTROPHS (a	alis/g sed.)				SHEEN	SCREENS (cell	s/g sed}	
	Depth (m)	% Dry	Sediment A	Sediment B	Sediment C	Meen	Std. Dev.	Log Mean	Sediment A	Sediment B	Sediment C	Mean	Std. Dev.	Log Mee
		Weight				(corr. for d	iry wt. sed}					(corr. for d	ry wt. sed.)	
Mooselips Bay	Beach	0.75	1.3E+05	3.5E+05	7.9E+05	5.6E+05	4.5E+05	5.8	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
7/12 to 7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	1.7E+05	2.2E+05	2.8E + 05	2.5E+05	6.3E+04	5.4	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
Site #6	3	0.71	2.1E+05	3.5E+05	1.1E+05	3.1E+05	1.7E+05	5.5	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
	6	0.70	2.4E+05	3.5E+05	1.1E+06	8.0E+05	6.7E+05	5.9	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	20	0.70	7.9E+04	7.0E+04	4.9E+04	9.4E+04	2.2E+04	5.0	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	40	0.66	5.4E+04	1.1E+04	1.3E+05	9.8E + 04	9.1E+04	5.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
	100	0.71	1.8E+05	1.3E+04	7.0E+03	9.4E+04	1.4E+05	5.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
Zaikof Bay	Beach	0.81	4.9E+04	9.4E+04	1.1E+05	1.0E+05	3.9E+04	5.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.87	2.1E+08	1.7E+08	3.3E+06	2.7E+06	9.6E+05	6.4	0.0E+00	7.0E+01	2.0E+01	3.4E+01	4.1E+01	1.5
Site #7	3	0.72	2.2E+05	1.3E+05	3.5E+05	3.2E+05	1.5E+05	5.5	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	6	0.61	3.5E+05	4.9E+04	7.9E+04	2.6E+05	2.7E+05	5.4	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	
	20	0.65	1.4E+04	4.9E+04	1.1E+05	8.9E+04	7.5E+04	4.9	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	40	0.63	4.9E+03	7.9E+03	2.8E+04	2.2E+04	2.0E+04	4.3	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	100	0.74	1.7E+04	7.9E+04	3.3E+04	5.8E+04	4.3E+04	4.8	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
Snug Harbor	Beach	0.75	2.3E+04	1.1E+05	3.5E+05	2.1E+05	2.3E + 05	5.3	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
7/14/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	4.9E+05	2.4E+05	1.7E+05	3.4E+05	1.9E+05	5.5	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	2.0E+01	7.6E + 00	1.3E+01	0.9
Site #8	3	0.76	1.1E+05			1.4E+05		5.2	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	6	0.73	1.7E+04	1.7E+05		1.3E+05		5.1	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
	20	0.68	1.3E+05	7.0E+04	9.4E+04	1.4E+05	4.4E + 04	5.2	0.0E + 00	5.0E+01	0.0E+00	2.5E+01	4.2E+01	1.4
	40	0.57	7.0E+04	2.2E+04	4.9E+05	3.4E+05	4.5E+05	5.5	0.0E + 00	5.0E+01	0.0E+00	2.9E + 01	5.1E+01	1.5
	100	0.43	4.9E+05	1.1E+06	3.3E+05	1.5E+08	9.4E+05	6.2	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	
Bay of Isles	Beach	0.84	3.5E+04	4.6E+04	1.1E+05	7.6E+04	4.8E+04	4.9	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	
7/15/93	Sub-Surf	0.85	1.1E+06	7.9E+05	7.9E+05	1.1E+06	2.1E+05	6.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	
Site #9	3	0.81	3.5E+07	7.9E+05	2.4E+08	1.6E+07	2.4E+07	7.2	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
	6	0.54	2.4E+06	1.8E+07	7.0E+07	5.6E+07	6.6E+07	7.7	2.0E + 01	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	1.2E+01	2.1E+01	1.1
	20	0.23	2.4E+04	2.4E+05	7.0E + 04	4.8E+05	4.9E+05	5.7	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	40	0.62	3.5E+06	1.3E+06	1.7E+06	3.5E+06	1.9E+06	6.5	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
	100	0.38	1.1E+06	7.9E+04	2.4E+05	1.2E+06	1.4E+06	6.1	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
Olsen Bay	Beach	0.71	1.7E+05	9.4E+04	1.3E+05	1.8E+05	5.4E + 04	5.3	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
7-15 to 7-16-93	Sub-Surf	0.90	9.4E+04	7.9E+04	1.1E+05	1.0E+05	1.7E+04	5.0	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	
Site #10	3	0.54	1.4E+05	7.0E+05	3.5E+05	7.3E+05	5.2E+05	5.9	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	
	6	0.53	1.7E+05		2.4E+05	3.9E + 05		5.6	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	
	20	0.34	1.3E+05	4.9E+04	1.3E+05	3.0E + 05	1.4E+05	5.5	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	
	40	0.70	1.1E+05	4.6E+07	1.7E+05	2.2E+07	3.8E + 07	7.3	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	
	100	0.44	1.7E+04	7.9E+03	1.4E+04	2.9E+04	1.1E+04	4.5	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E + 00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	

