Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Project Final Report

Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal - ADEC in Prince William Sound, Alaska

Restoration Project 94266b Final Report

Dianne R. Munson

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Spill Prevention and Response Office of Restoration and Research 555 Cordova Street Anchorage Alaska, 99501-3451

March 1996

Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal in Prince William Sound

Restoration Project 94266b Final Report

Study History: This project grew out of recommendations from the 1993 Shoreline Oiling Assessment in Prince William Sound, Restoration Project 93038. A draft report of the Shoreline Assessment was issued in 1994 by Piper, E. and Gibeaut, J. under the title 1993 Shoreline Assessment. A final report was issued in 1995 by Piper, E. and Gibeaut, J. under the title 1993 Shoreline Oiling Assessment of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill. This project effort was coordinated with Restoration Project 94090, Mussel Bed Restoration and Monitoring, under the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), for the purpose of logistical and administrative savings. An annual report for the Mussel Bed Restoration project was issued in 1995 by Babcock, M., under the title Recovery Monitoring and Restoration of Oiled Mussel Beds in Prince William Sound, Alaska. A data report presenting data for the 1994 Shoreline Assessment, Oil Removal and Mussel Bed Restoration field activities in Prince William Sound was completed in 1994 by Munson, D. under the title 1994 Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal & Mussel Bed Restoration and Monitoring Data Report. The National Biological Survey conducted shoreline assessments along the Kenai Fjords National Park and Katmai National Park and Preserve coastlines as a separate component under this project number. An annual report was issued in 1995 by Mann, D. under the title Fate and Persistence of Oil Stranded on Gulf of Alaska Shorelines during the 1989 Exxon Valdez Oil Spill.

١.

Abstract: During the summer of 1994 a five person crew from the village of Chenega under the direction of an on site manager from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation conducted manual treatment, debris and rebar removal and ground surveys at 11 subdivisions in Prince William Sound. Fourteen sites within 4 different shoreline subdivisions with persistent surface asphalt were manually treated to accelerate natural degradation. Approximately 2000 square meters of asphalted oil were broken and tilled. Rebar and back-stakes were removed from Applegate Island. Removal of flagging and other miscellaneous shoreline debris left by cleanup and damage assessment crews was undertaken as possible. Six additional shoreline subdivisions near the village of Chenega were assessed because of the ongoing concern for subsistence and recreational resources within close proximity to the village. The six shoreline subdivisions assessed were also assessed in the 1993 Shoreline Assessment (Restoration Project 93038) and were known to have some of the heaviest oiling in the area. A comparison of the sites from 1993 to 1994 showed that little to no improvement had occurred at these sites. The same labor crew, on site manager and logistical support for the shoreline treatment and assessment tasks above were used to accomplish the Mussel Bed Restoration Project (94090) in cooperation with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Key Words: Exxon Valdez, Prince William Sound, shoreline oiling, subdivision, asphalt, debris, manual treatment.

<u>Citation</u>: Munson, D. 1996. Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal in Prince William Sound, Alaska. *Exxon Valdez* Restoration Project Final Report (Restoration Project 94266) Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Spill Prevention and Response, Anchorage, Alaska.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES iv
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1
INTRODUCTION
OBJECTIVES
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION
CONCLUSIONS
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS9
LITERATURE CITED
APPENDIX A A-1
APPENDIX B

Y,

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Shoreline subdivisions in PWS where asphalt was manually treated and debris
removed.	
Figure 2.	Shoreline subdivisions in southern PWS (near Chenega) that were assessed for

.

.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A handful of shorelines that were impacted by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill still had significant oil remaining in 1993. This project grew out of recommendations from the 1993 Shoreline Assessment in Prince William Sound (Restoration Project 93038). The 1993 Shoreline Assessment differentiated between surface and subsurface oiling. A comparison of comparable sites between 1991 and 1993 indicated that the amount of subsurface oiling had decreased by about half. However the survey showed that the remaining surface oil had become very stable. In fact there was no measurable reduction in the remaining surface asphalt and surface oil residue from 1991 to 1993.

١,

Much of the remaining surface oil was around the community of Chenega. Residents of the area indicated that the presence of residual oil was a significant problem for the community. They expressed uncertainty about the health of subsistence resources, and that the oil affects their enjoyment and confidence in subsistence use of the shorelines. In addition, agency representatives from ADNR and U.S. Forest Service expressed concern about the visual impact of surface oil on the quality of the recreational experience. Also, recreational users had placed a high priority on removing rebar, flagging, signs, back-stakes and other shoreline debris left on shorelines by cleanup and damage assessment crews.

The overall goal of this project was to accomplish light-duty manual treatment of surface oiling (mostly asphalt) at select sites to accelerate natural degradation at those sites. A secondary objective was to remove rebar, flagging, back-stakes and other shoreline debris left by clean-up and damage assessment crews.

During the summer of 1994 a five person crew from the village of Chenega under the direction of an on site manager from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation conducted manual treatment, debris and rebar removal and ground surveys at 11 subdivisions in Prince William Sound. Fourteen sites within four different shoreline subdivisions with persistent surface asphalt were manually treated to accelerate natural degradation. Approximately 2000 square meters of asphalted oil were broken and tilled. Rebar and back-stakes were removed from Applegate Island. Removal of flagging and other miscellaneous shoreline debris left by cleanup and damage assessment crews was undertaken as possible. Six additional shoreline subdivisions near the village of Chenega were assessed because of the ongoing concern for subsistence and recreational resources within close proximity to the village.

The long-term effect of the manual break-up and tilling is expected to stimulate the natural degradative processes. Past experience from the clean-up and shoreline assessments had shown that manually breaking and tilling asphalted oil accelerates natural degradation. Once the asphalt was broken and tilled into small pieces, more surface area is exposed for wave energy, sunlight and microbes to enhance degradation through physical weathering, photoxidation and microbial degradation. Accelerating the degradation of the asphalt and removing rebar and other clean-up debris will speed the recovery of recreational and subsistence use of the areas treated.

The six shoreline subdivisions assessed were also assessed in the 1993 Shoreline Assessment (Restoration Project 93038) and were known to have some of the heaviest oiling in the area. A comparison of the sites from 1993 to 1994 showed that little to no improvement had occurred at these sites.

At this point there are very few beaches that would lend themselves to manual treatment with hand tools alone. There is still significant oiling near the community of Chenega and surface oiling in particular appears to be very stable. Based on conversations with village residents, it is obvious that they are not satisfied with the condition of many beaches near the village. The visual evidence of the remaining oil has been identified as having an important effect on the use of subsistence resources, both in terms of decreasing the quantity of resources used, and decreasing the confidence in the safety of the resources. There may be good policy reasons for pursuing alternative treatment technologies for beaches located within close proximity to the village. There was a small but positive economic impact on the village of Chenega that supplied the labor force.

٩

INTRODUCTION

Shortly after midnight on March 24, 1989, the T/V Exxon Valdez ran aground on Bligh Reef in Prince William Sound, Alaska, spilling eleven million gallons of North Slope crude oil. That spring the oil moved along the coastline of Alaska, contaminating portions of the shoreline of Prince William Sound, the Kenai peninsula, lower Cook Inlet, the Kodiak Archipelago, and the Alaska Peninsula.

During 1989, response efforts focused on containing and removing the oil, and rescuing oiled wildlife. Workers cleaned shorelines using techniques ranging from cleaning rocks by hand to high-pressure hot-water washing. Fertilizers were applied to some oiled shorelines to increase the activity of oil-metabolizing microbes, an activity known as bioremediation. The 1989 shoreline assessment, completed after the summer cleanup, indicated that a substantial portion of the oil remained on the shorelines. In the spring of 1990, the shoreline was again surveyed in a joint effort by Exxon and the state and the federal governments, with similar results. The principal clean-up method used in 1990 was manual removal of oiled sediment, bioremediation and relocation of oiled beach material to the active surf zone were used in some areas.

Shoreline surveys and limited clean-up work occurred in 1991, 1992. In 1992, crews from Exxon and the state and federal governments visited eighty-one sites in Prince William Sound and the Kenai Peninsula. They reported that an estimated seven miles of 21.4 miles of shoreline surveyed still showed some surface oiling. The survey also indicated that subsurface oil remained at many sites that were concentrated in those areas where oil remained to a greater degree - Prince William Sound and the Kenai Peninsula.

The 1993 Shoreline Assessment in Prince William Sound, conducted by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (Restoration Project 93038) reported that a handful of shoreline areas that were impacted by the oil spill still had significant surface oil remaining. This project grew out of recommendations from the 1993 Shoreline Assessment. The 1993 Shoreline Assessment differentiated between surface and subsurface oiling. A comparison of comparable sites between 1991 and 1993 indicated that the amount of subsurface oiling had decreased by about half. However the survey showed that the remaining surface oil had become very stable. In fact there was no measurable reduction in the remaining surface asphalt and surface oil residue from 1991 to 1993.

Y,

Much of the remaining surface oil was around the community of Chenega. Residents of the area indicated that the presence of residual oil was a significant problem for the community. They expressed uncertainty about the health of subsistence resources, and that the oil affects their enjoyment and confidence in subsistence use of the shorelines. In addition, agency representatives from ADNR and U.S. Forest Service expressed concern about the visual impact of surface oil on the quality of the recreational experience. Also, recreational users had placed a high priority on removing rebar, flagging, signs, back-stakes and other shoreline debris left on shorelines by cleanup and damage assessment crews.