. .

:

ł 1

HEXADECANE-2 Day Incubations

		Depth (m)	% Dry	Day 0	Sediment	A (DPM)	Sediment	B (DPM)	Sediment	C (DPM)	moto interm	std. dev.	corr mean dpm	% Mineral.		ORP	
		• • •	Weight	(DPM)	rep 1	rep 2	rep 1	rep 2	rep 1	rep 2	-				µg/lg (€95)	i diny wi 6 Conte	tday) d. Level)
	footofine Ray	Reach	0.75	121	290	815	3032	3347	4474	4006	2661	1717	2546	8.8	A A	+	13
7	10058805 Bay	Deaton Sub Surf	0.75	141	1230	141	403	454	124	170	2001	149	124	0.0	4.4	-	0.1
"	14 10 7/13/93	500- 3 0m	0.88	303	123	429	403	404	134	241	235	143	124	1.6	0.2		0.1
	246 %0	3	0.71	101	/30	420	204	322	300	341	270	232	443	1.0	0.0	.	0.2
		0	0.70	70	4/2	400	371	309	312	344	379	73	204	1.0	0.5	Ŧ	0.1
		20	0.70	120	294	313	/85	609	240	313	427	210	312	1.2	0.0	±	0.2
		40	0.00	112	229	305	231	374	303	300	290	54	175	0.7	0.3	#	0.1
		100	0.71	57	240	307	151	171	208	210	225	29	110	0.4	0.2	±	0.1
	Zaikof Bay	Beach	0.81	258	578	499	463	536	450	458	497	51	382	1.2	0.6	±	0.1
	7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.87	146	340	335	278	275	385	410	337	55	222	0.7	0.3	±	0.1
	Site #7	3	0.72	223	475	287	607	621	719	466	529	152	414	1.5	0.7	ŧ	0.1
		6	0.61	98	531	529	434	419	393	348	442	74	327	1.4	0.7	ŧ	0.1
		20	0.65	112	250	269	259	262	2942	3256	1206	1469	1091	4.4	2.2	±	1.3
		40	0.63	120	274	264	293	281	247	251	268	18	153	0.6	0.3	±	0.1
		100	0.74	132	242	264	242	233	268	258	251	14	136	0.5	0.2	±	0.1
	Snug Harbor	Beach	0.75	65	550	343	434	522	616	356	470	110	355	1.2	0.6	t	0.1
)	7/14/93	Sub-Surt	0.88	50	2522	1710	1264	151 6	2206	2287	1918	493	1803	5.3	2.7	±	0.3
	Site #8	3	0.76	160	346	306	233	360	230	3704	863	1393	748	2.6	1.3	±	1.1
		6	0.73	46	290	167	149	344	295	139	231	89	116	0.4	3,2	±	0.1
		20	0.68	45	194	191	229	196	232	128	195	38	80	0.3	0.2	±	0.1
		40	0.57	50	174	168	208	216	181	183	188	19	73	0.3	0.2	±	0.1
		100	0.43	53	184	190	151	111	126	122	147	33	32	0.2	D.1	±	0.1
	Bay of Isles	Beach	0.84	197	2079	717	685	350	1852	8874	2426	3234	2311	7.2	3.6	+	23
	7/15/93	Sub-Surf	0.85	113	964	1590	2073	481	1219	2208	1423	664	1308	4 0	2.0	÷	0.5
	Site #9	3	0.81	104	293	298	407	305	294	255	309	51	194	0.6	0.3	+	0.1
		6	0.54	147	505	765	385	484	371	390	483	149	368	1.8	0.9	- +	0.2
		20	0.23	139	191	216	176	197	212	209	200	15	85	1.0	0.5	- +	0.2
		40	0.62	147	232	292	319	274	236	301	276	35	161	07	0.3	+	0.1
		100	0.38	137	212	233	188	155	236	209	206	30	91	0.6	0.3	±	0.1
	Olsen Bay	Reach	0.71	46	248	212	236	242	236	218	232	14	117	0.4	0.2	•	0.1
7	(15 to 7/16/93	Sub-Surf	0.90	48	177	206	187	188	64	154	163	51	48	0.1	0.1	-	0.1
	Site #10	3	0.54	39	277	267	298	286	294	228	275	26	160	0.8	0.1	-	0.1
		6	0.53	51	346	330	348	291	328	341	331	21	216	1 1	0.4	*	0.1
		20	0.34	41	271	241	319	260	282	270	274	26	159	1.1	0.5	I I	0.1
		40	0.34	47	235	192	213	175	209	213	206	20	91	0.3	0.0	т _	0.1
		100	0.70	47	156	176	158	177	155	155	163	11	48	0.3	0.2	ж	0.1
		100	0.44	· · ·	100	170	100		100	100	105		40	Ų. J	U. 1	I	U. I