Two practical objectives for remediation were implemented under this project: 1) Manual cleanup of select high priority sites; and 2) Clean up of debris. Fourteen sites within four different shoreline subdivisions with persistent surface asphalt were manually treated to accelerate natural degradation. Approximately 2000 square meters of asphalted oil were broken and tilled. Rebar and back-stakes were removed from Applegate Island. Removal of flagging and other miscellaneous shoreline debris left by cleanup and damage assessment crews was undertaken as possible. Past experience from the clean-up and shoreline assessments has shown that manually breaking and tilling asphalted oil accelerates natural degradation. Once the material is broken down into small pieces, more surface area is exposed to wave energy, sunlight and microbes.

In addition to the shoreline cleanup tasks above, six additional shoreline subdivisions near the village of Chenega were assessed because of ongoing concern for subsistence and recreational resources within close proximity to the village of Chenega. The six shoreline subdivisions assessed were also assessed in the 1993 Shoreline Assessment and were known to have some of the heaviest oiling in the area. A comparison of the sites from 1993 to 1994 showed that little to no improvement had occurred at these sites. Appendix A includes a glossary of field oiling classifications and survey terms used. Appendix B includes a detailed site by site presentation of the data discussed in this report including representative photographs, a general discussion of the physical setting and oiling conditions, data forms reporting oiling and treatment conducted, and field sketch maps. A data report presenting data and a more complete selection of photographs for the 1994 Shoreline Assessment, Oil Removal and Mussel Bed Restoration field activities in Prince William Sound was completed in 1994 by Munson, D. under the title <u>1994</u> Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal & Mussel Bed Restoration and Monitoring Data Report.

This data report may be obtained from the Oil Spill Public Information Center in Anchorage, Alaska (645 G Street Anchorage, Ak. 99501: 800-478-7745 in Alaska; 800-283-7745 outside Alaska; e-mail address ospic@muskox.alaska.edu).

OBJECTIVES

2

١,

ŧ

A. The overall purpose of the project was to accomplish light-duty manual treatment of surface oiling (mostly asphalt) at select sites to accelerate natural degradation and help restore natural and human resources at those sites.

B. A secondary objective was to remove rebar, flagging, back-stakes and other shoreline debris left by cleanup and damage assessment crews.

METHODS

Site Selection

Fourteen sites within four different subdivision in PWS were selected for manual break up and tilling. Primary criteria for selection was recreational and subsistence use, accessibility, degree and type of oil and substrate type. There were a hand full of sites with significant surface oiling where manual work (break-up and tilling) would be feasible and where the amount of physical labor required would be low relative to time, money and effort required to accomplish it. All sites were those with oiling in the middle and upper intertidal zone. They tended to be in relatively sheltered areas with substrate varying from fine sediments to cobbles. The sites selected for manual treatment had some of the largest and most highly concentrated areas of thick asphalt in Prince William Sound.

Two sites on Applegate Island were targeted for rebar removal that had been left by damage assessment and spill study crews. Applegate Island is a popular recreational and commercial tourism use area. In addition to being unsightly, the barely exposed rebar in the middle and lower intertidal zones presented a hazard to kayaks, inflatables and skiffs coming ashore.

Restoration

Treatment methods consisted of manually breaking up asphalt and other heavily weathered materials to accelerate natural degradation. The treatment was accomplished by a five person crew from the village of Chenega under the direction of an on site manager from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation. The crew used tools including pick-axes, garden hoes, rakes and shovels to break and till the asphalted oil. Some of the areas of asphalted oil were more thoroughly treated than others due to inaccessibility of some of the oil amongst larger cobbles and boulders. Approximately 2000 square meters of asphalt was manually broken and tilled at 14 different sites within four different subdivisions (AE005B, KN0132B, BP004A,



Figure 1. Manual treatment sites where asphalt type oiling was broken and tilled and rebar and debris were removed. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of locations treated at each subdivision.

ER011A). Areas treated ranged in size from 4 to 600 square meters and ranged in thickness from 2 cm. to 25 cm. The crew worked the low tide windows to ensure complete access of the oil. No sheening occurred as a result of manual treatment.

All rebar and flagging were removed from the Applegate Island coves (AE005B). During the response, clean-up crews would mark areas of shoreline to be bioremediated with flagging tape wrapped around small cobbles. We removed quite a bit of this along with other miscellaneous trash that may or may not have been from Exxon's clean-up operations.

١.

Additional Shoreline Assessments

In addition to the shoreline cleanup tasks above, six additional shoreline subdivisions near the village of Chenega were assessed because of the ongoing concern for subsistence and recreational resources within close proximity to the village of Chenega (ER020B, EV037A, EV039A, LA015C, LA015E, LA021A).

The shoreline assessments used the same techniques as those used during the 1990, 1991, 1992 and 1993 surveys as best explained in the 1991 MAYSAP survey manual (Exxon Corporation, 1991). The principal surveyor (DEC field manager) had worked the spill since 1989 and was an experienced observer of oiling in Prince William Sound. The Chenega labor crew dug pits in the beaches and turned over cobbles and boulders to reveal hidden oil. After the beaches were dug and a general reconnaissance made, the surveyor then documented the oil distribution on field sketch maps. Areas of distinct oiling were paced or measured with a tape and visual estimates made of the percentage of cover of oiling within the area. To further maintain consistency with the 1993 survey, the shoreline outlines and features from the 1993 field sketch maps were traced and used as templates for documenting oiling distribution.

RESULTS

Manual Treatment

The long-term effect of the manual break-up and tilling is expected to stimulate the natural degradative processes. Approximately 2000 square meters of asphalt was broken and tilled. Past experience from the clean-up and shoreline assessments had shown that manually breaking and tilling asphalted oil accelerates natural degradation. Once the asphalt was broken and tilled into small pieces, more surface area is exposed for wave energy, sunlight and microbes to enhance degradation through physical weathering, photoxidation and microbial degradation. No sheening occurred as a result of manual treatment. Most of the sites that were manually treated were re-visited at approximately one month after treatment as logistics allowed. The oil visually appeared more weathered and broken down. Chenega area residents reported only a trace amount of tarballs observed during the summer of 1995 at southern Elrington Island (ER011A) where about 450 square meters of asphalt was manually treated. The removal of rebar and trash was a one time effort and there is no need for follow up.



Figure 2. Shoreline subdivisions in southwestern PWS (near Chenega Bay Village) that were surveyed for persistance of residual oiling.

Shoreline Assessments

Results of the additional shoreline assessments showed that little to no improvement had occurred at these sites from 1993 to 1994. In general, the six beaches are characterized by a cobble, boulder or cobble/boulder armor covering a gravel sediment. Visually observable residual oil was found in the upper and middle intertidal zones on five of the six subdivisions. This included surface oil residue ranging from heavy to light, mousse and asphaltic pavement. Most often, the residual oil was found on, or adhering to, or below, the boulder and cobble layers, especially in sheltered crevices and the areas that are protected wave energy.

DISCUSSION

١,

The overall scope of this project was small, as the main focus of the ADEC field manager and Chenega labor crew for the 1994 field season was implementing and conducting the restoration of mussel beds in cooperation with NOAA. There were only a hand full of sites with significant surface oiling where break-up and tilling would be simple and straight forward relative to time, money and effort required to accomplish it. The areas of asphalt oiling that were treated will weather at a faster rate than they would have without intervention. Although manual break-up, tilling and debris removal occurred at limited number of sites the work conducted should diminish the negative effect on visual quality and subsistence and recreational perception of oiling and debris at the sites treated. The additional shoreline surveys further allowed us to understand that remaining surface oil is very stable and that an alternative technology will need to be considered if further treatment is to be conducted. The participation by the village of Chenega was instrumental in identifying areas of particular concern to them. Employing the residents of Chenega had a slight positive effect on employment opportunities for the residents.

CONCLUSIONS

Accelerating the degradation of the asphalt and removing rebar and other clean-up debris will accelerate the recovery of recreational and subsistence use of the areas treated. The manual treatment conducted was very simple and cost effective.

At this point there are very few beaches that would lend themselves to manual treatment with hand tools alone. There is still significant oiling near the community of Chenega and surface oiling in particular appears to be very stable. Based on conversations with village residents, it is obvious that they are not satisfied with the condition of many beaches near the village. The visual evidence of remaining oil has been identified as having an important effect on the use of subsistence resources, both in terms of decreasing the quantity of resources used, and decreasing the confidence in the safety of the resources. There may be good policy reasons for pursuing alternative treatment technologies for beaches located within close proximity to the village. A workshop on the issue of residual oiling was conducted to allow scientists, interested subsistence and other shoreline users, and Trustee Council staff to provide information to the Trustee Council concerning the residual oiling problem and the possibility of additional treatment. A final report on workshop was issued in 1996 by B. Loefler, E. Piper and D. Munson under the title <u>Residual Oiling Workshop</u>. Workshop Report.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks to Maleen Babcock and Patricia Harris of NOAA's, Auke Bay Laboratory. Charles Selanoff Jr., Clint Gregorioff, Sheri Boyles, Tom Sherman, Richard Kompkoff Jr., Donald Kompkoff Jr., Larry Evanoff, Janice Balluta, Pete Kompkoff Jr., Vern Totemoff and Darryl Totemoff of Cheuega Village.