.

. •

43

1

PHENANTHRENE-	2 Day	Incubations
---------------	-------	-------------

	Depth (m)	%Dry	Day 0	Sediment	A (DPM)	Sediment	B (DPM)	Sedimen	t C (DPM)	Mean DPM	Std. Dev.	Corr. Mean	% Mineral.		ORP	
	• • •	Weight	(DPM)	rep 1	rep 2	rep 1	rep 2	rep 1	rep 2			DPM		µg/g	dry w	tday
					-	·			-					(@959	6 confi	d. ieveij
Mooselips Bay	Beach	0.75	226	444	440		510	248	259	380	119	260	0.6	0.3	±	0.1
7/12 to 7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	322	338	287	360	315	320	378	333	33	213	0.4	0.2	±	0.0
Site #6	3	0.71	116	161	96	189	225	111	121	151	50	31	0.1	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.70	173	257	247	178	102	211	378	229	92	109	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
	20	0.70	111	184	170	506	773	349	424	401	225	281	0.6	0.3	±	0.1
	40	0.66	204	118	112	356	501	167	162	236	158	116	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
	100	0.71	143	205	186	171	268	150	148	188	45	68	0.2	0.1	Ŧ	0.0
Zaikof Bay	Beach	0.81	234	180	202	182	281	173	189	201	40	81	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
7/13/93	Sub-Surt	0.87	122	174	196	183	183	176	276	198	39	78	0.1	0.1	±	0.0
Site #7	3	0.72	204	183	197	202	257	155	183	196	34	76	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
	6	0.61	171	185	191	178	218	158	184	186	20	66	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
	20	0.65	138	126	189	161	220	206	194	183	34	63	0.2	0.1	*	0.0
	40	0.63	118	170	107	185	205	176	111	159	41	39	0.1	0.1	±	0.0
	100	0.74	168	206	212	268	134	342	375	256	91	136	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
Snug Harbor	Beach	0.75	189	72	118	187	453	79	80	165	148	45	0.1	0.0	±	0.1
7/14/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	234	128	436	166	254	287	360	272	116	152	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
Site #8	3	0. 78	49	84	192	119	88	B2	90	109	43	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.73	67	81	90	156	103	85	69	97	31	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	20	0.68	62	100	109	103	91	92	98	99	7	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	40	0.57	62	102	100	128	120	116	118	114	11	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.43	62	127	138	159	140	121	122	135	14	15	0.1	0.0	±	0.1
Bay of Isles	Beach	0.84	126	125	54	121	115	106	108	105	26	0,	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
7/15/93	Sub-Surf	0.85	121	200	212	170	169	236	165	192	29	72	0.1	0.1	±	0.0
Site #9	3	0.81	139	152	122	117	122	97	106	119	19	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.54	149	128	135	202	111	190	121	148	38	28	0.1	0.0	±	0.1
	20	0.23	120	117	95	213	307	250	172	192	81	72	0.5	0.3	±	0.2
	40	0.62	179	402	338	523	255	140	138	299	152	179	0.5	0.2	±	0.1
	100	0.38	129	285	286	140	120	135	122	181	81	61	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
Olsen Bay	Beach	0.71	57	127	141	101	128	132	113	124	14	4	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
7/15 to 7/16/93	Sub-Surf	0.90	56	103	108	109	97	168	105	115	26	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
Site #10	3	0.54	53	145	500	137	122	109	133	191	152	71	0.2	0.1	±	0.1
	6	0.53	62	101	124	127	167	115	139	129	23	9	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	20	0.34	80	147	137	120	109	99	125	123	18	3	0.0	0.0	±	0.1
	40	0.70	54	129	112	96	75	112	100	104	18	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.44	58	109	113	119	82	109	119	109	14	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0