LITERATURE CITED

- Barry, J., 1991. MAYSAP Survey, Subdivision SL001D, May Shoreline Assessment Program: Exxon USA, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Response, Anchorage Alaska.
- Benson, J., 1991. MAYSAP Survey, Subdivision EL011A, May Shoreline Assessment Program: Exxon USA, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Response, Anchorage Alaska.
- Exxon Corporation, 1991. MAYSAP Survey Manual, May Shoreline Assessment Program: Exxon USA, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Response, Anchorage Alaska.
- Gibeaut, J.C., E. Piper, D. Munson, J. Matthews, M. Profita, and C. Crosby, 1994. 1993
 Shoreline assessment data report: volume 1 (introduction and ground surveys AE005A through EV036A), volume 2 (ground surveys EV037A through KN136A), volume 3 (ground surveys KN209A through LA015D), volume 4 (ground surveys LA015E through TB004A), volume 5 (transect surveys), *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Project (Restoration Project 93038), Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Juneau, Alaska.
- Gibeaut, J.C., E. Piper, D. Munson, J. Matthews, M. Profita, and C. Crosby, 1995. 1993 Shoreline Oiling Assessment of the *Exxon Valdez* Spill: Restoration Project 93038, Final Report, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Juneau, Alaska.
- Loeffler, R., E. Piper, D. Munson, 1996. Residual Oiling Workshop, Workshop Report: Exxon Valdez Trustee Council Restoration Office, Anchorage, Alaska.
- Owens, E.H. and Taylor, E., 1993, A proposed standardization of terms and definitions for shoreline oiling assessment: Proceedings of the 1993 Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program (AMOP), Technical Seminar, Technical Seminar, Calgary, Alberta.

APPENDIX A Glossary: Field Oiling Classification and Survey Terms

۲. i

Surface Oil Types	Abbreviation	Definition						
asphalt/ pavement	АР	Heavily oiled beach sediments held cohesively together.						
mousse/pooled oil	MS	Any oil/water emulsion with a thickness of more than 1 cm.						
tar balls/tar patties	TB	Small, distinct oil deposits lying on top of the beach surface; possibly binding debris but typically not sediments.						
surface oil residue	SOR	Significantly oil coated beach sediments in the top 5 cm; sediments do not form a cohesive layer; may be described as heavy or light.						
cover	CV	Oil more than 1 mm to 1 cm thick.						
coat	СТ	Oil more than 0.1 mm to less than or equal to 1 mm thick; can be easily scratched off with fingernail.						
stain	ST	Oil less than or equal to 0.1 mm thick; cannot be easily scratched off with fingernail.						
film or sheen	FL	Transparent or translucent film or sheen.						
oiled debris	DB	Any oiled debris or cleanup material stranded on a shore.						

Surface Oil Distribution Classes	Abbreviation	Definition
continuous	С	Area or band with 91% to 100% oil coverage.
broken	В	Area or band with 51% to 90% coverage.
patchy	Р	Area or band with 11% to 50% coverage.
sporadic	S	Area or band with 1% to 10% coverage.
trace	Т	Area or band with less than 1% coverage.

Subsurface Oil Types	Abbreviation	Definition						
oil pore	OP	Pore space are completely filled with oil resultin in oil oozing out of sediments-water cannot penetrate OP zone.						
heavy oil residue	HOR	Pore spaces partially filled with oil residue but not generally flowing out of sediments.						
medium oil residue	MOR	Heavily coated sediments; pore spaces are not filled with oil - pore spaces may be filled with water.						
light oil residue	LOR	Sediments lightly coated with oil.						
oil film	OF	Continuous layer of sheen or film on sediments - water may bead on sediments.						
trace	TR	Discontinuous film; spots of oil on sediments; an odor or tackiness with no visible evidence of oil.						

Surface and Subsurface Sediment Types	Abbreviation	Definition
bedrock	R	
boulder	В	Greater than 256 millimeters.
cobble	С	64 to 256 millimeters.
pebble	Р	4 to 64 millimeters.
granule	G	2 to 4 millimeters
sand	S	0.06 to 2 millimeters
mud/silt	M	Less than 0.06 millimeters.

Tidal Zones	Abbreviation	Definition
supra tidal	SU	Above the upper intertidal zone.
upper intertidal	UITZ	Upper 1/3 of active intertidal zone.
middle intertidal	MITZ	Middle 1/3 of active intertidal.
lower intertidal	LITZ	Lower 1/3 of active intertidal zone.

APPENDIX B Field Data for 1994 Manual Treatment and Assessments in Prince William Sound

The following information is included for each subsegment of the Oil Removal and Assessment Project. Subdivisions are arranged in alphanumeric order.

A general discussion and analysis of the physical setting and oiling conditions;

A restoration and or oiling summary field form on which the surveyor recorded treatment conducted and surface and subsurface oiling;

A field sketch map showing the distribution of oil and physical features keyed to the data recorded on the oiling summary form.

SEGMENT: AE 005 B

LOCATION: East side of Applegate Island.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology Deep, protected, pocket beach. Surface sediments are cobbles, pebbles and granules. Vertically dipping fissile shale bedrock outcrops. Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) Type 7; gravel beach. Type 8; sheltered rocky. Fetches and Directions (kilometers) E= 31.5; NE= 11.0; SE=26 Energy Level Moderate overall with low and very low sites.

A,

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Eagle Nest.

BEACH RESTORATION AND OILING SUMMARY

As a result of spill studies, rebar stakes were left throughout the tidal flats area. This area is a high human use area with recreational boaters and campers. The crew removed all rebar in the tidal flats area and broke up an area of persisting asphalt 2.5 meters by 14 meters that existed in the supra tidal zone amongst tall beach grass at location `D'.

AP and SOR remain trapped in vertically dipping shale bedrock. Oil is in the upper part of the high intertidal zone. Remaining oil is similar to that observed in the 1993 survey.

No subsurface oil has been detected since 1991.

	AK Dept. of	i Env.	Conserva	tion	
1994 Beach	Restoration	n and	Shoreline	Oiling	Summary
- east side	of -			~~~	·

Location Applegate Island Segment AE005 Sub-Div B

Date 7/14/94 Time: 0800 to 0840

Tide Level: <u>6.0</u> ft. to <u>5.0</u> ft. Energy Level <u>A-M</u> Weather: <u>Prtcloudy</u>/calm

- -

Nearshore Sheen: N Photo Roll: 1 - 2 Frames: 20-24/1-5

LOC			SU Cl	IRI HA	FA	CE \C	E O	IL SURFACE SHORE AREA R SEDIMENT SLOPE TYPE											
	A P	M S	T B	6 0 R	C V	CT	8 T	5 2	D B	N O		VHML	WIDTH	LENGTH m	S	0-	M L	L	NOTES
A_	T		Ī	T							RBCP	L	1	7		X			In vertical shale and Boulder crevices
ß	P			P							RCP	Μ	4	16		Х			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
C						5	S				<u> </u>	L	12	27		Х			
	ρ										CP	L	2.5	14	X				Broke and tilled Ap in tall grass at suitz.
E	P			9							RCP	M	17	22		Х			
	\Box									_									
																			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

SEGMENT: BP 004 A

LOCATION: North Bainbridge Island, mainland side, northeast of Point Countess.

OTHER STUDIES:

PHYSICAL SETTING:

<u>Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology</u> Rocky headlands with bays and several pocket beaches. This subdivision is 8287 meters long. <u>Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI)</u> Type 1; exposed rocky. Type 7; gravel beach. Type 8; sheltered rocky. Fetches and Directions (kilometers)

Energy Level Overall high with some moderate and low areas. GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING Anadromous Stream. Eagle Nest.

RESTORATION AND OILING SUMMARY

This subdivision was scheduled to be looked at during the 1993 restoration survey but was dropped for logistical and technical reasons. Due to the subdivisions large size, (8287 meters), time constraints and not knowing what we would find, both the survey and manual break up were done in summary form. Four seperate beach areas were surveyed and two locations with AP were manually broken up.

At beach site # 3, two areas, locations `G' and `H' had significant amounts of surface AP, SOR and TB. Most of this stable surface oil was broken and tilled by the crew while the survey was being conducted.

Four areas with substantial subsurface oil remain at beach site # 3. At locations `B', `C' and `D', OP and HOR oiling occur just under surface pebbles. This oil is easily uncovered and extremely heavy. At location `F', OP and MS was observed amongst and under boulders.

This subdivision received extensive treatment in 1990 and 1991 including manual removal, mechanical tilling and bioremediation. Of important note is that four anadromous streams exist within this subdivision.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Beach Restoration and Shoreline Oiling Summary Location Point Counters IC. Segment <u>BPOD H</u>Sub-Div<u>A</u>

Date 7/14/11 Time: 1300 to 1600

Tide Level: _____ ft. to <u>8.0</u> ft. Energy Level <u>M-L</u> Weather: <u>cloudy</u>

. .*****

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>2</u> - Frames: <u>11 - 24</u>

LOC			SL Cl	IRI HA	FA R/	CE AC	E O TE	R			SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	A	REA		zO	NE		
	Ap	M 8	T B	S O R	C V	CT	8 T	FL	D B	N O		VHML	HTOIW m	LENGTH M	S	0	M	L	NOTES
A				2	5	<u></u>					RBC	H-M	2	60		X]	En Cracks of Bedrock Outcrops
B											PGC	M	.5	5		Х		ſ	op sediments under pebble Veneer starting at 5cm.
<u> </u>					_						BPGC	M	2	4		Х			HOR/OP sediments Amongst Louiders under Pebbles.
0												L	4	22			\square		toR/OP sediments ~ sem approv pesses surface. E2 to uncover
E		┛		<u>3</u>		2	S				RE	H-M	2	10		Х			
F											BP6C	_ M	.1	2		X			OP sediments Amongst Bids Just under Pe bble suifare seds
G	P				_						CPG	L	5	10			Х		up to 10 cm thick, next to Anadromous streams some broken
H	2		s								PGC	L	5	100		X	Х		Some broken-12.
F				5		5	S				RECP	_M	L)	200		Х		<u></u>	occurs thro entire area
7	Т	ļ	Т			Г	T				RBC	H-M				X			occurs in Track amounts thrue ntice as ea
														; 					







SEGMENT: ER 011 A

LOCATION: Southwestern Elrington Island.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

Sandy prograding spit associated with a stream opening and delta. A lagoon is behind the spit. The extreme southern portion of this site is a sandy beach associated with a small stream. Along the shore to the north the sediments become boulders, cobbles, and pebbles in the lower and mid intertidal but are sandier in the upper intertidal. The northern part of the site is mixed sand and pebble gravel spit that is prograding to the south and impinging on the stream channel. Swash bars are oriented to the south and large runnels are present. Significant on shore and and offshore sediment transport occurs along this beach.

١.

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI)

Type 6; mixed sand and gravel.

Fetches and Directions (kilometers)

N= 5.5

Energy Level

Low to moderate despite short fetch, much wave energy probably arrives after refraction.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Fry release. Fish harvest area. Deer harvesting.

BEACH RESTORATION AND OILING SUMMARY

AP was the only oil type present at this site. All visible areas of AP were manually broken up and tilled at locations A', B', C', D', E', F' and G'.

Subsurface oil observed in 1993 survey consisted of small amounts of buried AP in the upper intertidal zone. The work objective for this site was strictly manual break up and an extensive subsurface oiling survey was not conducted. This segment has a history of oil becoming buried one year and reappearing the next and hence some buried asphalt probably remains.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Beach Restoration and Shoreline Oiling Summary Location Elirington Trian Segment EROIL Sub-Div A

Date <u>8/22/94</u> Time: <u>0730</u> to <u>1130</u>

Tide Level: <u>-1.0</u> ft. to <u>...o</u> ft. Energy Level <u>L-M</u> Weather: <u>Son</u>

Nearshore Sheen: N Photo Roll: 14 - 15 Frames: 18-24/1-24

LOC	SURFACE OIL CHARACTER							DIL R		-	SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	A	AREA					
	A P	28	T B	S O R	C >	C T	8 T	E	D B	NO		VHML	WIDTH m	LENGTH	S	U	M	L	NOTES
A	S										BC	L		4		X			Eroke and Timed AP
L	ρ										\$ 6 S	L	1.5	- b		Х			Broke and Tilled AP
С	5					L					PGS	L	2	35		X			Bicke and Tilled AP
D	S					L					PGS	L	1	10		Х			Broke and Tilled AP
E	p						L	L			PGS		15	20		Х			Broke and Tilled AP
E_	e										165	L	2	5		Х			Broke and Tilled AP
G	C										<u> </u>	L	3	10		Х			Broke and Tille & AP, oil was bound to sand.



SEGMENT: ER 020 B

LOCATION: North end of Elrington Island.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

Two adjacent pocket beaches. The beach to the west is relatively broad in shape and is partitioned by outcrops and has a tombolo behind which a mussel bed is present. A small stream cuts across the western beach on its east side. The eastern pocket beach is narrow and deep. Both beaches have angular boulders along the limbs that gradually decrease in size to more rounded small cobbles and large pebbles with a sandy, granular matrix. Sediments in the east pocket are generally coarser and less rounded than in the west pocket. Upper intertidal pebble berms are present at both sites.

١,

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) Type 7; gravel beach. Type 8; sheltered rocky. Fetches and Directions (kilometers) NE= 37 Energy Level Moderate with some low areas.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Mussel bed. Eagle nest. Fish harvest area. Deer harvesting.

OILING SUMMARY

Remaining surface oil is similar to that reported in the 1993 survey. The heaviest surface oil observed was SOR around two areas of protruding bedrock at locations 'F' and 'H'. When dug into this oil was liquid and gooey. Moderate amounts of weathered AP and SOR occurs interstitially amongst boulders along the limbs of the pockets. Other surface oil consisted of CT and ST on bedrock outcrops and other isolated areas of AP and SOR.

Substantial amounts of subsurface oil remains including OP and HOR types. Three areas show the greatest amount observed, and occur behind the tombollo near pits 27 and 28, and at location `H' just under surface pebbles, in the central part of the eastern pocket beach in the upper intertidal zone.

This segment received a substantial amount of manual treatment in 1990 and aggressive mechanical and manual treatment in 1991.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation **1994 Shoreline Oiling Summary**

Location EXPINITION ISLAND Segment EROLO Sub-Div B

Date 8/30/94 Time: 1220 to 1540

Tide Level: <u>5.0</u> ft. to <u>5.0</u> ft.

Energy Level 2013 Weather: CLOURY

. .-

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>17 - 18</u> Frames: 1-24/1-4

LOC	SURFACE OIL CHARACTER										SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	AF	REA	ZO	NE	
	Ap	M S	T B	8 O R	C V	CT	8 T	FL	D B	NO		VHML	WIDTH	LENGTH m	\$ U	M L	NOTES
A				9		S					BCP		5	10	Х		
Ľ	9			9	_	S					RBC	Н	5	40	Х		Amonast B/C
C	5		\downarrow	5		S	ς				сB	M	20	20	Х		Ampast R/C
0			_	5							<u> </u>	_L	.5	4		X	Scattered SPOTS COR AT LITZ
E	P	_		6	_	5	5				RC	M	5	40	Х		Accordst Elc
F				6				L			REC	M	5	10	Х		Sil Persite Aroad Protesting Bediers
G	P			P	9	ρ	P				REC	M-V	3	_5	X		APISON Amongst EIC J CVICTICT on Protected sides Bolia
_Н	6			P		_		L			RECP	<u>M</u>	2	_5		X_	Around Protudion Bedruck
I	s		S	5							BC	<u>M - H</u>	4	15	Х		Amerist R/C
																	7

EROLD B

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	BSU CHA	RFA	CE TEF	OIL 1		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		F	PIT DNE			
		O P	H O R	M O R	L O R	O F	TR	NO	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	U	MI	IJ	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
1	27							\bowtie			20-27	N			\geq	Κ	RCPIPG	
2	35							X			28-35	N			<u> </u>	(BCP/PG	
3	25			\mid					20-35	N					\ge		BCP1PG	
4	32							X			18-32	N			\rangle	(BCPIPG	
5	30							Х			28-30	N			\ge		BCP/PG	
6	20			X					8-1	V	12-20	SB			\geq		CP/PG	
7	24				Х				20-24	N	22-24	CB.		>	<		CPIPG	
8	36							Х			22-36	N			\ge		CPIPG	
9	27							\sum							\ge		CPIPG	
10	28							\ge			15-28	N				$\left \right\rangle$	BCPG/CPG	
11	26							\ge			16-26	N			\times		CPG/PG	
12	15		Х						2-12	Y					\mathbf{X}		CPIPG	Just beneath
13	12		Х						3 - 10	Y					\times		CPIPG	Buried AP like oil
14	13			\mid					3-9	Y					\times		CPIPG	very black mobile oil
15	21							\ge			11-21				>	K	CPICPG	Friable buried ail
16	11			\boxtimes					$\frac{1}{2}$ - 6	Y					\times		CPIPG	
17	16	Х							6-16	N	9-16	BRS			\ge		CPIPG	
18	28							Х								K	CP/PG	
19	23							Х								K	CP(3)P(3)	

ERONOB

PIT NO,	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	IBSL Cha	JRFA RAC	CE	OIL R		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		Z	PIT ONE			
		O p	H O R	M O R	L O R	O F	T R	N O	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	Üį	MI	LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
20	37			 	X				14-0	U	28-37	S			\ge		CPG 1PG	
21	28							Х			17-28					\boxtimes	CPGIPG	
22	27	-						X							\ge		CPG/PG	
23	26				 			X		·						\ge	CPGIPG	
24	35							\bigcirc							$\left \right>$		CPG/PG	
25	25							\supset				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\times		CPIPG	
×6	24		/					$ \ge $			·						CPIPG	
$\frac{\chi_{+}}{2}$			/						2-11	N					>		CPIPG	
<u> </u>	<i>d</i> 3							$\overline{}$	5-10	Y	, 				$\underline{\times}$		CPIPG	
29	29					_		$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$								\ge	CPIPG	
21	20							\ominus	——				<u> </u>		\bigcirc		BCPIPGS	
32	27			-				\ominus							\bigtriangleup	$\overline{}$	CPIPG	
<u></u> ~ ~	19						-	\bigcirc		<u> </u>					$\overline{}$	$ \ge $	CPIPG	Mussele
<u>33</u> 34	18					-									$\overset{\frown}{\searrow}$		CP1P6	·
<u>-</u> 35	12	$\overline{\checkmark}$			\neg	\neg		<u>/ </u>	2 . 10						\bigcirc		CPIPG	
36	21			\neg	$\overline{\langle}$			-	$\frac{S-1Z}{2,1}$	N V					\bigcirc		CPIPG	Weathored
37	25)	$\langle $	-1					19-24	Y			-		\bigcirc		PGIPGS	Subsurface D.1

EROXCB

	SURFACE- NOTES SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS
	{ {
	PG/PGS
	PGIPGS
\times	PGIPGS
	PG/PGS
	CPIPGS Provide
	CPG/PGS in pit
	CPG/PGS
	CPG/PGC
	CPIDE OFGANICS
	CPIPG Organics
	BCP1P65 OFFICE
	CP/PG
<u> </u>	
┥┥┥┥	
┟╾┟┈╎╴╿┈╿	



SEGMENT: EV037 A

LOCATION: Chenega Area Group, northeastern Evans Island.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

Beach 'A' is the northern area surveyed and includes two irregularly shaped pocket beaches separated by a mostly intertidal promontory. Sediments are very poorly sorted, angular, compact cobbles to large boulders with pebbles and subsurface granular matrix. Bedrock outcrops are also present.