. .

45

1

PHENANTHRENE-	- 4 Day	y Incubations
---------------	---------	---------------

.

	Depth (m)	% Dry	Sediment A (DPM) Sediment E		B (DPM)	Sedimen	t C (DPM)	Mean DPM	Std. Dev.	Corr. Mean	% Mineral.	ORP			
	•	Weight	rep 1	rep 2	rep 1	rep 2	rep 1	rep 2			DPM		µg/g	dry wi	tday
		_	-					-					(@959	6 confi	d. ievei)
Mooselips Bay	Beach	0.75	1311	395	249	255	193	282	448	428	328	0.7	0.2	±	0.2
7/12 10 7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	366	391	336	303	106	163	278	116	158	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
Site #6	3	0.71	143	140	248	144	335	406	236	114	116	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
	6	0.70	959	1108	381	366	1157	687	776	352	656	1.5	0.4	ŧ	0.2
	20	0.70	124	135	290	323	164	227	211	83	91	0.2	0.1	±	0.1
	40	0.66	323	426	370	344	176	231	312	92	192	0.5	0.1	±	0.1
	100	0.71	188	204	209	182	177	233	199	21	79	0.2	0.0	±	0.0
Zaikof Bay	Beach	0.81	206	220	175	194	209	330	222	55	102	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
7/13/93	Sub-Surf	0.87	227	197	206	226	215	298	228	36	108	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
Site #7	3	0.72	213	205	203	222	174	264	214	30	94	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
	6	0.61	204	176	200	189	202	268	207	32	87	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
	20	0.65	177	176	196	198	206	218	195	16	75	0.2	0.0	±	0.0
	40	0.63	134	185	130	185	155	254	174	46	54	0.1	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.74	188	223	130	339	210	184	212	70	92	0.2	0.1	±	0.0
Snug Harbor	Beach	0.75	98	121	91	101	101	102	102	10	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
7/14/93	Sub-Surf	0.88	97	217	112	190	180	212	168	51	48	0.1	0.0	±	0.0
Site #8	3	0.76	95	106	90	113	125	108	106	13	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.73	69	101	91	93	161	89	104	28	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	20	0.68	105	102	104	101	101	111	104	4	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	40	0.57	130	122	107	140	146	133	130	14	10	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.43	121	135	112	126	154	153	134	17	14	0.1	0.0	±	0.1
Bay of Isles	Beach	0.84	127	136	86	200	158	123	138	38	18	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
7/15/93	Sub-Surf	0.85	200	219	177	181	193	153	187	22	67	0.1	0.0	±	0.0
Site #9	3	0.81	122	129	128	121	132	128	127	4	7	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.54	46	296	126	118	283	353	204	123	84	0.3	0.1	±	0.1
	20	0.23	166	166	179	222	282	188	201	45	81	0.6	0.1	±	0.1
	40	0.62	353	231	302	322	291	296	299	40	179	0.5	0.1	±	0.0
	100	0.38	252	264	321	96	218	222	229	75	109	0.5	0.1	±	0.1
Olsen Bay	Beach	0.71	124	133	137	132	115	108	125	11	5	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
7/15 to 7/16/93	Sub-Surf	0.90	135	108	114	119	78	115	112	19	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
Site #10	3	0.54	130	127	119	128	123	133	127	5	7	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	6	0.53	152	162	111	141	124	120	135	20	15	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	20	0.34	142	150	149	142	95	86	127	29	7	0.0	0.0	±	0.1
	40	0.70	133	116	84	80	111	90	102	21	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
	100	0.44	112	120	128	125	125	86	116	16	0	0.0	0.0	±	0.0

۰.

i

47

ł

,