Location `B' as designated on the sketch map is a small beach with relatively sorted, rounded, cobble gravel, and high-tide berms in the mid to upper intertidal and boulders in the mid to low intertidal. Peat underlies portions of location `B'. Beach `B' is to the south of beach `A' and is a broad pocket beach with very poorly sorted sediments similar to beach `A'. Very large boulders and bedrock dominate on the southern limb of this beach.

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI)

Type 1; exposed rocky. Type 7; gravel beach. <u>Fetches and Directions (kilometers)</u> NE= 37 <u>Energy Level</u> Moderate.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING Eagle nest. Fish harvesting. Deer harvesting.

OILING SUMMARY

In beach 'A' one very large area, location 'A' at the southern end of the site in the upper intertidal zone has a relatively high concentration of AP and SOR persisting amongst the large boulders and cobbles. Although much smaller than location 'A', location 'C' has heavy SOR and MS type oil persisting among and beneath boulders. In beach 'B' two adjacent areas with significant AP and SOR and MS under boulders persists at locations 'D' and 'E'.

In beach 'A' one area with significant subsurface oil remains. This area is associated with surface location 'A' on the 1993 and 1994 surveys. Here the AP and SOR extends to subsurface OP among the boulders. In beach 'B', subsurface oil including OP and HOR was located discontinuously throughout the main beach.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Shoreline Oiling Summary

Location Evans Island Segment EV027 Sub-Div A

Date 8/19/94 Time: 0930 to 1200

Tide Level: 3.0 ft. to 1.0 ft. Energy Le

Energy Level Moderate Weather:

. . . e

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>12</u> - <u>12</u> Frames: <u>2c-24</u> / 1 - 11

LOC			SU Cł	IRF 1A	FA(RA	CE	E O TE	nil R			SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	A	REA		zo	NE	
	A P	M S	T B	8 0 R	C V	C T	8 T	FL	D B	NO		VHML	WIDTH m	LENGTH	S		M I	NOTES
A	9			P		5	5				RECP	V-M	5-10	90		Х		
R	S	_									ECP	M	2	5	$ $ \times			
С	P			ρ		S	5				PCP	M	8	10		X		
D	P			<u>e</u>		S	S	 			REC	V-M	3	6		X		
E	P			9		\sim	S			_	BC	M	5	20		X		
E	S					5	S				ECP	M	2	5	\boxtimes			
		┥┥┥																

EV037 A

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	BSU Cha	RFA	CE TEF	OIL }		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		l Z(PIT DNE			
		O P	H O R	MOR	LOR	0 F	TR	N O	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	UI	MI	LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
I.	24				\times				6-13	Y				\ge			BCPIPG	
2	30							\ge		. <u></u>				\ge			BCP/PG	
3	31							\ge						\ge			BCP/PG	
4_	28		<u>k</u>						8-0	<u> </u>	25-28	S			$\left \times \right $		BCPIPG	this Pit
5	26							Х				·			$\left \right\rangle$		PICPIPG	
6	21		Ł_						10-U	<u> </u>		_ <u></u>	L		$\left \right\rangle$		BCPIPG	it this line
7	24	 					Х				,			 	\mid		BCPIPG	End of oil at this live
8	18		Ł_						9-0	U	14-18	S			$\left \right\rangle$		BCP/PG	
9	31	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				X		<u>0-0</u>	U	29-31	S			$\left \right\rangle$		BCP/PG	very light
10	15			<u>k</u>					9-U	Ŭ	ļ 				\mid	 	BCPIPG	
<u></u>	26		<u> </u>			ļ	ļ		7-0_	U	20-26	BS	 		\bowtie	 	BCPIPG	
12	23						<u> </u>	Х			19-23	N	 -		$\left \right\rangle$		BCPIPG	
13	26			<u>k</u>			<u> </u>		<u>10 - U</u>	ν	20-26	<u>S</u> B			$\left \right>$		BCPIPG	
14	19				ļ			\boxtimes					ļ		$\mid \times \mid$		BCP/PG	
15	21			X					<u>6-0</u>	V	10-15	SB					BCP/P6	
16	27		<u> </u>					\mid						\mid]		BCP/P6	
17	18			\mid	1		<u> </u>		8 - 18	N	13-1B	SB	 		ļ	 	RCP/PG	· · · · ·
18	26							\triangleright]					\bowtie			RCP IPG	

EV037A

- -

PIT NO.	РІТ ДЕРТН		SU	IBSU		ACE	OIL	•	OILED	CLEAN	H2O	SHEEN	T		PIT			
	(cm)		_				n.		ZUNE	BELOW	LEVEL	COLOR		Z	ONE			
		0 P	HOR	MOR	LOR	0 F	T R	N Q	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BASN	S	U	MI	LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
19	27						X	T	υ-υ					$\mathbf{\nabla}$				
													<u> </u>	<u> </u>			LCP/PG	
				ĺ									<u> </u>					
			ļ		<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>		-									
				ļ														
					 													
										·								
														·				
																		. <u> </u>
																		··· <u>··································</u>
																		<u> </u>
		_																
		_				[-										
	· ·																	



. ...





...

SEGMENT: EV039A

LOCATION: Chenega Island Area Group, northeastern Evans Island.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

Broad irregularly shaped pocket beach bound by low-lying promontories. Sediments are poorly sorted, subangular to rounded pebbles to boulders with much bedrock outcrop. Freshwater flows across the central part of the beach and is noted as a stream in the 1993 field sketch. The northern part of this site consists of rounded large pebble gravel and high-tide berms in the upper intertidal which grades to cobbles and small boulders in the lower intertidal. The area south of the stream, which is designated in the field sketch, consists of boulders and much bedrock outcrop.

Ŋ

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) Type 1; exposed rocky. Type 7; gravel beach. Fetches and Directions (kilometers) NE= 37 Energy Level Overall high with some moderate areas.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Eagle nest. Fish harvesting. Deer harvesting.

OILING SUMMARY

A substantial area of AP and SOR oiling occurs on the south part of the this site at location `C. The AP and SOR is among boulders especially in wave shadowed or protected areas. Location `A' is much smaller with sporadic and more weathered SOR, CT, ST and TB type oiling.

Significant subsurface oiling coincides with surface AP and SOR at location `C' as described above. In addition, an isolated but very heavy area of subsurface OP was located at location `B' just under surface sediments of cobble and pebble.

This site received aggressive manual and mechanical treatment in 1991.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Shoreline Oiling Summary

Location Evens Icland Segment EVD39 Sub-Div A

Date 8/19/94 Time: 0730 to 0930

Tide Level: 1.2 ft. to 3.0 ft. Energy Level Moderate Weather: SUN

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>12</u> - ____ Frames: <u>1-19</u>

LOC			SL C	JRI HA	FA R/	CE AC	E O TE	IL R			SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	A	REA		zo	NE		
	A P	A M T B C C S F P S B O V T T L C C S F C C S F C S F C C S F C S F C C S F C S F C S F C S F C C S F C S								N		VHML	WIDTH	LENGTH m	S	Ŭ	M I	- -	NOTES
A			ς	S		S	S				R	M-L	5	10	\mathbb{X}	X			
B		Ç									PCP	M		2		X			
С	ρ		ς	P		S	S				RBC	H-M_	15	60	 	X	<u> </u>		
															\lfloor				
													ļ						
																	L		

1. 10

Feb 2 P

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	IBSL Cha	JRFA (RAC	CE	OIL 7		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		l Z(PIT DNE			
		0 8	H O R	MOR	L O R	0 F	T R	N O	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	IJ	MI	L	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
	19			\mathbb{X}]				8-12	Y				\times			CP/PG	Hardened Aplike
2	20			X					10-20	N				\ge			CPIPG	Hardened Sch-
3	20			K_					9-14	Y				\ge			CP/PG	
시	18			X					10-15	Y				\ge			CPIPG	
5	13				 			Х						\ge			CP IPG	
6	30			X					27-30	N				\ge			BCPICPG	
7_	31			Д					12-18	Y				\ge			BCPICPG	weathered sub- surface oil
8	.27			<u>K</u>					10 - 17	Y				\times	_		BCPICPG	wen defined layer
9	55							Д						<			PG16	
10	19							Д							\ge		BCP/CP6	
	23							Д							\ge		BCPICPG	
12	19							Д							\ge		BCP/CPG	
13	27							Å	~						X		BCPICPG	
14	18							Д							\ge		BCP/CP6	
15	34					_		Д						X			BCP/PG	
16	23							Д						\bowtie			RCP/PG	
17	42								18 -27	Y							CPGIPG	Base of Storm Bern
18	31				Κ				13 - 19	Y			X	$\langle $				Base of Storm Reins

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	BSU CHA	JRFA NRAC	ICE STEI	OIL R		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		Z	PIT One			
		O P	H O R	M O R	L O R	O F	T R	N O	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	UI	MI	L	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
19	36_				K				19-25	Y			>	\langle			CPG/PG	Base of Store Relly
20	52				K				15-22	Y			\geq				CPGIPG	Base of torn Reim
21-	46						 	Х						K			CPG/PG	Base of storm Perco
22	50				K		L		27-35	Y				(CP6/PG	weathered
23	48				K				24-30	Y			>				CPG IPG	
2.4	48						<u> </u>	X						\langle			CPG/PG	
25	26							X						\ge			CP6/PG	
26	47							X)	$\langle $			CPG/PG	
27	39							Д						\ge			BCPIPGS	
28	18							Д						imes			BCPIPES	
29	23							Д									ECP/P65	
30	24							Д						\ge			ECPIP6S	
31	12							Х						\ge			BCP/PGS	
											[



SEGMENT: KN 0132 B

LOCATION: West coast of Herring Bay, Knight Island.

OTHER STUDIES:

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

Pocket beach with a long west limb and a stream delta with sandy, granular banks and pebbly tidal flats. The stream is bounded on the west by a granular beach that grades along shore to a pebble cobble beach and then to a mostly boulder beach. The stream is banked by a rocky headland to the west.

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) Type 7; gravel beach.

Type 8: sheltered rocky. Type 9; sheltered tidal flat. Fetches and Directions (kilometers) NNE= 55 Energy Level Low to moderate.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Anadromous stream.

BEACH RESTORATION AND OILING SUMMARY

This site had some of the largest and most highly concentrated areas of thick AP in Prince William Sound. All of the areas of AP that were identified in the 1993 survey were manually broken and tilled. Some areas of AP were more thoroughly treated than others due to the inaccessibility of the oil amongst cobbles and boulders. Although residual oil remains, manual break up should enhance natural weathering including microbial degradation and photoxidation of the persistent oil within this segment.

Subsurface oil was observed in association with surface AP at locations `A' and `D' and this oil was tilled as it was associated with the treated surface oil.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Beach Restoration and Shoreline Oiling Summary Location KNIGHT ISLAN Segment KNOL2 Sub-Div B

Date 7/13/94 Time: 5700 to 1500

Tide Level: <u>b.c</u> ft. to <u>b.S</u> ft. Energy Level <u>A-M</u> Weather: <u>c.idy</u>/sunny

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>1</u> - <u>Frames: 6-20</u>

LOC			SU Cl	IRF HA	FA R/	CE	: O TE	NL R			SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	A	REA		zo	NE		
	A p	M S	T B	6 0 R	c V	C T	8	FL	D B	NO		VHML	WIDTH m	LENGTH m	S	0		L	NOTES
A	ρ										BCP	Μ	4	145		Х			Tilled and Broken.
ß										X	RBC	Н				Х			No on observed Broken-up Donna 93 Eurvey
С				1							RB	н		40		Х			Very small amounts of oil Amoust Anavlar Rids
D	9		I			q					RBC	M.	3	23		X			AP Broken and Tured
E	p										BCP	M	2	q		Х			Broken and Tilled
F	S										P.C.P	H.	4	60		Х			Majority Broken and Tilled
					-			Γ			· · · · · ·								
								Γ											



SEGMENT: LA015C

LOCATION: Northeast coast of Latouche Island.

OTHER STUDIES

NOAA transect station #N-15.

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

This is an asymmetric pocket beach with an anadromous stream along the eastern end. A boulder area occurs along the eastern limb. The western limb is a straight boulder beach more than 400 m long. The central part of the site is rounded cobble and boulder gravel beach with well-developed high-tide berms. Boulders increase in abundance down the beach relative to cobbles.

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI)

Type 7; gravel beach. <u>Fetches and Directions (kilometers)</u> NE= 110 <u>Energy Level</u> High.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Anadromous stream. Eagle nest. Deer harvesting.

OILING SUMMARY

One area of significant oiling seems to be persisting. Location 'A' is located along the boulder beach on the western limb of the pocket. High concentrations of AP and SOR occur interstitially between large immobile boulders and bedrock in the upper and mid intertidal zones. Little to no measurable improvement has occurred at these sites, but it is emphasized that the survey methods can only detect rather large changes and reductions have probably occurred. Nonetheless, considerable oil remains.

A small amount of subsurface oil was detected and was most prevalent in the mid to upper intertidal zones and towards the northern half of the main beach.

The main beach area received extensive mechanical treatment including storm berm relocation during the response phase.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation **1994 Shoreline Oiling Summary**

Location Katouche Toland Segment LA015 Sub-Div C Site

Date 8/20/94 Time: 0740 to 0930

Tide Level: <u>1.6</u> ft. to <u>o</u> ft. Energy Level <u>HIGH</u> Weather: <u>CLOUDS</u>

. . . .

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>13</u> - ___ Frames: <u>12-24</u>

LOC			SL C	JR H/	FA AR	AC AC	e C Te	DIL ER	T		SU SEI	RFAC DIME TYPE	CE NT	SH(SL(ORE OPE		A	REA			zo	NE		
	A P	8 8	TB	8 0 R	C V	C T	8 T	FL	D	N O				VH	ML	Wit	DTH n	LE	NGTH m	S	U	M	L	NOTES
A	S	Т		<u>e</u>	5	S	ς				RE	CP_		м		5	-	15	50		X	X		Amonist lacae houlder lechle
ß										PC	<u>_</u>		L_M		4			5	 	И	Ш		South Side of Stream	
		\neg	-						$\left - \right $	$\left - \right $														
			+	-											·						┝╌┨	—		
	-	\downarrow	-		_					<u> </u>		. <u>.</u>												
	-+	-	+	_	_				┝━┛┫			<u> </u>											-	
	_		-							_		. <u> </u>									-	\dashv	-	
						_					 .:							ļ <u></u>				┥	╺┼	
																				+	\uparrow	╉		

LACISC

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	BSU CHA	IRFA (RA(ICE CTE	OIL R		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		PIT ZONE		
		OP	HOR	M O R	L O R	O F	R	NO	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BASN	S U	II MI LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
	33	 '	 '	↓ _'	<u> </u> _'	<u> </u> '	<u> </u>	[X]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				\bowtie	CPICPG	
2	47	L_'	 '	<u> </u>	 _'	\bot	\bot	[X]			<u> </u>	!		\times	CPICPG	
3	36	∟′	Ľ	μ'	<u> </u> _'	_ '	Ľ	K		'	<u> </u>				BCP/BCPG	
4	44	Ľ	 _ _'	Ľ'	↓ '	<u> </u>	_ '	$ \Sigma $		'	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		\mathbf{X}	BCP/BCP6	
5	42	\bot'	 '	[X]	↓ '	<u> </u>	⊥_'	<u> </u>	22-38	X '	<u>[</u> '			X	BCPICPG	
6	40	\bot'	\bot'	<u> </u> _'	<u> </u> _'	 '	↓ _'	\bowtie		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			\bowtie	BCPICPG	
7	37	L_'	\bot'	∟'	⊥_′	\bot'	$[[]{}]$	⊥_′	0-0						BCP ICPG	
8	37		\bot '	\square	\Box'	\bot	[X]	<u> </u>	<u>v-v</u>					\square	RCPICPG	
9	40	\square	\square'	\square	\square'	\bot	\square'	\bowtie						*	REPICPG	
10	52			\square	\square'	\square'	\square'	\bowtie						XT	RC.PICPG	
	47			\bowtie			Ĺ	Ĺ	19-0	U	42-47	ES			RCPICPG	
12	38			\square	\bowtie				14-0	U	32-38	es_		\mathbf{X}	RCPIPGS	
13	39							\ge							REDIDES	
14	33		\square	<u>K</u>					24-0		29-33	IR			PRPIREG	
15	37				\boxtimes	\Box	\Box	\Box	10-U		29-27	R		Ť	Property Des	
16	35	\Box		\Box		\Box	\square	\boxtimes							BUTGICIGS.	
17	28			\Box	\square	\square	\Box	\mathbf{X}		,				+	RODEC	
18	36		\Box	\Box	\Box	\Box		\boxtimes			it				RCP/PLC	

2 ACISC

PiT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	ibsu Cha	JRF/ RAC	ACE CTE	OIL R		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		Ż	PIT ONE			
		С р	H O R	M O R	L O R	O F	R	NO	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	U	MI	LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
19	31			┣				igta							\boxtimes		BCP/PGS	
						<u> </u>											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					<u> </u>					<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
<u> </u>																		
								_										
								\square										
		\neg]				-	-										
			-															
				1		!				L								



SEGMENT: LA 015 E

LOCATION: Northeastern shore of Latouche Island.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

Irregular headland, wave-cut platform, and gravel beach shoreline. Rounded pebble and large cobble beach in the northern part of the site is protected by prominent seaward outcrops. Subsurface matrix sediment is sandy granules. Large angular boulders occur near outcrops and in the high intertidal of the southern part of the site.

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI)

Type 1; rocky coast. Type 2; exposed wave-cut platform. Type 7; gravel beach. Fetches and Directions (kilometers) NE= 110

Energy Level

High with some moderate locations behind seaward outcrops.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Oiled mussel bed. Eagle nest. Fish harvest area.

OILING SUMMARY

Three moderate sized areas, locations 'E', 'J' and 'G' have relatively unweathered areas of AP and SOR persisting. These three locations are in areas where large boulders or outcrops provide protection from waves. In many cases MS was observed oozing out from beneath the boulders. There are several other locations within this site with substantial areas of weathered surface oil.

Subsurface oil was observed discontinuously through the main beach area. In some cases the unweathered surface oil above extends to subsurface OP. An oiled mussel bed of approximately 30 by 40 meters exists at location `D'.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Shoreline Oiling Summary

Location Latouche Teland Segment LA015 Sub-Div E Site

Date 8/20/94 Time: 0930 to 1140

Tide Level: _____ ft. to _____ ft. Energy Level _____ Weather: <u>RAIN</u>, CLOUDS

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>14</u> - ____ Frames: <u>1-16</u>

.

LOC			SU Ci	IR HA	FA R/	AC	E O	PIL R			SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	AF	REA		zo	NE		
	AP	M	T B	S O R	C V	C T	S T	FL	D B	N O		VHML	WIDTH m	LENGTH m	S	Ű	M	L	NOTES
A	T			T							P.C.	M	2	20		X			
B				Ţ				Ĺ			BC	M	10	30		Х			
C						P	6				R	V	.5	6		X			
D											BCP		30	Ho			\geq	Ľ	Mussel Bed w/subjurface ail
E	P			p							BC	H-M	10	15		Х			
E_					P	B					CP	M	L	0	\boxtimes				
G	p			P		S	S				RBC	H-M	10	30		Х			Dil DERING FLOW RIGS
H				9		S	5	L			RBC	H-M	2	15		Х			
I			S			S	5				R	V		15		Х			
7	5	S S S S								BC	H-M	2	5		X				

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)	T	SU	JBSL CH/	JRF/ ARA	ACE	OIL R		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		Z	PIT 'ONE			
		OP	H O R	M O R	L O R	O F	R	N O	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	UI	MI	LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
	19	_ '	 '	<u> </u>	Ļ	_ '	\square	Ľ	<u>v-v</u>	U	17-19	C,			\boxtimes		BCP/PGC	
2	24	 '	 '	 '	+	<u> </u> _'	\downarrow	<u> </u> <u> </u>	 '	 '	·	!	[!		\ge		REPIPGE	
<u> </u>	29	<u>ل</u>	↓ _'	 '	 '	<u> _'</u>	'¥	<u> _'</u>	<u>u-u</u>	ļ!	26-29	5		Ĺ'		<u> </u>	BCPIP65	
4_'	20		<u>K_'</u>	<u> _'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> _'</u>	<u> _'</u>	\vdash	6-11	Y !	16-20	<u>CB</u>		L'	\geq		PEPIPES	
5'	27	–′	<u> '</u>	₽'	<u> '</u>	₋/	<u> _'</u>	[A]	 '	 	↓ ′	 !		L_'	\leq	<u> </u> '	BCPIPGS	·
6	28	\vdash	⊢'	–'	<u> '</u>	μ'	<u> _'</u>	\bigotimes		 '	 '	l		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\geq	BCPIPES	
	30	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	<u> _'</u>	\vdash	\vdash	\bigotimes	ŀl	ļ!	 ']		<u> </u>	\geq	<u> </u>	BCPIPGS	
	129	–┦	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash		\vdash	Ŕ	┝────┤	 	 '	 	\square	Ę	<u>K_'</u>	<u> '</u>	BCPIPOS	
<u> </u>	32	┣━┦	μ	\vdash	\vdash	₋	\vdash	()	┝	l	├ ───┘	 	\square	X	↓ '		BCPIPGS	
He-I	24	\vdash			–	$\left - \right $	\vdash	(A)	ı———		└─── ┘	I]	\square	\ge	<u> </u>		BCPIPGS	
	22	$\left - \right $	-	\vdash	$\vdash \downarrow$		\vdash	ightarrow igh		 	 	I	<u>і </u>		$\left \ge \right $		BCPIPGS	
12	29		⊢┥	\vdash	\vdash	┠┩	\vdash	Å	·		 	I			$ \ge$		BCPIPGS	
	20	Å			$\vdash \downarrow$	\vdash	$ \vdash $		3-0	·	17-20	ß	—	X			BCPIPGE	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>			\vdash	\square	\vdash	凶	⊢┥	<u>v-v</u>	U	 	I		\ge			BEPIPGS	
┢──┤	·	┍╼┥	\dashv	\vdash	⊢	H	⊢	┌──┤		 	I]	i]]				
┟┦	·	┍╼┥		\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	r—	·	·	I]]					
 		┍╼┥		⊢		\vdash	⊢–↓	r 			ıİ	·	,					·
/ł					┌──┦	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	,—-			·	·						
<u> </u>]			<u> </u>				!	ļ		I				.	ī 1		



1SEGMENT: LA 020 C

LOCATION: Chenega Island Area Group, north end of Latouche Island, west shoreline of Sleepy Bay.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

Linear boulder and cobble beach about 900 m long. The beach is gently sloping with bedrock near the surface and exposed in places. The entire beach contains boulders but in some areas very large boulders are present. A cobble and drift log storm berm is present along the shoreline. Sediments are subangular to subrounded and at depth a granular matrix occurs. In some areas a clayey sediment is present at depth (pits #17-22). A low rocky promontory projects from the beach at one location behind which bedrock outcrop occurs.

Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI)

Type 2; exposed wave-cut rock platform. Type 7; gravel beach. <u>Fetches and Directions (kilometers)</u> N= 14; NE= 110 <u>Energy Level</u> High with some moderate areas.

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING

Eagle nest. Deer harvesting.

OILING SUMMARY

As reported in the 1993 survey, four large areas of significant oiling occur at this site. Locations 'A' and 'B' are two very large areas with moderate concentrations of AP and SOR primarily amongst cobbles and boulders in the mid and upper intertidal zones. Location 'C' which is located in the upper intertidal zone behind a low lying promontory has a substantial amount of SOR in vertical bedrock. Much of the oil in location 'C' is very hard and weathered. At the north end of the site, location 'D' also contains a high concentration of AP and SOR. Surface oil in location 'D' often extends subsurface.

Three significant areas of subsurface oil remains at this site. Location

'ZA' is amongst the very large boulders of surface location 'A'. Location 'ZB' is coincident with surface location 'B', the surface oil at this location often extends subsurface. The largest concentration of subsurface oil observed was within location 'ZD'.

Much manual removal occurred at these locations in 1991 and 1992.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Shoreline Oiling Summary

Location <u>Sleepy Bay</u> Segment <u>LAORO</u> Sub-Div C Site

Date 8/21/94 Time: 0730 to 1140

Tide Level: <u>1.6</u> ft. to <u> \leq o</u> ft. Energy Level <u>H</u> Weather: <u>Rain</u>

Nearshore Sheen: None Photo Roll: 014 - 015 Frames: 17-24 / 1-17

LOC			SL Cl	J R HA	FA R/	CE	E O TE	R			SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SH SI	IORE LOPE	AF	REA		zo	NE	
	A P	M S	T B	8 0 R	c v	CT	S T	FL	DB	20		V	HML	WIDTH m	LENGTH m	S	UI	MI	NOTES
A	6			e		ς	2				BC	h h	\	15	100		Х	Х	
B	P			Р		S	S				BC		<u>l</u>	20	200		Д	Χ_	
C	ρ			<u>p</u>		S	S				RBC	<u> </u>	<u></u>	5	150		Х		
<u>a</u>	P			p		S	S				BC	1	۸	10	200		X	Х_	
	Π																		
								Γ	Γ	Γ									
								Γ											
								Γ	Γ	Γ									

. . . -

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	BSL Cha	JRFA	CE TE	O!L R		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		l Z(PIT ONE			
		O P	H O R	MOR	LOR	O F	T R	ZO	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	UI	MI	LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
1	19	X							1-17	Y					imes		BCP/CPG	see Photo
2	42			[X]					15 - 40	Y				\boxtimes			BCP/CPG	
3	22	—			\mathbb{X}				15 - U	U	17-22	B.5		\ge			BEPIEPE	
Ч	22	$\left[\right]$	K						<u>3-U</u>	U	18-22	B			\ge		BEPIEPG	
5	13				\mathbb{N}]			<u>11 - U</u>		8 - 11	S		\boxtimes			BCP/CPG	
<u> </u>	39							X						\boxtimes			BCIBC	Large bid/cobb
7	23		\boxtimes						1-6	Y				\ge			BCP/CPG	extension of surface oil
8	33					ĺ		\boxtimes						\ge			BCP/CPG	
9	31	X		[1-31	N		; 		\square			BCPICPG	surface HSOR Extends Subsulface
10	27					L_		\boxtimes				l		\boxtimes			BCPICPG	
11	31							\boxtimes							\ge		BCPICPG	
12	14		\boxtimes	1					1-12	Y				$\mid \ge$			BCP/CPG	1X2M HSOR
13	32				X				5-28	Y					\ge		BCPICPG	
14	38		K						10-37	Y	36-38	B		\boxtimes			BCPICPG	
15	28							\mathbb{X}			18-28	N			\mathbf{X}		BCPICPG	
16	26							X	•						\ge	l	BCPICPG	
17	22							X		N	15-22	N			\ge		BCPICPG	
18	17							Х			15-17	N		\bowtie			BCP/CPG	

- --

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	BSU Cha	IRFA	ACE CTEF	OIL 7		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		l Z(PIT ONE			
		O P	H O R	MOR	L O R	O F	T R	N O	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	บเ	MI	LI	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
19	21							Х			17-21	N			\ge		BCP/CPG	
20	28							Д						\ge			BCPICPG	
21	19			ļ	ļ			Д							\ge		RCPIC PG	
22	26							Д	·····						X		BCPICPG	
23	36		<u> </u>					Д							\times		BCPICPG	
24	28		ļ					Д						Х			BCPICPG	
25	31							Д							Δ		PCP ICPG	
26	27		[Å							\times		BCPICPG	
27	30							Х				·		\ge			BCPICPG	old customblen A litts in Pit
28	37		 	Х					25-36	Y				\times			RCPG/2PG	clay at bottom
29	28						Х								\ge		BCPG/CPG	
30	14				X				<u>u-u</u>					\mathbf{X}			CPGIPG	
31	10	Х					\sim		1 - 10	_N			}	$\langle $			CPGIPG	HSOR Extends Subsulface
32	22						Д		<u>U-U</u>						\ge		CPGIPG	clayat liction
33	23							X									CP6/PG	ilay at bottom
34	29							Х			22-29	N		\mathbf{X}			BCPICPG	'
35	30		Д						6-0		16-30	B			\ge		BCP/SPO	VERYONY
36	.1.6				Д				2-16					\ge			BCP6/CP6	
37	25							Х						\times			RCPIRCP	

. ...

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH		SL	JBSI CH/	URF.	ACE CTE	i oii R	_			H2O	SHEEN	1		PIT		I	
	(cm)		a 100.000 000									COLUN		2	ONE			
		0 P	HO	M	L	0 F	T	N	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	U	MI	LI	SURFACE-	NOTES
			R	R	R												SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	
38	19		K-	ļ	_				3-0	U	9-19	B			\times		PCPKPG	Thick black oil
39	21		Д					_	6-0		11-21	12			\ge		P.C.P.IC.P. 15	Thick black on
40	26		<u>K</u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		3-24	N	22- 1	R		\times			PEPICPE	Thick black is
41	19		\downarrow	K_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			2-19	N			$\left \times \right $				CPIP	Black Tarry oil
42	21			~			┢	X						\ge			BCPIPG	<u>16 02165</u>
43	41			K					20 - 11	Ν				\times			BCPICPG	
44	28		,		X		<u> </u>		11 - 28	N		·			\ge		RCPIPG	
45	25							ļ	14-25	N				\times			BCEPIPC	
46	23		Å						12-23	N				\times			BCPIPC	·
47	25		Д						3-25	N					\times		RCPIPC	
48	28				5.				15-28	N	·····			\times			PICPGKPG	
49	33	_	Å						25-0	U	26-33	B			\ge		BCPGLODI	
50	22	-	A						8-0	U	16-12	P.			\times		Bepbline	
51	28		\dashv		A	-			U-11	U L					\times		Prepalation	
52	27	-			<u>.</u>		\ge		U-1)	0					\times		Repeire	
53	38					\square		\ge							\times		BCPC/CPC	
54	26				-			$\underline{\times}$							\mathbf{X}		BCPGLOPL	
55	30							Х									RC PL/C PL	



SEGMENT: LA 021 A

LOCATION: Northwestern shore of Latouche Island.

OTHER STUDIES

PHYSICAL SETTING

Coastal Morphology and Sedimentology

This is a 200 m long gently sloping boulder cobble beach overlying a shallow bedrock platform. A few prominent outcrops occur. Sediments are subangular to subrounded boulders and large cobbles on the surface with pebbles in the interstices and a granular matrix in the subsurface. A gravel and drift log storm berm is present. Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI)

١,

Type 2; exposed wave-cut rock platform. Type 7; gravel beach. <u>Fetches and Directions (kilometers)</u> N= 16; NW= 23; W= 4 <u>Energy Level</u> Moderate. GENERAL BIOLOGICAL SETTING Eagle nest.

Deer harvesting.

OILING SUMMARY

Oiling observed was limited to one area of AP and SOR in the upper intertidal zone. Coverage was sporadic, in an area 5 m by 25 m. The survey was conducted at a tidal level of 5.0 ft to 6.0 ft and this did not allow for an adequate survey. Oil observed in the 1993 survey was located at a much lower tide level between 1.0 ft and 4.0 ft. For future reference this segment should be surveyed at a tide level of 3.0 ft or lower.

AK Dept. of Env. Conservation 1994 Shoreline Oiling Summary

Location Latouche I land Segment LAO21 Sub-DivA

Date 8/31/94 Time: 1300 to 1620

Tide Level: <u>6.6'</u> ft. to <u>5.6'</u> ft. Energy Level <u>M</u> Weather: <u>CLO</u>DY

Nearshore Sheen: <u>N</u> Photo Roll: <u>18</u> - ___ Frames: <u>5-18</u>

LOC			SU Cł	IRF HA	FA(RA	CE	E O TE	IL R			SURFACE SEDIMENT TYPE	SHORE SLOPE	AF	REA		zoi	NE	
	A M T B C C S F P S B O V T T L R								0 8	N O		VHML	WIDTH m	LENGTH	8	2-		NOTES
A	S			S							BC	M	5	25		Х		
											·							
											<u></u>							
[
													L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
ļ																		
																	\square	
														·			\square	
													 				Ц	
ļ.														L				

LAOLIA

PIT NO.	PIT DEPTH (cm)		SU	BSU Cha	IRFA (RA(ACE CTEI	OIL R		OILED ZONE	CLEAN BELOW	H2O LEVEL	SHEEN COLOR		l Z(PIT ONE			
		O P	H O R	M O R	L O R	OF	T R	NO	(cm-cm)	Y/N	(cm)	BRSN	S	UI	MI	L	SURFACE- SUBSURFACE SEDIMENTS	NOTES
	28	 '	∟'	<u> </u> '	 '	'	└	Ľ		<u> </u>	22-28	N			\ge		BCPIPG	
2	19 '	 '	⊥_'	·['	 '	⊥ ′	<u> _'</u>	[]			10 - 19	N			\ge		ECPIPGM	New"sman"
3	32	 '	⊢'	↓ _'	 '	⊥ ′	↓ _′	Ķ		L'	31-32	N			\ge		BCP/PG	
4_1	14_1	<u> </u> _'	⊥_'	<u> </u> _'	↓ _'	⊥ ′	_'	X	ļ	<u> </u>	9-14	N			\geq		BCPIPEM	New Small " MUSSELS
5	21	 '	⊥_'	<u> _'</u>	_'	<u> _'</u>	\square'	ĮΔ'		I'	13-21	N			\ge		BCP/PGM	11
6	22	└ ─'	⊥_′	$ \perp' $	∟'	⊥_'		<u>ک</u>		I'	17-22	N			\ge		BCP/PGM	11
7		<u> _'</u>	$\downarrow \prime$	\square	└	<u>[</u> '	\bigsqcup	\bowtie	L	L'	10-17	N			\ge		BCPIPUM	11
8	18	↓ _'	\square		K'	<u> </u> '		Ľ	7-0	U	10-18	BSR			\times		BEPIPGM	11
9	23	\square	\square	\square	<u> </u>	\square'		Д		<u> </u>					\times		BEPIPGM	11
10	19			\square	\bigsqcup	\square	\square	Д		<u> </u>					\times		RC. PIPGM	N.
	121		\square			\square	\square	Д			17-21	i			\times		RC.PIPGM	11
12	27		\square					X		<u> </u>					\times		RCDIPGM	13
13	30			\square		\square		$\underline{\lambda}$			15-30				\mathbf{X}		REPIPEN	11
14	26							\ge		·							RCD / PG	
15	21							Х	· [,	14-21						DODIPLM	11
16	26		Ē					Х			·						DODID/M	11
17	24		\Box	\Box	\Box	\mathbf{X}	\prod	T			20-24	<			<u></u>		RAP JP/M	11
18	28				\Box	\Box		X									RCP/DGC	

- NOSIA PIT PIT SUBSURFACE OIL CLEAN OIL H20 SHEEN PIT NO. DEPTH CHARACTER ZONE BELOW LEVEL COLOR ZONE (cm) o P M L O J R R H N O 0 F T (cm-cm) Y/N (cm) BASN \$ UI LI SURFACE-NOTES 0 SUBSURFACE R SEDIMENTS 19 26 ECP/PGS 20 24 RCP/PGS 21 21 BCP/PGS 22 7 BCP/PGS 25 23 BCPIPES 24 29 ECP/P65 25 22 BCP/PGS 26 32 BCP/PGS 27 30 16-30 BCP1PGS 28 21 N 25-31 BCPIPGS



_ _ _